BOSTON REGION METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION



Stephanie Pollack, MassDOT Secretary and CEO and MPO Chair Karl H. Quackenbush, Executive Director, MPO Staff

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

- DATE: May 18, 2017
- TO: John DePriest, Planning and Development Director, City of Chelsea
- FROM: Chen-Yuan Wang and Katrina Crocker, MPO Staff
- RE: Safety and Operations Analyses at Selected Intersections, FFY 2016—Chelsea, Broadway at Fourth and Fifth Street

This memorandum summarizes the analyses and improvement strategies for two intersections in Chelsea: Broadway at Fourth Street and Broadway at Fifth Street, which were selected through a comprehensive review of 20 potential study locations in the region.¹

The memorandum contains the following sections:

- Study Background
- Existing Conditions
- Issues and Concerns
- Traffic, Pedestrian, and Bicycle Volumes
- Intersection Operations Analysis
- Crash Data Analysis
- Improvement Alternatives
- Recommendations

It also includes technical appendices that contain data and methods applied in the study.

1 STUDY BACKGROUND

The purpose of the Safety and Operations Analyses at Selected Intersections study is to examine safety, operations, and mobility issues at major intersections in the Boston Region Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) area's arterial highways—where many crashes occur, and which experience congestion during peak traffic periods, or are in need of improvements for bus, bicycle, and pedestrian travel. For the past ten years, the MPO has been conducting these planning studies, and municipalities in the region are very receptive to them, as the studies give communities an opportunity to begin looking at the needs of

¹ Details of the selection process and criteria may be found in the Central Transportation Planning Staff's (CTPS) technical memorandum, "Safety and Operation at Selected Intersections: Federal Fiscal Year 2016," Seth Asante and Katrina Crocker, March 17, 2016.

problematic locations at the conceptual level, before they commit funds for design and engineering. Eventually, if the project qualifies for federal funds, the study's documentation also is useful to the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT). These studies support the MPO's visions and goals, which include increasing transportation safety, maintaining the transportation system, advancing mobility, and reducing congestion.

2 EXISTING CONDITIONS

The two intersections discussed here are located in Chelsea's downtown area, where major roadways and Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority (MBTA) bus routes converge. The area is a busy business district with intensive activities generated by pedestrians, bicycles, vehicles, buses, truck deliveries, and onstreet parking. Figure 1 shows the locations of the two intersections, existing street layouts, and major developments in the study area.

Broadway at Fifth Street

Broadway at Fifth Street, locally known as Bellingham Square, is the busiest intersection in the city in terms of pedestrian activities. In addition to many local and franchised businesses, the City Hall complex and a branch campus of Bunker Hill Community College are adjacent to the intersection. The square also is the city's bus hub. Two major MBTA bus stops, one for inbound trips on Broadway near Fifth Street and one for outbound trips on Hawthorne Street in front of Bunker Hill Community College, serve riders for MBTA Routes 111, 112, 114, 116, and 117. Buses arrive frequently during peak commuting hours. The stop on Broadway is tight for berthing two buses. If a bus occupies the middle of the allotted space, the second bus is usually double-parked.

The streets at this intersection all operate one-way only. Two main streets, Washington Avenue/Broadway (westbound only) and Hawthorne Street/Broadway (eastbound only), are separated by a strip of concrete pavement to prohibit traffic (except emergency vehicles) from crossing. Two minor streets, Fifth Street (northbound only) and Bellingham Street (southbound only), carry traffic leaving the intersection. The main streets each carry two lanes of traffic, with on-street parking on both sides; the minor streets each carry one lane of traffic, with on-street parking on one side.

Crosswalks exist at all legs of the intersection. The diagonal-line (zebra-type) crosswalks are mostly faded from heavy vehicle traffic. The crosswalks are not fully used by pedestrians; and many people cross freely in the wide intersection outside the marked crosswalks (see Figure 1). Recent counts recorded as many as 500 or more pedestrians crossing outside of the crosswalks per peak hour.

This signalized intersection operates in a simple two-phase mode—one for traffic and one for pedestrians—in approximately one-minute signal cycles. The 21second pedestrian phase is tight for seniors and children crossing the Washington Street approach. Staff observed pedestrians crossing Washington Avenue, Broadway, and Hawthorne Street without waiting for pedestrian signal phases.

Broadway at Fourth Street

Broadway at Fourth Street, about 600 feet west of Fifth Street, is an unsignalized intersection with stop control on Fourth Street. Broadway operates one-way westbound and Fourth Street operates one-way southbound. Approaching the intersection, Broadway has two travel lanes: one for through movements and one shared by through- and left-turn movements. Fourth Street has two lanes: one for right turns and one for through movements. Broadway is generally busy during peak hours. Fourth Street, connecting to US Route 1 about 500 feet north, carries heavy traffic during PM peak hours; and because of the stop sign control, drivers endure delays and tend to enter the intersection aggressively.

This intersection carries a large number of pedestrian crossings. Crosswalks exist on all four legs of the intersection, but they are located too far from the intersection. Because of the buildings at the intersection corners, drivers have a hard time seeing pedestrians in the crosswalks, especially those turning left from Broadway; and a number of crashes have caused pedestrian injuries at the crosswalk on the south side of the intersection.

An MBTA bus stop, with a shelter and a bench, is located at the northeast corner of the intersection. As the bus berth is not well defined, buses often stop too close to the intersection, blocking the view of drivers from Fourth Street and preventing them from seeing traffic and pedestrians on Broadway. In addition, the shelter and the bench occupy almost half of the sidewalk width, impeding pedestrians.

Broadway between Fifth and Fourth Streets

The section of Broadway between Fourth and Fifth Streets operates as two-lane westbound only, with on-street parking on both sides. Twelve-foot sidewalks exist on both sides of Broadway alongside stores that are popular with local and regional residents, which makes for very busy pedestrian and vehicular traffic during the evening and Saturday midday peak hours.

A municipal parking lot is located behind the buildings north of Broadway. Although this lot provides the downtown area with additional parking spaces, there is no signage to indicate its location or direct visitors. The parking lot can be accessed only from Cherry Street or Fourth Street. A pedestrian alleyway, Chelsea Walk, connects the parking lot to Broadway

A mid-block crosswalk on Broadway at Chelsea Walk serves pedestrian crossings in the entire section. At both ends of the crosswalk, sidewalks are suitably extended to Broadway. However, the crosswalk is not clearly visible to drivers because it is too narrow, contains faded diagonal lines, and lacks visual forewarning cues.

Currently, there are no dedicated bicycle facilities on Broadway and adjacent side streets; and although there are fewer cyclists than pedestrians, they still are active in the downtown area. Besides commuting trips, many of them appear to be local shopping and recreational trips to downtown or nearby neighborhoods. Staff observed some bicycles traveling in the opposite direction of traffic, as well as on sidewalks.

3 ISSUES AND CONCERNS

Based on MPO staff's field observations, recently collected data and discussions with the Chelsea Department of Planning and Development, major issues and concerns in the study area are:

- Broadway from Bellingham Square to Fourth Street is a high pedestriancrash location.
- The roadway has a large number of pedestrians crossing during peak traffic hours.
- Many pedestrians cross the streets without using crosswalks.
- Pedestrian signal time is a bit too brief for some crosswalks at Bellingham Square and insufficient to cross the entire width of Broadway.
- Pedestrians often cross Broadway when oncoming traffic has the green light.
- All crosswalks are faded. The diagonal lines are too thin and not visible. The zebra-type crosswalks tend to be eroded by vehicle travel.
- Some left-turning vehicles on Broadway westbound at Bellingham Square do not stop for red lights—a potential cause of crashes with pedestrians.
- MBTA bus stops at Bellingham Square are tight for berthing two buses, especially the stop on Broadway westbound.
- At Fourth Street, crosswalks are located too far (10 to 15 feet) from the intersection, so it is difficult for drivers to see pedestrians.
- Fourth Street traffic endures extensive delays during peak hours. Drivers tend to enter the intersection aggressively and do not pay attention to crossing pedestrians.

- The bus shelter at Broadway and Fourth Street impedes pedestrian movement, as it occupies most of the sidewalk.
- Occasionally buses berth too close to this intersection and block the view of drivers from Fourth Street (see Figure 1).
- There is a lack of bicycle accommodations in the study area.
- Bicycles were observed traveling in the opposite direction of traffic and on sidewalks.
- Double parking on Broadway is frequent.
- There are loading zones on Broadway, but they are not clearly marked, and frequently are occupied by parked cars.
- The mid-block crosswalk on Broadway is not obvious to drivers. It is too narrow, its markings are faded, and it has no signs indicating its location.
- There is a lack of signage about and directions to the off-street municipal parking lot behind the buildings on north Broadway.
- The downtown area needs a wayfinding system.

4 TRAFFIC, PEDESTRIAN, AND BICYCLE VOLUMES

To support this study, MassDOT collected 24-hour traffic volumes on study area roadways, peak-period turning-movement counts at the two intersections (including pedestrian and bicycle volumes), and pedestrian crossing counts at Bellingham Square.

MassDOT collected 24-hour traffic volumes during the weekday period, April 12 to 15, 2016 at five locations in the study area. The data indicate that, on average, approximate weekday traffic volumes were as follows²:

- 1) Washington Avenue at Bellingham Square—10,000 vehicles
- 2) Broadway (between Fifth and Fourth Streets)-7,000 vehicles
- 3) Hawthorne Street—9,000 vehicles
- 4) Bellingham Street—800 vehicles
- 5) Fourth Street—6,500 vehicles

Appendix A contains 24-hour counts (summarized in hours) for the five count locations.

MassDOT collected turning movement counts during the morning peak period (7:00–9:00 AM) and the evening peak period (4:00–6:00 PM) on Thursday April

² During the counting period, Washington Avenue at the bridge over the MBTA Newburyport/Rockport Line (about 1,000 feet north of Bellingham Square) was closed for reconstruction. Through discussions with city planners, staff assumed that the closure would not cause a noticeable reduction in traffic volumes on Broadway, as drivers were able to find alternative routes to get to and from Broadway.

14, 2016, and during the midday peak period (12:00–2:00 PM) on Saturday April 16, 2016, in 15-minute intervals. MassDOT also collected data on the numbers of pedestrians crossing at Bellingham Square (without using the crosswalks) during the same AM, PM, and Saturday midday peak periods.

Figure 2 shows the weekday morning (8:00–9:00) and evening (4:45–5:45) peakhour traffic, pedestrian, and bicycle volumes at the two intersections. The Fifth Street intersection carried about 1,250 vehicles in the AM peak hour: 749 from Washington Avenue and 507 from Hawthorne Street. In the PM peak hour, it also carried about 1,250 vehicles: 557 from Washington Avenue and 691 from Hawthorne Street. The counts include 61 buses and 37 trucks in the AM peak hour and 61 buses and 8 trucks in the PM peak hour. Appendix B shows the intersection turning movement counts at by vehicle class, and number of bicycles and pedestrians.

Pedestrian crossings were intensive at the Fifth Street intersection, especially in the PM peak hour. Nearly 1,200 pedestrian crossings occurred in the AM peak hour and nearly 2,000 crossings occurred in the PM peak hour. About two-thirds of total crossings (800 in the AM and 1,300 in the PM peak hour) were on Broadway. These crossings occurred at various locations including marked crosswalks on four major legs of the intersection and three unmarked but roughly identifiable paths on Broadway between Washington Avenue and Hawthorne Street. Crossings at the unmarked paths (see Figure 2) count for nearly half of the total Broadway crossings (more than 350 in the AM and more than 550 in the PM). The middle path, diagonally located between the inbound and the outbound bus stops, carried many more crossings than the other two locations. Appendix C shows the hourly pedestrian crossing counts at the three different paths.

The counts show only about two to three on-road bicycles passing through the intersection in both the AM or PM peak hours. Note that cyclists generally are less active in April when the weather is still cold; and that, presumably, bicycle volumes would be higher between May and October. A field trip in November observed more than three bicycles on the road and one on the sidewalk between 3:00 and 4:00 PM.

The Fourth Street intersection carried about 900 vehicles in the AM peak hour: 575 from Broadway and 321 from Fourth Street, and about 850 vehicles in the PM peak hour: 459 from Broadway and 402 from Fourth Street. Note that the counts include 39 buses and 18 trucks in the AM peak hour, and 32 buses and 11 trucks in the PM peak hour.

The intersection also carried heavy pedestrian crossings, especially in the PM peak hour. Nearly 300 pedestrian crossings occurred in the AM peak hour, and

nearly 700 crossings occurred in the PM peak hour. Only two bicycles were observed in the AM peak hour. Appendix D shows the intersection turning movement counts by vehicle class, and number of bicycles and pedestrians.

Figure 3 shows the Saturday midday peak-hour (12:30–1:30 PM) traffic, pedestrian, and bicycle volumes at the two intersections. The Saturday counts are similar to the weekday PM peak-hour counts in terms of volumes and movement patterns. The Fifth Street intersection posted about 1,800 pedestrian crossings. The Fourth Street intersection posted about 800 pedestrian crossings, and more than that in the weekday PM peak hour. The Saturday turning movement counts by hour are included in Appendices B and D separately for the two intersections.

5 INTERSECTION OPERATIONS ANALYSIS

Based on the collected turning movement counts, staff conducted traffic operational analyses for the two intersections using the Synchro traffic analysis and simulation program.³ Staff also examined the existing and required pedestrian crossing times at different locations, and bicycle and bus operating conditions.

At the Fifth Street intersection, traffic signals and pedestrian crossing signals exist only on the Washington Avenue and Hawthorne Street approaches. The two traffic signals operate synchronously under one controller. Each 61-second signal cycle consists of a 40-second traffic phase and a 21-second pedestrian phase. The signal setting operates 24 hours continuously.

The Synchro analyses indicate that traffic operates at desirable level of service (LOS) B or better, with an average delay of 8 to 10 seconds, on both the Washington Avenue and Hawthorne Street approaches in all three different peak hour periods (AM, PM, and Saturday). Appendix E contains the three peak-hour intersection capacity analyses under existing conditions.

Staff also analyzed traffic operations using predicted 2040 traffic conditions, assuming 15 percent traffic growth from existing volumes.⁴ The analyses indicate that traffic still would operate at desirable LOS B or better, with an average delay

³ Staff used Synchro Version 9.0, developed and distributed by Trafficware Ltd. It can perform capacity analysis and traffic simulation (when combined with SimTraffic) for an individual intersection or a series of intersections in a roadway network.

⁴ The forecast is based on a recent MPO transportation-planning model developed for a study of the lower Mystic River area. The model indicates about 10 to 12 percent traffic growth from 2016 to 2040 for the area. Considering Silver Line Gateway Project and the potential developments in Chelsea, staff used 15 percent traffic growth for this study.

of 10 to 12 seconds, in all three peak-hour periods using the existing signal setting.

The analyses did not include traffic congestion and delays caused by the large number of pedestrian crossings, especially those outside of crosswalks and during traffic signal phases. Frequent bus arrivals and departures are the source of even more traffic delays; and Synchro simulations could not fully reflect these conditions, except for showing occasional pedestrian crossings during traffic phases. Based on field observations, staff consider that the existing traffic more likely operates at LOS C, with an average delay of about 15 to 20 seconds.

The existing 21-second pedestrian signal phase is sufficient for pedestrians to cross only one leg of the crosswalks on Washington Avenue, Broadway, or Hawthorne Street. It is tight for seniors and pedestrians with young children to cross the nearly 45-foot-long crosswalk on Washington Avenue or Broadway eastbound. Applying a 3.0-feet per-second walking speed and a 7-second "walk" start-up time, it would barely meet the required total signal time to cross the Washington Avenue.⁵

The 21-second time is not intended to pertain to crossing outside of the crosswalks, or the entire length of Broadway. The shortest distance on Broadway between Washington Avenue and Hawthorne Street is about 55 feet. Those who cross Broadway using the shortest path cannot see the pedestrian signals; they usually cross Broadway when they see traffic stopping. Often when traffic starts to move, they are only halfway through, and thus stuck in the middle of Broadway. The pedestrian counts indicate that there is a strong demand for adequate pedestrian crossing facilities in this section of Broadway, which also would provide safe and convenient access to MBTA buses.

Synchro analysis indicates that traffic at the Fourth Street intersection operates at acceptable LOS E only in the AM peak hour and at LOS F with an average delay of more than two minutes in the PM and Saturday peak hours. Appendix F contains the three peak-hour intersection capacity analyses under existing conditions.

Using the predicted 2040 traffic conditions, the Fourth Street approach would operate at LOS F in the AM with an average delay of more than one minute. The PM and Saturday peak-hour conditions would deteriorate severely. The analyses also did not fully reflect traffic congestion and delays caused by the large number of pedestrian crossings and frequent bus blockages.

⁵ The estimate is based on Chapter 4E, Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices, 2009 Edition with Revision Numbers 1 and 2 incorporated, May 2012.

Staff conducted a preliminary signal warrant analysis and found that the intersection potentially could qualify for a traffic signal installation. Even though the intersection has a large number of pedestrian crossings, it does not meet the Pedestrian Volume warrant (Warrant 4) because traffic volume on its main street (Broadway) is not relatively significant. It would meet the Crash Experience warrant (Warrant 7), based on the assumption of five or more correctible crashes per year. Appendix G presents this analysis based on recent traffic counts.

Future improvements at the two intersections also should include bicycle accommodations. Staff recommend that both separated- and shared-lane bicycle operations should be explored; and selecting the best option also would require examining right-of-way conditions beyond the Broadway section. At this preliminary stage, it appears that the shared-lane operation is more suitable because, in the study area, Broadway has a limited surface width and a 25-mile per-hour speed regulation, which is applicable for shared-lane bicycle operations.

6 CRASH DATA ANALYSIS

The recent MassDOT Crash Locations report indicates that the Chelsea downtown area is the number one pedestrian crash location in the state based on 2004–13 crash data. In the ten-year period, there were 236 crashes, including one fatal and 176 involving injury. The crash cluster and crash locations map (Appendix H) indicates that the study area, Broadway from Bellingham Square to Fourth Street, had the greatest concentration of pedestrian crashes within the crash cluster.

Figure 4 shows the locations and patterns of crashes in the study area from 2011 to 2015. Staff constructed the collision diagram based on data from a recent MassDOT Road Safety Audit (RSA) in downtown Chelsea⁶ and additional data collected from Chelsea Police Department. The RSA study focused on pedestrian and bicycle crashes (involving at least one vehicle and a pedestrian or cyclist). To portray the crash conditions thoroughly, staff collected vehicle-to-vehicle and other single-vehicle crashes in the same time period.

Appendix I contains information about the crashes from the RSA study, which covered a larger area of Broadway than did this study. Appendix J contains additional information about the crashes from Chelsea Police Department data. Staff transferred identification numbers (1–56) of the crashes from the RSA directly and added new numbers for the police department data (57–79).

⁶ MassDOT Road Safety Audit: Broadway, Washington Street, Hawthorne Street, and Central Avenue, City of Chelsea, July 27, 2016.

The collision diagram shows that 45 crashes occurred in the five-year period—22 pedestrian and bicycle crashes, and 23 vehicle-to-vehicle and single-vehicle crashes. Two-thirds (30) of these crashes, mostly involving a pedestrian or cyclist, resulted in personal injuries.

At the Fifth Street intersection, 16 crashes occurred in the five-year period, including seven pedestrian crashes (four crossing Broadway), and three bicycle crashes (two crossing Broadway and one traveling in the direction opposite of traffic). The pedestrian and bicycle crashes all resulted in personal injuries. The other crashes were all related to parking maneuvers, except a two-vehicle sideswipe collision and an out-of-control single vehicle crash.

At the Fourth Street intersection, 22 crashes occurred in the five-year period, including 12 pedestrian crashes (six at the crosswalk on the south side, four crossing Broadway, and two at the crosswalk on the north side) and one bicycle crash with a parked vehicle. All but two of the pedestrian crashes resulted in personal injuries. Four vehicle-to-vehicle crashes occurred on Broadway westbound, and three collisions involved a westbound vehicle and a southbound vehicle.

Under stop control, Fourth Street drivers endure extensive delays during peak hours. When traffic on Broadway stops for pedestrian crossings, drivers tend to use it as an opportunity to enter the intersection; and while they are, they may not see the traffic in the left-most lane or pay attention to pedestrians on other approaches, thus causing crashes.

Broadway between Fifth and Fourth Streets had seven crashes in the five-year period—three pedestrian crashes (all outside the mid-block crosswalk, except one nearby), one bicycle crash (traveling in the direction opposite of traffic), and three vehicle-to-vehicle crashes (two rear-end and one sideswipe). The pedestrian and bicycle crashes all resulted in personal injuries.

Note that the wider and busier Fifth Street intersection had fewer pedestrian crashes than did the Fourth Street intersection in the five-year period, probably because the traffic signal at the intersection creates gaps for pedestrians to cross in busy traffic. While at the Fourth Street intersection, pedestrians, even at crosswalks, are exposed to the uncontrolled Broadway traffic, and aggressive Fourth Street traffic.

7 IMPROVEMENT ALTERNATIVES

Based on the above analyses, staff developed short- and long-term improvements to address safety and operational problems. Short-term

improvements may be implemented within a year at relatively low cost. Longterm improvements are more complex and generally include roadway layout modifications and major facility replacements, which would require extensive planning, design, and funding.

7.1 Short-Term Improvements for Study Area

Figure 5 shows the proposed major short-term improvements in the study area.

Proposed Improvements at Fifth Street Intersection:

- Increase pedestrian signal time from 21 to 26 seconds⁷
- Restripe wide (15 to 20 feet) longitudinal-line (ladder-type) markings at all existing crosswalks
- Stripe vehicle yield lines (shark's teeth) in front of the two crosswalks on Broadway
- Restripe stop lines on Washington Avenue and Hawthorne Street to at least 1.5 feet wide.
- Install Manual on Uniform Traffic Devices (MUTCD) No Turn on Red sign (R10-11a) for Washington Avenue left-turn approach
- Stripe diagonal yellow lines with the words, LOADING ZONE, for loading zone on Washington Avenue near Cherry Street
- Clearly define the taxi stand on Hawthorne Street and update its signage
- Consider and examine feasibility of relocating traffic signal head from the mast post to the mast arm on Hawthorne Street (frequently hindered by berthing buses)

Proposed Improvements at Fourth Street Intersection:

- Restripe wide (15 to 20 feet) longitudinal-line markings at all existing crosswalks⁸
- Relocate stop sign on left side of Fourth Street to the stop line, parallel to the one on right side⁹
- Install Do Not Enter (MUTCD R5-1) signs on back of stop signs that face Broadway

⁷ The adjustment includes a slight increase of cycle length from 61 to 65 seconds and a one-second reduction from the traffic phase. Synchro tests indicated that traffic would operate at the same desirable LOS with a negligible increase in delay. This adjustment would improve pedestrians' safety and comfort, and would have a marginal impact on traffic under the existing intersection layout and traffic conditions.

⁸ The restriped width can be extended from the outside edge of the existing wheelchair ramps toward the intersection.

⁹ Currently, the stop sign is not parallel to the one on the right, at the stop line. It also is hidden behind a utility pole, so hard for drivers approaching the intersection from the north to see.

• Restripe stop line on Fourth Street to 1.5 feet wide

Proposed Improvements on Broadway between the two Intersections:

- Restripe wide (20 to 25 feet) longitudinal-line markings at existing midblock crosswalk
- Stripe vehicle yield lines (shark's teeth) in front of the crosswalk
- Install pedestrian crossing warning sign (MUTCD W11-2) with location plaque (MUTCD W16-7) at corners of sidewalk extensions on both ends of the crosswalk
- Stripe diagonal yellow lines with the words, LOADING ZONE, for loading zone near crosswalk

Proposed Short-Term Improvements for Study Area:

- Install bicycle shared-lane (sharrows) pavement markings on rightmost lane along Washington Avenue, Broadway, and Hawthorne Street
- Examine suitable signs and their locations on Broadway, Fourth, and Fifth Streets to direct visitors to municipal parking lot
- Enforce no double-parking and parking-limitation rules, especially at loading zones

7.2 Long-Term Improvement Alternatives

Long-Term Improvement Alternatives at Fifth Street Intersection:

Figures 6-1 and 6-2 show conceptual plans of four long-term improvement alternatives that staff developed for the Fifth Street intersection.

Alternative One

Alternative 1 adds a wide crosswalk across Broadway, with new traffic and pedestrian signals, to encompass the popular but unmarked crossing area. Key elements include:

- Install new crosswalk (25 to 30 feet wide) diagonally across Broadway between the two bus stops
- Install sidewalk extensions (pedestrian blub-outs) at both ends of new crosswalk
- Install signal indications toward approaching traffic and pedestrian signals at both ends of new crosswalk
- Relocate crosswalks on Washington Avenue and Broadway eastbound, with pedestrian signals and Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliant wheelchair ramps at both ends

- Add new crosswalk, with pedestrian signals and ADA ramps, connecting relocated crosswalks and Bellingham Square Monument
- Maintain existing crosswalks on Broadway (westbound) and Hawthorne Street
- Expand inbound bus stop by extending adjacent sidewalk toward Broadway and removing one on-street parking space

Alternative Two

Alternative 2 provides crosswalks on all approaches at the intersection by adding and relocating crosswalks. Key elements include:

- Install new crosswalk (20 to 25 feet wide) perpendicularly across Broadway connecting Fifth Street and Bellingham Street
- Install pedestrian bulb-outs at both ends of new crosswalk
- Relocate crosswalks on Broadway (westbound) and Hawthorne Street toward intersection, with pedestrian bulb-outs and ADA ramps at both ends
- Install signal indications toward approaching traffic and pedestrian signals at both ends of crosswalks on Broadway
- Maintain existing crosswalks on Washington Avenue and Broadway (eastbound)
- Install traffic and pedestrian signals for crosswalk on Broadway eastbound
- Expand inbound bus stop by extending adjacent sidewalk toward Broadway and removing one on-street parking space

Alternative Three

Alternative 3 is a combination of the proposed changes in Alternatives 1 and 2. It specifies crosswalks on all approaches of the intersection and shortens crossing distances by relocating the crosswalks on Washington Avenue and Broadway eastbound. Key elements include:

- Install all proposed facilities from Alternative 2 (the first four items) at the intersection
- Relocate crosswalks on Washington Avenue and Broadway eastbound, with pedestrian signals and ADA ramps at both ends
- Add new crosswalk, with pedestrian signals and ADA ramps, connecting relocated crosswalks and Bellingham Square Monument
- Expand inbound bus stop as proposed in Alternatives 1 and 2

Alternative Four

Alternative 4 varies slightly from Alternative 3. It combines all the crosswalks at the intersection into a major crossing area by paving the intersection block with

materials that contrast with the existing roadway pavement. The key elements of this alternative are the same as for Alternative 3.

All of the alternatives would require a new traffic and pedestrian signal system that is capable of synchronizing, prioritizing, and balancing the different signal locations based on demands from pedestrians, vehicles, and even bicycles. Synchro tests of a generic setting indicate that the intersection generally would operate at desirable LOS B using the projected 2040 traffic conditions. The tested 65-second cycle length consists of a 30-second traffic phase and a 35second pedestrian phase. Appendix K presents the signal setting and analysis results for the different peak hours (AM, PM, and Saturday).

Long-Term Improvement Alternatives at Fourth Street Intersection:

Figure 7 shows conceptual plans for two long-term improvement alternatives that staff developed for the Fourth Street intersection.

Alternative One

Alternative 1 proposes to extend sidewalk curbs at the four corners toward Broadway and relocate crosswalks (15 feet wide) accordingly, with ADAcompliant wheelchair ramps.¹⁰ The modification would reduce crossing distances and slow traffic on Broadway; however, it would enhance drivers and pedestrians' views of each other significantly. The curb extension (pedestrian bulb-out) at the northeast corner also serves to prevent buses from berthing too close to the intersection. The proposed layout could be applied under the existing stop control or a new traffic signal (by adding a stop line on the Broadway westbound approach).

Alternative Two

Alternative 2 varies slightly from Alternative 1. In addition to the curb extensions and crosswalk relocations proposed in Alternative 1, it proposes to extend the sidewalk near the bus stop to form a bus blub-out for passengers getting on and off buses. The extension also would increase space for pedestrians to traverse the bus waiting area. The proposed layout could be applied only under a traffic signal control, as drivers on Fourth Street potentially could be hindered by berthing buses.

Traffic signal control at this intersection would improve pedestrian safety and reduce delays on the Fourth Street approach significantly. The signal can operate under a 65-second cycle that consists of a 23-second traffic phase on

¹⁰ The extensions might not be applicable toward Fourth Street, as they could narrow the street and become problematic for emergency vehicles.

Broadway, a 21-second traffic phase on Fourth Street, and a 21-second exclusive pedestrian phase. With careful design and no right turns on red for traffic, pedestrian crossings on the intersection's north and east sides could operate with the Broadway and Fourth Street traffic currently. These operations, in addition to the exclusive signal phase, would provide more opportunities for pedestrians to cross the intersection. Appendix L presents the signal setting and analysis results using projected 2040 traffic conditions for the different peak hours (AM, PM, and Saturday).

8 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

This study performed a series of safety and operations analyses, identified issues, and proposed short- and long-term improvements at the two intersections and on Broadway between the intersections.

The proposed short-term improvements would enhance safety and operations for the various transportation modes used in the study area. With a high benefit/cost ratio, they should be implemented as soon as resources are available from highway maintenance or local Chapter 90 funding.

For the long term, staff recommend reconstructing the Fifth Street intersection with additional pedestrian crossings and a new traffic and pedestrian signal system that is capable of synchronizing, prioritizing, and balancing the various signal locations based on vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle volumes. At this planning stage, staff recommend Alternatives 3 or 4. At the design stage, the City of Chelsea should examine all of the alternatives further. It would cost approximately \$1,500,000 to \$2,000,000 to reconstruct the Fifth Street intersection.¹¹

Staff recommend signalizing and reconstructing the Fourth Street intersection with sidewalk extensions, crosswalk enhancements, and a bus blub-out. Staff propose two design alternatives, and prefer Alternative 2, with signalization. At the design stage, the City of Chelsea should examine the signalization requirements and the two alternatives further. It would cost approximately \$500,000 to \$750,000 to reconstruct and signalize the Fourth Street intersection.

For the entire study area (including downtown), staff recommend the following long-term improvement strategies:

¹¹ This cost was estimated using general expenses of similar projects. The estimate contains only design and construction costs—not right-of-way, utility relocation, landscape and streetscape, or other contingency costs—and is based on non-inflation-adjusted 2016 dollars.

- Review pedestrian operations and facilities in the downtown area and develop a systematic plan to enhance pedestrian safety and mobility for all locations of concern.¹²
- Review bicycle operations in the city and develop a bicycle plan, including both shared and separated roadways, and docking facilities.
- Review the bus stop locations and needs in the downtown area and explore the possibility of consolidating some of the stops or expanding some of the bus berths and passenger waiting facilities.
- Redesign the municipal parking lot north of Broadway; consider aesthetic enhancements, such as landscaping and wall murals by local artists.
- Review parking demand and facility conditions in the downtown area and develop a comprehensive parking- and access-management plan.
- Develop a wayfinding system for the downtown area.

With the advent of prospective developments, Chelsea's historic downtown section has great potential to become a lively shopping and recreation center just north of Boston. The city recently began a multi-modal comprehensive study of all major roadways in the downtown area, which offers some foresight into major improvements that could be applicable in downtown Chelsea.

Implementing the proposed long-term improvements would require significant effort and collaboration on the part of all stakeholders, including the City of Chelsea, residents and owners of adjacent developments, MassDOT, and the MBTA. Broadway is included in National Highway System, so the city can work with MassDOT Highway Division District 6 to initiate a project, obtain a favorable review from MassDOT's Project Review Committee, and identify potential funding resources, through both MassDOT and the Boston Region MPO.

Appendix M cites details about actions that are required in each step of MassDOT's project development process, including a schematic timetable; further information about this process is located on MassDOT's website, at www.massdot.state.ma.us/planning/Main/PlanningProcess/ProjectDevelopmentP rocess.aspx; and at www.massdot.state.ma.us/Portals/8/docs/designGuide/CH_2_a.pdf.

CW/cw

¹² A number of other intersections in the downtown area have similar issues as do the intersection of Broadway at Fourth Street; so, the same proposed improvement strategies for Broadway at Fourth Street also could be considered for those.



| BOSTON REGION MPO | | Figure 1 Study Area Existing Conditions Broadway in Chelsea |
|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------------------------------------------|



Figure 2 Weekday Peak-Hour Traffic, Pedestrian and Bicycle Volumes Broadway in Chelsea

BOSTON REGION MPO

Broadway

100 000

City Hall

Vashington Ave

LEGEND

| - | |
|------|---------------------------------|
| Ū | MBTA bus stop |
| (00) | PM peak-hour traffic volume |
| 00 | AM peak-hour traffic volume |
| | Bicycle movement counts |
| <> | Pedestrian crossing counts |
| | Vehicle turning movement counts |

Note: The data were collected on Thursday 4/14/2016. AM peak hour 8:00-9:00 PM peak hour 4:45-5:45



Figure 3 Saturday Peak-Hour Traffic, Pedestrian and Bicycle Volumes Broadway in Chelsea

BOSTON REGION MPO



Broadway

100 000

ashington Ave

LEGEND

| | Vehicle turning movement counts | |
|----|---------------------------------|--|
| <> | Pedestrian crossing counts | |
| | Bicycle movement counts | |
| 00 | Peak-hour traffic volume | |
| Ū | MBTA bus stop | |
| | | |

Note: The data were collected on Saturday 4/16/2016. Peak hour 12:30-1:30





BOSTON REGION MPO

Figure 5 Proposed Key Short-Term Improvements Broadway in Chelsea Stripe diagonal – yellow lines with "LOADING ZONE" marking

Stripe stop line (1.5' wide)

Install "No Turn On Red sign"

T

Broadway

LAND MADE

e) (IIIII

LEGEND

IIIIII Proposed crosswalk restriping

Traffic signal

STOP

T

«

_____Stop sign

- MBTA bus stop
- Proposed signage
- Dranged charrest
- Proposed sharrow





Alternative 1





Figure 6-1 Long-Term Improvement Conceptual Plans: Broadway at Fifth Street Broadway in Chelsea





BOSTON REGION MPO



Figure 6-2 Long-Term Improvement Conceptual Plans: Broadway at Fifth Street Broadway in Chelsea



Alternative 1







Figure 7 Long-Term Improvement Conceptual Plans: Broadway at Fourth Street Broadway in Chelsea

APPENDIX A

Weekday 24-Hour Traffic Counts April 12 to 15, 2016

Page: 1

STA. 15B

Site Reference: 160110000545 Site ID: 00000000102 Location: FOURTH ST., NORTH OF BROADWAY Direction: SOUTH

I-WAY

File: 102.prn City: CHELSEA County: VOL ONE-WAY SB

| TIME | MON | TUE 12 | WED 13 | THU 14 | FRI 15 | WKDAY AVG | SAT | SUN | WEEK AVG | TOTAL |
|------------|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----|-----|-------------|-------|
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 01:00 | | | 87 | 111 | 126 | 108 | | | 108 | 324 |
| 02:00 | | | 81 | 80 | 87 | 82 | | | 82 | 248 |
| 03:00 | | | 68 | 50 | 64 | 60 | | | 60 | 182 |
| 04:00 | | | 46 | 53 | 50 | 49 | | | 49 | 149 |
| 05:00 | | | 77 | 62 | 84 | 74 | | | 74 | 223 |
| 06:00 | | | 181 | 189 | 171 | 180 | | | 180 | 541 |
| 07:00 | | | 265 | 289 | 291 | 281 | | | 281 | 845 |
| 08:00 | | | 331 | 356 | 330 | 339 | | | 339 | 1017 |
| 09:00 | | | 340 | 343 | 298 | 327 | | | 327 | 981 |
| 10:00 | | | 368 | 350 | | 359 | | | 359 | 718 |
| 11:00 | | 392 | 375 | 320 | | 362 | | | 362 | 1087 |
| 12:00 | | 430 | 392 | 330 | | 384 | | | 384 | 1152 |
| 13:00 | | 448 | 433 | 376 | | 419 | | | 419 | 1257 |
| 14:00 | | 394 | 422 | 424 | | 413 | | | 413 | 1240 |
| 15:00 | | 396 | 451 | 487 | | 444 | | | 444 | 1334 |
| 16:00 | | 485 | 492 | 429 | | 468 | | | 468 | 1406 |
| 17:00 | | 527 | 453 | 451 | | 477 | | | 477 | 1431 |
| 18:00 | | 446 | 451 | 461 | | 452 | | | 452 | 1358 |
| 19:00 | | 409 | 488 | 427 | | 441 | | | 441 | 1324 |
| 20:00 | | 347 | 329 | 376 | | 350 | | | 350 | 1052 |
| 21:00 | | 255 | 291 | 296 | | 280 | | | 280 | 842 |
| 22:00 | | 256 | 254 | 254 | | 254 | | | 254 | 764 |
| 23:00 | | 193 | 210 | 212 | | 205 | | | 205 | 615 |
| 24:00 | | 144 | 148 | 184 | | 158 | | | 158 | 476 |
| TOTALS | 0 | 5122 | 7033 | 6910 | 1501 | 6966 | 0 | 0 | 6966 | 20566 |
| & AVG WKDY | | 73.5 | 100.9 | 99.1 | 21.5 | | | | | |
| & AVG WEEK | | 73.5 | 100.9 | 99.1 | 21.5 | | | | | |
| AM Times | | 12:00 | 12:00 | 08:00 | 08:00 | 12:00 | | | 12:00 | |
| AM Peaks | | 430 | 392 | 356 | 330 | 384 | | | 384 | |
| PM Times | | 17:00 | 16:00 | 15:00 | | 17:00 | | | 17:00 | |
| PM Peaks | | 527 | 492 | 487 | | 477 | | | 477 | |

16

AWD 6966 FAC ,93 (.97) ADT 6,300

Page: 1

STA. 2 NB

Site Reference: 160110000876 Site ID: 00000000201 Location: HAWTHRONE ST., SOUTH OF BELLINGHAM ST. Direction: NORTH

1-WAY File: 201.prn City: CHELSEA County: VOL ONE-WAY NB

| TIME | MON | TUE 12 | WED 13 | THU 14 | FRI 15 | WKDAY AVG | SAT | SUN | WEEK AVG | TOTAL |
|------------|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|----------|-----|-------------|-------|
| 01:00 | | | 116 | 1/3 | 172 | 1/3 | <u>.</u> | 3 | 143 | 431 |
| 02.00 | | | 97 | 103 | 81 | 63 | | | 743 | 281 |
| 03:00 | | | 75 | 67 | 86 | 76 | | | 76 | 228 |
| 04:00 | | | 66 | 51 | 91 | 69 | | | 69 | 208 |
| 05:00 | | | 90 | 78 | 102 | 90 | | | 90 | 270 |
| 06:00 | | | 213 | 227 | 183 | 207 | | | 207 | 623 |
| 07:00 | | | 339 | 325 | 329 | 331 | | | 331 | 993 |
| 08:00 | | | 459 | 438 | 463 | 453 | | | 453 | 1360 |
| 09:00 | | | 472 | 503 | 485 | 486 | | | 486 | 1460 |
| 10:00 | | | 486 | 481 | | 483 | | | 483 | 967 |
| 11:00 | | 511 | 566 | 523 | | 533 | | | 533 | 1600 |
| 12:00 | | 567 | 597 | 541 | | 568 | | | 568 | 1705 |
| 13:00 | | 626 | 580 | 494 | | 566 | | | 566 | 1700 |
| 14:00 | | 595 | 527 | 636 | | 586 | | | 586 | 1758 |
| 15:00 | | 555 | 651 | 665 | | 623 | | | 623 | 1871 |
| 16:00 | | 654 | 572 | 602 | | 609 | | | 609 | 1828 |
| 17:00 | | 615 | 679 | 680 | | 658 | | | 658 | 1974 |
| 18:00 | | 681 | 664 | 691 | | 67B | | | 678 | 2036 |
| 19:00 | | 531 | 606 | 517 | | 551 | | | 551 | 1654 |
| 20:00 | | 525 | 544 | 564 | | 544 | | | 544 | 1633 |
| 21:00 | | 403 | 436 | 455 | | 431 | | | 431 | 1294 |
| 22:00 | | 366 | 378 | 388 | | 377 | | | 377 | 1132 |
| 23:00 | | 273 | 280 | 298 | | 283 | | | 283 | 851 |
| 24:00 | | 195 | 232 | 250 | | 225 | | | 225 | 677 |
| TOTALS | 0 | 7097 | 9725 | 9720 | 1992 | 9663 | 0 | 0 | 9663 | 28534 |
| 8 AVG WKDY | | 73.4 | 100.6 | 100.5 | 20.6 | | | | | |
| % AVG WEEK | | 73.4 | 100.6 | 100.5 | 20.6 | | | | | |
| AM Times | 1 | 2:00 | 12:00 | 12:00 | 09:00 | 12:00 | | | 12:00 | |
| AM Peaks | | 567 | 597 | 541 | 485 | 568 | | | 568 | |
| PM Times | 1 | 8:00 | 17:00 | 18:00 | | 18:00 | | | 18:00 | |
| PM Peaks | | 681 | 679 | 691 | | 678 | | | 678 | |

45

AWD 9663 FAC .93 (.97) ADT 8,700

Page: 1

574.35B

Site Reference: 160110000807 Site ID: 00000000302 Location: WASHINGTON AVE., NORTH OF BROADWAY. Direction: SOUTH 1-WAY

File: 302.prn City: CHELSEA County: VOL ONE-WAY SB

| TIME | MON | TUE 12 | WED 13 | THU 14 | FRI 15 | WKDAY AVG | SAT | SUN | WEEK AVG | TOTAL |
|------------|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----|-----|-------------|-------|
| 01.00 | | | 06 | 104 | 101 | 107 | 2 | | 107 | 201 |
| 01:00 | | | 50 | 124 | 101 | 107 | | | 107 | 321 |
| 02:00 | | | 54 | 47 | E 2 | 54 51 | | | 04 E1 | 154 |
| 04-00 | | | 50 | | 72 | 50 | | | 51 | 102 |
| 05:00 | | | 103 | 125 | 112 | 113 | | | 113 | 104 |
| 06.00 | | | 287 | 329 | 292 | 299 | | | 200 | 240 |
| 07.00 | | | 492 | 477 | 265 | 411 | | | 411 | 123/ |
| 08:00 | | | 625 | 570 | 561 | 585 | | | 585 | 1756 |
| 09:00 | | | 745 | B42 | 773 | 786 | | | 786 | 2360 |
| 10:00 | | | 589 | 645 | 115 | 617 | | | 617 | 1234 |
| 11:00 | | 586 | 575 | 593 | | 584 | | | 584 | 1754 |
| 12:00 | | 650 | 643 | 591 | | 628 | | | 628 | 1884 |
| 13:00 | | 595 | 645 | 608 | | 616 | | | 616 | 1848 |
| 14:00 | | 591 | 622 | 721 | | 644 | | | 644 | 1934 |
| 15:00 | | 559 | 621 | 602 | | 594 | | | 594 | 1782 |
| 16:00 | | 600 | 653 | 648 | | 633 | | | 633 | 1901 |
| 17:00 | | 650 | 630 | 669 | | 649 | | | 649 | 1949 |
| 18:00 | | 647 | 666 | 631 | | 648 | | | 648 | 1944 |
| 19:00 | | 598 | 658 | 639 | | 631 | | | 631 | 1895 |
| 20:00 | | 523 | 456 | 538 | | 505 | | | 505 | 1517 |
| 21:00 | | 340 | 459 | 523 | | 440 | | | 440 | 1322 |
| 22:00 | | 294 | 365 | 362 | | 340 | | | 340 | 1021 |
| 23:00 | | 274 | 241 | 269 | | 261 | | | 261 | 784 |
| 24:00 | | 156 | 179 | 196 | | 177 | | | 177 | 531 |
| TOTALS | 0 | 7063 | 10519 | 10869 | 2286 | 10443 | 0 | 0 | 10443 | 30737 |
| & AVG WKDY | | 67.6 | 100.7 | 104 | 21.8 | | | | | |
| % AVG WEEK | | 67.6 | 100.7 | 104 | 21.8 | | | | | |
| AM Times | | 12:00 | 09:00 | 09:00 | 09:00 | 09:00 | | | 09:00 | |
| AM Peaks | | 650 | 745 | 842 | 773 | 786 | | | 786 | |
| PM Times | | 17:00 | 18:00 | 14:00 | | 17:00 | | | 17:00 | |
| PM Peaks | | 650 | 666 | 721 | | 649 | | | 649 | |

U5

AWD 10443 FAC .93(.97) ADT 9,400

1-WAY

Page: 1

STA. 4 EB

Site Reference: 160110000782 Site ID: 00000000403 Location: BELLINGHAM ST., EAST OF BROADWAY. Direction: EAST

File: 403.prn City: CHELSEA County: VOL ONE-WAY WB

| TIME | 1 | MON | TUE 12 | WED 13 | THU 14 | FRI 15 | WKDAY AVG | SAT | SUN | WEEK AVG | TOTAL |
|------------|---|-----|---------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----|-----|-------------|-------|
| | | | · • • • • • • • • • • • • | | | | | | | | |
| 01:00 | | | | 18 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 1 | | 12 | 38 |
| 02:00 | | | | 9 | 10 | 7 | 8 | | | 8 | 26 |
| 03:00 | | | | 12 | 2 | 9 | 7 | | | 7 | 23 |
| 04:00 | | | | 11 | 9 | 10 | 10 | | | 10 | 30 |
| 05:00 | | | | 14 | 14 | 9 | 12 | | | 12 | 37 |
| 06:00 | | | | 15 | 12 | 17 | 14 | | | 14 | 44 |
| 07:00 | | | | 21 | 29 | 28 | 26 | | | 26 | 78 |
| 08:00 | | | | 42 | 39 | 25 | 35 | | | 35 | 106 |
| 09:00 | | | | 40 | 38 | 40 | 39 | | | 39 | 118 |
| 10:00 | | | | 67 | 57 | | 62 | | | 62 | 124 |
| 11:00 | | | 43 | 58 | 45 | | 48 | | | 48 | 146 |
| 12:00 | | | 55 | 50 | 39 | | 48 | | | 48 | 144 |
| 13:00 | | | 80 | 64 | 58 | | 67 | | | 67 | 202 |
| 14:00 | | | 75 | 43 | 54 | | 57 | | | 57 | 172 |
| 15:00 | | | 49 | 50 | 55 | | 51 | | | 51 | 154 |
| 16:00 | | | 57 | 58 | 62 | | 59 | | | 59 | 177 |
| 17:00 | | | 65 | 53 | 47 | | 55 | | | 55 | 165 |
| 18:00 | | | 75 | 66 | 79 | | 73 | | | 73 | 220 |
| 19:00 | | | 49 | 42 | 43 | | 44 | | | 44 | 134 |
| 20:00 | | | 28 | 53 | 50 | | 43 | | | 43 | 131 |
| 21:00 | | | 39 | 42 | 44 | | 41 | | | 41 | 125 |
| 22:00 | | | 30 | 39 | 28 | | 32 | | | 32 | 97 |
| 23:00 | | | 25 | 20 | 27 | | 24 | | | 24 | 72 |
| 24:00 | | | 16 | 24 | 21 | | 20 | | | 20 | 61 |
| TOTALS | | 0 | 686 | 911 | 873 | 154 | 887 | 0 | 0 | 887 | 2624 |
| & AVG WKDY | | | 77.3 | ା 102.7 | 98.4 | 17.3 | | | | • | |
| & AVG WEEK | | | 77.3 | 102.7 | 98.4 | 17.3 | | | | | |
| AM Times | | | 12:00 | 10:00 | 10:00 | 09:00 | 10:00 | | | 10:00 | |
| AM Peaks | | | 55 | 67 | 57 | 40 | 62 | | | 62 | |
| PM Times | | | 13:00 | 18:00 | 18:00 | | 18:00 | | | 18:00 | |
| PM Peaks | | | 80 | 66 | 79 | | 73 | | | 73 | |

46 AWD 887 FAC .93 (.97) ADT 800

Page: 1

STA.5 WB

1-WAY

Site Reference: 160110000462 Site ID: 00000000504 Location: BROADWAY., EAST OF FOURTH ST. Direction: WEST File: 504.prn City: CHELSEA County: VOL ONE-WAY WB

| TIME | MON | TUE 12 | WED 13 | THU 14 | FRI 15 | WKDAY AVG | SAT | SUN | WEEK AVG | TOTAL |
|------------|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----|-----|-------------|-------|
| 01:00 | 11 | | 70 | 104 | 40 | 71 | | | | 21.4 |
| 02:00 | | | 59 | 104 | 54 | 52 | | | 52 | 214 |
| 03:00 | | | 42 | 34 | 43 | 39 | | | 39 | 119 |
| 04:00 | | | 46 | 53 | 60 | 53 | | | 53 | 159 |
| 05:00 | | | 79 | 98 | 87 | 88 | | | 88 | 264 |
| 06:00 | | | 205 | 224 | 197 | 208 | | | 208 | 626 |
| 07:00 | | | 340 | 352 | 330 | 340 | | | 340 | 1022 |
| 08:00 | | | 427 | 411 | 404 | 414 | | | 414 | 1242 |
| 09:00 | | | 533 | 606 | 545 | 561 | | | 561 | 1684 |
| 10:00 | | | 449 | 400 | | 424 | | | 424 | 849 |
| 11:00 | | | 449 | 389 | | 419 | | | 419 | 838 |
| 12:00 | | 430 | 267 | 422 | | 373 | | | 373 | 1119 |
| 13:00 | | 463 | 416 | 317 | | 398 | | | 398 | 1196 |
| 14:00 | | 430 | 432 | 479 | | 447 0 | | | 447 | 1341 |
| 15:00 | | 407 | 411 | 434 | | 417 | | | 417 | 1252 |
| 16:00 | | 438 | 459 | 451 | | 449 | | | 449 | 1348 |
| 17:00 | | 420 | 445 | 455 | | 440 | | | 440 | 1320 |
| 18:00 | | 436 | 486 | 430 | | 450 | | | 450 | 1352 |
| 19:00 | | 405 | 410 | 461 | | 425 | | | 425 | 1276 |
| 20:00 | | 380 | 352 | 390 | | 374 | | | 374 | 1122 |
| 21:00 | | 307 | 339 | 401 | | 349 | | | 349 | 1047 |
| 22:00 | | 221 | 256 | 265 | | 247 | | | 247 | 742 |
| 23:00 | | 209 | 188 | 213 | | 203 | | | 203 | 610 |
| 24:00 | | 125 | 144 | 120 | | 131 | | | 131 | 370 |
| TOTALS | 0 | 4671 | 7303 | 7561 | 1760 | 7372 | 0 | 0 | 7372 | 21295 |
| & AVG WKDY | | 63.3 | 99 | 102.5 | 23.8 | | | | | |
| & AVG WEEK | | 63.3 | 99 | 102.5 | 23.8 | | | | | |
| AM Times | | 12:00 | 09:00 | 09:00 | 09:00 | 09:00 | | | 09:00 | |
| AM Peaks | | 430 | 533 | 606 | 545 | 561 | | | 561 | |
| PM Times | | 13:00 | 18:00 | 14:00 | | 18:00 | | | 18:00 | |
| PM Peaks | | 463 | 486 | 479 | | 450 | | | 450 | |

43

AWD 7372 FAC .93(.97) ADT 6,700

APPENDIX B

Intersection Turning Movement Counts Broadway at Fifth Street April 14 and 16, 2016 Thursday

 Study Name
 Chelsea - Broadway, Washington Ave., Bellingham,Fifth and Hawthorne Streets TM2
 TMC

 Start Date
 Thursday, April 14, 2016
 7:00 AM

 End Date
 Saturday, April 16, 2016
 2:00 PM

 Site Code
 Saturday
 Saturday
 Saturday

Report Summary

| | | | STREET, ST | Sout | hbound | i | | Southw | estbound | West | bound | I. COL | 23117/ | North | nbound | | | Northe | astbound | East | bound | | | Crosswa | alk |
|-------------------|----------------------|------|------------|------|--------|------|----|--------|----------|------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|------|----|--------|----------|------|-------|-------|----|-------------|-------|
| Time Period | Class. | R | BR | L | HL | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 0 | R | BR | L | HL | Ū. | 0 | I | 0 | I | 0 | Total | | Pedestrians | Total |
| Peak 1 | Motorcycles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | N | 88 | 88 |
| Specified Period | 56 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 100% | |
| 7:00 AM - 9:00 AM | Cars | 13 | 508 | 0 | 102 | 623 | 0 | 0 | 484 | 0 | 21 | 21 | 382 | 0 | 0 | 403 | 0 | 0 | 508 | 0 | 13 | 1026 | NE | 25 | 25 |
| One Hour Peak | % | 87% | 83% | 0% | 82% | 83% | 0% | 0% | 80% | 0% | 78% | 78% | 80% | 0% | 0% | 79% | 0% | 0% | 83% | 0% | 87% | 82% | | 100% | |
| 8:00 AM - 9:00 AM | Light Goods Vehicles | 1 | 55 | 0 | 14 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 1 | 129 | E | 107 | 107 |
| | % | 7% | 9% | 0% | 11% | 9% | 0% | 0% | 12% | 0% | 4% | 4% | 12% | 0% | 0% | 12% | 0% | 0% | 9% | 0% | 7% | 10% | | 100% | |
| | Buses | 0 | 32 | 0 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 61 | S | 163 | 163 |
| | % | 0% | 5% | 0% | 1% | 4% | 0% | 0% | 4% | 0% | 11% | 11% | 5% | 0% | 0% | 6% | 0% | 0% | 5% | 0% | 0% | 5% | | 100% | |
| | Single-Unit Trucks | 1 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 14 | Q | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 33 | SW | 120 | 120 |
| | % | 7% | 2% | 0% | 6% | 3% | 0% | 0% | 3% | 0% | 7% | 7% | 3% | 0% | 0% | 3% | 0% | 0% | 2% | 0% | 7% | 3% | | 100% | |
| | Articulated Trucks | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | W | 310 | 310 |
| | % | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 100% | |
| | Bicycles on Road | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | З | 0 | 0 | 3 | | 813 | 813 |
| | 5 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | | |
| | Total | 15 | 610 | 0 | 124 | 749 | 0 | 0 | 604 | 0 | 27 | 27 | 480 | 0 | 0 | 507 | 0 | O | 610 | O | 15 | 1256 | | | |
| | PHF | 0.62 | 0.88 | 0 | 0.82 | 0.87 | 0 | 0 | 0.93 | 0 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.96 | D | 0 | 0.96 | 0 | 0 | 0.88 | 0 | 0.62 | 0.9 | | | |
| | Approach % | | | | | 60% | 0% | 0% | 48% | 0% | 2% | | | | | 40% | 0% | 0% | 49% | 0% | 1% | | | | |
| | | | | | | - | | | | | | 11.2 | | | | - | | | | | | | | | |
| Peak 2 | Motorcycles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | N | 109 | 109 |
| Specified Period | % | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 100% | |
| 4:00 PM - 5:00 PM | Cars | 14 | 366 | 0 | 87 | 467 | 0 | 0 | 595 | 0 | 49 | 49 | 508 | 0 | 0 | 557 | 0 | 0 | 366 | 0 | 14 | 1024 | NE | 34 | 34 |
| One Hour Peak | 56 | 100% | 82% | 0% | 90% | 84% | 0% | 0% | 82% | 0% | 84% | 84% | 80% | 0% | 0% | 81% | 0% | 0% | 82% | 0% | 100% | 82% | | 100% | |
| 4:45 PM - 5:45 PM | Light Goods Vehicles | 0 | 48 | 0 | 9 | 57 | a | 0 | 96 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 152 | E | 238 | 238 |
| | % | 0% | 11% | 0% | 9% | 10% | 0% | 0% | 13% | 0% | 14% | 14% | 14% | 0% | 0% | 14% | 0% | 0% | 11% | 0% | 0% | 12% | | 100% | - |
| | Buses | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 61 | S | 399 | 399 |
| | % | 0% | 7% | 0% | 0% | 6% | 0% | 0% | 4% | 0% | 2% | 2% | 5% | 0% | 0% | 4% | 0% | 0% | 7% | 0% | 0% | 5% | | 100% | |
| | Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | SW | 139 | 139 |
| | % | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% | | 100% | |
| | Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | W | 474 | 474 |
| | % | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 100% | |
| | Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | | 1393 | 1393 |
| | * | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | | |
| | Total | 14 | 446 | 0 | 97 | 557 | 0 | 0 | 730 | 0 | 58 | 58 | 633 | 0 | 0 | 691 | 0 | 0 | 446 | 0 | 14 | 1248 | | | |
| | PHF | 0.7 | 0.94 | 0 | 0.84 | 0.97 | 0 | 0 | 0.93 | 0 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0 | 0 | 0.94 | 0 | 0 | 0.94 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.99 | | | |
| | Approach % | | | | | 45% | 0% | 0% | 58% | 0% | 5% | | | | | 55% | 0% | 0% | 36% | 0% | 1% | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | |

Saturday

Study NameChelsea - Broadway, Washington Ave., Bellingham,Fifth and Hawthorne Streets TM2TMCStart DateThursday, April 14, 20167:00 AMEnd DateSaturday, April 16, 20162:00 PMSite Code

Report Summary

| | | | 1 and | Souti | bound | 102.15 | | Southw | estbound | West | tbound | | 12215 | North | nbound | Nas-sti | Sel. | Northe | astbound | East | bound | | and the second | Crossv | valk |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|----|--------|----------|------|--------|------|-------|-------|--------|---------|------|--------|----------|------|-------|-------|----------------|------------|---------|
| Time Period | Class. | R | BR | L | HL | 1 | 0 | e l'en | 0 | 1 | 0 | R | BR | L | HL | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | I | 0 | Total | | Pedestrian | s Total |
| Peak 1 | Motorcycles | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | З | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | Ň | 110 | 110 |
| Specified Period | % | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 1% | | 100% | |
| 12:00 PM - 2:00 PM | Cars | 25 | 419 | 0 | 61 | 505 | 0 | 0 | 634 | 0 | 41 | 41 | 573 | 0 | 1 | 615 | 0 | 0 | 420 | 0 | 25 | 1120 | NE | 41 | 41 |
| One Hour Peak | % | 83% | 86% | 0% | 88% | 86% | 0% | 0% | 86% | 0% | 87% | 87% | 86% | 0% | 100% | 86% | 0% | 0% | 86% | 0% | 83% | 86% | | 100% | |
| 12:30 PM - 1:30 PM | Light Goods Vehicles | 5 | 37 | 0 | 8 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 5 | 119 | Е | 203 | 203 |
| | % | 17% | 8% | 0% | 12% | 9% | 0% | 0% | 10% | 0% | 6% | 6% | 10% | 0% | 0% | 10% | 0% | 0% | 8% | 0% | 17% | 9% | | 100% | |
| | Buses | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 30 | s | 325 | 325 |
| | 8 | 0% | 3% | 0% | 0% | 3% | 0% | 0% | 2% | 0% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 0% | 0% | 2% | 0% | 0% | 3% | 0% | 0% | 2% | | 100% | |
| | Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 23 | SW | 144 | 144 |
| | % | 0% | 2% | 0% | 0% | 2% | 0% | 0% | 2% | 0% | 4% | 4% | 2% | 0% | 0% | 2% | 0% | 0% | 2% | 0% | 0% | 2% | | 100% | |
| | Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | W | 419 | 419 |
| | % | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 100% | |
| | Bicycles on Road | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | D | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | | 1242 | 1242 |
| | % | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | | |
| | Total | 30 | 485 | 0 | 69 | 584 | 0 | 0 | 738 | 0 | 47 | 47 | 669 | 0 | 1 | 717 | 0 | O | 486 | 0 | 30 | 1301 | | | |
| | PHF | 0.75 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0 | 0 | 0.89 | 0 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.89 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.91 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.75 | 0.96 | | | |
| | Approach % | | | | | 45% | 0% | 0% | 57% | 0% | 4% | | | | | 55% | 0% | 0% | 37% | 0% | 2% | 2 | | | |
| | | lan e | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - |

APPENDIX C

Pedestrian Crossings outside Marked Crosswalks Broadway at Fifth Street April 14 and 16, 2016



Study Name Chelsea - Broadway to/from Washington Avenue Pathway 1 Start Date 04/14/2016 Start Time 7:00 AM Site Code

| Channel 📗 | Fifth Street/Washington Avenue to Broadway/Bellingham Stre | Broadway/Beilingham Street to Fifth Street/Washington Avenue | | | | | |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Direction | Southbound | Northbound | | | | | |
| 7:00 AM | 15 | 27 | | | | | |
| 7:15 AM | 8 | 32 | | | | | |
| 7:30 AM | 13 | 44 | | | | | |
| 7:45 AM | 8 | 21 | | | | | |
| 8:00 AM | 12 | 30 | | | | | |
| 8:15 AM | 18 | 15 | | | | | |
| 8:30 AM | 17 | 21 | | | | | |
| 8:45 AM | 18 | 17 | | | | | |
| 4:00 PM | 30 | 36 | | | | | |
| 4:15 PM | 67 | 41 | | | | | |
| 4:30 PM | 41 | 36 | | | | | |
| 4:45 PM | 35 | 40 | | | | | |
| 5:00 PM | 60 | 51 | | | | | |
| 5:15 PM | 58 | 36 | | | | | |
| 5:30 PM | 36 | 27 | | | | | |
| 5.45 PM | 47 | 37 | | | | | |
| 12:00 PM | 23 | 39 | | | | | |
| 12:15 PM | 39 | 36 | | | | | |
| 12:30 PM | 22 | 25 | | | | | |
| 12:45 PM | 30 | 38 | | | | | |
| 1:00 PM | 41 | 29 | | | | | |
| 1:15 PM | 41 | 19 | | | | | |
| 1:30 PM | 29 | 51 | | | | | |
| 1:45 PM | 41 | 38 | | | | | |
Study Name Chelsea - Broadway/Bellingham and Hawthorne/Bellingham Streets Pathway 2 Start Date 04/14/2016 Start Time 7:00 AM Site Code

| Channel | Broadway | 5th Street to Hawthrone/Bellingham Streets | Hawthrone/Bellingham Streets to Broadway/5th |
|-----------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Direction | Real and served more than | Southbound | Northbound |
| 7:00 AM | | 19 | 32 |
| 7:15 AM | 53 | 7 | 38 |
| 7:30 AM | | 7 | 35 |
| 7:45 AM | | 9 | 25 |
| 8:00 AM | | 4 | 32 |
| 8:15 AM | | 11 | 29 |
| 8:30 AM | | 5 | 15 |
| 8:45 AM | | 9 | 17 |
| 4:00 PM | | 11 | 21 |
| 4:15 PM | | 47 | 16 |
| 4:30 PM | | 37 | 20 |
| 4:45 PM | | 18 | 31 |
| 5:00 PM | | 12 | 13 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 13 | 12 |
| 5:30 PM | | 12 | 6 |
| 5:45 PM | | 15 | 22 |
| 12:00 PM | | 13 | 16 |
| 12:15 PM | | 11 | 15 |
| 12:30 PM | | 11 | 16 |
| 12:45 PM | | 24 | 14 |
| 1:00 PM | | 12 | 8 |
| 1:15 PM | 3 | 17 | 24 |
| 1:30 PM | | 12 | 14 |
| 1:45 PM | | 22 | 18 |

Study Name Chelsea - Broadway to/from Washington Avenue Pathway 3 Start Date 04/14/2016 Start Time 7:00 AM Site Code

| Channel | Washington Avenue to Broadway | Broadway to Washington Avenue |
|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Direction | Southbound | Northbound |
| | | |
| 7:00 AM | 9 | 39 |
| 7:15 AM | 3 | 35 |
| 7:30 AM | 5 | 42 |
| 7:45 AM | 2 | 29 |
| 8:00 AM | 3 | 29 |
| 8:15 AM | 9 | 20 |
| 8:30 AM | 1 | 9 |
| 8:45 AM | 4 | 10 |
| 4:00 PM | 10 | 14 |
| 4:15 PM | 27 | : 5a 11 |
| 4:30 PM | 24 | 12 |
| 4:45 PM | 8 | 23 |
| 5:00 PM | 17 | 7 |
| 5:15 PM | 11 | 12 |
| 5:30 PM | 12 | 16 |
| 5:45 PM | 6 | 17 |
| 12:00 PM | 5 | 12 |
| 12:15 PM | 17 | 18 \cdots |
| 12:30 PM | 10 | 30 |
| 12:45 PM | 18 | 12 |
| 1:00 PM | 7 | 18 |
| 1:15 PM | 8 | 25 |
| 1:30 PM | 2 | 17 |
| 1:45 PM | 6 | 14 |

APPENDIX D

Intersection Turning Movement Counts Broadway at Fourth Street April 14 and 16, 2016 Thursday

Study NameChelsea - Broadway and Fourth Street TM1 TMCStart DateThursday, April 14, 2016 7:00 AMEnd DateSaturday, April 16, 2016 2:00 PMSite Code

Report Summary

| DEPENDENCE IN COMPANY | | | Southwe | estboun | d | Northwe | estbound | Northe | eastbound | 1 | Southea | istboun | d | N. C. SWIT | | Crosswa | lk |
|-----------------------|----------------------|------|---------|---------|----|---------|----------|--------|-----------|------|---------|---------|----|------------|----|-------------|-------|
| Time Period | Class. | Т | L | | 0 | | 0 | 1 | 0 | R | Т | 1 | 0 | Total | | Pedestrians | Total |
| Peak 1 | Motorcycles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NE | 87 | 87 |
| Specified Period | * | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 100% | |
| 7:00 AM - 9:00 AM | Cars | 407 | 100 | 507 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 0 | 557 | 150 | 130 | 280 | 0 | 787 | SE | 76 | 76 |
| One Hour Peak | % | 87% | 95% | 88% | 0% | 0% | 91% | 0% | 88% | 90% | 88% | 89% | 0% | 89% | | 100% | |
| 8:00 AM - 9:00 AM | Light Goods Vehicles | 19 | з | 22 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 27 | 8 | 12 | 20 | 0 | 42 | SW | 39 | 39 |
| | % | 4% | 3% | 4% | 0% | 0% | 6% | 0% | 4% | 5% | 8% | 6% | 0% | 5% | | 100% | |
| | Buses | 33 | 1 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 39 | NW | 97 | 97 |
| | % | 7% | 1% | 6% | 0% | 0% | 2% | 0% | 5% | 1% | 3% | 2% | 0% | 4% | | 100% | |
| | Single-Unit Trucks | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 16 | | 299 | 299 |
| | % | 2% | 0% | 2% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 2% | 4% | 1% | 2% | 0% | 2% | | | |
| | Articulated Trucks | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | | | |
| | * | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | | |
| | Bicycles on Road | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | | | |
| | % | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | | |
| | Total | 470 | 105 | 575 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 0 | 636 | 166 | 147 | 313 | 0 | 888 | | | |
| | PHF | 0.9 | 0.85 | 0.89 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.94 | 0.88 | 0.77 | 0.97 | 0 | 0.92 | | | |
| | Approach % | | | 65% | 0% | 0% | 28% | 0% | 72% | | | 35% | 0% | | | | |
| Peak 2 | Motorcycles | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | O | D | 0 | 0 | 1 | NE | 97 | 97 |
| Specified Period | % | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 100% | |
| 4:00 PM - 6:00 PM | Cars | 247 | 130 | 377 | 0 | 0 | 331 | 0 | 400 | 153 | 201 | 354 | 0 | 731 | SE | 167 | 167 |
| One Hour Peak | % | 80% | 86% | 82% | 0% | 0% | 86% | 0% | 83% | 88% | 85% | 86% | 0% | 84% | | 100% | |
| 4:45 PM - 5:45 PM | Light Goods Vehicles | 29 | 21 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 46 | 17 | 27 | 44 | 0 | 94 | SW | 132 | 132 |
| | % | 9% | 14% | 11% | 0% | 0% | 12% | 0% | 10% | 10% | 11% | 11% | 0% | 11% | | 100% | |
| | Buses | 29 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 32 | NW | 285 | 285 |
| | % | 9% | 0% | 6% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 6% | 0% | 1% | 1% | 0% | 4% | | 100% | |
| | Single-Unit Trucks | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 11 | | 681 | 681 |
| | % | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 156 | 0% | 1% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 0% | 1% | | | |
| | Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | D | 0 | | | |
| | % | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | | |
| | Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| | % | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | | |
| | Total | 308 | 151 | 459 | 0 | 0 | 387 | 0 | 482 | 174 | 236 | 410 | 0 | 869 | | | |
| | PHF | 0,92 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0 | 0 | 0.99 | 0 | 0.89 | 0.75 | 0.94 | 0.88 | 0 | 0.93 | | | |
| | Approach % | | | 53% | 0% | 0% | 45% | 0% | 55% | | | 47% | 0% | | | | |

Saturday

| Study Name | Chelsea - Broadway and Fourth Street TM1 TMC |
|------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Start Date | Thursday, April 14, 2016 7:00 AM |
| End Date | Saturday, April 16, 2016 2:00 PM |
| Site Code | |

Report Summary

| Jan Street State | | 5 | outhwe | stboun | d | Northw | estbound | Northea | stbound | 5 | Southea | stbound | 1 | | | Crosswa | lk |
|--------------------|-------------------------|------|--------|--------|----|--------|----------|---------|---------|------|---------|---------|----|-------|----|-----------------|-------|
| Time Period | Class. | Т | L | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | I | 0 | R | Т | I | 0 | Total | | Pedestrians | Total |
| Peak 1 | Motorcycles | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | NE | 133 | 133 |
| Specified Period | % | 1% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | 100% | |
| 12:00 PM - 2:00 PM | Cars | 292 | 123 | 415 | 0 | 0 | 319 | 0 | 462 | 170 | 196 | 366 | 0 | 781 | SE | 235 | 235 |
| One Hour Peak | % | 85% | 91% | 87% | 0% | 0% | 89% | 0% | 88% | 93% | 88% | 90% | 0% | 89% | | 100% | |
| 12:30 PM - 1:30 PM | Light Goods Vehicles | 26 | 10 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 37 | 11 | 21 | 32 | 0 | 68 | SW | 105 | 105 |
| | % | 8% | 7% | 8% | 0% | 0% | 9% | 0% | 7% | 6% | 9% | 8% | 0% | 8% | | 100% | |
| | Buses | 14 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 17 | NW | 377 | 377 |
| | % | 4% | 1% | 3% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 3% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 2% | | 100% | |
| | Single-Unit Trucks | 6 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 12 | | 850 | 850 |
| | % | 2% | 1% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 2% | 1% | 1% | 1% | 0% | 1% | | | |
| | Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| | % | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | | |
| | Bicycles on Road | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | |
| | % | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | | |
| | Total | 342 | 135 | 477 | 0 | 0 | 357 | 0 | 525 | 183 | 222 | 405 | 0 | 882 | | | |
| | PHF | 0.89 | 0.87 | 0.88 | 0 | 0 | 0.91 | 0 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.77 | 0.86 | 0 | 0.95 | | | |
| | Approach % | | 1 - 1 | 54% | 0% | 0% | 40% | 0% | 60% | | | 46% | 0% | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | i and | | A second second | wa de |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

.

APPENDIX E

Intersection Capacity Analyses 2016 Existing Conditions Broadway at Fifth Street

| | * | ۲ | * | 4 | ¥ | × | |
|---------------------------|------|------|----------|------|------------|--------------|------|
| Lane Group | NBL | NBR | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | Ø2 |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | 5 | ** | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 625 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 625 | |
| Satd Flow (prot) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1321 | 2621 | |
| Flt Permitted | Ū | Ū | Ū | Ū | 0.950 | 2021 | |
| Satd Flow (perm) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1285 | 2621 | |
| Satd Flow (RTOR) | Ū | Ū | Ū | Ū | 1200 | 2021 | |
| Confl Peds (#/hr) | 85 | 163 | | 30 | 30 | | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.87 | 0.87 | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 7% | 7% | |
| Bus Blockages (#/br) | 0 | 2,0 | 2,0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | |
| Parking (#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | U | U | U | 0 | U | 0 | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 143 | 718 | |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Snlit | NΔ | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | Jpiit 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Permitted Phases | | | | | 1 | 1 | Z |
| Minimum Snlit (s) | | | | | 10.0 | 100 | 21.0 |
| Total Solit (s) | | | | | 40.0 | 40.0 // 0 | 21.0 |
| Total Split (%) | | | | | 40.0 | 40.0 | 21.0 |
| Vollow Timo (s) | | | | | 00.070 | 10 | 20 |
| All Dod Time (s) | | | | | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | | | | | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | | | | | 6.0 | 6.0 | |
| | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | Log |
| Leau/Lay | | | | | Leau | Leau | Lay |
| Act Effet Croop (c) | | | | | 24.0 | 24.0 | 162 |
| Actuated a/C Datio | | | | | 34.0 | 34.0 | |
| Actualed y/C Ratio | | | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| V/C Rallo | | | | | 0.19 | 0.49 | |
| | | | | | 7.0 | 9.7 | |
| Queue Delay | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | | | | | /.0 | 9.7 | |
| LUJ Approach Dalar | | | | | А | A | |
| Approach LOS | | | | | | 9.3 | |
| Approach LUS | | | | | | A | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | | | | | 23 | /5 | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 4 | | <u>.</u> | | 46 | 108 | |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | T | | 94 | | 450 | 200 | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | | | | | 150 | 4440 | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | | | | | 736 | 1460 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | | | | | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | | | | | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | | | | | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.19 | 0.49 | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 61 | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 61 | | | | | | | |

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 1:SWTL, Start of Green, Master Intersection

2016 AM Existing Conditions 12/28/2016

Synchro 9 Report Page 1

| Natural Cycle: 65 | |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Control Type: Pretimed | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.49 | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 9.3 | Intersection LOS: A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 50.0% | ICU Level of Service A |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | |
| | |
| Splits and Phases: 1. Broadway/Washington Avenue | |

| opino ana i naccor i i Brodana), nacimigici i nonac | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------|
| #1 #3 | |
| √ →ø1(R) | |
| 40 s | 21 s |

| | - | \mathbf{i} | 1 | + | 1 | 1 | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|---|
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | Ø2 | ľ |
| Lane Configurations | ≜t ≽ | | | | | | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 507 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 507 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 2573 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Elt Permitted | 2070 | Ū | | 0 | Ū | Ū | | |
| Satd Flow (perm) | 2573 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Satd Flow (RTOR) | 2070 | Ū | | 0 | Ū | Ū | | |
| Confl Peds (#/hr) | | 100 | 100 | | 88 | 122 | | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 9% | 9% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | | |
| Bus Blockages (#/br) | 30 | 30 | 0 | 2,0 | 2,0 | 0 | | |
| Parking (#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | U | U | U | U | 0 | 0 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 528 | Ο | 0 | 0 | Ο | 0 | | |
| | NIA | 0 | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Protected Phases | 1 | | | | | | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | I | | | | | | Z | |
| Minimum Snlit (s) | 10.0 | | | | | | 21.0 | |
| Total Split (s) | 40.0 | | | | | | 21.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 40.0 | | | | | | 21.0 | |
| Vollow Time (s) | 00.070 | | | | | | 24/0 | |
| All Dod Time (s) | 4.0 | | | | | | 2.0 | |
| All-Reu Time (S) | 2.0 | | | | | | 2.0 | |
| LUST TIME AUJUST (S) | 0.0 | | | | | | | |
| | 0.0 | | | | | | Log | |
| Lead Log Optimize? | Leau | | | | | | Lay | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | 24.0 | | | | | | res | |
| Act Elici Green (S) | 34.0 | | | | | | | |
| | 0.00 | | | | | | | |
| V/C Rallo | 0.37 | | | | | | | |
| Control Delay | 8.4 | | | | | | | |
| | 0.0 | | | | | | | |
| Total Delay | 8.4 | | | | | | | |
| LOS | A | | | | | | | |
| Approach Delay | 8.4 | | | | | | | |
| Approach LOS | A | | | | | | | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 51 | | | | | | | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 79 | | | - | | | | |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 128 | | | 9 | 1 | | | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | | | | | | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1434 | | | | | | | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.37 | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 61 | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 61 | | | | | | | | |

Actuated Cycle Length: 61 Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 1:SWTL, Start of Green, Master Intersection

2016 AM Existing Conditions 12/28/2016

Synchro 9 Report Page 1

| Natural Cycle: 65 | | |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Control Type: Pretimed | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.49 | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 8.4 | Intersection LOS: A | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 49.8% | ICU Level of Service A | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | |
| | | |
| Splits and Phases: 3: Hawthorn Street | | |
| | | |

| #1 #3 | |
|-------------------|-------|
| √ → Ø1 (R) | A 802 |
| 40 s | 21 s |

| Lane Group NBL NBR NET NER SWL SWT Ø2 Lane Configurations Traffic Volume (vph) 0 0 0 97 460 Future Volume (vph) 0 0 0 97 460 Satd. Flow (prot) 0 0 0 1413 2646 FIt Permitted 0.950 0 0 1413 2646 Satd. Flow (prot) 0 0 0 1350 2646 Satd. Flow (perm) 0 0 0 1350 2646 Satd. Flow (RTOR) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Lane Configurations Image of the second |
| Traffic Volume (vph) 0 0 0 97 460 Future Volume (vph) 0 0 0 97 460 Satd. Flow (prot) 0 0 0 1413 2646 FIt Permitted 0.950 50 50 Satd. Flow (RTOR) 0 0 0 1350 2646 Satd. Flow (RTOR) 0 0 0 0 7 97 Confl. Peds. (#/hr) 106 399 50 50 50 Peak Hour Factor 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.97 0.97 Heavy Vehicles (%) 2% 2% 2% 0% 6% Bus Blockages (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 0 Parking (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 0 0 Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 0 0 1 1 2 Permitted Phases 1 1 2 1 1 10 10 Total Split (s) 40.0 40.0 40.0 2.0 2.0 |
| Future Volume (vph) 0 0 0 0 77 460 Satd. Flow (port) 0 0 0 1413 2646 Flt Permitted 0.950 0.950 0.950 0.950 Satd. Flow (perm) 0 0 0 1350 2646 Satd. Flow (perm) 0 0 0 1350 2646 Satd. Flow (RTOR) 0 0 0 1350 2646 Confl. Peds. (#/hr) 106 399 50 50 Peak Hour Factor 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.97 0.97 Heavy Vehicles (%) 2% 2% 2% 0% 6% Bus Blockages (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) 0 0 0 1413 246 Flt Permitted 0.950 Satd. Flow (perm) 0 0 0 1350 2646 Satd. Flow (perm) 0 0 0 1350 2646 Satd. Flow (RTOR) 0 0 0 1350 2646 Satd. Flow (RTOR) 0 0 0 1350 2646 Satd. Flow (RTOR) 0 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.97 0.97 Peak Hour Factor 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.97 0.97 Heavy Vehicles (%) 2% 2% 2% 0% 6% Bus Blockages (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 0 0 Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 Permitted Phases 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| Fit Permitted 0.950 Satd. Flow (perm) 0 0 0 1350 2646 Satd. Flow (RTOR) 0 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.97 0.97 Peak Hour Factor 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.97 0.97 Heavy Vehicles (%) 2% 2% 2% 0% 6% Bus Blockages (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 0 0 Parking (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Shared Lane Traffic (%) Eane Group Flow (vph) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Parking (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) 0 0 0 1350 2646 Satd. Flow (RTOR) Confl. Peds. (#/hr) 106 399 50 50 Peak Hour Factor 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.97 0.97 Heavy Vehicles (%) 2% 2% 2% 0% 6% Bus Blockages (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 0 Parking (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 0 Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 0 0 0 0 Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 Permitted Phases 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 Permitted Phases 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 Permitted Phases 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 Protected Phases 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 Porteited Phases 1 1 2 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) Confl. Peds. (#/hr) 106 399 50 50 Peak Hour Factor 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.97 0.97 Heavy Vehicles (%) 2% 2% 2% 0% 6% Bus Blockages (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 30 Parking (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 0 30 Parking (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 0 30 Parking (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 0 0 Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 0 0 100 474 Turn Type Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 0 0 0 10 474 Turn Type Split NA Protected Phases 1 1 2 Permitted Phases 1 1 2 2 10 100 410 21.0 Total Split (s) 40.0 40.0 20.0 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 |
| Confl. Peds. (#hr) 106 399 50 50 Peak Hour Factor 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.97 0.97 Heavy Vehicles (%) 2% 2% 2% 0% 6% 0 0 0 0 30 Parking (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.97 0.97 Heavy Vehicles (%) 2% 2% 2% 2% 0% 6% Bus Blockages (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 0 30 Parking (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 0 0 0 474 11 2 Permitted Phases 1 1 2 2 20 40.0 40.0 21.0 Total Split (s) 40.0 40.0 21.0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) 2% 2% 2% 0% 6% Bus Blockages (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 30 Parking (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 0 0 Shared Lane Traffic (%) Image: Split in the synthesis in the synthesy |
| Bus Blockages (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 30 Parking (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 0 0 Shared Lane Traffic (%) Image: Split NA NA NA Protected Phases 1 1 2 Permitted Phases 1 1 2 Permitted Phases 1 1 2 Protected Phases 1 1 2 Permitted Phases 1 1 2 Protected Phases 1 1 2 Permitted Phases 1 1 2 Protected Phases 40.0 40.0 21.0 Total Split (\$) 40.0 40.0 2.0 Total Split (\$) 40.0 4.0 2.0 All-Red Time (\$) 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (\$) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (\$) 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lead/Lag Lead Lead Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Act Effct Green (\$) 34.0 |
| Parking (#/hr) 0 0 0 0 0 0 Shared Lane Traffic (%) Image: Split NA Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 0 0 100 474 Turn Type Split NA Protected Phases 1 1 2 Permitted Phases 1 1 2 Permitted Phases 1 1 2 Ottal Split (s) 40.0 40.0 21.0 Total Split (s) 40.0 40.0 21.0 Total Split (%) 65.6% 65.6% 34% Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 2.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lead/Lag Lead Lag Lead Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Act Effct Green (s) 34.0 34.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.13 0.32 Control Delay 0.0 0.0 Queue Dela |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 0 0 100 474 Turn Type Split NA Protected Phases 1 1 2 Permitted Phases 1 1 2 Minimum Split (s) 40.0 40.0 21.0 Total Split (s) 40.0 40.0 21.0 Total Split (%) 65.6% 65.6% 34% Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 2.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lead/Lag Lead Lag Lead Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Act Effct Green (s) 34.0 34.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.56 0.56 0.56 0.7 Ves Oueue Delay 7.0 8.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Control Delay 7.0 8.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 0 0 100 474 Turn Type Split NA Protected Phases 1 1 2 Permitted Phases 1 1 2 Minimum Split (s) 40.0 40.0 21.0 Total Split (s) 40.0 40.0 21.0 Total Split (%) 65.6% 65.6% 34% Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 2.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lead/Lag Lead Lag Lead Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Yes Act Effect Green (s) 34.0 34.0 34.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.56 0.56 yes Vic Ratio 0.13 0.32 Yes Control Delay 7.0 8.0 Yes LOS A A A Approach Delay 7.8 Yes |
| Turn Type Split NA Protected Phases 1 1 2 Permitted Phases 1 1 2 Minimum Split (s) 40.0 40.0 21.0 Total Split (s) 40.0 40.0 21.0 Total Split (s) 65.6% 65.6% 34% Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 2.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lead/Lag Lead Lead Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Actuated g/C Ratio 0.56 0.56 v/c Ratio 0.13 0.32 Control Delay 7.0 8.0 Queue Delay 7.0 8.0 Los A A |
| Protected Phases 1 1 2 Permitted Phases 1 1 1 Minimum Split (s) 40.0 40.0 21.0 Total Split (s) 40.0 40.0 21.0 Total Split (s) 65.6% 65.6% 34% Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 2.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lead/Lag Lead Lag Lead Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Act Effct Green (s) 34.0 34.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.56 0.56 v/c Ratio 0.32 Control Delay 7.0 8.0 Queue Delay 7.0 8.0 Queue Delay 7.0 8.0 Los A A Approach Delay 7.8 4 |
| Permitted Phases 1 Minimum Split (s) 40.0 40.0 21.0 Total Split (s) 40.0 40.0 21.0 Total Split (%) 65.6% 65.6% 34% Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 2.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lead/Lag Lead Lead Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Act Effct Green (s) 34.0 34.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.56 0.56 v/c Ratio 0.13 0.32 Control Delay 7.0 8.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 7.0 8.0 LOS A A Approach Delay 7.8 7.8 |
| Minimum Split (s) 40.0 40.0 21.0 Total Split (s) 40.0 40.0 21.0 Total Split (%) 65.6% 65.6% 34% Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 2.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lead/Lag Lead Lead Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Actuated g/C Ratio 0.56 0.56 v/c Ratio 0.13 0.32 Control Delay 7.0 8.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 7.0 8.0 LOS A A Approach Delay 7.8 7.8 |
| Total Split (s) 40.0 40.0 21.0 Total Split (%) 65.6% 65.6% 34% Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 2.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lead/Lag Lead Lead Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Actuated g/C Ratio 0.56 0.56 v/c Ratio 0.13 0.32 Control Delay 7.0 8.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 7.0 8.0 Los A A Approach Delay 7.8 4.0 |
| Total Split (%) 65.6% 65.6% 34% Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 2.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lead/Lag Lead Lead Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Act Effct Green (s) 34.0 34.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.56 0.56 v/c Ratio 0.13 0.32 Control Delay 7.0 8.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 7.0 8.0 LOS A A Approach Delay 7.8 7.8 |
| Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 2.0 All-Red Time (s) 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lead/Lag Lead Lead Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Act Effct Green (s) 34.0 34.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.56 0.56 v/c Ratio 0.13 0.32 Control Delay 7.0 8.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 7.0 8.0 LOS A A Approach Delay 7.8 7.8 |
| All-Red Time (s) 2.0 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 6.0 Lead/Lag Lead Lead Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Yes Act Effct Green (s) 34.0 34.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.56 0.56 v/c Ratio 0.13 0.32 Control Delay 7.0 8.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 7.0 8.0 LOS A A Approach Delay 7.8 7.8 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 6.0 6.0 Lead/Lag Lead Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Act Effct Green (s) 34.0 34.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.56 0.56 v/c Ratio 0.13 0.32 Control Delay 7.0 8.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 7.0 8.0 LOS A A Approach Delay 7.8 0.8 |
| Total Lost Time (s)6.06.0Lead/LagLeadLeadLagLead-Lag Optimize?YesAct Effct Green (s)34.034.0Actuated g/C Ratio0.560.56v/c Ratio0.130.32Control Delay7.08.0Queue Delay0.00.0Total Delay7.08.0LOSAAApproach Delay7.8 |
| Lead/LagLeadLagLead-Lag Optimize?YesAct Effct Green (s)34.0Actuated g/C Ratio0.560.560.56v/c Ratio0.130.130.32Control Delay7.0Queue Delay0.0Total Delay7.0LOSAApproach Delay7.8 |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Act Effct Green (s) 34.0 34.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.56 0.56 v/c Ratio 0.13 0.32 Control Delay 7.0 8.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 7.0 8.0 LOS A A Approach Delay 7.8 7.8 |
| Act Effct Green (s) 34.0 34.0 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.56 0.56 v/c Ratio 0.13 0.32 Control Delay 7.0 8.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 7.0 8.0 LOS A A Approach Delay 7.8 7.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio 0.56 0.56 v/c Ratio 0.13 0.32 Control Delay 7.0 8.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 7.0 8.0 LOS A A Approach Delay 7.8 7.8 |
| v/c Ratio 0.13 0.32 Control Delay 7.0 8.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 7.0 8.0 LOS A A Approach Delay 7.8 |
| Control Delay7.08.0Queue Delay0.00.0Total Delay7.08.0LOSAAApproach Delay7.8 |
| Queue Delay0.00.0Total Delay7.08.0LOSAAApproach Delay7.8 |
| Total Delay7.08.0LOSAAApproach Delay7.8 |
| LOS A A Approach Delay 7.8 |
| Approach Delay 7.8 |
| |
| Approach LOS A |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) 16 44 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) 35 69 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) 1 94 200 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) 150 |
| Base Capacity (vph) 787 1474 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio 0.13 0.32 |
| Intersection Summary |
| Cvcle Length: 61 |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 61 |

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 1:SWTL, Start of Green, Master Intersection

| Natural Cycle: 65 | |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Control Type: Pretimed | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.50 | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 7.8 | Intersection LOS: A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 50.0% | ICU Level of Service A |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | |
| | |
| Splits and Phases: 1. Broadway/Washington Avenue | |

| opino ana i naccor i i Brodana), nacimigici i nonac | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------|
| #1 #3 | |
| √ →ø1(R) | |
| 40 s | 21 s |

| | | \mathbf{i} | 1 | + | 1 | 1 | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|---|
| Lane Group | FBT | FBR | WBI | WBT | NBI | NBR | Ø2 | |
| Lane Configurations | ≜t ⊾ | LBR | | | HDL. | | ~~~ | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vnh) | 701 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 701 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Satd Flow (prot) | 2671 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Flt Permitted | 2071 | U | U | U | 0 | 0 | | |
| Satd Flow (perm) | 2671 | Ο | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Sate Flow (PTOR) | 2071 | 0 | U | U | 0 | 0 | | |
| Confl Peds (#/hr) | | 100 | 100 | | 100 | 117 | | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 5% | 5% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | | |
| Bus Blockages (#/br) | 3/0 | 3/0 | 270 | 2,0 | 270 | 270 | | |
| Darking (#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Sharod Lano Traffic (%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Lang Group Flow (uph) | 716 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ο | Ο | | |
| | 740 NIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | | |
| Protected Diasee | 1 | | | | | | 2 | |
| Protected Phases | I | | | | | | Z | |
| Minimum Split (c) | 10.0 | | | | | | 21.0 | |
| Total Split (c) | 40.0 | | | | | | 21.0 | |
| Total Split (S) | 40.0 | | | | | | 21.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 00.0% | | | | | | 34% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | | | | | | 2.0 | |
| All-Red Time (S) | 2.0 | | | | | | 2.0 | |
| LOST TIME AUJUST (S) | 0.0 | | | | | | | |
| Total Lost Time (S) | 0.0 | | | | | | | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | | | | | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | 24.0 | | | | | | Yes | |
| Act Effect Green (S) | 34.0 | | | | | | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.56 | | | | | | | |
| V/C Ratio | 0.50 | | | | | | | |
| Control Delay | 9.8 | | | | | | | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | | | | | | | |
| Total Delay | 9.8 | | | | | | | |
| LUS | A | | | | | | | |
| Approach Delay | 9.8 | | | | | | | |
| Approach LOS | A | | | | | | | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 79 | | | | | | | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 119 | | | | | | | |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 128 | | | 9 | 1 | | | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | | | | | | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1488 | | | | | | | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.50 | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 61 | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 61 | | | | | | | | |

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 1:SWTL, Start of Green, Master Intersection

| Natural Cycle: 65 | | |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Control Type: Pretimed | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.50 | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 9.8 | Intersection LOS: A | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 49.8% | ICU Level of Service A | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | |
| | | |
| Splits and Phases: 3: Hawthorn Street | | |
| | | |

| #1 #3 | |
|-------------------|-------|
| √ → Ø1 (R) | A 802 |
| 40 s | 21 s |

| | * | ۲ | * | 4 | ¥ | * | | |
|------------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|----------|------------|------|--|
| Lane Group | NBL | NBR | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | Ø2 | |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | 5 | ** | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 515 | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 515 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 | 0 | 1,00 | 0 | 150 | 1700 | | |
| Storage Lanes | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 100 | | | |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 | Ŭ | | U | 25 | | | |
| Satd Flow (prot) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1413 | 2671 | | |
| Elt Permitted | Ū | Ŭ | Ū | U | 0.950 | 2071 | | |
| Satd Flow (perm) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1350 | 2671 | | |
| Right Turn on Red | Ū | No | | No | No | 2071 | | |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | | | | | | |
| Link Speed (mph) | 25 | | 25 | | | 25 | | |
| Link Distance (ff) | 56 | | 174 | | | 280 | | |
| Travel Time (s) | 15 | | 47 | | | 7.6 | | |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 128 | 325 | 1.7 | 50 | 50 | 7.0 | | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.91 | 0.91 | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 0% | 5% | | |
| Bus Blockages (#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | | |
| Parking (#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | 0 | Ū | 0 | Ū | Ū | 0 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 566 | | |
| Turn Type | Ū | Ŭ | Ū | U | Split | NA | | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | 0pm 1 | 1 | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | | · | 1 | - | |
| Minimum Split (s) | | | | | 40.0 | 40.0 | 21.0 | |
| Total Split (s) | | | | | 40.0 | 40.0 | 21.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | | | | | 65.6% | 65.6% | 34% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | | | | | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | | | | | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | | | | | 6.0 | 6.0 | | |
| Lead/Lag | | | | | l ead | Lead | lan | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | Louu | Louu | Yes | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | | | | | 34.0 | 34.0 | 103 | |
| Actuated q/C Ratio | | | | | 0 56 | 0.56 | | |
| v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.00 | 0.30 | | |
| Control Delay | | | | | 6.8 | 85 | | |
| | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total Dolay | | | | | 6.8 | 0.0 8 5 | | |
| | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 A | | |
| LUS Approach Dolay | | | | | A | A 0.2 | | |
| Approach LOS | | | | | | 0.3 A | | |
| Appilduli LUS Ouguna Longth 50th (ft) | | | | | 10 | A | | |
| Queue Length 90th (II) | | | | | 12 | 00 | | |
| Queue Lengin 95(1) (II) | 1 | | 0.4 | | 28 | 04 200 | | |
| Turn Poyl on the (II) | | | 94 | | 150 | 200 | | |
| Turri Day Lengin (II) | | | | | 150 | 1400 | | |
| Base Capacity (Vpn) | | | | | 187 | 1488 | | |
| Starvation Cap Reductin | | | | | U | 0 | | |

2016 Saturday Existing Conditions 12/28/2016

Synchro 9 Report Page 1

| | * 1 | ۲ | × | 4 | 4 | * | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|----------|------------|-----------|------------|--------------|----|
| Lane Group | NBL | NBR | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | Ø2 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | | | | | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | | | | | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.10 | 0.38 | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | CBD | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 61 | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 61 | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced | to phase 1:5 | SWTL, St | art of Gre | en, Maste | er Interse | ection | |
| Natural Cycle: 65 | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Pretimed | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.53 | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 8 | .3 | | | Int | ersectior | n LOS: A | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | ation 50.0% | | | IC | U Level o | of Service / | Ą |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Broadway/Washington Avenue

| #1 | #3 | | |
|------|---------|-------|--|
| ¥ | →Ø1 (R) | A Poz | |
| 40 s | | 21 s | |

| | - | \mathbf{i} | 1 | + | 1 | 1 | | |
|-------------------------|-----------|--------------|------|------|------|------|-----------|---|
| Lane Groun | FRT | FRR | WRI | W/RT | NRI | NRR | Ø2 | |
| Lane Configurations | | LDIN | VVDL | | NDL | NDI | <u>DZ</u> | _ |
| | TÞ 717 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 717 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Ideal Flow (upper) | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | | |
| Cotd Flow (vpnpi) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Sald. Flow (prot) | 20/1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Fil Permilled | 0/71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Sald. Flow (perm) | 2671 | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Right Turn on Red | | Yes | | | | Yes | | |
| Salo. Flow (RTUR) | 25 | | | 25 | 25 | | | |
| Link Speed (mpn) | 25 | | | 25 | 25 | | | |
| | 208 | | | 89 | 51 | | | |
| Travel Time (S) | 5.7 | 100 | 100 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 100 | | |
| Conii. Peas. (#/Nr) | 0.01 | 100 | 100 | 0.00 | 110 | 122 | | |
| | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | | |
| Heavy venicies (%) | 5% | 5% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | | |
| Bus Blockages (#/hr) | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Parking (#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | 700 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | /88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| lurn lype | NA | | | | | | _ | |
| Protected Phases | 1 | | | | | | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 40.0 | | | | | | 21.0 | |
| Total Split (s) | 40.0 | | | | | | 21.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 65.6% | | | | | | 34% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | | | | | | 2.0 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | | | | | | 2.0 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | | | | | | | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | | | | | | | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | | | | | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | | Yes | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 34.0 | | | | | | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.56 | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.53 | | | | | | | |
| Control Delay | 10.1 | | | | | | | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | | | | | | | |
| Total Delay | 10.1 | | | | | | | |
| LOS | В | | | | | | | |
| Approach Delay | 10.1 | | | | | | | |
| Approach LOS | В | | | | | | | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 85 | | | | | | | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 128 | | | | | | | |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 128 | | | 9 | 1 | | | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | | | | | | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1488 | | | | | | | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.53 | | | | | | | |

Synchro 9 Report Page 1

| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Area Type: CBD | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 61 | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 61 | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 1:SWTL, Start of Green | Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 1:SWTL, Start of Green, Master Intersection | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 65 | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Pretimed | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.53 | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 10.1 | Intersection LOS: B | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 49.8% | ICU Level of Service A | | | | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 3: Hawthorn Street

| #1 | #3 | | |
|------|---------|------|--|
| ¥ | →Ø1 (R) | | |
| 40 s | | 21 s | |

APPENDIX F

Intersection Capacity Analyses 2016 Existing Conditions Broadway at Fourth Street

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 8: 4th Street & Broadway

| | ٦ | - | \mathbf{r} | 4 | - | * | ٩. | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|--------------|------|------------|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | -a† | | | | | | • | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 470 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147 | 174 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 470 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147 | 174 |
| Sign Control | | Free | | | Free | | | Stop | | | Stop | |
| Grade | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 528 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 152 | 179 |
| Pedestrians | | 87 | | | 39 | | | 97 | | | 76 | |
| Lane Width (ft) | | 0.0 | | | 11.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 11.0 | |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) | | 3.5 | | | 3.5 | | | 3.5 | | | 3.5 | |
| Percent Blockage | | 0 | | | 3 | | | 0 | | | 7 | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Median type | | None | | | None | | | | | | | |
| Median storage veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (ft) | | | | | 764 | | | | | | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC, conflicting volume | 604 | | | 97 | | | 939 | 937 | 136 | 879 | 937 | 427 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 604 | | | 97 | | | 939 | 937 | 136 | 879 | 937 | 427 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 | | | 4.2 | | | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 6.6 | 7.0 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | 2.2 | | | 2.3 | | | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free % | 100 | | | 92 | | | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 32 | 67 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 905 | | | 1458 | | | 60 | 226 | 857 | 193 | 224 | 535 |
| Direction, Lane # | WB 1 | WB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Volume Total | 294 | 352 | 152 | 179 | | | | | | | | |
| Volume Left | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 | 179 | | | | | | | | |
| cSH | 1458 | 1700 | 224 | 535 | | | | | | | | |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.68 | 0.33 | | | | | | | | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 7 | 0 | 107 | 36 | | | | | | | | |
| Control Delay (s) | 3.5 | 0.0 | 49.2 | 15.1 | | | | | | | | |
| Lane LOS | А | | E | С | | | | | | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 1.6 | | 30.8 | | | | | | | | | |
| Approach LOS | | | D | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Delay | | | 11.5 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | ation | | 42.0% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 8: 4th Street & Broadway

| | ٦ | - | \mathbf{F} | • | - | * | ٩. | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|--------------|------|------------|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | -¢† | | | | | | • | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 308 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 166 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 308 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 166 |
| Sign Control | | Free | | | Free | | | Stop | | | Stop | |
| Grade | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 331 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 254 | 178 |
| Pedestrians | | 97 | | | 132 | | | 285 | | | 167 | |
| Lane Width (ft) | | 0.0 | | | 11.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 11.0 | |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) | | 3.5 | | | 3.5 | | | 3.5 | | | 3.5 | |
| Percent Blockage | | 0 | | | 12 | | | 0 | | | 15 | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Median type | | None | | | None | | | | | | | |
| Median storage veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (ft) | | | | | 764 | | | | | | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC, conflicting volume | 498 | | | 285 | | | 1176 | 1107 | 417 | 954 | 1107 | 430 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 498 | | | 285 | | | 1176 | 1107 | 417 | 954 | 1107 | 430 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 | | | 4.2 | | | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 6.6 | 7.0 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | 2.2 | | | 2.3 | | | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free % | 100 | | | 87 | | | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 64 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 907 | | | 1246 | | | 0 | 155 | 517 | 128 | 154 | 488 |
| Direction, Lane # | WB 1 | WB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Volume Total | 272 | 221 | 254 | 178 | | | | | | | | |
| Volume Left | 162 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 | 178 | | | | | | | | |
| cSH | 1246 | 1700 | 154 | 488 | | | | | | | | |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.13 | 0.13 | 1.65 | 0.36 | | | | | | | | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 11 | 0 | 446 | 41 | | | | | | | | |
| Control Delay (s) | 5.4 | 0.0 | 371.0 | 16.6 | | | | | | | | |
| Lane LOS | А | | F | С | | | | | | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 3.0 | | 224.9 | | | | | | | | | |
| Approach LOS | | | F | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Delay | | | 106.7 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | ation | | 38.3% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 8: 4th Street & Broadway

| | ٭ | - | \mathbf{r} | • | - | * | ٩. | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | - |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|--------------|------|------------|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | -t‡ | | | | | | • | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 342 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222 | 183 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 342 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222 | 183 |
| Sign Control | | Free | | | Free | | | Stop | | | Stop | |
| Grade | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | | | 0% | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 153 | 389 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 258 | 213 |
| Pedestrians | | 133 | | | 105 | | | 377 | | | 235 | |
| Lane Width (ft) | | 0.0 | | | 11.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 11.0 | |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) | | 3.5 | | | 3.5 | | | 3.5 | | | 3.5 | |
| Percent Blockage | | 0 | | | 9 | | | 0 | | | 21 | |
| Right turn flare (veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Median type | | None | | | None | | | | | | | |
| Median storage veh) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upstream signal (ft) | | | | | 764 | | | | | | | |
| pX, platoon unblocked | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC, conflicting volume | 624 | | | 377 | | | 1352 | 1307 | 482 | 1035 | 1307 | 562 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 624 | | | 377 | | | 1352 | 1307 | 482 | 1035 | 1307 | 562 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 | | | 4.2 | | | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| tF (s) | 2.2 | | | 2.2 | | | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free % | 100 | | | 87 | | | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 43 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 758 | | | 1164 | | | 0 | 109 | 482 | 103 | 110 | 376 |
| Direction, Lane # | WB 1 | WB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Volume Total | 283 | 259 | 258 | 213 | | | | | | | | |
| Volume Left | 153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 | 213 | | | | | | | | |
| cSH | 1164 | 1700 | 110 | 376 | | | | | | | | |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.13 | 0.15 | 2.34 | 0.57 | | | | | | | | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 11 | 0 | 568 | 84 | | | | | | | | |
| Control Delay (s) | 5.2 | 0.0 | 692.5 | 26.4 | | | | | | | | |
| Lane LOS | А | | F | D | | | | | | | | |
| Approach Delay (s) | 2.7 | | 391.3 | | | | | | | | | |
| Approach LOS | | | F | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Average Delay | | | 183.4 | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | ation | | 40.9% | IC | CU Level o | of Service | | | А | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | |

APPENDIX G

Preliminary Traffic Signal Warrants Analysis Broadway at Fourth Street, Chelsea

Summary of Hourly Volumes and Warrant Analyses Broadway at Fourth Street, Chelsea

| Hourly period | Broadway (main street) Traffic Volume | Fourth Street (minor street) Traffic Volume | Pedestrians Crossing Main Street | Volumes ab minimum or | ove the requi n main/minor | red street | |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| starting | WB | SB | Main Street | Warrant 1 | Warrant 2 | Warrant 4 | Warrant 7 |
| 6:00 | 340 | 281 | - | | | | |
| 7:00 | 414 | 339 | 130 | | | | V |
| 8:00 | 561 | 327 | 126 | V | | | v |
| 9:00 | 424 | 359 | - | | | | v |
| 10:00 | 419 | 362 | - | | | | v |
| 11:00 | 373 | 384 | - | | | | |
| 12:00 | 398 | 419 | - | | | | v |
| 13:00 | 447 | 413 | - | | | | v |
| 14:00 | 417 | 444 | - | | | | v |
| 15:00 | 449 | 468 | - | | | | v |
| 16:00 | 440 | 477 | 246 | | | | v |
| 17:00 | 450 | 452 | 208 | | | | v |
| 18:00 | 425 | 441 | - | | | | v |
| 19:00 | 374 | 350 | - | | | | |

Warrants 1, 2, 4 and 7 in MUTCD Chapter 4C were analyzed for this intersection.

Warrant 1 (8-Hour Volume) is not fulfilled. It requires that the traffic conditions (observed vehicular volumes higher than the specified minimum volumes) exist for each of any 8 hours of an average day. The interruption of continuous traffic (Conditions B) was applied in this case. The volume threshold for a major street (two-lane) is 480 vehicles per hour (vph) and for a minor street (two-lane) is 160 vph.

Warrant 2 (4-Hour Volume) is not fulfilled. It requires that the traffic conditions (the data point of main street and minor street volumes falling above an applicable curve) exist for each of any 4 hours of an average day. The data points all locate below the applicable curve .

Warrant 4 (Pedestrian Volume) is not fulfilled. It requires that the traffic conditions (the data point of main street traffic volume and pedestrian crossing falling above an applicable curve) exist for each of any 4 hours of an average day. The data points all locate below the applicable curve .

Warrant 7 (Crash Experience) is fulfilled. Traffic conditions in more than eight hours met the 80% threshold in Warrant 1. Meanwhile, there were more than five correctable crashes in the recent 12-month period.

APPENDIX H

Top Pedestrian Crash Cluster 2004–13 Downtown Chelsea

Top Pedestrian Crash Cluster 2004 - 2013





CHELSEA

MassDOT District 6 RPA MAPC EPDO 949 Number of Fatal Crashes 1 Number of Injury Crashes 176 Number of Non-Injury Crashes 59 Total Crashes 236

Legend

- Crash Locations 2004-2013
- All Functional Classification Except Local Roads
- Local Roads
- D Top Pedestrian Crash Cluster
- Municipal Boundary



APPENDIX I

Collision Diagrams and Crash Data Summary MassDOT Road Safety Audit July 27, 2016



Crash Data Summary Table Broadway, Chelsea, MA 2011 - 2015

| Crash | Crash | | | | | Weather | | 2010 | | | | |
|----------|----------|-----------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------|--------------|------------------------------------|-----|----|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Diagram | Date | Crash Day | Time of Day | Manner of Collision | Light Condition | Condition | Road Surface | Driver Contributing Code | | Ag | es | Comments |
| | | | | | Dark - lighted | | | | | | | MV1 was backing up when struck pedetrian. Pedestrian was crossing street |
| 1 | 1/22/11 | Saturday | 5:25 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | roadway | Clear | Slush | Inattention | 21 | | | behind vehicle, not at crosswalk. |
| | | | | - | | | | | | | | MV1 ws backing out of parking spot, hitting pedestrian that was standing |
| 2 | 4/10/11 | Sunday | 4:38 PM | Rear-end | Daylight | Clear | Dry | No Improper Driving | 21 | | | behind MV1. MV1 did not notice pedestrian. |
| 3 | 6/4/11 | Saturday | 8:54 AM | Single Vehicle Crash | Daylight | Clear | Dry | Unknown | UNK | | | MV1 struck pedestrian in crosswalk. No information from MV1 |
| 4 | 6/5/11 | Sunday | 7:48 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | Dusk | Clear | Dry | careless, negligent, or aggressive | 39 | | | MV1 made a right turn, attempted to manuever around pedestrians that were |
| <u> </u> | | , | | | Dark - lighted | | | | | | | ····· |
| 5 | 6/6/11 | Monday | 2:37 AM | Angle | roadway | Clear | Dry | Driving too fast for conditions | UNK | | | Cyclist had pulled over to let MV1 pass, MV1 struck cyclist and fled scene |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Pedestrian (motorized wheelchair) was travelling wrong way on one way |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | MV1 was stopped at red signal, turning left as light changed to green. MV1 |
| 6 | 0/2/11 | Friday | 1:04 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | Davlight | Clear | Dry | No Improper Driving | 25 | | | did not see nedestrian during turn |
| | 92/11 | - many | 1.941.00 | | Dark - lighted | | 5.1 | ite introper binnig | 20 | | | MV1 states "pedestrian ran into road and could not avoid accident " |
| 7 | 0/5/11 | Monday | 10:41 DM | Single Vehicle Crash | roadway | Clear | Dev | Linknown | 64 | | | Podostrian states "walking across streat when operator hit them " |
| | a or th | monday | 10.1111 | ongie venole ordan | roadway | Circa | Dig | Charlown | | | | MV1 SB_Bicycle FB crossing roadway inside crosswalk_MV1 states "bicycle |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | suddonly crossed readway " Bicycle states "another operator allowed them |
| 0 | 0/19/11 | Sunday | 4:50 PM | Angle | Davlight | Clear | Dev | Linknown | 64 | | | to cross " MV1 could not stop in time |
| | artorti | ounday | 4.50 T M | Aigie | Daylight Dadu Eaktad | Ciedi | Diy | CHAIDWIT | | | | to cross. We'r codid not stop in time. |
| | 11/17/11 | Thursday | 10:21 DM | Head on | Dark - lighted | Clear | Day | Inattestion | 24 | | | Podestrian inside crosswalk MV1 stone, then accidentally hits podestrian |
| | 101011 | mulaudy | 10.21110 | riedu on | Toduway | Cieda | Diy | inatiention | | | | Ovelist expression intersection, travelling wrong way on one way, failed to |
| 10 | 2/22/10 | Thursday | E-10 DM | Anala | Destabl | Class | Day | Ne Impress Driving | 70 | | | viold right of way. MV1 bits bievalist in intersection |
| 10 | 32212 | Thursday | 5.16 FM | Angle | Daylight Dark roadway pat | Clear | Diy | No improper Driving | 73 | | | yield right of way. Wy I hits bicyclist in Intersection. |
| 11 | 4/7/10 | Caturday | 7:97 DM | Single Vehicle Crach | Bark- roadway not | Cloudy | Day | Usknows | 46 | | | M/1 making left turn, did not see podestrian in readway |
| | 4///2 | Galuiday | 7.37 FM | Single Vehicle Grash | igned | Cioudy | Diy | Onknown | 40 | | | MV1 making left turn, du not see pedestrian in roadway. |
| 10 | 7/10/10 | Thursday | 2:01 DM | Cinela Mahiela Couch | Devlicht | Class | Dev | University | 24 | | | his alist approaching |
| 12 | //19/12 | Thursday | 3.01 PM | Single Venicle Grash | Daylight Dayl, Kablad | Clear | Dry | Unknown | 34 | | | M/1 stopped at stop sign allowing a pedestrian to cross. M/1 began moving |
| 10 | 7/00/10 | 0 | OUTE DM | Circle Mahiele Coret | Dark - lighted | 0 | Dec | | 07 | | | when an additional addentrian attempted to areas |
| 13 | 7/29/12 | Sunday | 9.15 PM | Single venicle Grash | roadway | Clear | Diy | Unknown | 37 | | | When an additional pedestrian attempted to cross. |
| | 0/44/40 | | Ever DM | S. 1. W. 1. 1. 0. 1. | B . F . L | ~ | - | | | | | redestrian in crosswark when wy r struck pedestrian. Wy r cited for failure to |
| 14 | 8/11/12 | Saturday | 5:16 PM | Single Vehicle Grash | Daylight | Clear | Dry | Failed to yield right of way | 69 | | | VIEIO. |
| 15 | 1/23/13 | wednesday | 4.03 PM | Single Venicle Grash | Daylight | Clear | Dry | Unknown | 24 | | | MV Fluming right, did hot see pedestrian due to sun. |
| 16 | 1/23/13 | Wednesday | 3:25 PM | Head on | Daylight | Clear | Dry | Failed to yield right of way | 61 | | | MV1 turning left. Pedestrian in crosswaik, struck by MV1 during turn. |
| 17 | 1/04/10 | Thursday | 5:05 DM | Single Vehicle Crash | Dark, unknown | Class | Dec | Uskasus | | | | Podostrian in crosswalk, MVI struck podostrian in crosswalk |
| 17 | 1/24/10 | mursuay | 5.25 FM | Single Vehicle Grash | roadway iighung | Cieal | Diy | Onknown | 66 | | | Pedestrian in crosswaik, with struck pedestrian in crosswaik. |
| | 0/4/40 | | 10110 414 | Contraction Const. | D | 0 | D | N. L. Bills | | | | MV1 claims "pedestrian jumped into vehicle." Pedestrian states " struck by |
| 18 | 2/4/13 | Monday | 10:43 AM | Single Venicle Crash | Daylight | Clear | Dry | No Improper Driving | 38 | | | MV1 Integ." |
| 10 | 0/0/40 | | | Circle Matrick Coast | D- F-H | 0 | Dec | | | | | MV1 turning right. Pedestrians were waiking in crosswaik. MV1 did not see |
| 19 | 3/9/13 | Saturday | 4.11 PM | Single venicle Grash | Daylight | Clear | DIY | Unknown | 51 | | | pedesinans. |
| | | | | | | ~ | - | | | | | MV1 turning left. Pedestrian was walking in crosswalk. MV1 did not see |
| 20 | 3/30/13 | Saturday | 1:09 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | Daylight | Clear | Dry | Failed to yield right of way | 49 | | | pedestrian. |
| 21 | 4/4/13 | Thursday | 11:20 AM | Single Vehicle Crash | Daylight | Clear | Dry | Inattention | 54 | | | MV1 backing into parking space, hits pedestrian. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | MV1 claims "stopped at stop sign and looked both ways, then proceeded |
| | | | | | Dark - lighted | | | | | | | through intersection. Pedestnan ran out into street." No comment from |
| 22 | 4/12/13 | Friday | 8:26 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | roadway | Hain | Wet | Unknown | 27 | | | pedestrian. |
| | | | | | | | _ | | | | | Pedestrian standing in front of double-parked vehicle. MV1 backed into |
| 23 | 4/25/13 | Thursday | 12:56 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | Daylight | Clear | Dry | Unknown | UNK | | | pedestrian. |
| | | | | | Dark - lighted | | | | | | | |
| 24 | 5/23/13 | Thursday | 10:28 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | roadway | Rain | Wet | Unknown | 39 | | | Pedetrian crossing street, was struck by MV1 who did not notice pedestrian. |
| | | | | | Dark - lighted | | | | | | | MV1 operator exited driver side door and struck bicyclist with door. Operator |
| 25 | 5/26/13 | Sunday | 10:01 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | roadway | Clear | Dry | Unknown | UNK | | | did not see cyclist. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | MV1 claims "pedestrian on phone and walked into left front of vehicle." |
| 26 | 6/14/13 | Friday | 7:37 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | Daylight | Clear | Dry | Unknown | 61 | | | Witnesses claim "pedestrian in crosswalk when MV1 drove over foot." |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Pedestrian entered croswalk with bicycle, claiming "MV1 struck rear tire of |
| 27 | 6/18/13 | Tuesday | 3:20 PM | Unknown | Daylight | Rain | Wet | No Improper Driving | 34 | | | bicycle." MV1 claims "didn't ake contact." |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | MV1 turning right, did not see pedestrian crossing street. Pedestrian was not |
| 28 | 6/21/13 | Friday | 5:36 AM | Single Vehicle Crash | Daylight | Clear | Dry | No Improper Driving | 55 | | | in a crosswalk area. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Bicyclist with passenger rides through stop sign. MV1, perpendicular to |
| 1 | | | | 1 | Dark - lighted | 1 | | 1 | | | | cyclist, are established in intersection. Bicyclist collides with MV1 in |
| 29 | 7/31/13 | Wednesday | 10:59 PM | Angle | roadway | Clear | Dry | No Improper Driving | 46 | | | intersection. |
| | | | | | - | | | | | | | Cyclist travelling wrong way on one-way. MV1 (bus) could not stop in time for |
| 30 | 8/10/13 | Saturday | 4:45 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | Daylight | Clear | Dry | No Improper Driving | 40 | | | bicyclist. Cyclist made no attemp to stop. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Bicyclist claims "struck by nail on MV1's trailer." MV1 claims "stopped at stop |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | sign, observed bicyclist in street. Continued to drive and did not strike |
| 31 | 9/7/13 | Saturday | 10:06 AM | Single Vehicle Crash | Daylight | Clear | Dry | Unknown | 37 | | | cyclist." |

Crash Data Summary Table

Broadway, Chelsea, MA 2011 - 2015

| Orach | Orach | | | | | Weether | 20 | 11-2015 | | | | |
|---------|--------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Crasn | Crash | 0 | T | Manage of Oak States | Links Constitution | weather | Deside data | Discout it for order | | | | a |
| Diagram | Date | Crash Day | Time of Day | Manner of Collision | Light Condition | Condition | Hoad Surface | Driver Contributing Code | <u> </u> | Ag | es | Comments |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | MV1 after making right hand turn claims "lightly hit pedesthan standing in |
| | | | | | Dark - lighted | | | | | | | street, then pedestrian threw himself on hood of vehicle." Witness claims the |
| 32 | 11/17/13 | Sunday | 7:52 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | roadway | Rain | Wet | Inattention | 24 | | | same. |
| | | | | | Dark - lighted | | | | | | | MV1 turning left, did not see pedestrian run out to cross street. Pedestrian |
| 33 | 1/10/14 | Friday | 7:11 PM | Unknown | roadway | Clear | Dry | Unknown | 36 | | | was approximately 25 feet from crosswalk. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | 9/7/14 | Friday | 10:04 AM | Single Vehicle Crash | Davlight | Clear | Dry | No Improper Driving | 21 | | | MV1 was making a left turn, did not see nedestrian, who was in crosswalk |
| | 21114 | Thoug | 10.04 AM | ongie vende ordan | Dayingin | Gircui | Dig | no improper energy | | | | Dedestrian was crosing streat in crosswalk, MV1 triad to stop but noticed |
| | ol a ol a a | Wednesday | 10.00 014 | Circle Vahiele Coreb | DeviceInt | Class | Date | University | | | | redestriar was crosing sireet in crosswalk. Wy I tried to stop but noticed |
| 35 | 2/12/14 | wednesday | 12:39 PM | Single Venicle Crash | Daylight | Clear | Diy | Unknown | 29 | | | pedestrian too late. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 36 | 3/30/14 | Sunday | 7:04 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | Dusk | Rain | Wet | Inattention | 52 | | | Pedestrian was in crosswalk when hit by MV1. MV1 was performing left turn. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | MV1 travelling on roadway when bicyclist entered roadway. MV1 could not |
| 37 | 4/29/14 | Tuesday | 12:31 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | Daylight | Clear | Dry | No Improper Driving | 20 | | | avoid cyclist. Cyclist did not notice MV1 when entering roadway. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | MV1 made improper right hand turn in order to enter parking lot. Pedestrian |
| 38 | 5/11/14 | Sunday | 7:59 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | Dusk | Clear | Dry | Inattention | 48 | | | was on sidewalk when hit by MV1 pulling into lot. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | MV1 travelling straight when kid jumps out onto street. MV1 claims "swerved |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | to avoid child but hits nins another pedestrian behind narked vehicle " |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Witnose states "MV1 did not swon/o but rather drove straight into parked |
| | C147144 | | 1.01 014 | S. 1. M. 1. 1. S. 1. | B . F . L | ~ | - | | | | | whites states with and not swerve but rather arove straight into parked |
| 39 | 6/1//14 | Tuesday | 1:01 PM | Single Vehicle Grash | Daylight | Clear | Dry | No Improper Driving | 50 | | | venicie." |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | MV1 (police) was responding to a call, making a left turn with lights actived. |
| 40 | 7/5/14 | Saturday | 5:13 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | Daylight | Clear | Dry | No Improper Driving | 36 | | | Pedestrian walked into vehicle. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Pedestrian in motorized wheelchair states "MV1 struck them while in traffic." |
| 41 | 8/13/14 | Wednesday | 2:23 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | Daylight | Rain | Wet | Inattention | 36 | | | MV1 claims "at full stop when pedestrian hit MV1." |
| | | | | Ĭ | | | | | | | | Cyclist crossing intersection claims "MV1 stopped briefly then continued |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | driving striking the cyclist " MV1 claims "cyclist did not stop or look before |
| 40 | 9/16/14 | Saturday | 911 DM | Head on | Davlight | Close | Dev | No Improper Driving | 21 | | | crossing "Occurred at Congress and Division |
| 42 | 01014 | Galuluay | 0.111 M | riead off | Dayiigin | Criedi | Diy | No improper briving | - 01 | | | Dedestrian attempted to cross read without crosswalk, MV1 states |
| | 10/0/11 | Theorem | LOS DM | Under | Device Int | 0 | Des | 1 | | | | Pedestrian attempted to cross road without crosswalk, with I states |
| 43 | 10/9/14 | Inursday | 4.35 PM | Head on | Daylight | Clear | Diy | Inattention | 21 | | | pedestrian ran in front of venicle and MVT couldn't stop in time." |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | MV1 turning left, allowed pedestrian to cross street. MV1 claims "after |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | allowing pedestrians to cross, an additional pedestrian abruptly entered |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | crosswalk, which they didn't notice." Witness states "pedestrian did not look |
| 44 | 10/24/14 | Friday | 11:30 AM | Angle | Daylight | Rain | Wet | Inattention | 30 | | | and quickly entered path of MV1." |
| | | | | | Dark - lighted | | | | | | | Pedestrian was crosing street to catch bus, while in street was struck by |
| 45 | 10/20/14 | Wednesday | 9:27 PM | Sideswipe, same direction | roadway | Bain | Wet | Unknown | 29 | | | MV1 No comment from MV1 |
| 40 | 10120111 | , | 0.2711 | | | | | | | | | MV1 states "did not see nedestrian while making left turn " Pedestrian states |
| 40 | 11/17/14 | Monday | 0:40 AM | Angle | Devlight | Rain | Wat | Linknown | - 00 | | | I'm crosswelk when MV1 struck them " |
| 40 | 11/1//14 | monuay | 0.49 AW | Aligie | Dayiigin | 1 10001 | WOL | Onknown | 20 | | | In closswalk when My I struck them. |
| | an Indexe | Total | | A | Dark - roadway not | Dela | | 1 | | | | Pedestrian crosing street when MVT stopped then continued and struck |
| 4/ | 12/9/14 | Tuesday | 8:22 PM | Angle | lighted | Hain | wet | Inattention | 38 | | | pedestrian with right side of vehicle. |
| | | | | | Dark - lighted | | | | | | | |
| 48 | 1/14/15 | Wednesday | 6:15 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | roadway | Clear | Dry | No Improper Driving | 36 | | | Pedestrian in crosswalk hit by MV1. MV1 states "did not see pedestrian." |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | MV1 claims "blinded by glare and tapped pedestrian in crosswalk." |
| 49 | 2/16/15 | Monday | 4:20 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | Daylight | Clear | Dry | Glare | 26 | | | Pedestrian was walking in crosswalk. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Pedestrians in crosswalk when MV1 did not stop. MV1 claims "did not hit |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | pedestrians and stopped at stop sign, then proceeded and didn't see |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | pedestrians " Reviewing video shows MV1 did not stop at stop sign and hit |
| 50 | 9/99/15 | Sunday | 10:50 AM | Single Vehicle Crash | Davlight | Cloudy | Wet | Other improper action | 20 | | | one of the pedestrians |
| 30 | 22213 | ounday | 10.38 AM | Oligie Venice Grash | Dayiigin | Citoddy | WOL | Other improper action | 30 | | | M/1 turning with three podestrians in street, M/1 states "9 podestrians talls |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Wy Fulling with thee pedestrians in steet. Wy Fistates 2 pedestrians tens |
| | | | | | Dark - lighted | | | | | | | 1 pedestrian to lay down in front of venicle." Witness confirm that MV1 did |
| 51 | 4/8/15 | Wednesday | 7:41 PM | Angle | roadway | Rain | Wet | No Improper Driving | 66 | | | not hit any pedestrian. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | MV1 came to stop at intersection. MV1 proceeded to enter intersection when |
| 52 | 5/22/15 | Friday | 11:45 AM | Angle | Daylight | Clear | Dry | Unknown | 31 | | | MV1 struck a pedestrian. No information. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1 | | | 1 | Dark - lighted | 1 | | | | | | MV1 and cyclist with conflicting stories. MV1: cyclist crossed street and |
| 53 | 9/17/15 | Thursday | 7:42 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | roadway | Clear | Dry | Unknown | 63 | | | struck MV1. Cyclist: Cyclist crossed street when MV1 struck his bicycle |
| 50 | 9/19/15 | Saturday | 2.27 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | Davlight | Clear | Dry | Unknown | 45 | | | Pedestrian walking across street, struck by MV1. No info from MV1 |
| 04 | anana | Galanday | 2.27 1 10 | ongio venice orași | s ay ng m | | | Service of the servic | -10 | | | MV1 (cab) was stopped at cab stand. Two mon word pushing cash other |
| 1 | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | and M/1 started to pull away. One podestrian enough M/1's back deer but |
| | d a la altra | | | | L | | | | | | | and wiv I stated to pull away. One pedestrian opened wiv I's back door but |
| 55 | 12/27/15 | Sunday | 11:52 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | Dusk Dealer Fahl | Hain | Wet | No Improper Driving | 53 | | | operator did not notice and ran over pedestrian. |
| | 10/00/07 | | 0.40 04 | C 1 1 1 1 0 1 | Dark - lighted | | | | | | | Witnessed by asline. Deduction was should be M0/4 in second |
| 56 | 12/30/15 | wednesday | 9:49 PM | Single Vehicle Crash | roadway | Hain | ice | Unknown | 32 | | | witnessed by police. Pedestrian was struck by MV1 in crosswalk. |

*Courtesy Crash - A term used to describe a crash that occurs subsequent to a non-involved mainline driver who gives the right of way, contrary to the rules of the road, to another driver.

APPENDIX J

Crash Data Summary Additional Data from Chelsea Police Department

| Crash Diagram | Crash Date | Crash Day | Time of Day | Manner of Collision | Light Condition | Weather Condition | Road Surface | Injury Status | Driver Contributing Code | Comments |
|------------------|------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 57 | 7/10/2011 | Sunday | 8:49AM | Rear-end | Daylight | Clear | Dry | Non-incapacitating | Unknown | Pedestrian was crossing the street in the cross walk when MV1 reversed and made contact with her left arm. She complained of left elbow pain and was treated and transported to the Whidden by Cataldo. MV1 stated that the victim was in the crosswalk, but he was reversing very slow to get a parking spot and does not believe he made contact with the victim. |
| 58 | 9/23/2011 | Friday | 9:08PM | Angle | Dark - Lighted Roadway | Rain | Wet | No Injury | No Improper Driving | MV1 intents on make the left turn onto Fourth Street. MV2 then passed MV1 on the right side, then makes sudden left turn in front of MV1. MV2 rear left strikes MV1 front right, taking off MV1's front bumper. MV2 continued on Fourth Street without stopping, making a turn onto Hawthorne street. MV2 could only be described as an older model green Chevrolet pick up truck. |
| 59 | 10/23/2011 | Sunday | 9:49AM | Single Vehicle Crash | Daylight | Clear | Dry | No Injury | Inattention | MV1 proceeded south on Broadway through intersection at Fourth St. At the same time pedestrian(#2) while operating a motorized chair crossed into lane of traffic beside marked pedestrian crosswalk when collision occurred. |
| 60 | 12/24/2011 | Saturday | 6:06PM | Angle | Dark - Lighted Roadway | Clear | Dry | No Injury | No Improper Driving | Owner of MV1 stated he parked his vehicle legally in a parking spot to go into a store; when he returned he observed MV2 had struck his parked vehicle causing damage to the driver's side front headlight, bumper, and hood. Operator of MV2 stated that he was moving the vehicle to get out of someone else's way and he backed into MV1. MV2 had damage to the rear bumper passenger side and rear taillight. |
| 61 | 4/16/2012 | Monday | 1:07PM | Sideswipe, same direction | Daylight | Clear | Dry | No Injury | Unknown | MV1 states his car was parked, and when he came out and observed damage to the front driver side of the MV. It appears that a MV drove by and sideswiped the car then drove off. He was advised to contact his insurance carrier. |
| 62 | 5/27/2012 | Sunday | 1:12AM | Single Vehicle Crash | Dark - Lighted Roadway | Clear | Dry | Possible | No Improper Driving | Vehicle was turning from Washington Ave on Broadway, driver lost control, hit curb and also struck cement barrier. Impact caused deployment of 2 front air bags, minor damage to bumper and wheel, as well as control arm. The head of the driver and passenger hit the windshield causing windshield to crack. Both refused Medical on the scene. Vehicle towed by Todisco Towing. |
| 63 | 9/21/2012 | Friday | 1:00PM | Sideswipe, same direction | Daylight | Cloudy | Dry | No Injury | No Improper Driving | Operator MV2 was driving when a vehicle from behind him sideswiped him. Operator of MV1 stated that he tried to go around MV2 when he hit it. No injuries were reported. |
| 64 | 10/15/2012 | Monday | 10:13AM | Sideswipe, same direction | Daylight | Clear | Dry | Unknown | Unknown | Operator of MV1 stated he parked and went into a store and observed through a window, a truck drive and sideswipe his MV then turn right and go down Broadway. He was unable to get a plate number or description of MV2. He was advised to contact his insurance carrier. |
| 65 | 2/25/2013 | Monday | 10:08AM | Rear-end | Daylight | Clear | Wet | No Injury | Inattention | MV1, a city of Chelsea DPW dump truck, was backing up and struck unoccupied vehicle #2, which was parked. The city of Chelsea vehicle had very minor damage to its rear bumper, and MV2 had minor damage to its front hood. No parties were injured and no vehicles were towed. |
| 66 | 3/31/2013 | Sunday | 7:59PM | Sideswipe, same direction | Dark - Lighted Roadway | Clear | Dry | Unknown | Unknown | Uwner of MvZ stated sne went into Heiler's Liquor Mart and when sne came out someone had struck her motor vehicle. The damage to motor vehicle was the entire passenger's side. No one observed the license plate of MV1 |
| 67 | 11/13/2013 | Wednesday | 5:36PM | Rear-End | Dark - Lighted Roadway | Clear | Dry | No Injury | No Improper Driving | MV1 was traveling on Broadway and slowed due to traffic crossing on Fourth St. MV2 struck MV1 in the rear. |
| 68 | 12/27/2013 | Friday | 10:58AM | Angle | Daylight | Clear | Wet | No Injury | Visibility Obstructed | MV2 struck as it was entering the intersection of Broadway and Fourth Street. View of MV1 at the intersection was blocked by and MBTA bus stopped at a bus stop. |
| 69 | 12/27/2013 | Friday | 6:16PM | Single Vehicle Crash | Dark - Lighted Roadway | Clear | Dry | No Injury | No Improper Driving | Owner of MV1 stated she was informed her vehicle was struck by an unknown vehicle. MV1 sustained heavy damage to the rear passenger tire. Officers on scene followed debris to the address of MV2, which an arrest was made. MV2 had heavy front damage to the driver's side tire. |
| 70 | 5/13/2014 | Tuesday | 4:20PM | Unknown | Daylight | Cloudy | Dry | Non-incapacitating | Inattention | MV1 backed into a MBTA bus. Operator of MV1 was complaining of head pain and transported to the Whidden Hospital. |
| 71 | 6/16/2014 | Monday | 2:29AM | Head-on | Dark - Lighted Roadway | Clear | Dry | Possible | Unknown | Collision at the intersection of Fourth street and Broadway. |
| 72 | 8/17/2014 | Sunday | 12:38AM | Rear-end | Dark - Lighted Roadway | Clear | Dry | No Injury | Followed too closely | MV1 was struck from behind by MV2 when MV1 had to stop abruptly for another MV. |
| 73 | 8/26/2014 | Tuesday | 12:54PM | Unknown | Daylight | Clear; Cloudy | Dry | No Injury | Unknown | Pedestrian struck by a black MV while crossing the street. |
| 74 | 9/13/2014 | Saturday | 11:41PM | Sideswipe, same direction | Dark - Lighted Roadway | Clear | Dry | No Injury | Unknown | MV1 was turning left on to Fourth St. from Broadway. MV2 was also turning left on to Fourth St. and made contact with MV1. |
| 75 | 9/18/2014 | Thursday | 8:35PM | Angle | Dark - Lighted Roadway | Clear; Cloudy | Dry | No Injury | Inattention | The operator of MV stated that she did not know the MVs had collided. Operator of MV2 stated she did not see any damage until after operator of MV1 left the area. |
| 76 | 4/25/2015 | Saturday | 11:55AM | Single Vehicle Crash | Daylight | Clear | Dry | No Injury | Operating defective equipment | MVs brakes failed. MV rolled backwards across Hawthorne St. and Broadway and struck a trash receptacle. |
| 78 | 5/29/2015 | Friday | 4:56PM | Sideswipe, same direction | Daylight | Clear | Dry | No Injury | Inattention | MV1 was making a left turn when it collided with MV2. MV2 was turning left when it was struck by the trailer's rear tire of MV1. |
| 79 | 8/24/2015 | Monday | 8:54AM | Angle | Daylight | Clear | Dry | Possible | Unknown | Collision at the intersection of Fourth street and Broadway. |

APPENDIX K

Intersection Capacity Analyses Proposed Signal Setting under 2040 Projected Conditions Broadway at Fifth Street

| | * | ۲ | × | 4 | ¥ | * | | |
|-----------------------------------------|----------|------|----------|--------|------------|-------|------|---|
| Lane Group | NBI | NBR | NFT | NFR | SWI | SWT | Ø2 | |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | * | ** | ~2 | _ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 625 | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 625 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 | 0 | 1700 | 0 | 150 | 1700 | | |
| Storage Lanes | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 130 | | | |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 | 0 | | U | 25 | | | |
| Satd Flow (prot) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1321 | 2621 | | |
| Elt Permitted | U | 0 | U | U | 0.950 | 2021 | | |
| Satd Flow (perm) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1276 | 2621 | | |
| Right Turn on Red | U | No | 0 | No | No | 2021 | | |
| Satd Flow (RTOR) | | NO | | NO | NO | | | |
| Link Speed (mph) | 25 | | 25 | | | 25 | | |
| Link Distance (ft) | 56 | | 174 | | | 280 | | |
| Travel Time (s) | 15 | | 174 | | | 7.6 | | |
| Confl Peds (#/hr) | 25 25 | 163 | 4./ | 30 | 20 | 7.0 | | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 00 | 0 03 | 0 02 | 0 0 20 | 0.97 | 0.87 | | |
| Growth Factor | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 7% | 7% | | |
| Rus Blockages (#/br) | 2 /0 | 270 | 270 | 2 /0 | 0 | 20 | | |
| Dus Diockages (#/III) Darking (#/br) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 164 | 826 | | |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Split | NA | | |
| Protoctod Phasos | | | | | Jpiit 1 | 1 | С | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | 1 | 1 | Z | |
| Minimum Split (s) | | | | | 25 O | 35.0 | 30.0 | |
| Total Split (s) | | | | | 25.0 | 25.0 | 20.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | | | | | 52.0% | 52.0% | 30.0 | |
| Vollow Time (s) | | | | | 00.070 | 10 | 4070 | |
| All Dod Time (s) | | | | | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | |
| Aurice Time Adjust (s) | | | | | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | |
| LUST TIME AUJUST (S) | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Load/Lag | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Leau/Lay | | | | | Leau | Leau | Ldy | |
| Leau-Lay Optimize? | | | | | 20.0 | 20.0 | 162 | |
| Actuated a/C Datio | | | | | 29.0 | 29.0 | | |
| Actualeu y/C Kallo | | | | | 0.40 | 0.45 | | |
| WC Kallo | | | | | 0.28 | 0./1 | | |
| Control Delay | | | | | 13.0 | 18.7 | | |
| Queue Delay | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total Delay | | | | | 13.0 | 18.7 | | |
| LUS Annacah Dalau | | | | | В | 17 7 | | |
| Approach Delay | | | | | | 17.7 | | |
| Approach LUS | | | | | | B | | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | | | | | 39 | 132 | | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | | | <u>.</u> | | /4 | 184 | | |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 1 | | 94 | | | 200 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | | | | | 150 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | | | | | 589 | 1169 | | |

2040 AM Projected Traffic Conditions

| | * | ۲ | × | 4 | ¥ | * | |
|------------------------------|---------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---|
| Lane Group | NBL | NBR | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | | | | | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | | | | | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | | | | | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.28 | 0.71 | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | CBD | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 65 | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 65 | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced | I to phase 1: | SWTL, St | art of Gre | een, Maste | er Interse | ection | |
| Natural Cycle: 65 | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Pretimed | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71 | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: | 17.7 | | | Int | tersectior | n LOS: B | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation 43.7% | | | IC | U Level o | of Service | А |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Broadway/Washington Avenue

| #1 #3 | |
|------------------|-------|
| € →ø1 (R) | A 802 |
| 35 s | 30 s |

| | - | \rightarrow | 1 | + | - | 1 | |
|-------------------------|-------------|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | Ø2 |
| Lane Configurations | ≜1 ⊾ | | | | | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 507 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 507 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | |
| Satd Flow (prot) | 2573 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Flt Permitted | 2070 | Ű | Ű | Ū | Ű | Ű | |
| Satd Flow (perm) | 2573 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Right Turn on Red | 2070 | Yes | Ű | Ū | Ű | Yes | |
| Satd Flow (RTOR) | | | | | | | |
| Link Speed (mph) | 25 | | | 25 | 25 | | |
| Link Distance (ft) | 208 | | | 89 | 51 | | |
| Travel Time (s) | 5.7 | | | 2.4 | 1.4 | | |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 011 | 100 | 100 | 2 | 88 | 122 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | |
| Growth Factor | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 9% | 9% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | |
| Bus Blockages (#/hr) | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Parking (#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | Ŭ | v | v | Ŭ | v | Ŭ | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 607 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Turn Type | NA | Ū | Ū | Ŭ | Ū | Ū | |
| Protected Phases | 1 | | | | | | 2 |
| Permitted Phases | · | | | | | | _ |
| Minimum Split (s) | 35.0 | | | | | | 30.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 35.0 | | | | | | 30.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 53.8% | | | | | | 46% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4 0 | | | | | | 2.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | | | | | | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | | | | | | 2.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | | | | | | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | | | | | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Loud | | | | | | Yes |
| Act Effet Green (s) | 29.0 | | | | | | 105 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.45 | | | | | | |
| v/c. Ratio | 0.53 | | | | | | |
| Control Delay | 15.2 | | | | | | |
| Oueue Delay | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| Total Delay | 15.0 | | | | | | |
| LOS | R | | | | | | |
| Approach Delay | 15.2 | | | | | | |
| Approach LOS | R | | | | | | |
| Oueue Length 50th (ft) | D 27 | | | | | | |
| Oueue Length 95th (ft) | 121 | | | | | | |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 172 | | | 0 | 1 | | |
| Turn Bay Longth (ft) | 120 | | | 7 | | | |
| Rase Canacity (in) | 11/7 | | | | | | |
| Starvation Can Doducto | 1147 O | | | | | | |
| Snillback Can Doducth | 0 | | | | | | |
| Storage Can Deducto | 0 | | | | | | |
| Sidraye Cap Reducin | U | | | | | | |

2040 AM Projected Traffic Conditions
\rightarrow \rightarrow \checkmark \leftarrow \checkmark \land

| | | • | • | | ' | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----|
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | Ø2 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.53 | | | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | CBD | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 65 | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 65 | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced | to phase 1: | SWTL, St | art of Gre | een, Maste | er Interse | ction | |
| Natural Cycle: 65 | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Pretimed | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71 | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 1 | 5.2 | | | In | tersection | LOS: B | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | tion 39.4% | | | IC | U Level o | of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 3: Hawthorn Street

| #1 #3 | | |
|-------|------|--|
| | | |
| 35 s | 30 s | |

Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Broadway/Washington Avenue

| | * | ľ | × | 4 | ¥ | * | | |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|------|--|
| Lane Group | NBL | NBR | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | Ø2 | |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | ħ | ** | | |
| Traffic Volume (vnh) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 460 | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 460 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vnhnl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 | 0 | 1700 | 0 | 150 | 1700 | | |
| Storago Lanos | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 150 | | | |
| Tapor Longth (ft) | 25 | 0 | | U | 25 | | | |
| Satd Flow (prot) | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1/13 | 2646 | | |
| Elt Pormittod | U | 0 | U | U | 0.050 | 2040 | | |
| Satd Flow (norm) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122/ | 2646 | | |
| Dight Turn on Dod | U | No | U | No | No | 2040 | | |
| Satd Flow (PTOP) | | NO | | NO | NO | | | |
| Link Snood (mnh) | 25 | | 25 | | | 25 | | |
| Link Distanco (ff) | 56 | | 17/ | | | 20 | | |
| Travel Time (s) | 50 1 F | | 174 | | | 7.6 | | |
| Confl Pads (#/br) | 1.0 | 200 | 4.7 | 50 | 50 | 7.0 | | |
| Doak Hour Factor | 00 | 0 02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.07 | | |
| Growth Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.77 | 0.97 | | |
| Heavy Vehiclos (%) | 20/ | 110% 20/ | 20/ | 110% 20/ | n0/ | L10 % | | |
| Pus Plackages (#/br) | 2 /0 | 2 /0 | 2 /0 | 2 /0 | 0 /0 | 20 | | |
| Dus Diuckayes (#/III) Darking (#/br) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | | |
| Paiking (#/III) Shared Lane Traffic (9/) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Lang Croup Flow (upb) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 | F / F | | |
| Larie Group Flow (vpri) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | CII Solit | 545 NA | | |
| Turri Type Dratastad Dhasas | | | | | Spiit | INA 1 | ſ | |
| Protected Phases | | | | | I | 1 | Z | |
| Total Split (c) | | | | | 25.0 | 2E 0 | 20.0 | |
| Total Spiit (S) | | | | | 35.0 | 35.0 | 30.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (S) | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Act Elici Green (S) | | | | | 29.0 | 29.0 | | |
| Actualed g/C Rallo | | | | | 0.45 | 0.45 | | |
| V/C KallO | | | | | 0.18 | 0.46 | | |
| Control Delay | | | | | 11.9 | 14.2 | | |
| Queue Delay | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Total Delay | | | | | 11.9 | 14.2 | | |
| LUS | | | | | В | 10 O | | |
| Approach Delay | | | | | | 13.8 | | |
| Approach LOS | | | | | | В | | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | | | | | 26 | /5 | | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | | | | | 55 | 114 | | |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 1 | | 94 | | | 200 | | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | | | | | 150 | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | | | | | 630 | 1180 | | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | | | | | 0 | 0 | | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | | | | | 0 | 0 | | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | | | | | 0 | 0 | | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.18 | 0.46 | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | CBD | | | | | | | |
| 9 1 | | | | | | | | |

2040 PM Projected Traffic Conditions 12/28/2016

Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Broadway/Washington Avenue

| Cycle Length: 65 | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Actuated Cycle Length: 65 | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 1:SWTL, Start of G | reen, Master Intersection | |
| Control Type: Pretimed | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72 | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 13.8 | Intersection LOS: B | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 38.3% | ICU Level of Service A | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Broadway/Washington Avenue

| #1 | #3 | | |
|------------|---------|-------|--|
| , ¥ | →Ø1 (R) | Ĵŧ₿ø₂ | |
| 35 s | | 30 s | |

Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: Hawthorn Street

| | - | \mathbf{r} | 1 | + | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|------|--|--|--|--|
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | Ø2 | | | | |
| Lane Configurations | ≜1 6 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 701 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 701 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | | | | |
| Satd, Flow (prot) | 2671 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Flt Permitted | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 2671 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Right Turn on Red | | Yes | | | | Yes | | | | | |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Link Speed (mph) | 25 | | | 25 | 25 | | | | | | |
| Link Distance (ft) | 208 | | | 89 | 51 | | | | | | |
| Travel Time (s) | 5.7 | | | 2.4 | 1.4 | | | | | | |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | 100 | 100 | | 109 | 117 | | | | | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | | | | | |
| Growth Factor | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | | | | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 5% | 5% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | | | | | |
| Bus Blockages (#/hr) | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Parking (#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | Ū | Ū | Ŭ | Ū | Ū | 0 | | | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 858 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Turn Type | NA | Ū | Ŭ | Ū | Ū | 0 | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | 1 | | | | | | 2 | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | • | | | | | | - | | | | |
| Total Split (s) | 35.0 | | | | | | 30.0 | | | | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | | | | | | 0010 | | | | |
| Act Effet Green (s) | 29.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.45 | | | | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.72 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Delay | 19.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oueue Delay | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Delay | 19.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | B | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annroach Delay | 19.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Approach LOS | R | | | | | | | | | | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 138 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 203 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 128 | | | 9 | 1 | | | | | | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 120 | | | , | • | | | | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1191 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Starvation Can Reductn | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.72 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area Type | CBD | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 65 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length 65 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset (1%) Referencer | to nhase 1. | SWTI SI | tart of Gra | en Mas | ter Interse | oction | | | | | |
| | אוז בו. ט (ט זט), ולכובו בוולבע נט מומצר ז. אייז ד, אמו טו טו פרוז, ויומאנלו ווונלואפלנוטוו | | | | | | | | | | |

2040 PM Projected Traffic Conditions 12/28/2016

Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: Hawthorn Street

35 s

| Control Type: Pretimed | |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72 | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 19.0 | Intersection LOS: B |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 46.2% | ICU Level of Service A |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | |
| Splits and Phases: 3: Hawthorn Street | |
| #1 #3 ▲ Ø1(R) | * A Ø2 |

30 s

Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Broadway/Washington Avenue

| | * | ۲ | × | 4 | ¥ | * | |
|-------------------------------------------|------|------------|------|------|-------------|----------|------|
| Lane Group | NBI | NBR | NFT | NFR | SWI | SWT | Ø2 |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | * | ** | ~2 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 515 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 515 | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 | 0 | 1700 | 0 | 150 | 1700 | |
| Storage Lanes | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 1 | | |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 | U | | Ū | 25 | | |
| Satd Flow (prot) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1413 | 2671 | |
| Elt Permitted | 0 | U | Ū | Ū | 0.950 | 2071 | |
| Satd Flow (perm) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1334 | 2671 | |
| Right Turn on Red | 0 | No | 0 | No | No | 2071 | |
| Satd Flow (RTOR) | | NO | | 110 | 110 | | |
| Link Speed (mph) | 25 | | 25 | | | 25 | |
| Link Distance (ff) | 56 | | 174 | | | 280 | |
| Travel Time (s) | 15 | | Δ7 | | | 7.6 | |
| Confl Peds (#/hr) | 128 | 325 | 4.7 | 50 | 50 | 7.0 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0 02 | 0 02 | 0 02 | 0 02 | 0 01 | 0 01 | |
| Growth Factor | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 20% | 20/ 20/ | 2% | 20% | n% | 5% | |
| Rus Blockages (#/br) | 2 /0 | 2 /0 0 | 2 /0 | 2 /0 | 0 /0 | 20 | |
| Dus Diockayes (#/III) Darking (#/br) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Faiking (#/11) Sharod Lano Traffic (%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Lano Croup Flow (uph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 07 | 651 | |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 07 Split | NA | |
| Protected Dhases | | | | | Spiit 1 | INA 1 | 2 |
| Protected Phases | | | | | I | 1 | Z |
| Minimum Split (c) | | | | | 25.0 | 2E 0 | 20.0 |
| Minimum Split (S) | | | | | 35.0 | 35.0 | 30.0 |
| Total Split (S) | | | | | 30.0 | 30.U | 30.0 |
| Tutal Spill (%) | | | | | 53.8% | 53.8% | 40% |
| Tellow Time (S) | | | | | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 |
| All-Red Time (S) | | | | | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| LOST TIME Adjust (S) | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (S) | | | | | 6.0 | 6.0 | 1 - |
| Lead/Lag | | | | | Lead | Lead | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | 00.0 | 00.0 | Yes |
| Act Effect Green (s) | | | | | 29.0 | 29.0 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | | | 0.45 | 0.45 | |
| v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.14 | 0.55 | |
| Control Delay | | | | | 11.4 | 15.3 | |
| Queue Delay | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | | | | | 11.4 | 15.3 | |
| LOS | | | | | В | В | |
| Approach Delay | | | | | | 14.9 | |
| Approach LOS | | | | | | В | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | | | | | 19 | 94 | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | | | | | 43 | 141 | |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 1 | | 94 | | | 200 | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | | | | | 150 | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | | | | | 630 | 1191 | |

2040 Saturday Projected Traffic Conditions 12/28/2016

Synchro 9 Report Page 1

Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Broadway/Washington Avenue

| | * | 1 | × | 4 | 4 | * | |
|------------------------------|--------------|----------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|---|
| Lane Group | NBI | NBR | NFT | NFR | SWI | SWT | (|
| Starvation Cap Reductn | | | | | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | | | | | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | | | | | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.14 | 0.55 | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | CBD | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 65 | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 65 | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced | to phase 1:5 | SWTL, St | art of Gre | en, Maste | er Interse | ection | |
| Natural Cycle: 65 | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Pretimed | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.76 | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 7 | 14.9 | | | In | tersectior | n LOS: B | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation 39.9% | | | IC | U Level of | of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Broadway/Washington Avenue

| #1 #3 | |
|---------------------|-------|
| i € → Ø1 (R) | A 802 |
| 35 s | 30 s |

Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: Hawthorn Street

| | - | \mathbf{r} | - | - | 1 | 1 | | |
|--------------------------|-------|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|--|
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | Ø2 | |
| Lane Configurations | A1. | 2011 | | | | | ~ = | |
| Traffic Volume (vnh) | 717 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 717 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Ideal Flow (vnhnl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | |
| Satd Flow (prot) | 2671 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Flt Permitted | 2071 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Satd Flow (perm) | 2671 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Right Turn on Red | 2071 | Yes | Ū | 0 | U | Yes | | |
| Satd Flow (RTOR) | | 100 | | | | 100 | | |
| Link Speed (mph) | 25 | | | 25 | 25 | | | |
| Link Distance (ff) | 208 | | | 89 | 51 | | | |
| Travel Time (s) | 5.7 | | | 2.4 | 1.4 | | | |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | | 100 | 100 | | 110 | 122 | | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | | |
| Growth Factor | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 5% | 5% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | | |
| Bus Blockages (#/hr) | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Parking (#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 906 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Turn Type | NA | | | | | | | |
| Protected Phases | 1 | | | | | | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 35.0 | | | | | | 30.0 | |
| Total Split (s) | 35.0 | | | | | | 30.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 53.8% | | | | | | 46% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | | | | | | 2.0 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | | | | | | 2.0 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | | | | | | | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | | | | | | | |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | | | | | | Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | | Yes | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 29.0 | | | | | | | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.45 | | | | | | | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.76 | | | | | | | |
| Control Delay | 20.2 | | | | | | | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | | | | | | | |
| Total Delay | 20.2 | | | | | | | |
| LOS | С | | | | | | | |
| Approach Delay | 20.2 | | | | | | | |
| Approach LUS | C | | | | | | | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 150 | | | | | | | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 220 | | | 0 | 1 | | | |
| Turn Double and the (ft) | 128 | | | 9 | 1 | | | |
| Turn Bay Length (II) | 1101 | | | | | | | |
| Dase Capacity (Vpn) | 1191 | | | | | | | |
| Starvation Cap Reducth | U | | | | | | | |
| Spillback Cap Reductin | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Siorage Cap Reducin | U | | | | | | | |

2040 Saturday Projected Traffic Conditions 12/28/2016

Synchro 9 Report Page 1

\rightarrow \rightarrow \checkmark \leftarrow \checkmark \land

| | | • | • | | 1 | · · | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|---------|-----------|-----------|------------|--------------|----|
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR | Ø2 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.76 | | | | | | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | CBD | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 65 | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 65 | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced | to phase 1: | SWTL, S | art of Gr | een, Mast | er Interse | ection | |
| Natural Cycle: 65 | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Pretimed | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.76 | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 2 | 0.2 | | | In | tersection | n LOS: C | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | tion 46.8% | | | IC | U Level | of Service / | A |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 3: Hawthorn Street

| #1 #3 | |
|-------|------|
| | |
| 35 s | 30 s |

APPENDIX L

Intersection Capacity Analyses Proposed Signal Setting under 2040 Projected Conditions Broadway at Fourth Street

| | ۶ | - | \mathbf{F} | 4 | + | • | • | 1 | ۲ | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|-------------------------|------|-------|--------------|---------|------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|---------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | 41 | | | | | | • | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 470 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147 | 174 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 470 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147 | 174 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2789 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1444 | 1228 |
| Flt Permitted | | | | | 0.991 | | | | | | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2695 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1444 | 1093 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | No | No | | No | | | No | | | No |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 381 | | | 317 | | | 190 | | | 193 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 10.4 | | | 8.6 | | | 5.2 | | | 5.3 | |
| Confl Peds (#/hr) | 76 | 10.1 | 97 | 97 | 0.0 | 76 | 87 | 0.2 | 39 | 39 | 0.0 | 87 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.97 | 0 97 | 0 97 |
| Growth Factor | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 2% | 6% | 6% | 6% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 3% | 3% | 3% |
| Parking (#/hr) | 270 | 270 | 2,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 270 | 2,0 | 2,0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | U | U | 0 | 0 | U | U | 0 | U |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7/3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17/ | 206 |
| | U | U | 0 | Dorm | NA | 0 | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | ΝA | Dorm |
| Protected Phases | | | | I CIIII | 1 | | | | | | 2 | 1 CIIII |
| Protected Phases | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | Z | ე |
| Dotoctor Phaso | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Dhaso | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 2 | Z |
| Minimum Initial (s) | | | | 5.0 | 5.0 | | | | | | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | | | | 21.0 | 21.0 | | | | | | 21.0 | 21.0 |
| Total Split (s) | | | | 21.0 | 21.0 | | | | | | 21.0 | 21.0 |
| Total Split (S) | | | | 25.0 | 25.0 | | | | | | 21.0 | 21.0 |
| Vellow Time (s) | | | | 30.4% | 30.4 <i>7</i> 0 2.0 | | | | | | 32.370 | 32.370 |
| All Dod Time (s) | | | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | | | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Reu Time (S) | | | | 1.0 | 1.0 | | | | | | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| LOST TIME AUJUST (S) | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | | | Lood | 4.0 | | | | | | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lead Log Optimize? | | | | Leau | Leau | | | | | | Lay | Lay |
| | | | | res | res | | | | | | Nono | Nono |
| Act Effet Creen (c) | | | | IVIAX | | | | | | | 14.2 | 14.2 |
| Act Elici Green (S) | | | | | 22.2 | | | | | | 14.2 | 14.2 |
| | | | | | 0.40 | | | | | | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| V/C Rallo | | | | | 0.69 | | | | | | 0.47 | 0.74 |
| Control Delay | | | | | 24.3 | | | | | | 24.0 | 39.5 |
| Queue Delay | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| l otal Delay | | | | | 24.3 | | | | | | 24.0 | 39.5 |
| LUS | | | | | J | | | | | | J | D |
| Approach Delay | | | | | 24.3 | | | | | | 32.4 | |
| Approach LOS | | | | | C | | | | | | C | 74 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | | | | | 150 | | | | | | 58 | /4 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | | 0.6.1 | | | #252 | | | 475 | | | 112 | #1/1 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | | 301 | | | 237 | | | 110 | | | 113 | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | | | | | 1075 | | | | | | 464 | 351 |

2040 AM Projected Traffic Conditions

Synchro 9 Report Page 1

| Lane Group | Ø3 |
|-------------------------|------|
| LaneConfigurations | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | |
| Future Volume (vph) | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | |
| Flt Permitted | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | |
| Right Turn on Red | |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | |
| Link Speed (mph) | |
| Link Distance (ft) | |
| Travel Time (s) | |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | |
| Peak Hour Factor | |
| Growth Factor | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | |
| Parking (#/hr) | |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | |
| Turn Type | |
| Protected Phases | 3 |
| Permitted Phases | |
| Detector Phase | |
| Switch Phase | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 21.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 32% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | |
| Lead/Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | |
| Recall Mode | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | |
| v/c Ratio | |
| Control Delay | |
| Queue Delay | |
| l otal Delay | |
| LOS | |
| Approach Delay | |
| Approach LOS | |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) | |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | |

2040 AM Projected Traffic Conditions

| | ٦ | → | \mathbf{r} | 4 | + | • | 1 | Ť | 1 | 1 | Ļ | ~ |
|------------------------------|----------------|------------|--------------|----------|-------------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | | | | | 0 | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | | | | | 0 | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | | | | | 0 | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.69 | | | | | | 0.38 | 0.59 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | CBD | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 65 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 55 | 5.6 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 65 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Semi Act-U | ncoord | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.74 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: | 27.0 | | | In | itersection | 1 LOS: C | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | zation 46.5% | | | IC | CU Level | of Service | A | | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| # 95th percentile volume | e exceeds ca | bacity, qu | eue may | be longe | r. | | | | | | | |
| Queue shown is maxin | num after two | cycles. | , | Ū | | | | | | | | |
| Splits and Phases: 8: 4 | th Street & Br | oadwav | | | | | | | | | | |

| ↓ Ø1 | ∲ Ø2 | |
|-------------|------|------|
| 23 s | 21 s | 21 s |

| | ٦ | - | $\mathbf{\hat{v}}$ | 1 | - | × | 1 | t | ۲ | 1 | ţ | ~ |
|----------------------------|-------|------|--------------------|---------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|-------------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | | | | | | | • | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 308 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 166 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 308 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 166 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2770 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1444 | 1228 |
| Flt Permitted | | | | | 0.984 | | | | | | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2306 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1444 | 1079 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | No | No | | No | | | No | | | No |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 381 | | | 317 | | | 190 | | | 193 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 10.4 | | | 8.6 | | | 5.2 | | | 5.3 | |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 167 | | 285 | 285 | | 167 | 97 | | 132 | 132 | | 97 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 |
| Growth Factor | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 2% | 6% | 6% | 6% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 3% | 3% | 3% |
| Parking (#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | Ū | Ű | Ű | Ũ | Ũ | Ű | Ű | Ű | Ű | Ũ | Ŭ | Ű |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 568 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 292 | 205 |
| | 0 | U | U | Perm | NΔ | U | U | 0 | U | 0 | NΔ | Perm |
| Protected Phases | | | | 1 Cilli | 1 | | | | | | 2 | 1 CHI |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 1 | | | | | | | 2 | 2 |
| Total Solit (s) | | | | 23.0 | 23.0 | | | | | | 21.0 | 21.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | | | | 20.0 | 4.0 | | | | | | 4.0 | 21.0 4.0 |
| Act Effet Green (s) | | | | | 20.4 | | | | | | 14.6 | 14.6 |
| Actuated a/C Ratio | | | | | 0.38 | | | | | | 0.27 | 0.27 |
| v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.50 | | | | | | 0.27 | 0.27 |
| Control Delay | | | | | 23.5 | | | | | | 35.3 | 36.70 |
| | | | | | 20.0 | | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | | | | | 23.5 | | | | | | 25.2 | 36.7 |
| | | | | | 23.3 C | | | | | | JJ.J | JU.7 |
| Approach Delay | | | | | 23.5 | | | | | | 25 Q | D |
| Approach LOS | | | | | 23.3 C | | | | | | JJ.7 | |
| Approach 2005 | | | | | 110 | | | | | | 107 | 74 |
| Queue Length 30th (ft) | | | | | #105 | | | | | | #222 | #170 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | | 201 | | | #175 227 | | | 110 | | | π222 113 | #170 |
| Turn Bay Longth (ft) | | 301 | | | 237 | | | 110 | | | 115 | |
| Rase Canacity (vnh) | | | | | 870 | | | | | | 187 | 364 |
| Starvation Can Poductn | | | | | 070 | | | | | | 407 | |
| Snillback Can Reductn | | | | | 0 | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Can Reductin | | | | | 0 | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Poducod v/c Patio | | | | | 0.65 | | | | | | 0 60 | 0 56 |
| | | | | | 0.05 | | | | | | 0.00 | 0.50 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | CBD | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 65 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 54. | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Semi Act-Unc | coord | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.75 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

2040 PM Projected Traffic Conditions 12/28/2016

| Lane Group | Ø3 |
|-------------------------|------|
| LaneConfigurations | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | |
| Future Volume (vph) | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | |
| Flt Permitted | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | |
| Right Turn on Red | |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | |
| Link Speed (mph) | |
| Link Distance (ft) | |
| Travel Time (s) | |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | |
| Peak Hour Factor | |
| Growth Factor | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | |
| Parking (#/hr) | |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | |
| Turn Type | |
| Protected Phases | 3 |
| Permitted Phases | |
| Total Split (s) | 21.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | |
| v/c Ratio | |
| Control Delay | |
| Queue Delay | |
| Total Delay | |
| LOS | |
| Approach Delay | |
| Approach LOS | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | |
| Intersection Summary | |

| Intersection Signal Delay: 29.3 | Intersection LOS: C | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 42.3% | ICU Level of Service A | | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | |
| # 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. | | | | | | |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 8: 4th Street & Broadway

| √ Ø1 | ♦ Ø2 | λ i , | Z 3 |
|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------|
| 23 s | 21 s | 21 s | |

| | ۶ | - | \mathbf{r} | 4 | + | * | • | t | ۲ | 1 | ŧ | ~ |
|-------------------------|------|------|--------------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | | | | | 41 | | | | | | • | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 342 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222 | 183 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 342 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222 | 183 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2829 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1473 | 1252 |
| Flt Permitted | | | | | 0.986 | | | | | | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2394 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1473 | 1050 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | No | No | | No | | | No | | | No |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 381 | | | 317 | | | 190 | | | 193 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 10.4 | | | 8.6 | | | 5.2 | | | 5.3 | |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | 235 | | 377 | 377 | | 235 | 133 | | 105 | 105 | | 133 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Growth Factor | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% | 115% |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | 2% | 2% | 2% | 4% | 4% | 4% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 1% | 1% | 1% |
| Parking (#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 623 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 297 | 245 |
| Turn Type | - | - | - | Perm | NA | - | - | - | - | - | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 2 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | | 1 | - | | | | | | | 2 |
| Detector Phase | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | | | | 5.0 | 5.0 | | | | | | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | | | | 21.0 | 21.0 | | | | | | 21.0 | 21.0 |
| Total Split (s) | | | | 23.0 | 23.0 | | | | | | 21.0 | 21.0 |
| Total Split (%) | | | | 35.4% | 35.4% | | | | | | 32.3% | 32.3% |
| Yellow Time (s) | | | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | | | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | | | | 1.0 | 1.0 | | | | | | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | | | | | 4.0 | | | | | | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lead/Lag | | | | Lead | Lead | | | | | | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | Yes | Yes | | | | | | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | | | | Мах | Мах | | | | | | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | | | | | 20.0 | | | | | | 16.4 | 16.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | | | | | 0.36 | | | | | | 0.29 | 0.29 |
| v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.73 | | | | | | 0.68 | 0.79 |
| Control Delay | | | | | 26.2 | | | | | | 30.8 | 44.0 |
| Queue Delay | | | | | 0.0 | | | | | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | | | | | 26.2 | | | | | | 30.8 | 44.0 |
| LOS | | | | | С | | | | | | С | D |
| Approach Delay | | | | | 26.2 | | | | | | 36.8 | |
| Approach LOS | | | | | С | | | | | | D | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | | | | | 123 | | | | | | 109 | 93 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | | | | | #208 | | | | | | #207 | #202 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | | 301 | | | 237 | | | 110 | | | 113 | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | | | | | 859 | | | | | | 473 | 337 |

2040 Saturday Projected Traffic Conditions 12/28/2016

Synchro 9 Report Page 1

| Lane Group | Ø3 |
|-------------------------|------|
| LaneConfigurations | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | |
| Future Volume (vph) | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | |
| Flt Permitted | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | |
| Right Turn on Red | |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | |
| Link Speed (mph) | |
| Link Distance (ft) | |
| Travel Time (s) | |
| Confl. Peds. (#/hr) | |
| Peak Hour Factor | |
| Growth Factor | |
| Heavy Vehicles (%) | |
| Parking (#/hr) | |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | |
| Turn Type | |
| Protected Phases | 3 |
| Permitted Phases | |
| Detector Phase | |
| Switch Phase | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 21.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 32% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | |
| Lead/Lag | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | |
| Recall Mode | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | |
| v/c Ratio | |
| Control Delay | |
| Queue Delay | |
| Total Delay | |
| LOS | |
| Approach Delay | |
| Approach LOS | |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | |

2040 Saturday Projected Traffic Conditions 12/28/2016

| | ≯ | - | \mathbf{r} | 4 | + | • | 1 | Ť | 1 | \$ | ţ | ~ |
|------------------------------|----------------|------------|--------------|----------|-------------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | | | | | 0 | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | | | | | 0 | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | | | | | 0 | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | | | | | 0.73 | | | | | | 0.63 | 0.73 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | CBD | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 65 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 55 | 5.6 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 70 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Semi Act-U | ncoord | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: | 31.1 | | | In | itersectior | n LOS: C | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | zation 45.0% | | | IC | CU Level | of Service | A | | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| # 95th percentile volume | e exceeds ca | bacity, qu | eue may | be longe | r. | | | | | | | |
| Queue shown is maxin | num after two | cycles. | | Ŭ | | | | | | | | |
| Splits and Phases: 8: 4 | th Street & Br | oadway | | | | | | | | | | |

| ₩ Ø1 | | ∦k ø₃ |
|-------------|------|--------------|
| 23 s | 21 s | 21 s |

APPENDIX M

MassDOT Project Development Process

Overview of the Project Development Process

Transportation decision-making is complex and can be influenced by legislative mandates, environmental regulations, financial limitations, agency programmatic commitments, and partnering opportunities. Decision-makers and reviewing agencies, when consulted early and often throughout the project development process, can ensure that all participants understand the potential impact these factors can have on project implementation. Project development is the process that takes a transportation improvement from concept through construction.

The MassDOT Highway Division has developed a comprehensive project development process which is contained in Chapter 2 of the *MassDOT Highway Division's Project Development and Design Guide*. The eight-step process covers a range of activities extending from identification of a project need, through completion of a set of finished contract plans, to construction of the project. The sequence of decisions made through the project development process progressively narrows the project focus and, ultimately, leads to a project that addresses the identified needs. The descriptions provided below are focused on the process for a highway project, but the same basic process will need to be followed for non-highway projects as well.

1. Needs Identification

For each of the locations at which an improvement is to be implemented, MassDOT leads an effort to define the problem, establishes project goals and objectives, and defines the scope of the planning needed for implementation. To that end, it has to complete a Project Need Form (PNF), which states in general terms the deficiencies or needs related to the transportation facility or location. The PNF documents the problems and explains why corrective action is needed. For this study, the information defining the need for the project will be drawn primarily, perhaps exclusively, from the present report. Also, at this point in the process, MassDOT meets with potential participants, such as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and community members, to allow for an informal review of the project.

The PNF is reviewed by the MassDOT Highway Division district office whose jurisdiction includes the location of the proposed project. MassDOT also sends the PNF to the MPO, for informational purposes. The outcome of this step determines whether the project requires further planning, whether it is already well supported by prior planning studies, and, therefore, whether it is ready to move forward into the design phase, or whether it should be dismissed from further consideration.

2. Planning

This phase will likely not be required for the implementation of the improvements proposed in this planning study, as this planning report should constitute the outcome of this step. However, in general, the purpose of this implementation step is for the project proponent to identify issues, impacts, and approvals that may need to be obtained, so that the subsequent design and permitting processes are understood.

The level of planning needed will vary widely, based on the complexity of the project. Typical tasks include: define the existing context, confirm project need, establish goals and objectives, initiate public outreach, define the project, collect data, develop and analyze alternatives, make

recommendations, and provide documentation. Likely outcomes include consensus on the project definition to enable it to move forward into environmental documentation (if needed) and design, or a recommendation to delay the project or dismiss it from further consideration.

3. Project Initiation

At this point in the process, the proponent, MassDOT Highway Division, fills out a Project Initiation Form (PIF) for each improvement, which is reviewed by its Project Review Committee (PRC) and the MPO. The PRC is composed of the Chief Engineer, each District Highway Director, and representatives of the Project Management, Environmental, Planning, Right-of-Way, Traffic, and Bridge departments, and the MassDOT Federal Aid Program Office (FAPO). The PIF documents the project type and description, summarizes the project planning process, identifies likely funding and project management responsibility, and defines a plan for interagency and public participation. First the PRC reviews and evaluates the proposed project based on the MassDOT's statewide priorities and criteria. If the result is positive, MassDOT Highway Division moves the project forward to the design phase, and to programming review by the MPO. The PRC may provide a Project Management Plan to define roles and responsibilities for subsequent steps. The MPO review includes project evaluation based on the MPO's regional priorities and criteria. The MPO may assign project evaluation criteria score, a Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) year, a tentative project category, and a tentative funding category.

4. Environmental Permitting, Design, and Right-of-Way Process

This step has four distinct but closely integrated elements: public outreach, environmental documentation and permitting (if required), design, and right-of-way acquisition (if required). The outcome of this step is a fully designed and permitted project ready for construction. However, a project does not have to be fully designed in order for the MPO to program it in the TIP. The sections below provide more detailed information on the four elements of this step of the project development process.

Public Outreach

Continued public outreach in the design and environmental process is essential to maintain public support for the project and to seek meaningful input on the design elements. The public outreach is often in the form of required public hearings, but can also include less formal dialogues with those interested in and affected by a proposed project.

Environmental Documentation and Permitting

The project proponent, in coordination with the Environmental Services section of the MassDOT Highway Division, will be responsible for identifying and complying with all applicable federal, state, and local environmental laws and requirements. This includes determining the appropriate project category for both the Massachusetts Environmental Protection Act (MEPA) and the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA). Environmental documentation and permitting is often completed in conjunction with the **Preliminary Design** phase described below.

Design

There are three major phases of design. The first is **Preliminary Design**, which is also referred to as the 25-percent submission. The major components of this phase include full survey of the project area, preparation of base plans, development of basic geometric layout, development of preliminary cost estimates, and submission of a functional design report. Preliminary Design, although not required to, is often completed in conjunction with the Environmental Documentation and Permitting. The next phase is **Final Design**, which is also referred to as the 75-percent and 100-percent submission. The major components of this phase include preparation of a subsurface exploratory plan (if required), coordination of utility relocations, development of traffic management plans through construction zones, development of final cost estimates, and refinement and finalization of the construction plans. Once Final Design is complete, a full set of **Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E)** is developed for the project.

Right-of-Way Acquisition

A separate set of Right-of-Way plans are required for any project that requires land acquisition or easements. The plans must identify the existing and proposed layout lines, easements, property lines, names of property owners, and the dimensions and areas of estimated takings and easements.

5. Programming (Identification of Funding)

Programming, which typically begins during the design phase, can actually occur at any time during the process, from planning to design. In this step, which is distinct from project initiation, the proponent requests that the MPO place the project in the region's Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). The proponent requesting the project's listing on the TIP can be the community or it can be one of the MPO member agencies (the Regional Planning Agency, MassDOT, and the Regional Transit Authority). The MPO then considers the project in terms of state and regional needs, evaluation criteria, and compliance with the regional Transportation Plan and decides whether to place it in the draft TIP for public review and then in the final TIP.

6. Procurement

Following project design and programming of a highway project, the MassDOT Highway Division publishes a request for proposals. It then reviews the bids and awards the contract to the qualified bidder with the lowest bid.

7. Construction

After a construction contract is awarded, MassDOT Highway Division and the contractor develop a public participation plan and a management plan for the construction process.

8. Project Assessment

The purpose of this step is to receive constituents' comments on the project development process and the project's design elements. MassDOT Highway Division can apply what is learned in this process to future projects.

Project Development Schematic Timetable

| Description | Schedule Influence | Typical Duration |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Step I: Problem/Need/Opportunity Identification | The Project Need Form has been developed so | 1 to 3 months |
| The proponent completes a Project Need Form (PNF). | that it can be prepared quickly by the | |
| This form is then reviewed by the MassDOT Highway | proponent, including any supporting data that | |
| District office which provides guidance to the | is readily available. The District office shall | |
| proponent on the subsequent steps of the process. | return comments to the proponent within one | |
| | month of PNF submission. | D. I. DI. I |
| Step II: Planning | For some projects, no planning beyond | Project Planning |
| Project planning can range from agreement that the | preparation of the Project Need Form is | Report: 3 to 24+ |
| problem should be addressed through a clear solution to | required. Some projects require a planning | months |
| a detailed analysis of alternatives and their impacts. | study centered on specific project issues | |
| | associated with the proposed solution of a | |
| | projects will likely require a detailed | |
| | alternatives analysis | |
| Sten III. Dusiest Initiation | The PIE includes refinement of the | 1 to 1 months |
| The property property and submits a Draiget Initiation | preliminary information contained in the PNF | i to 4 montins |
| Form (PIE) and a Transportation Evaluation Criteria | Additional information summarizing the | |
| (TEC) form in this step. The PIE and TEC are | results of the planning process, such as the | |
| informally reviewed by the Metropolitan Planning | Project Planning Report, are included with the | |
| Organization (MPO) and MassDOT Highway District | PIF and TEC. The schedule is determined by | |
| office, and formally reviewed by the PRC. | PRC staff review (dependent on project | |
| ······································ | complexity) and meeting schedule. | |
| Step IV: Design, Environmental, and Right of Way | The schedule for this step is dependent upon | 3 to 48+ months |
| The proponent completes the project design. | the size of the project and the complexity of | |
| Concurrently, the proponent completes necessary | the design, permitting, and right-of-way | |
| environmental permitting analyses and files | issues. Design review by the MassDOT | |
| applications for permits. Any right of way needed for | Highway district and appropriate sections is | |
| the project is identified and the acquisition process | completed in this step. | |
| begins. | | |
| Step V: Programming | The schedule for this step is subject to each | 3 to $12+$ months |
| The MPO considers the project in terms of its regional | MPO's programming cycle and meeting | |
| priorities and determines whether or not to include the | schedule. It is also possible that the MPO will | |
| project in the draft Regional Transportation | not include a project in its Draft TIP based on | |
| Improvement Program (TIP) which is then made | its review and approval procedures. | |
| available for public comment. The TIP includes a | | |
| project description and funding source. | | 1 / 12 /1 |
| Step v1: Procurement The project is advertised for construction and a contract awarded | Administration of competing projects can | 1 to 12 months |
| Step VII: Construction The construction process is | The duration for this step is entirely dependent | $3 \text{ to } 60 \pm \text{ months}$ |
| initiated including public notification and any | upon project complexity and phasing | 5 to 60+ monuis |
| anticipated public involvement. Construction continues | apon project complexity and phasing. | |
| to project completion. | | |
| Step VIII: Project Assessment The construction | The duration for this step is dependent upon | 1 month |
| period is complete and project elements and processes | the proponent's approach to this step and any | |
| are evaluated on a voluntary basis. | follow-up required. | |

Source: MassDOT Highway Division Project Development and Design Guide