

Measuring Cost Effectiveness in TIP Project Selection

February 6, 2020

Matt Genova

Transportation Improvement Program Manager

Boston Region Metropolitan Planning Organization

Topics for Discussion Today

- Key takeaways from Transportation for America's January 23, 2020, presentation
- Cost effectiveness and the project selection process
- Discussion



Key Takeaways from Transportation for America



Key Takeaways: Virginia DOT SmartScale

Approach:

- Divides project benefit score by cost and ranks projects
- Uses cost-effectiveness as key decision-making tool



Key Takeaways: Virginia DOT SmartScale

Approach:

- Divides project benefit score by cost and ranks projects
- Uses cost-effectiveness as key decision-making tool

Results:

- VA programs a larger number of smaller-scale projects
- Promotes value engineering
- Re-scoring keeps project costs stable



Key Takeaways: Atlanta-Region Transit Link Authority

Approach:

- Divides project benefit score by cost and separates into tiers by project type
- Focus on programming Tier 1 (low cost/high benefit) and Tier 2 (low cost/benefit, high cost/benefit) projects



Key Takeaways: Atlanta-Region Transit Link Authority

Approach:

- Divides project benefit score by cost and separates into tiers by project type
- Focus on programming Tier 1 (low cost/high benefit) and Tier 2 (low cost/benefit, high cost/benefit) projects

Results:

- Compares like projects, but illustrates overall value
- Program all project types



Key Takeaways: Metropolitan Transportation Commission

Approach:

- Divides project benefit score by cost and ranks for inclusion in Plan Bay Area 2040
- Focus on programming projects with cost-effectiveness scores greater than 1 and that help meet performance targets



Key Takeaways: Metropolitan Transportation Commission

Approach:

- Divides project benefit score by cost and ranks for inclusion in Plan Bay Area 2040
- Focus on programming projects with cost-effectiveness scores greater than 1 and that help meet performance targets

Results:

- Produced ranking of all transit projects
- Allowed project sponsors to make "compelling case" for inclusion if score <1, including ability to re-scope



Key Takeaways: General

- There's not one correct strategy for using costeffectiveness in project evaluations
- A transparent, consistent process generates buy in from stakeholders
- Comparing benefits to costs works best when benefits are measured in a scaled way



Cost Effectiveness and the Project Selection Process



Phases of TIP Project Selection

Policy Factors

- Eligibility for federal funding
- Approval by MassDOT Project Review Committee
- Design status (at or near 25 percent)
- Alignment with LRTP program types
- Support from a public proponent (such as a municipality, transit agency, or MassDOT)

Project Scoring

- Criteria based on MPO goal areas
- Criteria are tailored to MPO investment programs (future)

Final Factors

- Availability of Regional Target funds
- Readiness
- Regional distribution of funds
- Public feedback
- Relationship to regional needs and performance measures

Phases of TIP Project Selection

Policy Factors

- Eligibility for federal funding
- Approval by MassDOT Project Review Committee
- Design status (at or near 25 percent)
- Alignment with LRTP program types
- Support from a public proponent (such as a municipality, transit agency, or MassDOT)

Project Scoring

- Criteria based on MPO goal areas
- Criteria are tailored to MPO investment programs (future)

Final Factors

- Availability of Regional Target funds
- Readiness
- Regional distribution of funds
- Public feedback
- Relationship to regional needs and performance measures
- Cost effectiveness (potential)

Discussion



Questions for Discussion

Project Programming

- What elements of these approaches would be helpful to you in making project selection decisions?
- What elements concern you?

Project Monitoring

 Should we consider re-scoring projects with cost changes above a certain threshold?

General

- What are the biggest barriers to implementing a cost-effectiveness approach in project decision making?
- What questions or issues do you want staff to explore further?