

Destination 2040 Projects and Programs

May 30, 2019

Anne McGahan

Boston Region Metropolitan Planning Organization

Meeting Outcomes

- 1. Policies and Issues
- 2. Revised Scenarios
- 3. MPO Project Selection



Investment Program Funding Goals

Investment Program	Destination 2040 Program Goal
Major Infrastructure	No more than 30 percent
Complete Streets + Dedicated Bus Lanes	43 + 2 = 45 percent
Intersection Improvement	13 percent
Bicycle Network and Pedestrian Connections	5 percent
Community Transportation/Parking/Clean Air and Mobility	2 percent
Transit Modernization	5 percent

Major Infrastructure Discussion Items

- 1. Do not program a single project that requires more than 30 percent of funding in a five-year time band
- 2. Continue funding projects in Charting Progress to 2040
- 3. Flex highway funding to transit major infrastructure projects
- 4. Leave some major infrastructure funding unallocated
- 5. Consider illustrative projects



Do not program a single project that requires more than 30 percent of funding in a five-year time band

Charting Progress to 2040 policy—Major Infrastructure (MI) goal: Program no more than 50 percent of funding to MI and do not program a single project that costs more than 50 percent of funding in a five-year time band

Destination 2040 consensus—MI goal: Program no more than 30 percent of funding to MI



Continue funding Charting Progress to 2040 projects

- Framingham—Intersection improvements at Route 126/135 (current cost estimate \$115M, inflated cost \$184M)
- Lexington—Route 4/225 and Hartwell Avenue (current cost estimate \$31M, inflated cost \$49M)
- Natick—Bridge Replacement on Route 27 over Route 9 (current cost in TIP Universe \$26M, inflated cost \$31M)
- Somerville—McGrath Boulevard (current cost estimate \$66M, inflated cost \$87M)

Flex highway funding to transit major infrastructure projects

- 1. Transit projects in Universe. All projects in the study phase with no cost estimates:
 - Silver Line to Everett
 - Green Line to Route 16
 - Rail Vision Implementation
 - Red Line/Blue Line Connector
- 2. Reserve funding in outer time bands for recommendations from completed studies



Leave some major infrastructure funding unallocated

Unallocated funding reserved for:

- 1. Projects that may emerge in the future (both highway and transit projects)
- 2. Cost overruns—many projects in the Universe of Projects list are conceptual without a final design or alternative and costs are only estimates



Consider illustrative projects

Illustrative Projects: Projects that cannot be funded in the financially constrained plan that the MPO wants to prioritize if additional funding becomes available in the future



Revisions to Summary of Major Infrastructure Project Spreadsheet

Added Columns for:

- 1. Project type
- 2. Change in capacity

Updated Project Descriptions:

- 1. Boston—Cypher Street Extension
- 2. Boston—New Summer Street Connector



Staff Proposals: Major Infrastructure Projects



Scenario 1 Staff Proposal

- Continue to fund the four projects in *Charting Progress to 2040*
- Fund seven projects that were included in light green—municipal priority with action being taken to advance the project
- Fund one blue project with no municipal feedback but
 MassDOT action is being taken to advance the project



Scenario 1A Reclassify Major Infrastructure to Complete Streets

- Identical to Scenario 1 but reclassified Major
 Infrastructure projects to Complete Streets program:
 - McGrath Boulevard in Somerville
 - Western Avenue in Lynn
- Results in the Major Infrastructure program allocation at 10 percent and Complete Streets at 65 percent in 2025–2029 time band



Scenario 4 Outer Time Band Unprogrammed

- Continue to fund four projects in Charting Progress to 2040
- Fund one project included in light green—Western Avenue in Lynn
- This results in the majority of FFYs 2035–40 time band being unallocated



Selection of Major Infrastructure Projects for Draft LRTP



Questions?