## TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

DATE: May 6, 2021
TO: Chris Cassani, Director of Traffic, Parking, Alarm and Lighting, City of Quincy
FROM: Chen-Yuan Wang and Mark Abbott, Boston Region Metropolitan Planning Organization Staff
RE: Safety and Operations Analyses at Selected Intersections, FFY 2020—Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway and Common Street in Quincy

This memorandum summarizes safety and operations analyses for the intersections of Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway and at Common Street in Quincy and proposes improvement strategies for this location. The two intersections were selected for this federal fiscal year 2020 study through a comprehensive review of 30 potential locations in the region. ${ }^{1}$

The memorandum contains the following sections:

1. Study Background
2. Existing Intersection Conditions
3. Issues and Concerns
4. Crash Data Analysis
5. Existing Conditions Analysis
6. Proposed Short-Term Improvements
7. Long-Term Improvement Alternatives
8. Recommendations

In addition, the memorandum includes technical appendices that contain supporting data and methods applied in the study.

## 1 STUDY BACKGROUND

The purpose of the "Safety and Operations Analyses at Selected Intersections" study is to examine safety, operations, and mobility issues at major intersections

> 1 Details of the selection process and criteria may be found in the Central Transportation Planning Staff's (CTPS) technical memorandum, "Safety and Operation at Selected Intersections: Federal Fiscal Year 2020," Chen-Yuan Wang November 7, 2019 .

Civil Rights, nondiscrimination, and accessibility information is on the last page.
in the Boston Region Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPO) planning area, particularly on arterial highways where many crashes occur, congestion during peak traffic periods may be heavy, or improvements for bus, bicycle, and pedestrian travel are needed.

For more than 10 years, the MPO has been conducting these planning studies with municipalities in the region. The communities find the studies beneficial, as they provide an opportunity to begin looking at the needs of problematic locations at the conceptual level before municipalities commit funds for design and engineering. Eventually, if the project qualifies for federal funds, the study's documentation will also be useful to the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) and its project-development process.

These studies support the MPO's visions and goals, which include increasing transportation safety, maintaining the transportation system, advancing mobility, and reducing congestion.

## 2 EXISTING CONDITIONS

The study location is in West Quincy, about one mile from the city center. The two intersections, Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway and at Common Street, are located closely within 150 feet. The intersection of Adams Street and Furnace Brook Parkway was first identified as a high crash location with pedestrian safety concerns. Further review indicates that the intersection of Adams Street and Common Street also has a high crash rate. The safety and operations of the two intersections are highly correlated and must be analyzed together.

The adjacent land uses are primarily residential with commercial developments concentrated on the south side of Adams Street west of Furnace Brook Parkway. The residential developments are mostly single family homes, with a number of multi-family condos and apartments located near the two intersections. The commercial developments include Walgreens, In Sync Center of the Arts, Unchained Pizza, and Dunkin' Donuts in Adams Plaza, and TD Bank and Enterprise Rent-A-Car on the street front. A primary school, Charles A. Bernazzani Elementary School, is located on Furnace Brook Parkway about 500 feet east of Adams Street. Figure 1 shows the location of the intersection, existing street layouts, and major developments in the study area.

Adams Street is a principal arterial under the city's jurisdiction. From Quincy Center, it heads northwest through West Quincy, across Interstate 93, through East Milton, and connects Dorchester Avenue in the Dorchester neighborhood of Boston. It is a two-lane roadway (one lane in each direction) that carries local

and regional traffic. Traffic is busy during the AM and PM peak periods. The section of Adams Street in the study area has a speed limit of 30 miles per hour ( mph ) in both directions.

Furnace Brook Parkway is a historical parkway owned and maintained by the Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR). The parkway was built to connect Blue Hill Reservation and Quincy Shore Reservation as part of the parks network in the areas surrounding Boston in early 1990s. Following the courses of Furnace Brook and Blacks Creek, it meanders through the city from southwest to northeast. Today it also serves the homes and local businesses in the adjacent areas and is classified as an urban minor arterial.

Similar to Adams Street, the two-lane parkway carries busy local and regional traffic during the AM and PM peak periods. Trucks are prohibited on the parkway. Based on observations, most sections of Furnace Brook Parkway in the vicinity have a 30 mph speed limit, except the section from Brae Road to Bernazani Primary School ( 20 mph speed limit) and the winding section east of the school ( 25 mph speed limit).

The intersection of Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway is signalized. The signal operates in a simple three-phase mode-one for Adams Street traffic, one for Furnace Brook Parkway traffic, and one exclusively for pedestrian crossings. Both Adams Street approaches widen to accommodate turning movements. The westbound approach expands from a single lane to include an exclusive left-turn lane and two through lanes (one is a short section between the right-turn channel of the approach and the stop line). The eastbound approach expands to two lanes, one for left-turn and through movements and one for through and rightturn movements. On Furnace Brook Parkway, the southbound approach maintains a single lane for all movements, and the northbound approach flares slightly to allow traffic forming two lanes near the stop line, one for left-turn and one for through and right-turn movements.

All the right turns at the intersection are channelized, except the southbound approach. Right turns from Adams Street westbound are under a traffic signal control that is synchronized with the east-west signal phase. Both the right turns from Adams Street are under No Turn on Red (NTOR) regulation during 7:008:00 AM, 11:00 AM-12:00 PM, and 2:00-3:00 PM on school days. On Furnace Brook Parkway, right turns are under Yield control for the northbound approach and no separate control for the southbound approach, with right turns on red are allowed.

Crosswalks exist on all approaches of the intersection, next to the stop lines and across the right-turn channels. Pedestrian signals and push buttons are located
near the intersection on the three channelized islands and at the northeast street corner. The signals display the conventional walking person (symbolizing walk) and upraised hand (symbolizing stop), with no count-down or accessible (audible) functions. During the primary school opening and closing hours, there is a school crossing guard to actuate pedestrian signals and assist students crossing the intersection.

Common Street is owned by the city and classified as a major connector. The two-lane roadway is about a mile long, running parallel to Furnace Brook Parkway from Central Street (near Interstate 93) northerly to end at Adams Street. People often use it as a short cut to bypass Furnace Brook Parkway, especially during the AM and PM peak periods when the parkway is congested.

The intersection of Adams Street at Common Street is unsignalized, with the Common Street approach under stop control. In addition to right- and left-turns to Adams Street, through movements from Common Street are allowed to cross Adams Street and continue on the parkway northbound via the channelized rightturn lane on Adams Street. At the intersection, Common Street maintains a single lane for all movements. During the peak hours when the Adams Street traffic is busy, there are usually 10 to 20 vehicles queuing on Common Street. In this situation, drivers scramble to find gaps in traffic on Adams Street and tend to drive aggressively.

On Adams Street, the westbound approach widens to include an exclusive leftturn lane and a through and right-turn shared lane. The eastbound approach has two lanes continuing from Furnace Brook Parkway to this intersection. After the intersection, it gradually tapers down to one lane.

There is a crosswalk on Common Street and no crosswalks on Adams Street. Adams Street at this intersection is wide and difficult for pedestrians to cross. In principal, pedestrians are encouraged to use crosswalks at the Furnace Brook Parkway intersection.

The most congested part of this study location is the short section of Adams Street westbound between Common Street and Furnace Brook Parkway. During peak hours, heavy traffic from Adams Street westbound and the northbound through traffic from Common Street frequently jams in this section, which is less than 100 feet long. A "Do Not Block" hatched box pavement marking exists on Adams Street westbound at the Common Street intersection. It appears to have little effect to prevent blockage by drivers who jockey for position to pass the parkway intersection.

On-street parking is allowed on both sides of Adams Street east of Common Street. The section of Adams Street remains relatively wide with a 13 -foot travel lane in each direction and ten-foot shoulders on both sides. No specific parking prohibition signs are posted, except the prohibition of parking during snow emergencies (Adams Street is a designated emergency arterial). Field observations indicate that one or two vehicles occasionally park very close to the Common Street intersection, which impedes the intersection operations, especially during the peak hours.

Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority (MBTA) local bus Route 245 (Quincy Center Station-Mattapan Station) runs along Adams Street and Common Street. It serves the area, with stops at Adams Plaza and adjacent neighborhoods. There are no stops at the two intersections. The nearest bus stop is located on Common Street about 200 feet south of Adams Street at the corner of Hilltop Street.

Sidewalks exist on both sides of all the roadways approaching the two intersections, except a short section of about 200 feet on the west side of Furnace Brook Parkway (from the Enterprise Rent-A-Car driveway to the adjacent apartment). With the dense residential and commercial developments and the nearby primary school, there are intensive pedestrian activities when traffic conditions are busy, especially during the AM and PM peak periods.

No dedicated bicycle accommodations exist on the roadways approaching the intersections. Five-foot or more shoulders exist in limited sections of Adams Street but they are frequently disrupted by on-street parking. Five-foot shoulders exist in some sections of Furnace Brook Parkway. With trucks prohibited, the parkway is popular with commuter and recreational cyclists.

## 3 ISSUES AND CONCERNS

Based on MPO staff's field observations, discussions with the city officers, and analyses of crash data and existing operations, major issues and concerns at the intersection include the following:

- High crash location

The intersection of Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway is a HSIPeligible high crash location. ${ }^{2}$ The intersection had 47 crashes in a recent

[^0]five-year period. The adjacent intersection of Adams Street at Common Street had 25 crashes in the same period. The total 72 crashes include two that involved a person who was walking.

- Traffic congestion during peak hours

The intersection of Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway carries high traffic volumes during AM and PM peak hours on weekdays. Also, it has a significant number of pedestrian crossings during the peak traffic hours.

- Wide roadway and large intersection layouts

Adams Street has a wide cross-section at the study location, especially the section east of Furnace Brook Parkway. In addition, right-turn channels exist at three corners of the intersection at Furnace Brook Parkway. These channels create large layouts at both intersections and cause accessibility and safety concerns for people who walk and bike.

- Lack of turning lanes

The crash data shows a large number of left-turn crashes occurring at the intersection. ${ }^{3}$ Currently the intersection carries high left-turn volumes on all approaches and contains no dedicated left-turn lanes except on the eastbound approach. Left turns frequently impede through movements, block the intersection, and obstruct drivers' view. Meanwhile, the southbound approach carries a high right-turn volume. Dedicated turning lanes on critical approaches would significantly relieve congestion and improve safety at the intersection, especially during the peak hours.

- Inadequate signal displays

All approaches of the intersection currently use the basic three-section signals, with no backplates and no retroreflective borders. They are all post-mounted. On Adams Street, the signals appear low and are not easy to identify from a distance. On the parkway, they appear to blend into thick vegetation. Meanwhile, drivers on Adams Street frequently encounter sun glare in the AM or PM peak hours.

- Pedestrian accessibility and safety concerns

Push buttons to activate pedestrian signal phases are located on the rightturn channel islands of the intersection (except the northwest corner). To cross the intersection, pedestrians usually have to cross one or two of the right-turn channel lanes in addition to a relatively long crosswalk. ${ }^{4}$ The

[^1]pedestrian signals do not have the count-down function and are not fully accessible.

- Lack of bicycle accommodation

There are no dedicated lanes or wide shoulders to accommodate bicycle travel on Adams Street and Furnace Brook Parkway and no bicycle detection at the intersection. However, turning movement counts collected for this study indicate that there were a significant number of bicycles (five to 10 per AM or PM peak hour) traveling through the intersection in fair weather autumn days.

- Cut-through traffic on Common Street

Common Street carries a high proportion of cut-through traffic, especially during AM and PM peak hours. The counts collected for the street indicate a high proportion of truck traffic, approximately four to five percent in the AM peak hour and two to three percent in the PM peak hour.

- Gridlocked traffic at the Common Street intersection

During peak hours (especially in the morning), heavy traffic on Adams
Street westbound and from Common Street frequently blocks the intersection and creates gridlock conditions at the Common Street intersection. The "Do Not Block" hatched box has little effect in preventing blockage at the intersection.

- Parking on Adams Street

On-street parking is allowed on Adams Street east of Common Street. Vehicles from time to time are parked on Common Street westbound very close to the right-turn channel, which impedes the intersection operations and causes safety concerns. Crash data collected for this study indicate that two sideswipe crashes with parked vehicles occurred in this section in recent years.

## 4 CRASH DATA ANALYSIS

Crash data analysis is essential to identify safety and operational problems at an intersection. Analyzing data on the frequency of crashes, types and patterns of collisions, and the circumstances under which crashes occur, such as the time of day and roadway surface conditions, also helps to develop improvement strategies.

### 4.1 Crash Statistics

MPO staff used the most recent five-year crash reports (January 2015November 2019) from the Quincy Police Department for this study. To account for crashes that intersection operations may have contributed to, staff examined
the crashes in the section of Adams Street between Adams Plaza and Brae Road. The section covers the two intersections (the study location) and 500 feet beyond in the both directions of Adams Street.

In total, there were 98 crashes in the recent five-year period in the section. They can be classified in three categories:

1) 72 crashes at the study location (the vicinity of two adjacent intersections)
2) 24 crashes in the commercial section of Adams Street (west of the study location)
3) two individual crashes at remote locations, one in the Adams Plaza parking lot and one crash with parked cars near Brae Road

At the study location, the predominant crash type was the angle collision involving a left-turning vehicle and a through vehicle (known as left-turn crashes). Thirty-eight such crashes ( 53 percent of the total) occurred at the two intersections. Additionally, 11 crashes ( 15 percent of the total) were identified as right-angle collisions that involved two vehicles from two different approaches running into each other. In sum, 49 crashes ( 68 percent of the total 72 crashes) were identified as angle collisions. This result is alarming because angle collisions usually cause more severe personal injuries and property damage than rear-end collisions at an intersection.

Table 1 summarizes the 72 crashes in terms of severity, collision type, pedestrian or bicycle involvement, time of the day, and weather and pavement conditions. Fifteen of the crashes ( 21 percent) caused personal injuries with no fatalities. The collision types included 49 angle collisions ( 68 percent), eight rearend collisions ( 11 percent), eight same direction sideswipe collisions (11 percent), and three single vehicle crashes.

Noticeably, about 40 percent of the crashes occurred during peak traffic periods (7:00-10:00 AM and 3:30-6:30 PM), which reflects the study location's recurrent congested conditions during peak AM and PM periods. Meanwhile, nearly 30 percent of the crashes occurred during darkness. The two adjacent intersections have a fairly large layout and the lighting may not be sufficient to cover the entire area.

In the commercial section of Adams Street, 24 crashes occurred in the recent five years. Table 2 summarizes those crashes in terms of the severity, collision type, pedestrian or bicycle involvement, time of the day, and weather and pavement conditions. Eight of the crashes caused personal injuries with no fatalities. Eighteen crashes ( 75 percent) were angle collisions. Noticeably, 15 crashes ( 63 percent) occurred during peak traffic periods, mostly in the evening.

## Table 1

Crash Data Summary
Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway and Common Street Quincy Police Crash Reports, January 2015-November 2019

| Statistics Period | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 5-Year <br> Total | Average |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total number of crashes | 21 | 12 | 18 | 14 | 7 | 72 | 14.4 |
| Severity: Property damage only | 16 | 10 | 15 | 13 | 3 | 57 | 11.4 |
| Severity: Non-fatal injury | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 15 | 3.0 |
| Severity: Fatality | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Severity: Not reported/unknown | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Collision type: Single vehicle | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.6 |
| Collision type: Rear-end | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 1.6 |
| Collision type: Angle | 12 | 7 | 14 | 11 | 5 | 49 | 9.8 |
| Collision type: Sideswipe, same direction | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 1.6 |
| Collision type: Sideswipe, opposite direction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Collision type: Head-on | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0.4 |
| Collision type: Not reported/unknown | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Involved pedestrian(s) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.4 |
| Involved bicyclist(s) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Occurred during weekday peak periods ${ }^{*}$ | 8 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 29 | 5.8 |
| Wet or icy pavement conditions | 6 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 18 | 3.6 |
| Dark conditions (lit or unlit) | 6 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 21 | 4.2 |

* Peak periods are defined as weekdays 7:00-10:00 AM and 3:30-6:30 PM.

Table 2
Crash Data Summary Adams Street in the Adams Plaza Vicinity
Quincy Police Crash Reports, January 2015-November 2019

| Statistics Period | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 5-Year } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of crashes | 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 24 | 4.8 |
| Severity: Property damage only | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 16 | 3.2 |
| Severity: Non-fatal injury | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1.6 |
| Severity: Fatality | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Severity: Not reported/unknown | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Collision type: Single vehicle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Collision type: Rear-end | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0.6 |
| Collision type: Angle | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 18 | 3.6 |
| Collision type: Sideswipe, same direction | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.4 |
| Collision type: Sideswipe, opposite direction | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Collision type: Not reported/unknown | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Involved pedestrian(s) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Involved bicyclist(s) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Occurred during weekday peak periods* | 3 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 15 | 3.0 |
| Wet or icy pavement conditions | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 1.4 |
| Dark conditions (lit or unlit) | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1.4 |

* Peak periods are defined as weekday 7:00-10:00 AM and 3:30-6:30 PM.


### 4.2 Collision Diagram and Crash Pattern Analysis

Based on the police reports, staff constructed a collision diagram (Figure 2) that shows the locations and patterns of all the crashes on Adams Street between Adams Plaza and Brae Road (each indexed by chronicled order of occurrence). The information of each crash, including date, time, severity, collision type, most harmful event, weather conditions, and driver contributing code are summarized in Appendix A.

At the study location, 47 crashes occurred at the intersection of Adams Street and Furnace Brook Parkway. The noticeable crash patterns were as follows:

- 13 crashes involving a westbound left-turn vehicle and an eastbound through vehicle on Adams Street
- six crashes involving an eastbound left-turn vehicle and a westbound through vehicle on Adams Street
- three crashes involving a northbound left-turn vehicle and a southbound through vehicle on Furnace Brook Parkway
- three right-angle crashes involving an eastbound through vehicle and a northbound through vehicle
- two right-angle crashes involving an eastbound through vehicle and a northbound vehicle
- seven rear-end crashes including five on Adams Street eastbound and two on Furnace Brook Parkway northbound
- one pedestrian crash near the crosswalk on Adams Street westbound

The prevailing left-turn crashes were mainly caused by the lack of left-turn lanes and signal phases at the intersection. Drivers have difficulty making left turns during the peak traffic periods with continuous traffic flow.

On Adams Street, the multiple-lane setting and the offset alignment of left-turn lanes on both sides of the intersection create difficulties for left-turning drivers trying see the opposing through traffic, especially when their view is blocked by a left-turning vehicle on the opposite side. On the eastbound approach, the inside lane is shared by vehicles making left-turns and through movements. Oftentimes, vehiclesattempt to maneuver around the stopped left-turn vehicles and collide with vehicles in the adjacent lane or cause rear-end crashes.

The intersection has a fairly large layout with medians, traffic islands, and a lot of signage. Drivers have too many things to observe during the peak traffic period when pedestrians and bicyclists are usually active in the intersection. According to the school crossing guard, drivers on the right-turn channelized lane often do
not observe the NTOR regulation during the school hours. Fortunately, no crashes were identified to be caused by such violations.

The collision diagram depicts 25 crashes at the intersection of Adams Street at Common Street. The majority of the crashes were caused by vehicles entering the intersection from the stop-controlled approach (Common Street) and colliding with vehicles traveling along Adams Street. There were 17 such occurrences, and five resulted in personal injuries. The noticeable crash patterns include the following:

- eight angle collisions involving a left-turn vehicle from Common Street and a vehicle traveling on Adams Street westbound
- four angle collisions involving a left-turn vehicle from Common Street and a vehicle traveling on Adams Street eastbound
- five angle collisions involving a vehicle just entering the intersection from Common Street and bumping into a vehicle traveling on Adams Street eastbound

Additionally, there were four noticeable crashes at the intersection:

- two angle collisions involving a westbound left-turning vehicle and an eastbound through vehicle on Adams Street
- one pedestrian crash involving a vehicle traveling from Common Street that turned left into wrong lanes and backed into the pedestrian
- one sideswipe crash involving a vehicle parked too close to the intersection and a moving vehicle on Adams Street westbound

During the AM and PM peak periods, traffic on Adams Street flows continuously. Drivers from Common Street struggle to find gaps and often act aggressively to enter the intersection. The high number of angle collisions may also be attributed to the width of Adams Street at this intersection. Left-turning vehicles must cross two eastbound travel lanes, the median, and a westbound left-turn lane to proceed as through traffic on Adams Street westbound. Meanwhile, Adams Street between the two intersections is very short and creates grid-lock traffic congestion at the middle of this intersection. This situation also contributes to the overall high crash rate at the intersection.
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Drivers traveling from Common Street and intending to continue onto Furnace Brook Parkway northbound must cross two eastbound lanes, a traffic median, and three westbound lanes to reach the channelized right-turn lane at the intersection. To get there, some vehicles often zigzag through the congested traffic during peak hours.

In the commercial section of Adams Street, there were 24 crashes in the recent five years. Almost all of them were related to access and egress from the commercial establishments. The noticeable crash patterns in the section include the following:

- 10 angle collisions involving a left-turning vehicle exiting from Adams Plaza driveway and a vehicle traveling on Adams Street eastbound
- six angle collisions involving a left-turning vehicle exiting the TD Bank driveway and a vehicle traveling on Adams Street eastbound
- three sideswipe collisions and one rear-end crash involving vehicles traveling in or near the left-turn lane (for access to the adjacent businesses) on Adams Street westbound
- one crash involving a bicycle traveling on Adams Street eastbound colliding with a vehicle turning into Adams Plaza

Both driveways for Adams Plaza and TD Bank are under stop control with clear signage. During PM peak periods when traffic on Adams Street flows continuously, customers scramble to find gaps and tend to drive aggressively. Meanwhile, drivers on Adams Street and those exiting from the commercial driveways are potentially affected by solar glare, mainly in the afternoon, due to the east-west position of Adams Street.

## 5 EXISTING CONDITIONS ANALYSIS

To examine the existing conditions, MPO staff requested MassDOT's assistance in collecting Automatic Traffic Recorder (ATR) counts on the approaching roadways and intersection turning movement counts (TMCs) for this study. The ATR counts include daily traffic volumes and travel speed counts and the TMCs include pedestrian and bicycle counts at the intersections. Appendix B contains details of the locations and specifications for these counts.

The data collection was delayed by a snowstorm in late November 2019 and periodic snows in the following months. The ATR counts were performed during the last week of February 2020. In March, just as MassDOT scheduled the collection of the TMCs for this study, the state's traffic data collection operations were suspended because of the COVID-19 pandemic. In September, MassDOT
resumed the traffic count programs and collected TMCs for this study on October 1 (Thursday) and October 3, 2020 (Saturday).

### 5.1 Daily Traffic Volumes

The February ATR counts can be regarded as representing normal traffic conditions, as they were collected at least two weeks before the state's announcement of the COVID-19 State of Emergency. ${ }^{5}$ Based on the data, staff estimated the average weekday traffic volumes at in roadway sections near the study intersections as follows:

- Adams Street west of Furnace Brook Parkway-16,800 vehicles, with a split of 8,500 ( 51 percent) westbound vehicles and 8,300 (49 percent) eastbound vehicles
- Adams Street east of Common Street-10,600 vehicles, with a split of 5,400 eastbound vehicles ( 51 percent) and 5,200 (49 percent) westbound vehicles
- Furnace Brook Parkway north of Adams Street-11,400 vehicles, with a split of 6,000 ( 53 percent) southbound vehicles and 5,400 ( 47 percent) eastbound vehicles
- Furnace Brook Parkway south of Adams Street-10,800 vehicles, with a split of 5,600 ( 52 percent) northbound vehicles and 5,200 ( 48 percent) southbound vehicles
- Common Street south of Adams Street-6,300 vehicles, with a split of 3,200 (51 percent) northbound vehicles and 3,100 (49 percent) southbound vehicles

As the ATR counts were collected during different time periods in the last week of February, the data collected on Thursday, February 27, 2020, was used for this estimation. Appendix C contains the 24 -hour counts, summarized in hours and by vehicle classes, for the available count locations. ${ }^{6}$ Annual seasonal adjustment factors, 1.02 for Adams Street (U3: Urban Principal Arterial) and 1.01 for Furnace Brook Parkway (U4: Urban Minor Arterial) and Common Street (U5: Urban Collector), were used to adjust the February counts. The factors were developed from the average of 2016-19 MassDOT Weekday Seasonal Correction Factors (Appendix D).

[^2]
### 5.2 Turning Movement Counts

MassDOT collected turning movement counts at the study intersections on Thursday October 1, 2020, during the morning peak period (6:00-10:00 AM) and the evening peak period (2:00-6:00 PM), and on Saturday October 3, 2020, during the midday peak period (10:00 AM-2:00 PM).

In addition to traffic volumes, the counts include pedestrian crossings and bicycle turning movements at the two study intersections. Appendices E and F contain all the data by 15-minute interval in the peak periods and in the peak hours for the intersections of Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway and at Common Street.

Staff recognized that the traffic volumes of these TMCs could be lower than those in normal traffic conditions, as many people still worked from home even though most schools were open in early October. Based on the February ATR counts, staff estimated that the weekday AM and PM peak-hour traffic counts must increase by 45 percent and 15 percent respectively in order to represent the normal traffic conditions.

Figure 3 summarizes the estimated 2020 AM and PM peak-hour traffic turning volumes and pedestrian crossing volumes by approaches at the two study intersections. The estimation represents normal traffic conditions in 2020, not the conditions during the COVID-19 crisis.

The intersection of Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway is estimated to carry approximately 2,000 to 2,200 entry vehicles per peak hour under the normal traffic conditions. In general, traffic is more prevailing in the directions toward Interstate 93 in the morning and toward Quincy Center in the evening. Each of the approaches carries about 400 to 600 vehicles per peak hour, except the Adams Street eastbound that could carry over 750 vehicles in the PM peak hour. All approaches have a high proportion of left-turning traffic, especially the parkway northbound and Adams Street eastbound. Each could carry about 150 left-turn vehicles per peak hour. Meanwhile, the parkway southbound could carry nearly 200 right-turning vehicles in the AM peak hour and Adams Street generally has about 120 right-turning vehicles per peak hour.

The intersection of Adams Street at Common Street is estimated to carry approximately 1,200 to 1,400 entry vehicles per peak hour under normal traffic conditions. The estimation indicates that Common Street carries about 300 vehicles per peak hour in the morning and about 250 vehicles per peak hour in the evening.

### 5.3 Pedestrian and Bicycle Volumes

The intersection turning movement counts, conducted in the extended four-hour peak periods in the weekday morning and evening and Saturday midday, provide three different sets of pedestrian and bicycle counts:

- Pedestrian crossing counts at crosswalks (by crossing approaches)
- Bicycle crossing counts at crosswalks (bicyclists who walk or ride a bike on crosswalks)
- On-road bicycle counts (by turning movements on each approach, similar to vehicle counts)

The data indicate that the intersection of Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway carried significant pedestrian volumes during the weekday AM and PM peak hours on a fair weather autumn day. In the AM peak hour (7:30-8:30 AM), there were a total of 25 pedestrian crossings and three bicycle crossings. In the PM peak hour (4:45-5:45 PM), there were a total of 76 pedestrian crossings and five bicycle crossings. The counts by approaches indicate that all the four crosswalks at the intersection were fairly utilized.

In the AM peak period (6:00-10:00 AM), there were 73 pedestrian crossings and three bicycle crossings in the intersection. In the PM peak period (2:00-6:00 PM ), there were 132 pedestrian crossings and 27 bicycle crossings. During the peak period (10:00 AM-2:00 PM) on Saturday (October 3, 2020), there were 56 pedestrian crossings and 16 bicycle crossings.

There were a smaller number of pedestrian and bicycle crossings at the intersection of Adams and Common Streets. In the AM peak hour (7:30-8:30 AM), there were a total of 16 pedestrian crossings and one bicycle crossing. In the PM peak hour (4:45-5:45 PM), there were a total of 29 pedestrian crossings and no bicycle crossings. Most people crossed the intersection at the crosswalk on Common Street and some crossed the right-turn channel on Adams Street westbound. During peak AM and PM hours, there were one and three persons crossing Adams Street where no crosswalks exist. Though Adams Street is wide, the traffic medians provide a refuge for these crossings.

The counts also show that a significant number of people bicycled through the intersection of Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway. In the AM peak hour (7:30-8:30 AM), two bicyclists went through the intersection and one turned right on the parkway southbound. In the PM peak hour (4:45-5:45 PM), there were two right-turning bicyclists and one who traveled through on Adams Street eastbound and four right-turning bicyclists on Furnace Brook Parkway southbound.


| BOSTON REGION MPO | $1$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Figure } 3 \\ \text { Estimated } 2020 \text { Weekday Traffic Volumes } \\ \text { Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway and Common Street, Quincy } \end{gathered}$ | Safety and Operations Analysis at Selected MPO Intersections |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

The counts confirm that Furnace Brook Parkway is a popular bike route. In the AM peak period (6:00-10:00 AM), the parkway carried 10 and Adams Street carried four bicycles. In the PM peak period (2:00-6:00 PM), the parkway carried 26 and Adams Street carried 19 bicycles. In the Saturday (October 9, 2020) peak period (10:00 AM-2:00 PM), the parkway carried 36 and Adams Street carried 16 bicycles. On the parkway the directional split was fairly even, but it appears that there were more bicycles traveling on Adams Street eastbound in all the peak periods.

Common Street generally carries a smaller number of on-road bicycles. At the intersection, the northbound approach carried five bicycles toward the intersection in the AM peak period, no bicycles in the PM peak period, and 11 bicycles in the Saturday peak period.

### 5.4 Roadway Travel Speeds

Traffic studies usually apply the observed or estimated $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds to assess how fast vehicles are traveling at a specific location on a roadway. The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed is the speed at or below which 85 percent of vehicles passing a given point are traveling, and it is the principal value used to establish speed controls by the state. It can be regarded as the prevailing vehicle speed on the roadway adjacent to the study location.

The ATR counts that staff requested for this study include spot speed estimates at the count locations. Based on the counts, MassDOT estimated the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds at the following three locations:

- Adams Street west of the intersection, between Adams Plaza and Alrick Road ( 30 mph speed limit in both directions)- 36 mph in the eastbound direction and 38 mph in the westbound direction
- Furnace Brook Parkway north of the intersection, between Adams Street and Brae Road ( 30 mph speed limit in both directions) - 38 mph in the northbound direction and 38 mph in the southbound direction
- Common Street south of the intersection, between Adams Street and Hilltop Street ( 20 mph speed limit in both directions)-27 mph in the northbound direction and 24 mph in the southbound direction

The data indicate that people generally traveled much faster than the posted speed limits in the vicinity of the study intersections, probably due to the wide travel lanes. Appendix $G$ summarizes the percentages of the travel speed ranges by five mph increments at the three locations. Note that the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile
speeds estimated from the ATR counts cannot be directly used for modification of the existing speed regulation. ${ }^{7}$

### 5.5 Intersection Capacity Analysis

Based on the estimated 2020 AM and PM peak-hour turning movements, staff conducted the intersection capacity analysis for the two study intersections by using the Synchro traffic analysis and simulation program. ${ }^{8}$

Staff conducted traffic operations analyses consistent with the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodologies (included in Appendix C). HCM methodology demonstrates driving conditions at signalized and unsignalized intersections in terms of level-of- service (LOS) ratings from A through F. LOS A represents the best operating conditions (little to no delay), while LOS F represents the worst operating conditions (very long delay). LOS E represents operating conditions at capacity (limit of acceptable delay). Table 3 presents the control delays associated with each LOS for signalized and unsignalized intersections.

Table 3
Intersection Level of Service Criteria

| Level of <br> Service | Signalized Intersection <br> Control Delay <br> (Seconds per Vehicle) | Unsignalized Intersection <br> Control Delay <br> (Seconds per Vehicle) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $0-10$ | $0-10$ |
| B | $>10-20$ | $>10-15$ |
| C | $>20-35$ | $>15-25$ |
| D | $>35-55$ | $>25-35$ |
| E | $>55-80$ | $>35-50$ |
| F | $>80$ | $>50$ |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual 2010.
Table 4 summarizes the estimated LOS, average delay, and volume to capacity ratio (V/C) for all the approaches at the intersection in the AM and PM peak hours. The estimation was based on an observed cycle length of 129 seconds that consists of 46 seconds (40-second green, plus six-second yellow, and all-red clearance time) for Adams Street approaches, 56 seconds ( 50 -second green, plus six-second yellow, and all-red clearance time) for Furnace Brook Parkway approaches, and 27 seconds for the exclusive pedestrian signal phase.

[^3]The evaluation revealed that intersection of Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway operated at acceptable LOS overall. ${ }^{9}$ However, some individual approaches operated at an undesirable LOS. The parkway southbound was estimated to operate at LOS F with average delay of nearly one and half minutes in the AM peak hour and the Adams Street eastbound was estimated to operate at LOS E with average delay of about one minute in the PM peak hour.

At the unsignalized intersection, the stop-controlled Common Street approach operated at LOS F with average delay of about one minute in both the AM and PM peak hours.

Appendix H contains detailed Synchro reports of the AM and PM intersection capacity analysis for the two intersections. Note that the analysis does not completely reflect some congested conditions, such as left-turn blockages on Furnace Brook Parkway and the usually congested short section of Adams Street westbound between Furnace Brook Parkway and Common Street during the peak hours. However, the conditions were present in the traffic simulations of the Synchro models.

[^4]
## Table 4

Summary of Intersection Capacity Analyses Estimated 2020 AM and PM Peak-Hour Traffic Conditions

| Intersection Approach | Lane <br> Group | AM <br> LOS | AM <br> Delay | AM <br> V/C | 95th <br> Queue | PM <br> LOS | PM <br> Delay | PM <br> V/C | 95th <br> Queue |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adams Street EB | L/T/R | D | 38 | 0.68 | 320 | E | 63 | 0.98 | \#552 |
| Adams Street WB | L | D | 37 | 0.36 | 99 | D | 40 | 0.31 | 61 |
| Adams Street WB | T/R | C | 30 | 0.35 | 203 | C | 30 | 0.38 | 214 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway NB | L/T | D | 43 | 0.78 | \#451 | D | 41 | 0.78 | \#534 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway NB | R | A | 8 | 0.11 | 38 | A | 9 | 0.13 | 50 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway SB | L/T/R | F | 84 | 1.07 | \#771 | D | 39 | 0.81 | \#661 |
| Intersection (1) Average | - | D | 52 | - | - | D | 45 | - | - |
| Adams Street EB | T/R | A | 0 | 0.18 | - | A | 0 | 0.26 | - |
| Adams Street WB | L | A | 9 | 0.05 | 4 | A | 8 | 0.05 | 4 |
| Adams Street WB | T/R | A | 0 | 0.15 | - | A | 0 | 0.15 | - |
| Common Street NB | L/T/R | F | 69 | 0.95 | 263 | F | 51 | 0.86 | 203 |
| Intersection (2) Average | - | C | 19 | - | - | B | 11 | - | - |

Notes:
Intersection (1) is Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway. Intersection (2) is Adams Street at Common Street.
The figures for AM and PM delay represent the average seconds of delay per vehicle.
Locations where the 95th percentile volume exceeded capacity are indicated by the number sign (\#). The queue shown is the maximum after two cycles,
$E B=$ eastbound. $L=$ left turn. $L O S=$ level of service. $N B=$ northbound. $S B=$ southbound. $R=$ right turn.
$\mathrm{T}=$ through movement. $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}=$ volume-to-capacity ratio. $\mathrm{WB}=$ westbound.
Source: Central Transportation Planning Staff.

## 6 PROPOSED SHORT-TERM IMPROVEMENTS

Based on the above analyses, MPO staff developed a series of short- and longterm improvements to address safety and operational problems at the intersections. The proposed short-term improvements generally can be implemented within two years at a relatively low cost (usually under \$30,000). The proposed long-term improvements are more complicated and cover larger areas, thus require intensive planning and design, and significant funding. These improvements are analyzed in the next section. The proposed short-term improvements are summarized below, from the lowest to the highest cost:

- Enforce the NTOR regulation at the right-turn channels of the parkway intersection during these time periods: 7:00-8:00 AM, 11:00 AM-12:00 PM, and 2:00-3:00 PM on school days.
- Enforce speed regulations on the roadways approaching the intersections, especially on Adams Street.
- Enlarge the Do Not Block hatched box (see Figure 4 in the next section) and install Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways (MUTCD) Do Not Block Intersection regulatory sign (R10-7) on the roadside adjacent to the box.
- Retime the traffic signal at the parkway intersection based on the existing phasing sequence. ${ }^{10}$
- Consider restriping all travel lanes from existing12-foot wide lanes to 11foot wide lanes approaching the intersection and striping five-foot shoulders for temporary bicycle accommodation.
- Examine the feasibility of installing backplates with retroreflective borders on the existing signal heads. ${ }^{11}$
- Double stop signs at the Common Street approach.
- Clearly define on-street parking spaces on Adams Street westbound near Common Street and prohibit parking near the intersection.


## 7 LONG-TERM IMPROVEMENT ALTERNATIVES

The proposed long-term improvements would require intensive planning, design, and funding. Staff developed four improvement alternatives for the two intersections and the immediate area around them, based on the goals of maximizing safety and operational benefits for all transportation modes and minimizing land-taking and construction impacts.

Staff also analyzed traffic operations for the alternatives and the base case (nobuild scenario) under the projected 2030 traffic conditions. For comparison purposes, the analysis included a future year no-build scenario that contained only improvements involving signal retiming with no geometry modifications and no upgrade to the signal system.

[^5]Key elements of the no-build scenario and the four alternatives are summarized as below.

## No-Build Scenario

The no-build alternative assumes that the intersection would remain the same as the existing conditions with no intersection layout modifications and no upgrade to the signal system. The only improvement included was the retiming of the signal with the existing signal phasing sequence and a slight increase of overall cycle length.

## Alternative One

Alternative 1 proposes to reconstruct both intersections, and upgrade signals at the parkway intersection. Figure 4 shows the conceptual plan of the alternative. Key elements of the alternative include

- removing Furnace Brook Parkway's northbound right-turn channel lane and reducing the width of Adams Street east of the parkway; ${ }^{12}$
- adding a left-turn exclusive lane on Adams Street eastbound; ${ }^{13}$
- narrowing traffic median and realigning the left-turn exclusive lane on Adams Street westbound;
- adding a right-turn and left-turn exclusive lanes on Furnace Brook Parkway southbound; ${ }^{14}$
- adding a left-turn exclusive lane on Furnace Brook Parkway northbound; ${ }^{15}$
- reducing all travel lanes from the existing width of 12 feet to 11 feet approaching both intersections;
- realigning crosswalks on Adams Street to reduce crossing distance;
- installing five-foot sidewalks on the east side of Furnace Brook Parkway from Adams Street to the driveway of Meadowbrook Apartment;
- reconstructing sidewalks adjacent to Common Street and installing a new crosswalk with Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliant wheelchair ramps;

[^6]- installing five-foot bike lanes with two-foot street buffers on both sides of Adams Street and Furnace Brook Parkway;
- designating parking spaces on Adams Street further east of Common Street;
- enlarging and repainting the Do Not Block box on Adams Street westbound; and
- updating the signal system to include accessible count-down pedestrian signals, bicycle detection, and new signal indications. ${ }^{16}$


## Alternative Two

Alternative 2 proposes to reconstruct both intersections, upgrade signals at the parkway intersection, and signalize the Common Street intersection under the same controller. The alternative would require a similar layout to Alternative 1 (see Figure 5 for the conceptual plan). Key elements of the alternative include

- removing Furnace Brook Parkway's northbound right-turn channel lane and reducing the width of Adams Street east of the parkway;
- adding a left-turn exclusive lane on Adams Street eastbound; ${ }^{17}$
- narrowing the traffic median and realigning the left-turn exclusive lane on Adams Street westbound;
- adding a right-turn exclusive lane and a left-turn exclusive lane on Furnace Brook Parkway southbound; ${ }^{18}$
- adding a left-turn exclusive lane on Furnace Brook Parkway northbound; ${ }^{19}$
- reducing all travel lanes from the existing width of 12 feet to 11 feet approaching both intersections;
- realigning crosswalks on Adams Street to reduce crossing distance;
- installing five-foot sidewalks on the east side of Furnace Brook Parkway from Adams Street to the driveway of Meadowbrook Apartments;
- reconstructing sidewalks adjacent to Common Street and installing a new crosswalk with ADA compliant wheelchair ramps;
- installing five-foot bike lanes with two-foot street buffers on both sides of Adams Street and Furnace Brook Parkway; and

[^7]- installing a new signal system to control both intersections, equipped with accessible count-down pedestrian signals, bicycle detection, and new signal indications. ${ }^{20}$


## Alternative Three

Alternative 3 proposes to reconstruct both intersections, upgrade signals at the parkway intersection, and prohibit traffic from Common Street crossing Adams Street in both directions. Figure 6 shows the conceptual plan for the alternative. Key elements of the alternative include

- removing Furnace Brook Parkway's northbound right-turn channel lane and reducing the width of Adams Street east of the parkway;
- adding a left-turn exclusive lane on Adams Street eastbound;21
- narrowing the traffic median and realigning the left-turn exclusive lane on Adams Street westbound;
- adding a right-turn exclusive lane and a left-turn exclusive lane on Furnace Brook Parkway southbound;22
- adding a left-turn exclusive lane on Furnace Brook Parkway northbound;23
- extending the traffic median on Adams Street westbound from the parkway to about 100 feet east of Common Street in order to prohibit traffic crossing to and from Common Street;
- removing the existing Adams Street westbound right-turn channel (and the existing crosswalk) and reconstructing sidewalks in the area; ${ }^{24}$
- reducing all travel lanes from 12 to 11 feet at both intersections;
- realigning crosswalks on Adams Street to reduce crossing distance;
- installing five-foot sidewalks on the east side of Furnace Brook Parkway from Adams Street to the driveway of Meadowbrook Apartment;
- installing five-foot bike lanes with two-foot street buffers on both sides of Adams Street and Furnace Brook Parkway;

[^8]- reconstructing sidewalks adjacent to Common Street and installing a new crosswalk with ADA compliant wheelchair ramps; and
- updating the signal system to include accessible count-down pedestrian signals, bicycle detection, and new signal indications.


## Alternative Four

Alternative 4 proposes to convert the two intersections into a double-lane modern roundabout. Figure 7 shows the conceptual plan of the alternative. Key elements of the alternative include

- designing and constructing a double-lane modern roundabout with an inscribed circle of at least 165 feet in diameter; ${ }^{25}$
- installing a separated right-turn lane for the movements from Common Street to Adams Street eastbound; ${ }^{26}$
- installing 10 -foot shared-use paths encircling the roundabout with ADAcompliant wheelchair ramps connected to the crosswalks;;27
- installing crosswalks on all the roadways connecting to the roundabout;
- installing five-foot sidewalks on the west side of Furnace Brook Parkway from Adams Street to the driveway of Meadowbrook Apartments;
- installing five-foot bike lanes with two-foot street buffers on both sides of Adams Street and Furnace Brook Parkway; and
- installing sharrow makings in the circulatory roadway for bicycle travel.

[^9]




Staff conducted the intersection capacity analyses for the no-build scenario and the four alternatives under the forecasted 2030 AM and PM peak-hour traffic conditions. ${ }^{28}$ Appendix J contains a series of tables that summarize the capacity analysis results and Synchro reports that include input volumes, Iane configurations, signal-timing settings, estimated delays and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths by approaches for the no-build scenario and the four alternatives.

The analyses indicate that all the four alternatives would operate at acceptable levels of services in both the AM and PM peak hours. They would improve traffic operations over the no-build scenario and improve safety for all the users of the intersections.

Note that the capacity analyses do not explicitly indicate the safety benefits of the four alternatives. The addition of left-turn lanes in Alternatives 1,2 , and 3 would reduce left-turn crashes and reduce the severity of crashes. In addition, these alternatives would improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists by shortening crossing distance and adding sidewalks and bicycle accommodation and detection. Among them, Alternative 3 would change the traffic patterns in the area and have significant impacts to the neighborhoods on Common Street and Furnace Brook Parkway. ${ }^{29}$ This alternative and these issues should be further discussed and examined at the functional design stage.

Alternative 4 (modern roundabout conversion) would significantly slow down the traffic at the intersection and reduce the severity of crashes. It would require two circulatory lanes and a much larger footprint than Alternatives 1, 2, and 3.30 Meanwhile, pedestrians and bicyclists would need to take longer and indirect paths to cross the roundabout. Due to the high traffic volumes and the significant number of pedestrian and bicyclist crossings, further examination should be done to determine if traffic signals are required at the crosswalk locations.

[^10]
## 8 <br> RECOMMENDATIONS

This study performed a series of safety and operations analyses, identified issues and concerns, and proposed short- and long-term improvements at the intersection. The proposed short-term improvements would enhance safety and operations for the intersection under the existing conditions. With a relatively high benefit/cost ratio, these improvements should be implemented as soon as resources are available from highway maintenance or local Chapter 90 funding.

The proposed long-term improvements-such as reconstructing the two intersections by adding necessary turning lanes, installing sidewalks, crosswalks and bicycle accommodations, and renovating the signal system to include countdown pedestrian assessable signals and bicycle detection-would significantly address the safety and operational problems at both intersections. At this preliminary planning stage, staff consider all alternatives feasible.

Staff presented the study findings and proposed improvement alternatives to the City of Quincy and MassDOT on February 11, 2021, via ZOOM video conference. The City considered the long-term improvement Alternatives 2 and 4 more favorable than the other alternatives and suggested that variations of the modern roundabouts (Alternative 4), such as an ellipse or a "peanut" shape roundabout, should be further examined at the design stage. (See Appendix K for the comments from the City.)

DCR has jurisdiction of the intersection of Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway and the City of Quincy has jurisdiction of the intersection of Adams Street at Common Street. This study gives the City an opportunity to address the needs of both intersections and plan for design and engineering.

The next steps would be to advance the project through the planning process to the functional design stage and select the preferred alternative that meets the goals and needs of all stakeholders, including residents and business owners. These steps will depend upon cooperation between the City, DCR, and MassDOT, which can begin the project notification and review process and complete the project initiation form. After completing a preliminary design, preferably an approved 25 -percent functional design, the City can submit the project for inclusion in the Boston Region MPO's Transportation Improvement Program. Project development is a complicated process that takes transportation improvements from concept to construction and is influenced by factors such as financial limitations and agency programmatic commitments. (See Appendix L for an overview of this process.)

This study supports the MPO's visions and goals, which include increasing transportation safety, maintaining the transportation system, advancing mobility and access, reducing congestion, and expanding the opportunities for walking and bicycling, while making these activities safer. If implemented, the improvements proposed in this report would modernize the roadway and significantly improve safety and mobility of all users. As with all conceptual level studies and recommendations, a further more detailed engineering examination should be conducted before implementing any of this study's recommendations.

cc: Allison Ruel, City of Quincy<br>Makaela Niles, MassDOT Office of Transportation Planning

## Appendices

The Boston Region Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) operates its programs, services, and activities in compliance with federal nondiscrimination laws including Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VI), the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987, and related statutes and regulations. Title VI prohibits discrimination in federally assisted programs and requires that no person in the United States of America shall, on the grounds of race, color, or national origin (including limited English proficiency), be excluded from participation in, denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity that receives federal assistance. Related federal nondiscrimination laws administered by the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, or both, prohibit discrimination on the basis of age, sex, and disability. The Boston Region MPO considers these protected populations in its Title VI Programs, consistent with federal interpretation and administration. In addition, the Boston Region MPO provides meaningful access to its programs, services, and activities to individuals with limited English proficiency, in compliance with U.S. Department of Transportation policy and guidance on federal Executive Order 13166.

The Boston Region MPO also complies with the Massachusetts Public Accommodation Law, M.G.L. c 272 sections 92a, $98,98 \mathrm{a}$, which prohibits making any distinction, discrimination, or restriction in admission to, or treatment in a place of public accommodation based on race, color, religious creed, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, disability, or ancestry. Likewise, the Boston Region MPO complies with the Governor's Executive Order 526, section 4, which requires that all programs, activities, and services provided, performed, licensed, chartered, funded, regulated, or contracted for by the state shall be conducted without unlawful discrimination based on race, color, age, gender, ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, religion, creed, ancestry, national origin, disability, veteran's status (including Vietnam-era veterans), or background.

A complaint form and additional information can be obtained by contacting the MPO or at http://www.bostonmpo.org/mpo non discrimination. To request this information in a different language or in an accessible format, please contact

Title VI Specialist
Boston Region MPO
10 Park Plaza, Suite 2150
Boston, MA 02116
civilrights@ctps.org
857.702 .3700 (voice)
617.570 .9193 (TTY)

## APPENDIX A

Crash Data Summary Quincy Police Crash Reports January 2015-November 2019

Summary of Crash Data
Quincy Police Crash Reports January 2015-November 2019

| Index | Crash Date | Day | Time | Crash Severity | Manner of Collision | $\begin{gathered} \text { Road } \\ \text { Conditions } \end{gathered}$ | Ambien Light Conditions | Weather Conditions | Vehicel Action Veh \#1 | Vehicel Action Veh \#2 | Most Harmful Event | Driver Contributing Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1/9/2015 | Friday | 14:02 | PDO | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Clear | Turning left | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 2 | 1/10/2015 | Saturday | 23:16 | PDO | Sideswipe,same direction | Dry | Dark -lighted roadway | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Parked | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Unknown |
| 3 | 1/29/2015 | Thursday | 15:37 | PDO | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Clear | Turning left | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 4 | 4/5/2015 | Sunday | 19:30 | Non-fatal-injury | Angle | Dry | Dusk | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Other improper action |
| 5 | 4/9/2015 | Thursday | 8:57 | PDO | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Rain | Turning left | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 6 | 4/18/2015 | Saturday | 12:06 | Non-fatal-injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Entering traffic lane | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | No improper driving |
| 7 | 4/23/2015 | Thursday | 8:31 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Entering traffic lane | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Distracted |
| 8 | 5/4/2015 | Monday | 14:55 | PDO | Sideswipe,same direction | Dry | Daylight | clear | Travelling straight ahead | Turning left | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Made an improper turn |
| 9 | 5/27/2015 | Wednesday | 11:03 | PDO | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Turning right | Turning right | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Operating defective equipment |
| 10 | 5/31/2015 | Sunday | 13:09 | PDO | Head on | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy | Travelling straight ahead | Turning left | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 11 | 6/8/2015 | Monday | 17:54 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy | Travelling straight ahead | Entering trafic lane | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 12 | 6/17/2015 | Wednesday | 7:26 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | clear | Entering traffic lane | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Inattention |
| 13 | 6/27/2015 | Saturday | 21:21 | PDO | Angle | Wet | Dark -lighted roadway | Rain | Travelling straight ahead | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | No improper driving |
| 14 | 7/21/2015 | Tuesday | 16:00 | Non-fatal-injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Entering traffic lane | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Unknown |
| 15 | 8/10/2015 | Monday | 14:48 | PDO | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Slowing or stopped | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Inattention |
| 16 | 8/27/2015 | Thursday | 18:40 | Non-fatal-injury | Angle | Dry | Dusk | Clear | Turning left | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 17 | 9/17/2015 | Thursday | 21:26 | PDO | Sideswipe,same direction | Dry | Dark -lighted roadway | Clear | Parked |  | Collision with parked motor vehicle |  |
| 18 | 9/18/2015 | Friday | 14:22 | Non-fatal-injury | Unknown | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Backing |  | Collision with pedestrian | Unknown |
| 19 | 10/7/2015 | Wednesday | 17:20 | Non-fatal-injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | clear | Travelling straight ahead | Turning left | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Inattention |
| 20 | 10/18/2015 | Sunday | 17:48 | PDO | Rear-end | Dry | Dusk | clear | Slowing or stopped | Slowing or stopped | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Followed too closely |
| 21 | 10/29/2015 | Thursday | 15:53 | Non-fatal-injury | Single vehicle crash | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Slowing or stopped |  | Collision with pedestrian | Unknown |
| 22 | 11/12/2015 | Thursday | 16:37 | Non-fatal-injury | Angle | Wet | Dark -lighted roadway | Rain | Travelling straight ahead | Turning left | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 23 | 11/30/2015 | Monday | 17:23 | PDO | Sideswipe, same direction | Dry | Dark- lighted roadway | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Inattention |
| 24 | 12/8/2015 | Tuesday | 16:35 | PDO | Angle | Wet | Dark - lighted roadway | Rain | Travelling straight ahead | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Unknown |
| 25 | 12/20/2015 | Sunday | 12:12 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Disregarded traffic signs, signals, road markings |
| 26 | 12/22/2015 | Tuesday | 19:24 | Non-fatal-injury | Angle | Wet | Dark - lighted roadway | Rain | Slowing or stopped | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Unknown |
| 27 | 1/15/2016 | Friday | 8:01 | PDO | Sideswipe,same direction | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Changing lanes | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Inattention |
| 28 | 2/25/2016 | Thursday | 7:08 | PDO | Rear-end | Wet | Daylight | Rain | Slowing or stopped | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Inattention |
| 29 | 3/9/2016 | Wednesday | 13:34 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Turning left | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 30 | 3/12/2016 | Saturday | 16:04 | Non-fatal-injury | Sideswipe,opposit direction | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Inattention |
| 31 | 3/22/2016 | Tuesday | 7:56 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Turning left | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | No improper driving |
| 32 | 5/15/2016 | Sunday | 17:44 | PDO | Sideswipe, same direction | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy | Travelling straight ahead | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic |  |
| 33 | 5/20/2016 | Friday | 18:55 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | clear | Turning left | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Unknown |
| 34 | 5/28/2016 | Saturday | 18:32 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | clear | Backing | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Unknown |
| 35 | 7/17/2016 | Sunday | 19:06 | Non-fatal-injury | Rear-end | Dry | Dusk | clear | Travelling straight ahead | Slowing or stopped | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Glare |
| 36 | 7/18/2016 | Monday | 14:33 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Turning left | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Made an improper turn |
| 37 | 7/27/2016 | Wednesday | 21:25 | Non-fatal-injury | Angle | Dry | Dark -lighted roadway | clear | Turning left | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 38 | 8/3/2016 | Wednesday | 11:20 | PDO | Sideswipe,same direction | Dry | Daylight | clear | Changing lanes | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Inattention |
| 39 | 9/8/2016 | Thursday | 16:24 | Non-fatal-injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Entering traffic lane | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failure to keep in proper lane or running off road |
| 40 | 9/9/2016 | Friday | 18:13 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | clear | Turning left | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 41 | 10/1/2016 | Saturday | 12:21 | PDO | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Rain | Travelling straight ahead | Entering traffic lane | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Visibility obstructed |
| 42 | 11/7/2016 | Monday | 11:26 | Non-fatal-injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Entering traffic lane | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 43 | 11/11/2016 | Friday | 17:45 | PDO | Rear-end | Dry | Dark -lighted roadway | clear | Travelling straight ahead | Slowing or stopped | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Inattention |
| 44 | 11/18/2016 | Friday | 8:28 | PDO | Single vehicle crash | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead |  | Collision with curb | No improper driving |
| 45 | 1/6/2017 | Friday | 18:14 | Non-fatal-injury | Angle | Dry | Dark - lighted roadway | Cloudy | Travelling straight ahead | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Operating vehicle in erratic, rackless, careless, negligent or aggressive manner |
| 46 | 1/19/2017 | Thursday | 17:18 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Dark -lighted roadway | Clear | Turning left | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Made an improper turn |
| 47 | 1/26/2017 | Thursday | 16:03 | PDO | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Rain | Turning left | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 48 | 1/27/2017 | Friday | 17:52 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Dark -lighted roadway | Clear | Turning left | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 49 | 2/16/2017 | Thursday | 17:04 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Turning right | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Inattention |
| 50 | 3/6/2017 | Monday | 8:16 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Entering traffic lane | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 51 | 4/27/2017 | Thursday | 17:16 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | clear | Travelling straight ahead | Turning left | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Inattention |
| 52 | 5/13/2017 | Saturday | 22:24 | PDO | Sideswipe, same direction | Wet | Dark-lighted roadway | Rain | Travelling straight ahead | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Inattention |
| 53 | 5/16/2017 | Tuesday | 19:10 | Non-fatal-injury | Angle | Dry | Dusk | Clear | Turning left |  | Collision with cyclist | No improper driving |
| 54 | 6/18/2017 | Sunday | 14:41 | Non-fatal-injury | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy | Entering traffic lane | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 55 | 7/27/2017 | Thursday | 18:25 | PDO | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Cloudy | Entering traffic lane | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Inattention |
| 56 | 7/27/2017 | Thursday | 20:53 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Dark - lighted roadway | Clear | Turning left | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Made an improper turn |
| 57 | 7/27/2017 | Thursday | 21:02 | PDO | Rear-end | Dry | Dark - lighted roadway | clear | Slowing or stopped | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Other improper action |

Quincy Police Crash Reports January 2015-November 2019

| Index | Crash Date | Day | Time | Crash Severity | Manner of Collision | $\begin{gathered} \text { Road } \\ \text { Conditions } \end{gathered}$ | Ambien Light Conditions | Weather Conditions | Vehicel Action Veh \#1 | Vehicel Action Veh \#2 | Most Harmful Event | Driver Contributing Code |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58 | 9/1/2017 | Friday | 15:4 | PDO | Sideswipe,same direction | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Entering traffic lane | Entering traffic lane | Collision with motor vehicle in traftic | Unknown |
| 59 | 9/7/2017 | Thursday | 17:29 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Inattention |
| 60 | 10/18/2017 | Wednesday | 18:03 | PDO | Rear-end | Wet | Dusk | clear | Travelling straight ahead | Entering trafic lane | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to vield right of way |
| 61 | 10/20/2017 | Friday | 20:25 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Dark -lighted roadway | Clear | Turning left | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traftic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 62 | 11/3/2017 | Friday | 17:55 | PDO | Rear-end | Dry | Dark - lighted roadway | Cloudy | Slowing or stopped | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | No improper driving |
| 63 | 11/9/2017 | Thursday | 9:35 | PDO | Head on | Dry | Daylight | clear | Turning left | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Unknown |
| 64 | 11/18/2017 | Saturday | 14:40 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy | Entering traffic lane | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traftic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 65 | 12/1/2017 | Friday | 10:31 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Turning left | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to vield right of way |
| 66 | 12/1/2017 | Friday | 17:35 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Dark - lighted roadway | Clear | Turning left | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traftic | Unknown |
| 67 | 12/12/2017 | Tuesday | 17:58 | PDO | Angle | Wet | Dark-lighted roadway | Cloudy | Turning left | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Inattention |
| 68 | 12/20/2017 | Wednesday | 17:28 | Non-fatal-injury | Angle | Dry | Dark-lighted roadway | Clear | Entering trafic lane | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to vield right of way |
| 69 | 1/3/2018 | Wednesday | 18:02 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Dark -lighted roadway | Clear | Entering traffic lane | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in trafic | No improper driving |
| 70 | 1/12/2018 | Friday | 15:11 | PDO | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Rain | Travelling straight ahead | Turning right | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Inattention |
| 71 | 2/3/2018 | Saturday | 12:32 | PDO | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Turning left | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 72 | 2/8/2018 | Thursday | 13:45 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Turning left | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 73 | 4/4/2018 | Wednesday | 19:41 | PDO | Angle | Wet | Dark - lighted roadway | Rain | Travelling straight ahead | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Followed too closely |
| 74 | 4/6/2018 | Friday | 7:29 | PDO | Head on | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Slowing or stopped | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traftic | Unknown |
| 75 | 4/7/2018 | Saturday | 12:56 | PDO | Sideswipe,same direction | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Entering traffic lane | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | No improper driving |
| 76 | 4/27/2018 | Friday | 13:10 | PDO | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Rain | Travelling straight ahead | Turning left | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 77 | 6/4/2018 | Monday | 12:21 | Non-fatal-injury | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Rain | Travelling straight ahead | Turning left | Collision with motor vehicle in trafic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 78 | 6/21/2018 | Thursday | 7:38 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Turning left | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 79 | 7/27/2018 | Friday | 12:57 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Turning left | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to vield right of way |
| 80 | 8/8/2018 | Wednesday | 19:25 | PDO | Angle | Wet | Dusk | Rain | Travelling straight ahead | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in trafic | Unknown |
| 81 | 8/27/2018 | Monday | 8:46 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Entering trafic lane | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Unknown |
| 82 | 9/6/2018 | Thursday | 17:25 | PDO | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Rain | Entering traffic lane | Overtaking/passing | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failure to keep in proper lane or running off road |
| 83 | 10/9/2018 | Tuesday | 17:11 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Overtaking/passing | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Disregarded traffic signs, signals, road markings |
| 84 | 10/16/2018 | Tuesday | 12:32 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Entering trafic lane | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 85 | 10/23/2018 | Tuesday | 18:31 | PDO | Angle | Wet | Dark-lighted roadway | Rain | Travelling straight ahead | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Inattention |
| 86 | 12/18/2018 | Tuesday | 6:07 | PDO | Sideswipe,same direction | Ice | Dark-lighted roadway | Blowing Sand, sno | Travelling straight ahead |  | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | No improper driving |
| 87 | 12/19/2018 | Wednesday | 18:52 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Dark-lighted roadway | Clear | Turning left | Slowing or stopped | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Made an improper turn |
| 88 | 1/7/2019 | Monday | 18:38 | Non-fatal-injury | Angle | Dry | Dark - lighted roadway | clear | Travelling straight ahead | Turning left | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 89 | 1/8/2019 | Tuesday | 16:55 | PDO | Angle | Wet | Dark-lighted roadway | Rain | Turning left | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Disregarded traffic signs, signals, road markings |
| 90 | 1/24/2019 | Thursday | 11:53 | PDO | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Rain | Travelling straight ahead | Turning left | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 91 | 2/13/2019 | Wednesday | 6:15 | Non-fatal-injury | Angle | Slush | Dawn | Rain | Travelling straight ahead | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Unknown |
| 92 | 3/12/2019 | Tuesday | 18:01 | PDO | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Inattention |
| 93 | 3/28/2019 | Thursday | 18:07 | PDO | Rear-end | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Slowing or stopped | Collision with motor vehicle in trafic | Followed too closely |
| 94 | 4/3/2019 | Wednesday | 20:32 | Non-fatal-injury | Angle | Wet | Dark - lighted roadway | Cloudy | Travelling straight ahead | Travelling straight ahead | Collision with motor vehicle in traftic | Inattention |
| 95 | 4/14/2019 | Sunday | 12:20 | PDO | Sideswipe,opposit direction | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy | Slowing or stopped | Turning right | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Made an improper turn |
| 96 | 8/26/2019 | Monday | 17:13 | PDO | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Turning left | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Made an improper turn |
| 97 | 9/23/2019 | Monday | 12:49 | Non-fatal-injury | Head on | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Travelling straight ahead | Turning left | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Failed to yield right of way |
| 98 | 10/9/2019 | Wednesday | 12:22 | PDO | Angle | Wet | Daylight | Rain | Travelling straight ahead | Turning left | Collision with motor vehicle in traffic | Made an improper turn |

## APPENDIX B

## Quincy Intersection Study Count Request

 November 2019
# Commonwealth of Massachusetts DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Office of Transportation Planning MEMORANDUM 

TO: Bonnie Polin, Manager Highway Safety Programs, Traffic Operations
THROUGH: David Mohler, Executive Director
FROM: Ethan Britland, Manager Multi-Modal Planning
DATE: $\quad$ November 7, 2019
RE: $\quad$ Traffic Count Request: Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway in Quincy

The Office of Transportation Planning requests that the Highway Division collect the following data in the vicinity of Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway in Quincy:

- Turning movement counts (TMC) at three locations
- Spot speed study/automatic traffic recorder (ATR) counts at four locations

Descriptions of the sites along with a map showing count locations are attached. These counts are needed for the Safety and Operations Analysis at Selected Intersections Study recently begun by Central Transportation Planning Staff (CTPS) on behalf of MassDOT through funding from the Boston Region Metropolitan Planning Organization. These data should be collected in November before the Thanksgiving week (November 24) holidays or December before the Christmas week (December 22).

The TMC should be performed for the following periods on a mid-week day (Tuesday, Wednesday, or Thursday) and a Saturday:

- Weekday AM peak period (6:00 AM to 10:00 AM)
- Weekday PM peak period (2:00 PM to 6:00 PM)
- Saturday midday peak period (10:00 AM to 2:00 PM)

Please record the TMC data for passenger cars, trucks and buses, pedestrians, and bicycles separately.

For the spot speed study/ATR counts, a consecutive five-day (Tuesday through Saturday) count should be completed for each location. When the job is complete, please provide us with data sets in 15-minute intervals (TMC) and hourly intervals (spot speed study/ATR counts). If you have questions about this request, please contact me at (857) 368-8840.

Attachments: 1. List of TMC and spot speed study/ATR locations
2. Count locations graphic
cc: J. Amato, MassDOT - Traffic Data Collection
M. Niles, MassDOT- OTP
S. Peterson, CTPS
M. Abbott, CTPS
C. Wang, CTPS

## List of Turning Movement Counts (TMC) Needed, by Location

1. Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway
2. Adams Street at Common Street
3. Adams Street at Brae Road (including the driveway on the south side of Adams Street)

## List of Spot Speed Study/ATR Counts Needed, by Location

1. Adams Street east of Alrick Road
2. Adams Street east of Brae Road
3. Furnace Brook Parkway north Brae Road (near Bernazzani Elementary School)
4. Common Street south of Roosevelt Road


# Commonwealth of Massachusetts DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Office of Transportation Planning MEMORANDUM 

TO: Carrie McInerney, Manager of Advanced Transportation Technologies
THROUGH: David Mohler, Executive Director
FROM: Ethan Britland, Manager Multi-Modal Planning
DATE: $\quad$ September 11, 2020
RE: $\quad$ Traffic Count Request: Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway in Quincy

The Office of Transportation Planning requests that the Highway Division collect the following data in the vicinity of Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway in Quincy:

- Turning movement counts (TMC) at Adams Street and Furnace Brook Parkway
- TMC at Adams Street and Common Street

Descriptions of the sites with a map showing count locations is attached. These counts are needed for the Safety and Operations Analysis at Selected Intersections Study recently begun by Central Transportation Planning Staff (CTPS) on behalf of MassDOT through funding from the Boston Region Metropolitan Planning Organization. These data should be collected before October 11, 2020.

The TMC should be performed for the following periods on a mid-week day (Tuesday, Wednesday, or Thursday) and a Saturday:

- Weekday AM peak period (6:00 AM to 10:00 AM)
- Weekday PM peak period (2:00 PM to 6:00 PM)
- Saturday midday peak period (10:00 AM to 2:00 PM)

Please record the TMC data for passenger cars, trucks and buses, pedestrians, and bicycles separately.

If you have questions about this request, please contact me at (857) 368-8840.
Attachments: Count locations graphic

cc: J. Amato, MassDOT - Traffic Data Collection<br>M. Niles, MassDOT- OTP<br>S. Peterson, CTPS<br>M. Abbott, CTPS<br>C. Wang, CTPS



## APPENDIX C

## Automatic Traffic Count Data

February 2020


| Location ID | S20-005-243-01_WB |  |  | Located On |  |  | ADAMS STREET |  |  |  |  |  | Community |  |  | Quincy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Counted By |  |  |  | Between |  |  | ALRICK ROAD |  |  |  |  |  | County |  |  | Norfolk |
| Start Date | 2/27/2020 |  |  | And |  |  | S |  |  |  |  |  | Module |  |  |  |
| Start Time | 11:00:00 AM |  |  | Direction |  |  | WB |  |  |  |  |  | Agency |  |  | MHD |
|  |  |  |  | Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Owner ID |  |  | mhdds |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FHWA-Scheme F Classification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | Motor cycle | Car | Light <br> Truck | Bus | 2A SU | 3A SU | >3A SU | $<5 \mathrm{~A} 2 \mathrm{U}$ | 5A 2U | $>5 \mathrm{~A} 2 \mathrm{U}$ | $<6 \mathrm{~A}>2 \mathrm{U}$ | $6 \mathrm{~A}>2 \mathrm{U}$ | $>6 \mathrm{~A}>2 \mathrm{U}$ | 14 | 15 | Total |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 1:00 AM | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 2:00 AM | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 3:00 AM | 0 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 4:00 AM | 0 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 5:00 AM | 0 | 129 | 27 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 158 |
| 6:00 AM | 0 | 427 | 81 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 518 |
| 7:00 AM | 1 | 713 | 103 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 830 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 573 | 83 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 662 |
| 9:00 AM | 0 | 390 | 67 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 468 |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 382 | 69 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 458 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 370 | 53 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 432 |
| 12:00 PM | 1 | 403 | 61 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 478 |
| 1:00 PM | 0 | 381 | 57 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 448 |
| 2:00 PM | 0 | 525 | 63 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 594 |
| 3:00 PM | 0 | 440 | 72 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 518 |
| 4:00 PM | 1 | 475 | 63 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 546 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 550 | 56 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 615 |
| 6:00 PM | 1 | 467 | 33 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 503 |
| 7:00 PM | 0 | 333 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 371 |
| 8:00 PM | 0 | 232 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 252 |
| 9:00 PM | 0 | 168 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 |
| 10:00 PM | 0 | 80 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 |
| 11:00 PM | 0 | 35 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| TOTAL | 4 | 7160 | 982 | 17 | 68 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8266 |


| Location ID | S20-005-243-02_EB |  |  | Located On |  |  | ADAMS STREET |  |  |  |  |  | Community |  |  | Quincy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Counted By |  |  |  | Between |  |  | COMMON STREET |  |  |  |  |  | County |  |  | Norfolk |
| Start Date | 2/27/2020 |  |  | And |  |  | BRAE ROAD |  |  |  |  |  | Module |  |  |  |
| Start Time | 11:00:00 AM |  |  | Direction |  |  | EB |  |  |  |  |  | Agency |  |  | MHD |
|  |  |  |  | Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Owner ID |  |  | mhdds |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FHWA-Scheme F Classification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | Motor cycle | Car | Light <br> Truck | Bus | 2A SU | 3A SU | >3A SU | <5A 2U | 5A 2U | $>5 \mathrm{~A} 2 \mathrm{U}$ | $<6 \mathrm{~A}>2 \mathrm{U}$ | $6 \mathrm{~A}>2 \mathrm{U}$ | $>6 \mathrm{~A}>2 \mathrm{U}$ | 14 | 15 | Total |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 1:00 AM | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 2:00 AM | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 3:00 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 4:00 AM | 0 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 5:00 AM | 0 | 57 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| 6:00 AM | 0 | 131 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 154 |
| 7:00 AM | 1 | 339 | 63 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 413 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 302 | 48 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 363 |
| 9:00 AM | 2 | 260 | 53 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 323 |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 245 | 36 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 288 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 241 | 42 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 287 |
| 12:00 PM | 2 | 235 | 51 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 299 |
| 1:00 PM | 1 | 281 | 66 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 352 |
| 2:00 PM | 1 | 286 | 71 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 368 |
| 3:00 PM | 0 | 306 | 55 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 364 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 386 | 69 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 460 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 413 | 69 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 488 |
| 6:00 PM | 2 | 326 | 38 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 372 |
| 7:00 PM | 0 | 203 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 236 |
| 8:00 PM | 1 | 185 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 207 |
| 9:00 PM | 0 | 111 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 128 |
| 10:00 PM | 0 | 76 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 |
| 11:00 PM | 0 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| TOTAL | 10 | 4493 | 771 | 9 | 53 | 13 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5368 |


| Location ID | S20-005-243-02_WB |  |  | Located On |  |  | ADAMS STREET |  |  |  |  |  | Community |  |  | Quincy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Counted By |  |  |  | Between |  |  | COMMON STREET |  |  |  |  |  | County |  |  | Norfolk |
| Start Date | 2/27/2020 |  |  | And |  |  | BRAE ROAD |  |  |  |  |  | Module |  |  |  |
| Start Time | 11:00:00 AM |  |  | Direction |  |  | WB |  |  |  |  |  | Agency |  |  | MHD |
|  |  |  |  | Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Owner ID |  |  | mhdds |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FHWA-Scheme F Classification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | Motor cycle | Car | Light <br> Truck | Bus | 2A SU | 3A SU | >3A SU | $<5 \mathrm{~A} 2 \mathrm{U}$ | 5A 2U | $>5 \mathrm{~A} 2 \mathrm{U}$ | $<6 \mathrm{~A}>2 \mathrm{U}$ | $6 \mathrm{~A}>2 \mathrm{U}$ | $>6 \mathrm{~A}>2 \mathrm{U}$ | 14 | 15 | Total |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 1:00 AM | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 2:00 AM | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 3:00 AM | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 4:00 AM | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 5:00 AM | 0 | 80 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 |
| 6:00 AM | 1 | 227 | 32 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 267 |
| 7:00 AM | 2 | 397 | 39 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 450 |
| 8:00 AM | 1 | 332 | 38 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 379 |
| 9:00 AM | 0 | 235 | 34 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 278 |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 252 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 283 |
| 11:00 AM | 1 | 269 | 23 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 298 |
| 12:00 PM | 2 | 274 | 24 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 306 |
| 1:00 PM | 0 | 280 | 34 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 319 |
| 2:00 PM | 2 | 302 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 332 |
| 3:00 PM | 0 | 280 | 30 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 314 |
| 4:00 PM | 1 | 337 | 23 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 366 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 366 | 26 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 395 |
| 6:00 PM | 1 | 294 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 313 |
| 7:00 PM | 0 | 213 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 |
| 8:00 PM | 0 | 169 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 177 |
| 9:00 PM | 0 | 127 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 |
| 10:00 PM | 0 | 68 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 |
| 11:00 PM | 0 | 33 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| TOTAL | 11 | 4604 | 424 | 6 | 40 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5117 |


| Location ID | S20-005-243-03_NB |  |  | Located On |  |  | FURNACE BROOK PARKWAY |  |  |  |  |  | Community |  |  | Quincy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Counted By |  |  |  | Between |  |  | ADAMS STREET |  |  |  |  |  | County |  |  | Norfolk |
| Start Date | 2/27/2020 |  |  | And |  |  | BRAE ROAD |  |  |  |  |  | Module |  |  |  |
| Start Time | 10:00:00 AM |  |  | Direction |  |  | NB |  |  |  |  |  | Agency |  |  | MHD |
|  |  |  |  | Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Owner ID |  |  | mhdds |
| FHWA-Scheme F Classification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | Motor cycle | Car | Light <br> Truck | Bus | 2A SU | 3A SU | >3A SU | $<5$ A 2 U | 5A 2U | $>5 \mathrm{~A} 2 \mathrm{U}$ | $<6 \mathrm{~A}>2 \mathrm{U}$ | $6 \mathrm{~A}>2 \mathrm{U}$ | $>6 \mathrm{~A}>2 \mathrm{U}$ | 14 | 15 | Total |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 31 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 1:00 AM | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 2:00 AM | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 3:00 AM | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 4:00 AM | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 5:00 AM | 0 | 50 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| 6:00 AM | 0 | 151 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 177 |
| 7:00 AM | 3 | 400 | 35 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 445 |
| 8:00 AM | 5 | 299 | 40 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 348 |
| 9:00 AM | 2 | 288 | 47 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 344 |
| 10:00 AM | 7 | 330 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 374 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 231 | 31 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 264 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 234 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 263 |
| 1:00 PM | 1 | 282 | 40 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 325 |
| 2:00 PM | 0 | 296 | 39 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 337 |
| 3:00 PM | 0 | 337 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 390 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 364 | 39 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 404 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 412 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 446 |
| 6:00 PM | 1 | 343 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 373 |
| 7:00 PM | 0 | 224 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 243 |
| 8:00 PM | 0 | 201 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 213 |
| 9:00 PM | 0 | 129 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 |
| 10:00 PM | 0 | 77 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 |
| 11:00 PM | 0 | 48 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| TOTAL | 20 | 4764 | 540 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5354 |


| Location ID | S20-005-243-03_SB |  |  | Located On |  |  | FURNACE BROOK PARKWAY |  |  |  |  |  | Community |  |  | Quincy Norfolk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Counted By |  |  |  | Between |  |  | ADAMS STREET |  |  |  |  |  | County |  |  |  |
| Start Date | 2/27/2020 |  |  | And |  |  | BRAE ROAD |  |  |  |  |  | Module |  |  |  |
| Start Time | 10:00:00 AM |  |  | Direction |  |  | SB |  |  |  |  |  | Agency |  |  | MHD |
|  |  |  |  | Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Owner ID |  |  | mhdds |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FHWA-Scheme F Classification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | Motor cycle | Car | Light <br> Truck | Bus | 2A SU | 3A SU | $>3 \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{SU}$ | $<5 \mathrm{~A} 2 \mathrm{U}$ | 5A 2U | $>5 \mathrm{~A} 2 \mathrm{U}$ | $<6 \mathrm{~A}>2 \mathrm{U}$ | $6 \mathrm{~A}>2 \mathrm{U}$ | $>6 \mathrm{~A}>2 \mathrm{U}$ | 14 | 15 | Total |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| 1:00 AM | 0 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 2:00 AM | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 3:00 AM | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 4:00 AM | 0 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 5:00 AM | 0 | 61 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 |
| 6:00 AM | 0 | 188 | 29 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 219 |
| 7:00 AM | 6 | 495 | 59 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 567 |
| 8:00 AM | 1 | 381 | 34 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 420 |
| 9:00 AM | 7 | 317 | 33 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 366 |
| 10:00 AM | 7 | 326 | 45 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 383 |
| 11:00 AM | 1 | 212 | 36 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 251 |
| 12:00 PM | 1 | 263 | 37 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 303 |
| 1:00 PM | 2 | 272 | 50 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 328 |
| 2:00 PM | 0 | 378 | 58 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 440 |
| 3:00 PM | 1 | 346 | 49 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 399 |
| 4:00 PM | 2 | 452 | 49 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 508 |
| 5:00 PM | 3 | 507 | 38 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 552 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 328 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 355 |
| 7:00 PM | 0 | 222 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 244 |
| 8:00 PM | 0 | 163 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 |
| 9:00 PM | 0 | 129 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 |
| 10:00 PM | 0 | 67 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 |
| 11:00 PM | 1 | 43 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| TOTAL | 32 | 5217 | 612 | 5 | 24 | 3 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5915 |


| Location ID | S20-005-243-04_NB |  |  | Located On |  |  | COMMON STREET |  |  |  |  |  | Community |  |  | Quincy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Counted By |  |  |  | Between |  |  | ROOSEVELT ROAD |  |  |  |  |  | County |  |  | Norfolk |
| Start Date | 2/27/2020 |  |  | And |  |  | JENNESS STREET |  |  |  |  |  | Module |  |  |  |
| Start Time | 11:00:00 AM |  |  | Direction |  |  | NB |  |  |  |  |  | Agency |  |  | MHD |
|  |  |  |  | Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Owner ID |  |  | mhdds |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FHWA-Scheme F Classification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | Motor cycle | Car | Light <br> Truck | Bus | 2A SU | 3A SU | >3A SU | $<5 \mathrm{~A} 2 \mathrm{U}$ | 5A 2U | $>5 \mathrm{~A} 2 \mathrm{U}$ | $<6 \mathrm{~A}>2 \mathrm{U}$ | $6 \mathrm{~A}>2 \mathrm{U}$ | $>6 \mathrm{~A}>2 \mathrm{U}$ | 14 | 15 | Total |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 1:00 AM | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 2:00 AM | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 3:00 AM | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 4:00 AM | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 5:00 AM | 0 | 52 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| 6:00 AM | 0 | 148 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 170 |
| 7:00 AM | 1 | 283 | 26 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 248 | 21 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 284 |
| 9:00 AM | 0 | 132 | 29 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 170 |
| 10:00 AM | 1 | 129 | 22 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 162 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 111 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 136 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 105 | 24 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 |
| 1:00 PM | 0 | 157 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 178 |
| 2:00 PM | 2 | 173 | 36 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 216 |
| 3:00 PM | 0 | 154 | 30 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 190 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 172 | 41 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 235 | 20 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 261 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 152 | 23 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 179 |
| 7:00 PM | 0 | 106 | 27 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137 |
| 8:00 PM | 0 | 82 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 |
| 9:00 PM | 0 | 59 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 |
| 10:00 PM | 0 | 24 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 11:00 PM | 0 | 21 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| TOTAL | 4 | 2584 | 424 | 21 | 55 | 7 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3120 |


| Location ID | S20-005-243-04_SB |  |  | Located On |  |  | COMMON STREET |  |  |  |  |  | Community |  |  | Quincy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Counted By |  |  |  | Between |  |  | ROOSEVELT ROAD |  |  |  |  |  | County |  |  | Norfolk |
| Start Date | 2/27/2020 |  |  | And |  |  | JENNESS STREET |  |  |  |  |  | Module |  |  |  |
| Start Time | 11:00:00 AM |  |  | Direction |  |  | SB |  |  |  |  |  | Agency |  |  | MHD |
|  |  |  |  | Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Owner ID |  |  | mhdds |
| FHWA-Scheme F Classification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | Motor cycle | Car | Light <br> Truck | Bus | 2A SU | 3A SU | $>3 \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{SU}$ | $<5 \mathrm{~A} 2 \mathrm{U}$ | 5A 2U | $>5 \mathrm{~A} 2 \mathrm{U}$ | $<6 \mathrm{~A}>2 \mathrm{U}$ | $6 \mathrm{~A}>2 \mathrm{U}$ | $>6 \mathrm{~A}>2 \mathrm{U}$ | 14 | 15 | Total |
| 12:00 AM | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 1:00 AM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 2:00 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 3:00 AM | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 4:00 AM | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 5:00 AM | 0 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| 6:00 AM | 0 | 71 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 158 | 20 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 184 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 145 | 20 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 173 |
| 9:00 AM | 0 | 107 | 23 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137 |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 135 | 28 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 168 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 104 | 20 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130 |
| 12:00 PM | 1 | 111 | 24 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139 |
| 1:00 PM | 0 | 117 | 25 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145 |
| 2:00 PM | 1 | 180 | 42 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 227 |
| 3:00 PM | 0 | 215 | 52 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 268 |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 256 | 55 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 296 | 43 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 350 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 196 | 21 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 221 |
| 7:00 PM | 0 | 122 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 142 |
| 8:00 PM | 0 | 103 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 |
| 9:00 PM | 0 | 67 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| 10:00 PM | 0 | 38 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| 11:00 PM | 0 | 37 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| TOTAL | 2 | 2518 | 436 | 19 | 40 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3029 |

## APPENDIX D

## MassDOT Weekday Seasonal and Axle Correction Factors

 2016-19Massachusetts Highway Department
Statewide Traffic Data Collection
2016 Weekday Seasonal Factors

| Factor Group | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC | Axle Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1 | 1.21 | 1.17 | 1.10 | 1.04 | 0.97 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.88 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 0.97 | 1.05 | 0.88 |
| R2 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.96 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.96 |
| R3 | 1.15 | 1.03 | 1.02 | 0.99 | 0.92 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.99 | 1.02 | 0.97 |
| R4-R7 | 1.09 | 1.13 | 1.06 | 1.05 | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.88 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.04 | 1.07 | 0.95 |
| U1-Boston | 1.03 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.93 |
| U1-Essex | 1.06 | 1.08 | 1.04 | 1.01 | 0.95 | 0.89 | 0.88 | 0.86 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 1.01 | 1.05 | 0.91 |
| U1-Southeast | 1.07 | 1.12 | 1.05 | 1.01 | 0.95 | 0.89 | 0.87 | 0.86 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 1.01 | 0.94 |
| U1-West | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| U1-Worcester | 1.10 | 1.14 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 0.92 |
| U2 | 1.02 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.93 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 0.95 |
| U3 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.96 |
| U4-U7 | 1.02 | 1.03 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 0.93 |
| Rec - East | 1.18 | 1.17 | 1.13 | 1.05 | 0.93 | 0.84 | 0.79 | 0.80 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 1.09 | 1.13 | 0.99 |
| Rec - West | 1.20 | 1.24 | 1.29 | 1.18 | 1.03 | 0.85 | 0.70 | 0.81 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 1.11 | 1.15 | 0.98 |

Round off:
$0-999=10$
$>1000=100$

U = Urban
R = Rural

1 - Interstate
2 - Freeway and Expressway
3 - Other Principal Arterial
4 - Minor Arterial
5 - Major Collector
6 - Minor Collector
7 - Local Road and Street

Recreational - East Group - Cape Cod (all towns) including the town of Plymouth south of Route 3A (stations
$7014,7079,7080,7090,7091,7092,7093,7094,7095,7096,7097,7108$ and 7178), Martha's Vineyard and Nantucket.
Recreational - West Group - Continuous Stations 2 and 189 including stations
$1066,1067,1083,1084,1085,1086,1087,1088,1089,1090,1091,1092,1093,1094,1095,1096,1097,1098,1099,1100,1101,1102,1103,1104,1105,1106,1107,1108,1113,111$ $4,1116,2196,2197$ and 2198.

Massachusetts Highway Department
Statewide Traffic Data Collection
2017 Weekday Seasonal Factors

| Factor Group | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC | Axle Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1 | 1.30 | 1.23 | 1.21 | 1.04 | 0.98 | 0.92 | 0.86 | 0.81 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 1.03 | 1.10 | 0.80 |
| R2 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.96 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.96 |
| R3 | 1.05 | 1.01 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 1.01 | 1.03 | 0.97 |
| R4-R7 | 1.10 | 1.07 | 1.09 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.89 | 0.88 | 0.87 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 1.04 | 1.09 | 0.93 |
| U1-Boston | 1.01 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.93 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 0.95 |
| U1-Essex | 1.04 | 1.05 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.93 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.98 | 1.03 | 0.90 |
| U1-Southeast | 1.07 | 1.05 | 1.02 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.89 | 0.88 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 0.97 |
| U1-West | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.89 |
| U1-Worcester | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.04 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 1.04 | 0.89 |
| U2 | 1.01 | 1.03 | 0.98 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 0.98 |
| U3 | 1.03 | 1.05 | 1.01 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 0.96 |
| U4-U7 | 1.06 | 1.05 | 1.02 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.98 | 1.03 | 0.98 |
| Rec - East | 1.18 | 1.17 | 1.08 | 1.03 | 0.95 | 0.87 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 0.98 |
| Rec - West | 1.30 | 1.23 | 1.32 | 1.18 | 0.95 | 0.82 | 0.70 | 0.69 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 1.16 | 1.15 | 0.95 |

Round off:
$0-999=10$
$>1000=100$

U = Urban
R = Rural

1 - Interstate
2 - Freeway and Expressway
3 - Other Principal Arterial
4 - Minor Arterial
5 - Major Collector
6 - Minor Collector
7 - Local Road and Street

Recreational - East Group - Cape Cod (all towns) including the town of Plymouth south of Route 3A (stations
$7014,7079,7080,7090,7091,7092,7093,7094,7095,7096,7097,7108$ and 7178), Martha's Vineyard and Nantucket.
Recreational - West Group - Continuous Stations 2 and 189 including stations
$1066,1067,1083,1084,1085,1086,1087,1088,1089,1090,1091,1092,1093,1094,1095,1096,1097,1098,1099,1100,1101,1102,1103,1104,1105,1106,1107,1108,1113,111$ $4,1116,2196,2197$ and 2198.

Massachusetts Highway Department
Statewide Traffic Data Collection
2018 Weekday Seasonal Factors

| Factor Group | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC | Axle Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1 | 1.37 | 1.26 | 1.30 | 1.08 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 0.87 | 0.83 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 1.05 | 1.13 | 0.78 |
| R2 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.96 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.96 |
| R3 | 1.15 | 1.06 | 1.07 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 1.02 | 1.01 | 0.98 |
| R4-R7 | 1.10 | 1.07 | 1.03 | 1.00 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 1.03 | 1.02 | 0.93 |
| U1-Boston | 1.05 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 0.96 |
| U1-Essex | 1.05 | 1.01 | 1.04 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 0.91 |
| U1-Southeast | 1.11 | 1.05 | 1.07 | 0.99 | 0.93 | 0.89 | 0.88 | 0.87 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 1.01 | 1.05 | 0.98 |
| U1-West | 1.15 | 1.08 | 1.07 | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.92 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.06 | 0.83 |
| U1-Worcester | 1.18 | 1.11 | 1.09 | 0.99 | 0.95 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.91 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 1.01 | 1.05 | 0.87 |
| U2 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.99 |
| U3 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.02 | 0.96 | 0.91 | 0.89 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 1.01 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| U4-U7 | 1.03 | 1.02 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.96 | 0.93 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 |
| Rec - East | 1.22 | 1.15 | 1.09 | 1.12 | 0.90 | 0.89 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.92 | 0.98 | 1.06 | 1.08 | 0.99 |
| Rec - West | 1.30 | 1.23 | 1.32 | 1.18 | 0.95 | 0.82 | 0.70 | 0.69 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 1.16 | 1.15 | 0.97 |

Round off:
$0-999=10$
$>1000=100$

U = Urban
R = Rural

1 - Interstate
2 - Freeway and Expressway
3 - Other Principal Arterial
4 - Minor Arterial
5 - Major Collector
6 - Minor Collector
7 - Local Road and Street

Recreational - East Group - Cape Cod (all towns) including the town of Plymouth south of Route 3A (stations
$7014,7079,7080,7090,7091,7092,7093,7094,7095,7096,7097,7108$ and 7178), Martha's Vineyard and Nantucket.
Recreational - West Group - Continuous Stations 2 and 189 including stations
$1066,1067,1083,1084,1085,1086,1087,1088,1089,1090,1091,1092,1093,1094,1095,1096,1097,1098,1099,1100,1101,1102,1103,1104,1105,1106,1107,1108,1113,111$ $4,1116,2196,2197$ and 2198.

Massachusetts Highway Department
Statewide Traffic Data Collection
2019 Weekday Seasonal Factors

| Factor Group | JAN | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC | Axle Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R1 | 1.22 | 1.14 | 1.12 | 1.06 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.87 | 0.85 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 1.04 | 1.12 | 0.85 |
| R2 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.96 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.96 |
| R3 | 1.15 | 1.06 | 1.07 | 1.00 | 0.89 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 1.02 | 1.01 | 0.97 |
| R4-R7 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.11 | 1.02 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 1.09 | 1.13 | 0.98 |
| U1-Boston | 1.03 | 1.01 | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 0.96 |
| U1-Essex | 1.09 | 1.06 | 1.03 | 0.99 | 0.94 | 0.90 | 0.88 | 0.86 | 0.93 | 0.94 | 0.99 | 1.06 | 0.93 |
| U1-Southeast | 1.06 | 1.05 | 1.01 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.98 | 1.04 | 0.98 |
| U1-West | 1.19 | 1.14 | 1.09 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.86 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 1.07 | 0.84 |
| U1-Worcester | 1.02 | 1.04 | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.91 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 1.10 | 0.88 |
| U2 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.89 | 0.93 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 1.02 | 0.99 |
| U3 | 1.06 | 1.03 | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.98 |
| U4-U7 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.86 | 0.92 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.99 | 1.04 | 0.99 |
| Rec - East | 1.04 | 1.16 | 1.12 | 0.98 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.77 | 0.81 | 0.94 | 1.02 | 1.08 | 1.12 | 0.99 |
| Rec - West | 1.30 | 1.23 | 1.32 | 1.18 | 0.95 | 0.82 | 0.70 | 0.69 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 1.16 | 1.15 | 0.98 |

Round off:
$0-999=10$
$>1000=100$

U = Urban
R = Rural

1 - Interstate
2 - Freeway and Expressway
3 - Other Principal Arterial
4 - Minor Arterial
5 - Major Collector
6 - Minor Collector
7 - Local Road and Street

Recreational - East Group - Cape Cod (all towns) including the town of Plymouth south of Route 3A (stations
$7014,7079,7080,7090,7091,7092,7093,7094,7095,7096,7097,7108$ and 7178), Martha's Vineyard and Nantucket.
Recreational - West Group - Continuous Stations 2 and 189 including stations
$1066,1067,1083,1084,1085,1086,1087,1088,1089,1090,1091,1092,1093,1094,1095,1096,1097,1098,1099,1100,1101,1102,1103,1104,1105,1106,1107,1108,1113,111$ 4,1116,2196,2197 and 2198.

## APPENDIX E

Turning Movement Count Data
Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway
October 2020

207487-A Furnace Brook Parkway @ Adams Stree... - TMC
Thu Oct 1, 2020
Full Length ( 6 AM-10 AM, 2 PM-6 PM, 10 AM-2 PM)
All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 786554, Location: 42.253518, -71.025273
Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI)

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Leg } \\ \text { Direction } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Adams Street } \\ \text { Eastbound } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Adams Street } \\ & \text { Westbound } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Furnace Brook Parkway Northbound |  |  |  |  | Furnace Brook Parkway Southbound |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R U | U App | Ped* | L | T | R U | U App | Ped* |  |
| 2020-10-01 6:00AM | 314 | 12 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 1 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 1 | - 9 | 9 | 10 | $0 \quad 19$ | 0 | 2 | 11 | 11 | $0{ }^{0}$ | 0 | 108 |
| 6:15AM | 18 | 14 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 3 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 20 | 020 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 90 | 032 | 0 | 129 |
| 6:30AM | 429 | 12 | 0 | 45 | 2 | 5 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 21 | 18 | 60 | $0 \quad 45$ | 2 | 1 | 26 | 14 0 | $0 \quad 41$ | 2 | 193 |
| 6:45AM | 44 | 16 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 4 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 10 | 28 | 50 | $0 \quad 43$ | 2 | 5 | 40 | 17 0 | $0 \quad 62$ | 1 | 245 |
| Hourly Total | $15 \quad 105$ | 54 | 0 | 174 | 2 | 13 | 202 | 0 | 0 | 215 | 1 | 48 | 65 | 140 | $0 \quad 127$ | 4 | 9 | 99 | 510 | $0 \quad 159$ | 3 | 675 |
| 7:00AM | $12 \quad 45$ | 21 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 8 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 19 | 23 | 90 | 051 | 1 | 4 | 35 | 14 0 | 053 | 1 | 250 |
| 7:15AM | 1251 | 22 | 0 | 85 | 1 | 18 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 3 | 12 | 28 | 13 | $0 \quad 53$ | 0 | 3 | 43 | 180 | $0 \quad 64$ | 4 | 281 |
| 7:30AM | $19 \quad 60$ | 21 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 8 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 3 | 15 | 44 | 15 | 074 | 5 | 9 | 48 | 290 | $0 \quad 86$ | 1 | 351 |
| 7:45AM | $24 \quad 72$ | 28 | 0 | 124 | 2 | 12 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 3 | 22 | 35 | 13 | 070 | 2 | 18 | 73 | 450 | $0 \quad 136$ | 0 | 412 |
| Hourly Total | $\begin{array}{ll}67 & 228\end{array}$ | 92 | 0 | 387 | 7 | 46 | 274 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 9 | 68 | 130 | 50 0 | 0248 | 8 | 34 | 199 | 1060 | $0 \quad 339$ | 6 | 1294 |
| 8:00AM | $15 \quad 83$ | 29 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 12 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 24 | 37 | 12 | 073 | 4 | 7 | 47 | 320 | $0 \quad 86$ | 1 | 377 |
| 8:15AM | $12 \quad 69$ | 23 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 15 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 3 | 22 | 30 | 13 | $0 \quad 65$ | 0 | 13 | 45 | 210 | $0 \quad 79$ | 0 | 329 |
| 8:30AM | $7 \quad 59$ | 29 | 0 | 95 | 1 | - 9 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 3 | 30 | 46 | 11 | $0 \quad 87$ | 2 | 4 | 38 | 260 | $0 \quad 68$ | 0 | 319 |
| 8:45AM | 14.61 | 24 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 11 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 1 | 30 | 30 | 15 | 075 | 3 | 4 | 42 | 280 | 074 | 3 | 335 |
| Hourly Total | $48 \quad 272$ | 105 | 0 | 425 | 1 | 47 | 281 | 0 | 0 | 328 | 7 | 106 | 143 | 51 | $0 \quad 300$ | 9 | 28 | 172 | 1070 | $0 \quad 307$ | 4 | 1360 |
| 9:00AM | $12 \quad 47$ | 21 | 0 | 80 | 1 | - 9 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 25 | 38 | 13 | 076 | 3 | 4 | 42 | 140 | $0 \quad 60$ | 0 | 299 |
| 9:15AM | $23 \quad 71$ | 29 |  | 123 | 2 | 5 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 26 | 29 | 80 | $0 \quad 63$ | 2 | 5 | 35 | 210 | $0 \quad 61$ | 0 | 299 |
| 9:30AM | $12 \quad 70$ | 22 | 0 | 104 | 2 | 3 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 21 | 50 | 16 | $0 \quad 87$ | 3 | 5 | 33 | 290 | $0 \quad 67$ | 0 | 335 |
| 9:45AM | $16 \quad 61$ | 21 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 12 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 1 | 26 | 42 | 14 | 082 | 0 | 10 | 28 | 160 | $0 \quad 54$ | 1 | 318 |
| Hourly Total | $63 \quad 249$ | 93 | 0 | 405 | 5 | 29 | 267 | 0 | 0 | 296 | 1 | 98 | 159 | 51 | 0308 | 8 | 24 | 138 | 80 | $0 \quad 242$ | 1 | 1251 |
| 2:00PM | $15 \quad 78$ | 25 | 0 | 118 | 2 | 9 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 1 | 25 | 48 | 22 | $0 \quad 95$ | 1 | 18 | 67 | 380 | $0 \quad 123$ | 0 | 410 |
| 2:15PM | $15 \quad 89$ | 30 | 0 | 134 | 2 | 9 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 29 | 45 | 120 | $0 \quad 86$ | 0 | 15 | 63 | 190 | $0 \quad 97$ | 1 | 401 |
| 2:30PM | $20 \quad 86$ | 34 | 0 | 140 | 2 | 12 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 4 | 33 | 55 | 16 | $0 \quad 104$ | 3 | 11 | 50 | 190 | $0 \quad 80$ | 1 | 423 |
| 2:45PM | $13 \quad 95$ | 25 | 0 | 133 | 2 | 10 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 3 | 31 | 71 | 26 | $0 \quad 128$ | 0 | 14 | 65 | 430 | $0 \quad 122$ | 1 | 475 |
| Hourly Total | $63 \quad 348$ | 114 | 0 | 525 | 8 | 40 | 309 | 0 | 0 | 349 | 8 | 118 | 219 | 76 | $0 \quad 413$ | 4 | 58 | 245 | 1190 | 0422 | 3 | 1709 |
| 3:00PM | $24 \quad 106$ | 32 | 1 | 163 | 0 | 18 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 28 | 49 | 15 | $0 \quad 92$ | 4 | 13 | 58 | 250 | 096 | 0 | 459 |
| 3:15PM | $21 \quad 112$ | 21 | 0 | 154 | 2 | 17 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 35 | 48 | 17 0 | $0 \quad 100$ | 1 | 16 | 57 | 320 | $0 \quad 105$ | 1 | 456 |
| 3:30PM | $30 \quad 96$ | 34 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 10 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 1 | 24 | 48 | 15 | 087 | 1 | 9 | 55 | 240 | 088 | 0 | 406 |
| 3:45PM | 28109 | 24 | 0 | 161 | 0 | 2 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 3 | 23 | 60 | 16 | $0 \quad 99$ | 2 | 16 | 63 | 280 | $0 \quad 107$ | 2 | 445 |
| Hourly Total | $103 \quad 423$ | 111 | 1 | 638 | 2 | 47 | 307 | 0 | 0 | 354 | 4 | 110 | 205 | 63 | $0 \quad 378$ | 8 | 54 | 233 | 1090 | 0396 | 3 | 1766 |
| 4:00PM | $30 \quad 125$ | 26 | 0 | 181 | 0 | 5 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 29 | 49 | 16 | $0 \quad 94$ | 0 | 17 | 65 | 30 0 | $0 \quad 112$ | 0 | 478 |
| 4:15PM | $19 \quad 108$ | 14 | 0 | 141 | 8 | 9 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 2 | 18 | 47 | 18 | $0 \quad 83$ | 3 | 18 | 58 | 180 | $0 \quad 94$ | 7 | 403 |
| 4:30PM | $22 \quad 104$ | 25 | 0 | 151 | 5 | 12 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 29 | 59 | 16 | $0 \quad 104$ | 3 | 12 | 80 | 230 | $0 \quad 115$ | 3 | 466 |
| 4:45PM | $31 \quad 128$ | 26 | 0 | 185 | 5 | 10 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 4 | 27 | 57 | 21 | $0 \quad 105$ | 1 | 15 | 62 | 230 | $0 \quad 100$ | 8 | 481 |
| Hourly Total | 102465 | 91 | 0 | 658 | 18 | 36 | 327 | 0 | 0 | 363 | 6 | 103 | 212 | 71 | $0 \quad 386$ | 7 | 62 | 265 | 940 | 0421 | 18 | 1828 |
| 5:00PM | 37101 | 21 | 0 | 159 | 0 | 9 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 3 | 33 | 56 | 200 | 0109 | 5 | 13 | 58 | 310 | $0 \quad 102$ | 5 | 479 |
| 5:15PM | $30 \quad 99$ | 31 | 0 | 160 | 9 | 2 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 5 | 28 | 66 | 17 | $0 \quad 111$ | 9 | 16 | 66 | 320 | $0 \quad 114$ | 6 | 460 |
| 5:30PM | $26 \quad 119$ | 24 | 2 | 171 | 9 | 7 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 2 | 43 | 52 | 22 | $0 \quad 117$ | 2 | 11 | 57 | 280 | $0 \quad 96$ | 8 | 488 |
| 5:45PM | $18 \quad 86$ | 33 | 0 | 137 | 1 | 16 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 31 | 60 | 240 | $0 \quad 115$ | 3 | 11 | 53 | 240 | $0 \quad 88$ | 3 | 438 |
| Hourly Total | 111405 | 109 | 2 | 627 | 19 | 34 | 352 | 0 | 0 | 386 | 10 | 135 | 234 | 83 | 0452 | 19 | 51 | 234 | 1150 | $0 \quad 400$ | 22 | 1865 |
| 2020-10-03 10:00AM | $20 \quad 75$ | 35 | 0 | 130 | 4 | 13 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 22 | 35 | 9 | $0 \quad 66$ | 3 | 8 | 53 | 450 | $0 \quad 106$ | 0 | 379 |
| 10:15AM | $23 \quad 85$ | 27 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 14 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 26 | 63 | 8 | $0 \quad 97$ | 1 | 10 | 63 | 140 | $0 \quad 87$ | 4 | 414 |
| 10:30AM | $18 \quad 73$ | 34 | 0 | 125 | 4 | 12 | 66 | 1 | 1 | 80 | 1 | 23 | 55 | 11 | 089 | 1 | 9 | 54 | 26 | $0 \quad 89$ | 0 | 383 |
| 10:45AM | $20 \quad 66$ | 45 | 1 | 132 | 5 | 9 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 28 | 43 | 60 | $0 \quad 77$ | 2 | 9 | 63 | 330 | $0 \quad 105$ | 0 | 407 |
| Hourly Total | 81299 | 141 | 1 | 522 | 13 | 48 | 295 | 1 | 1 | 345 | 1 | 99 | 196 | 34 | $0 \quad 329$ | 7 | 36 | 233 | 1180 | $0 \quad 387$ | 4 | 1583 |
| 11:00AM | $14{ }^{81}$ | 43 | 0 | 138 | 4 | 8 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 26 | 58 | 15 | $0 \quad 99$ | 0 | 19 | 56 | 260 | $0 \quad 101$ | 0 | 421 |
| 11:15AM | $15 \quad 77$ | 32 | 0 | 124 | 2 | 12 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 24 | 54 | 9 | $0 \quad 87$ | 1 | 15 | 54 | 330 | $0 \quad 102$ | 2 | 404 |
| 11:30AM | $32 \quad 85$ | 37 | 0 | 154 | 3 | 10 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 1 | 32 | 62 | 18 | 0112 | 2 | 14 | 65 | 270 | 0106 | 2 | 455 |
| 11:45AM | $19 \quad 71$ | 43 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 8 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 1 | 34 | 68 | 15 | $0 \quad 117$ | 1 | 9 | 65 | 320 | $0 \quad 106$ | 0 | 434 |
| Hourly Total | $80 \quad 314$ | 155 | 0 | 549 | 9 | 38 | 297 | 0 | 0 | 335 | 2 | 116 | 242 | 57 | $0 \quad 415$ | 4 | 57 | 240 | 1180 | 0415 | 4 | 1714 |
| 12:00PM | 13100 | 30 | 0 | 143 | 3 | 11 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 3 | 30 | 52 | 8 | $0 \quad 90$ | 0 | 8 | 69 | 200 | 097 | 0 | 410 |
| 12:15PM | $19 \quad 73$ | 28 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 13 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 28 | 63 | 130 | $0 \quad 104$ | 2 | 14 | 68 | 210 | $0 \quad 103$ | 0 | 413 |
| 12:30PM | $20 \quad 83$ | 48 | 0 | 151 | 2 | 13 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 41 | 63 | 160 | 0120 | 1 | 6 | 71 | 280 | $0 \quad 105$ | 0 | 464 |
| 12:45PM | $20 \quad 74$ | 47 | 0 | 141 | 3 | 10 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 1 | 35 | 62 | 14 | $0 \quad 111$ | 0 | 9 | 72 | 280 | $0 \quad 109$ | 2 | 443 |
| Hourly Total | $72 \quad 330$ | 153 | 0 | 555 | 8 | 47 | 289 | 0 | 0 | 336 | 4 | 134 | 240 | 510 | 0425 | 3 | 37 | 280 | 970 | 0414 | 2 | 1730 |
| 1:00PM | $17 \quad 81$ | 21 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 10 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 2 | 36 | 63 | 17 0 | $0 \quad 116$ | 1 | 11 | 72 | 220 | $0 \quad 105$ | 0 | 408 |
| 1:15PM | $29 \quad 74$ | 24 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 7 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 28 | 70 | 33 | $0 \quad 131$ | 0 | 9 | 62 | 240 | $0 \quad 95$ | 2 | 444 |
| 1:30PM | $30 \quad 88$ | 30 | 0 | 148 | 0 | 8 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 43 | 47 | 150 | $0 \quad 105$ | 2 | 11 | 53 | 260 | $0 \quad 90$ | 1 | 426 |
| 1:45PM | $16 \quad 89$ | 28 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 8 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 86 |  | 30 | 85 | 11 | $0 \quad 126$ | 2 | 9 | 68 | 19 0 | 096 | 0 | 441 |
| Hourly Total | 92332 | 103 | 0 | 527 | 0 | 33 | 295 | 0 | 0 | 328 | 3 | 137 | 265 | 760 | 0478 | 5 | 40 | 255 | 910 | $0 \quad 386$ | 3 | 1719 |
| Total | 8973770 | 1321 | 4 | 5992 | 92 | 458 | 3495 | 1 | 1 | 3955 | 56 | 1272 | 2310 | 6770 | 04259 | 86 | 490 | 2593 | 12050 | 04288 | 73 | 18494 |
| \% Approach | 15.0\% 62.9\% | 22.0\% | 0.1\% |  |  | 11.6\% 8 | 88.4\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  | 29.9\% | 54.2\% | 15.9\% 0\% |  |  | 11.4\% 6 | 60.5\% | 28.1\% 0\% | \% |  |  |
| \% Total | 4.9\% 20.4\% | 7.1\% | 0\% | 32.4 \% |  | 2.5\% | 18.9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 21.4\% |  | 6.9\% | 12.5\% | 3.7\% 0\% | 23.0\% |  | 2.6\% | 14.0\% | 6.5\% 0\% | \% 23.2\% |  |  |
| Lights | 886 3677 | 1297 | 4 | 5864 |  | 452 | 3410 | 1 |  | 3864 |  | 1256 | 2279 | 6580 | 04193 |  | 485 | 2555 | 11690 | 04209 |  | 18130 |
| \% Lights | 98.8\% 97.5\% | 98.2\% | 100\% | 97.9\% |  | 98.7\% 9 | 97.6\% | 100\% | 100\% 9 | 97.7\% |  | 98.7\% | 98.7\% | 97.2\% 0\% | 98.5\% |  | 99.0\% 9 | 98.5\% | 97.0\% 0\% | \% 98.2\% |  | 98.0\% |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 61 | 12 | 0 | 80 |  | 4 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 65 |  | 9 | 15 | 15 | $0 \quad 39$ |  | 2 | 22 | 130 | $0 \quad 37$ |  | 221 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | 0.8\% 1.6\% | 0.9\% | 0\% | 1.3\% |  | 0.9\% | 1.7\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.6\% |  | 0.7\% | 0.6\% | 2.2\% 0\% | 0.9\% |  | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 1.1\% 0\% | 0.9\% |  | 1.2\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 |  | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 |  | 1 | 1 | 10 | $0 \quad 3$ |  | 0 | 1 | 10 | $0 \quad 2$ |  | 24 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0\% 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0\% | 0.2\% |  | 0\% | 0.3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.3\% |  | 0.1\% | 0\% | 0.1\% 0\% | 0.1\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0.1\% 0\% | 0\% |  | 0.1\% |
| Buses | 215 | 6 | 0 | 23 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 11 |  | 6 | 0 | 2 | $0 \quad 8$ |  | 2 | 4 | 60 | $0 \quad 12$ |  | 54 |
| \% Buses | 0.2\% 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0\% | 0.4\% |  | 0\% | 0.3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.3\% |  | 0.5\% | 0\% | 0.3\% 0\% | 0.2\% |  | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% 0\% | 0.3\% |  | 0.3\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 29 | 5 | 0 | 16 |  | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  | 0 | 15 | 10 | $0 \quad 16$ |  | 1 | 11 | 160 | $0 \quad 28$ |  | 65 |


| Leg <br> Direction | Adams Street Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Adams Street Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Furnace Brook Parkway Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Furnace Brook Parkway Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T |  |  | U | App | Ped* | Int |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0\% | 0.3\% | - | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.1\% | - | 0\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% |  | 0.4 \% |  | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 1.3\% | \% 0\% |  | 0.7 \% |  | 0.4\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 74 | - | - | - | - | - | 46 | - | - | - | - | - | 76 | - | - |  | - | - | - | 65 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - |  | 80.4\% | - | - | - | - |  | 82.1\% | - | - | - | - |  | 88.4\% | - | - |  | - | - |  | 89.0\% |  |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - |  | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | - |  | - | - | - | 8 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - |  | 19.6\% | - | - | - | - |  | 17.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | 11.6\% | - | - |  |  | - |  | 11.0\% |  |

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

## 207487-A Furnace Brook Parkway @ Adams Stree... - TMC

Thu Oct 1, 2020
AM Peak (Oct 012020 7:30AM - 8:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 786554, Location: 42.253518, -71.025273 Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US
Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC
(PDI)

| Leg <br> Direction | Adams Street Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Adams Street Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Furnace Brook Parkway Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Furnace Brook Parkway <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U |  | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* |  |
| 2020-10-01 7:30AM | 19 | 60 | 21 | 0 | 100 | 4 | 8 | 83 | 0 | 0 |  | 91 | 3 | 15 | 44 | 15 | 0 | 74 | 5 | 9 | 48 | 29 | 0 | 86 | 1 | 351 |
| 7:45AM | 24 | 72 | 28 | 0 | 124 | 2 | 12 | 70 | 0 | 0 |  | 82 | 3 | 22 | 35 | 13 | 0 | 70 | 2 | 18 | 73 | 45 | 0 | 136 | 0 | 412 |
| 8:00AM | 15 | 83 | 29 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 12 | 79 | 0 | 0 |  | 91 | 0 | 24 | 37 | 12 | 0 | 73 | 4 | 7 | 47 | 32 | 0 | 86 | 1 | 377 |
| 8:15AM | 12 | 69 | 23 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 15 | 66 | 0 |  |  | 81 | 3 | 22 | 30 | 13 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 13 | 45 | 21 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 329 |
| Total | 70 | 284 | 101 | 0 | 455 | 6 | 47 | 298 | 0 | 0 |  | 345 | 9 | 83 | 146 | 53 | 0 | 282 | 11 | 47 | 213 | 127 | 0 | 387 | 2 | 1469 |
| \% Approach | 15.4\% | 62.4\% | 22.2\% 0 | \% |  |  | 13.6\% | 86.4\% | 0\% 0 | 0\% |  | - |  | 29.4\% | 51.8\% | 18.8\% 0\% | \% |  |  | 12.1\% | 55.0\% | 32.8\% 0 |  |  |  |  |
| \% Total | 4.8\% | 19.3\% | 6.9\% 0 | \% | 31.0\% |  | 3.2\% | 20.3\% | 0\% 0 | 0\% | 23 | 3.5\% |  | 5.7\% | 9.9\% | 3.6\% 0\% | \% | 19.2\% |  | 3.2\% | 14.5\% | 8.6\% 0 | \% | 26.3\% |  |  |
| PHF | 0.729 | 0.855 | 0.871 | - | 0.896 |  | 0.783 | 0.898 | - |  |  | 0.948 |  | 0.865 | 0.830 | 0.883 |  | 0.953 |  | 0.653 | 0.723 | 0.700 | - | 0.706 |  | 0.890 |
| Lights | 69 | 275 | 100 | 0 | 444 |  | 47 | 285 | 0 | 0 |  | 332 |  | 82 | 143 | 49 | 0 | 274 |  | 47 | 210 | 121 | 0 | 378 |  | 1428 |
| \% Lights | 98.6\% | 96.8\% | 99.0\% 0 | \% | 97.6\% |  | 100\% | 95.6\% | 0\% 0 | 0\% | 96 | 6.2\% |  | 98.8\% | 97.9\% | 92.5\% 0\% | \% | 97.2\% |  | 100\% | 98.6\% | 95.3\% 0 | \% | 97.7\% |  | 97.2\% |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 |  | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |  | 9 |  | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |  | 24 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | 1.4\% | 1.8\% | 0\% 0 | \% | 1.3\% |  | 0\% | 3.0\% | 0\% 0 | 0\% |  | 2.6\% |  | 0\% | 1.4\% | 5.7\% 0\% |  | 1.8\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 3.1\% 0 |  | 1.0\% |  | 1.6\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 5 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0\% | 0.4\% | 0\% 0 | \% | 0.2\% |  | 0\% | 0.7\% | 0\% 0 | 0\% |  | 0.6\% |  | 0\% | 0.7\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0.4 \% |  | 0\% | 0.5\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0.3\% |  | 0.3\% |
| Buses | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 9 |
| \% Buses | 0\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% 0 | \% | 0.9\% |  | 0\% | 0.7\% | 0\% 0 | 0\% |  | 0.6\% |  | 1.2\% | 0\% | 1.9\% 0\% |  | 0.7\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0.8\% 0 |  | 0.3\% |  | 0.6\% |
| Bic ycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |  | 3 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0 |  | $0 \%$ |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0 | 0\% |  | 0 \% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0 \% |  | 0\% | 0.9\% | 0.8\% 0 |  | 0.8\% |  | 0.2\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - |  | 100\% | - | - | - |  |  |  | 88.9\% | - | - | - | - |  | 90.9\% | - | - | - | - |  | 50.0\% |  |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - |  |  | 11.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | 9.1\% | - | - | - | - |  | 50.0\% |  |

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

AM Peak (Oct 012020 7:30AM - 8:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 786554, Location: 42.253518, -71.025273

Provided by: Precision Data
Industries, LLC (PDI)
46 Morton Street,
Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US
[ N ] Furnace Brook Parkway
Total: 603
In: $387 \quad$ Out: 216


Out: 361 In: 282
Total: 643
[S] Furnace Brook Parkway

207487-A Furnace Brook Parkway @ Adams Stree... - TMC
Thu Oct 1, 2020
PM Peak (Oct 012020 4:45PM - 5:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC
(PDI)
ID: 786554, Location: 42.253518, -71.025273
46 Morton Street,
Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

| Leg <br> Direction | Adams Street Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Adams Street Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Furnace Brook Parkway Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Furnace Brook Parkway Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* |  | L | T | R | U |  | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U |  | Ped* |  |
| 2020-10-01 4:45PM | 31 | 128 | 26 | 0 | 185 | 5 |  | 10 | 81 | 0 | 0 |  | 91 | 4 | 27 | 57 | 21 | 0 | 105 | 1 | 15 | 62 | 23 | 0 | 100 | 8 | 481 |
| 5:00PM | 37 | 101 | 21 | 0 | 159 | 0 |  | 9 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 109 | 3 | 33 | 56 | 20 | 0 | 109 | 5 | 13 | 58 | 31 | 0 | 102 | 5 | 479 |
| 5:15PM | 30 | 99 | 31 | 0 | 160 | 9 |  | 2 | 73 | 0 | 0 |  | 75 | 5 | 28 | 66 | 17 | 0 | 111 | 9 | 16 | 66 | 32 | 0 | 114 | 6 | 460 |
| 5:30PM | 26 | 119 | 24 | 2 | 171 | 9 |  | 7 | 97 | 0 | 0 |  | 104 | 2 | 43 | 52 | 22 | 0 | 117 | 2 | 11 | 57 | 28 | 0 | 96 | 8 | 488 |
| Total | 124 | 447 | 102 | 2 | 675 | 23 |  | 28 | 351 | 0 | 0 |  | 379 | 14 | 131 | 231 | 80 | 0 | 442 | 17 | 55 | 243 | 114 | 0 | 412 | 27 | 1908 |
| \% Approach | 18.4\% | 66.2\% | 15.1\% | 0.3\% |  |  | 7.4\% | \% 92 | 92.6\% 0 | 0\% 0 |  |  | - |  | 29.6\% 5 | 52.3\% | 18.1\% 0 | 0\% | - |  | 13.3\% | 59.0\% | 27.7\% 0\% |  |  |  |  |
| \% Total | 6.5\% | 23.4\% | 5.3\% | 0.1\% | 35.4 \% |  | 1.5\% |  | 18.4\% 0 | 0\% 0 |  |  | 9.9\% |  | 6.9\% | 12.1\% | 4.2\% 0 | 0\% | 23.2\% |  | 2.9\% | 12.7\% | 6.0\% 0\% | \% | 21.6\% |  |  |
| PHF | 0.838 | 0.871 | 0.833 | 0.250 | 0.908 |  | 0.700 |  | 0.878 | - |  |  | 0.869 |  | 0.762 | 0.875 | 0.909 |  | 0.944 |  | 0.859 | 0.920 | 0.917 | - | 0.911 |  | 0.978 |
| Lights | 122 | 443 | 99 | 2 | 666 |  |  | 28 | 345 | 0 | 0 |  | 373 |  | 131 | 230 | 78 | 0 | 439 |  | 54 | 243 | 110 | 0 | 407 |  | 1885 |
| \% Lights | 98.4\% | 99.1\% | 97.1\% | 100\% | 98.7\% |  | 100\% | \% 98 | 98.3\% 0 | 0\% 0 | 0\% |  | 8.4 \% |  | 100\% | 99.6\% | 97.5\% 0 | 0\% | 9.3\% |  | 98.2\% | 100\% | 96.5\% 0\% | \% | 98.8\% |  | 98.8\% |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 |  |  | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |  | 5 |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 14 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | 1.6\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 0\% | 0.7\% |  | 0\% | \% | 1.4\% 0 | 0\% 0 | 0\% |  | 1.3\% |  | 0\% | 0.4\% | 2.5\% 0 | 0\% | 0.7\% |  | 1.8\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0.2\% |  | 0.7\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | \% | 0\% 0 | 0\% 0 |  |  | 0 \% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |
| Buses | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 |
| \% Buses | 0\% | 0.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.1\% |  | 0\% |  | 0.3\% 0 | 0\% 0 | 0\% |  | 0.3\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0.1\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |  | 7 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0.2\% | 2.0\% | 0\% | 0.4 \% |  | 0\% | \% | 0\% 0 |  | 0\% |  | 0 \% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 3.5\% 0 |  | 1.0\% |  | 0.4\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 20 |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | 27 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - |  | 87.0\% |  | - | - | - | - |  |  | 92.9\% | - | - | - | - |  | 94.1\% | - | - | - | - |  | 100\% |  |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |  | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - |  | 13.0\% |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | 7.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | 5.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% |  |

[^11]Thu Oct 1, 2020
PM Peak (Oct 012020 4:45PM - 5:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 786554, Location: 42.253518, -71.025273

Provided by: Precision Data
Industries, LLC (PDI)
46 Morton Street,
Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US
[ N ] Furnace Brook Parkway
Total: 767
In: 412 Out: 355


## APPENDIX F

Turning Movement Count Data Adams Street at Common Street October 2020

Thu Oct 1, 2020
Full Length (6 AM-10 AM, 2 PM-6 PM, 10 AM-2 PM)
All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) All Movements

46 Morton Street,
ID: 786555, Location: 42.253305, -71.024814

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leg } \\ & \text { Direction } \end{aligned}$ | Adams Street Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Adams Street Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Common Street Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Furnace Brook Parkway Ramp Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* |  |
| 2020-10-01 6:00AM | 0 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| 6:15AM | 0 | 14 | 7 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 2 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| 6:30AM | 0 | 23 | 14 | 1 | 38 | 1 | 4 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 1 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 113 |
| 6:45AM | 0 | 36 | 19 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 7 | 45 | 2 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 28 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 148 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 87 | 44 | 1 | 132 | 1 | 15 | 144 | 2 | 0 | 161 | 1 | 71 | 24 | 10 | 0 | 105 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 398 |
| 7:00AM | 0 | 35 | 23 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 2 | 19 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 32 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 140 |
| 7:15AM | 0 | 50 | 17 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 7 | 67 | 1 | 0 | 75 | 1 | 14 | 17 | 7 | 0 | 38 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 180 |
| 7:30AM | 1 | 59 | 26 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 6 | 67 | 5 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 23 | 12 | 17 | 0 | 52 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 216 |
| 7:45AM | 2 | 58 | 39 | 0 | 99 | 1 | 8 | 55 | 2 | 1 | 66 | 0 | 27 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 43 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 208 |
| Hourly Total | 3 | 202 | 105 | 0 | 310 | 1 | 23 | 237 | 8 | 1 | 269 | 3 | 83 | 46 | 36 | 0 | 165 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 744 |
| 8:00AM | 0 | 70 | 35 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 12 | 73 | 1 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 18 | 11 | 13 | 0 | 42 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 233 |
| 8:15AM | 0 | 57 | 39 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 9 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 1 | 24 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 199 |
| 8:30AM | 0 | 58 | 17 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 12 | 56 | 6 | 0 | 74 | 1 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 182 |
| 8:45AM | 0 | 61 | 22 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 5 | 60 | 2 | 0 | 67 | 1 | 28 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 47 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 197 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 246 | 113 | 0 | 359 | 0 | 38 | 246 | 9 | 0 | 293 | 3 | 83 | 38 | 38 | 0 | 159 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 811 |
| 9:00AM | 0 | 49 | 15 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 10 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 21 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 39 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 171 |
| 9:15AM | 0 | 51 | 31 | 1 | 83 | 0 | 4 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 20 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 154 |
| 9:30AM | 0 | 61 | 31 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 3 | 57 | 1 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 19 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 38 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 191 |
| 9:45AM | 0 | 48 | 37 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 6 | 65 | 2 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 24 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 194 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 209 | 114 | 1 | 324 | 0 | 23 | 212 | 6 | 0 | 241 | 0 | 84 | 34 | 27 | 0 | 145 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 710 |
| 2:00PM | 0 | 83 | 36 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 6 | 46 | 2 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 26 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 53 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 226 |
| 2:15PM | 0 | 79 | 37 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 8 | 67 | 1 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 18 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 230 |
| 2:30PM | 0 | 83 | 30 | 0 | 113 | 1 | 9 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 34 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 56 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 249 |
| 2:45PM | 0 | 87 | 48 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 11 | 71 | 0 | 1 | 83 | 0 | 22 | 18 | 13 | 0 | 53 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 271 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 332 | 151 | 0 | 483 | 1 | 34 | 255 | 3 | 1 | 293 | 0 | 100 | 70 | 30 | 0 | 200 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 976 |
| 3:00PM | 0 | 80 | 52 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 11 | 80 | 1 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 8 | 0 | 48 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 272 |
| 3:15PM | 0 | 86 | 63 | 0 | 149 | 0 | 7 | 75 | 1 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 24 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 50 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 282 |
| 3:30PM | 0 | 81 | 42 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 3 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 21 | 9 | 13 | 0 | 43 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 215 |
| 3:45PM | 0 | 82 | 58 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 6 | 56 | 1 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 24 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 40 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 243 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 329 | 215 | 0 | 544 | 0 | 27 | 257 | 3 | 0 | 287 | 0 | 89 | 57 | 35 | 0 | 181 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1012 |
| 4:00PM | 0 | 89 | 71 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 5 | 73 | 1 | 0 | 79 | 1 | 18 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 272 |
| 4:15PM | 0 | 80 | 63 | 0 | 143 | 0 | 9 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 23 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 44 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 261 |
| 4:30PM | 0 | 92 | 38 | 0 | 130 | 1 | 11 | 76 | 1 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 22 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 38 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 256 |
| 4:45PM | 0 | 98 | 70 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 4 | 61 | 2 | 1 | 68 | 0 | 28 | 11 | 12 | 0 | 51 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 287 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 359 | 242 | 0 | 601 | 1 | 29 | 274 | 4 | 1 | 308 | 1 | 91 | 45 | 30 | 0 | 166 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1076 |
| 5:00PM | 0 | 85 | 45 | 1 | 131 | 0 | 14 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 1 | 34 | 25 | 8 | 0 | 67 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 282 |
| 5:15PM | 0 | 83 | 51 | 0 | 134 | 0 | 10 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 69 | 2 | 24 | 17 | 7 | 0 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 251 |
| 5:30PM | 0 | 103 | 56 | 0 | 159 | 2 | 7 | 81 | 3 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 21 | 16 | 10 | 0 | 47 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 297 |
| 5:45PM | 0 | 79 | 41 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 7 | 62 | 3 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 33 | 14 | 7 | 0 | 54 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 246 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 350 | 193 | 1 | 544 | 2 | 38 | 271 | 7 | 0 | 316 | 3 | 112 | 72 | 32 | 0 | 216 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 1076 |
| 2020-10-03 10:00AM | 0 | 56 | 36 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 5 | 60 | 2 | 0 | 67 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 29 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 188 |
| 10:15AM | 0 | 71 | 30 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 8 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 16 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 39 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 230 |
| 10:30AM | 0 | 56 | 38 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 5 | 66 | 3 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 16 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 197 |
| 10:45AM | 0 | 56 | 30 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 7 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 18 | 13 | 5 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 239 | 134 | 0 | 373 | 0 | 25 | 279 | 5 | 0 | 309 | 1 | 62 | 51 | 20 | 0 | 133 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 815 |
| 11:00AM | 0 | 69 | 45 | 0 | 114 | 0 | 8 | 64 | 3 | 0 | 75 | 2 | 21 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 35 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 224 |
| 11:15AM | 0 | 58 | 40 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 5 | 77 | 1 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 20 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 42 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 223 |
| 11:30AM | 0 | 69 | 45 | 0 | 114 | 0 | 11 | 45 | 2 | 2 | 60 | 2 | 31 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 46 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 220 |
| 11:45AM | 0 | 62 | 37 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 6 | 62 | 3 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 21 | 11 | 14 | 0 | 46 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 216 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 258 | 167 | 0 | 425 | 0 | 30 | 248 | 9 | 2 | 289 | 4 | 93 | 43 | 33 | 0 | 169 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 883 |
| 12:00PM | 0 | 75 | 44 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 8 | 47 | 2 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 28 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 51 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 228 |
| 12:15PM | 0 | 57 | 43 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 12 | 63 | 2 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 23 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 45 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222 |
| 12:30PM | 0 | 74 | 31 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 8 | 68 | 3 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 23 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 218 |
| 12:45PM | 1 | 62 | 36 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 9 | 58 | 2 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 20 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 40 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 208 |
| Hourly Total | 1 | 268 | 154 | 0 | 423 | 0 | 37 | 236 | 9 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 94 | 53 | 23 | 0 | 170 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 876 |
| 1:00PM | 0 | 71 | 38 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 2 | 48 | 1 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 19 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 37 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 197 |
| 1:15PM | 0 | 86 | 31 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 13 | 74 | 4 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 19 | 15 | 9 | 0 | 43 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 251 |
| 1:30PM | 0 | 75 | 41 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 5 | 54 | 3 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 27 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 47 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 225 |
| 1:45PM | 0 | 76 | 34 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 4 | 65 | 3 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 25 | 17 | 7 | 0 | 49 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 231 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 308 | 144 | 0 | 452 | 0 | 24 | 241 | 11 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 90 | 57 | 29 | 0 | 176 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 904 |
| Total | 4 | 3187 | 1776 | 3 | 4970 | 6 | 343 | 2900 | 76 | 5 | 3324 | 16 | 1052 | 590 | 343 | 0 | 1985 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 51 | 10281 |
| \% Approach | 0.1\% | 64.1\% | 35.7\% | 0.1\% |  |  | 10.3\% 8 | 87.2\% | 2.3\% | 0.2\% |  |  | 53.0\% | 29.7\% | 17.3\% |  |  |  | 0\% 0 | \% 5 | .0\% 5 | 0.0\% | - |  |  |
| \% Total | 0\% | 31.0\% | 17.3\% | 0\% | 48.3\% |  | 3.3\% | 28.2\% | 0.7\% |  | 32.3\% |  | 10.2\% | 5.7\% | 3.3\% | 0\% | 19.3\% |  | 0\% 0 |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Lights | 4 | 3100 | 1740 | 3 | 4847 |  | 333 | 2836 | 73 |  | 3247 |  | 1024 | 581 | 323 | 0 | 1928 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 10023 |
| \% Lights | 100\% | 97.3\% | 98.0\% | 100\% | 97.5\% |  | 97.1\% 9 | 97.8\% | 96.1\% | 100\% | 97.7\% |  | 97.3\% | 98.5\% | 94.2\% | 0\% | 97.1\% |  | 0\% 0 |  | 0\% | 100\% | 0.0\% |  | 97.5\% |
| Single-Unit Trucks | 0 | 58 | 22 | 0 | 80 |  | 3 | 47 | 1 | 0 | 51 |  | 14 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 28 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 159 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | 0\% | 1.8\% | 1.2\% | 0\% | 1.6\% |  | 0.9\% | 1.6\% | 1.3\% | 0\% | 1.5\% |  | 1.3\% | 0.5\% | 3.2\% |  | 1.4 \% |  | 0\% 0 |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 1.5\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 12 |  | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 9 |  | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 31 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0\% | 0.2\% |  | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.3\% |  | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 0\% | 0.5\% |  | 0\% 0 |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0.3\% |
| Buses | 0 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 19 |  | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 34 |


| Leg <br> Direction | Adams Street Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Adams Street Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Common Street Northbound |  |  |  |  | Furnace Brook Parkway Ramp Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R U | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* | Int |
| \% Buses | 0\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0\% | 0.4 \% |  | 0\% | 0.1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.1\% |  | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 0\% 0\% | 0.6\% |  | 0\% 0 |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% |  | 0.3\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 12 | - | 5 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 13 | - | 0 | 2 | 60 | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 34 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0\% | 0.2 \% | - | 1.5\% | 0.2\% | 2.6\% | 0\% | 0.4 \% | - | 0\% | 0.3\% | 1.7\% 0\% | 0.4 \% | - | 0\% | \% | 100\% | 0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.3\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | - | - | - - | - | 107 | - | - | - | - | - | 47 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - |  | 100\% | - | - | - | - |  | 93.8\% | - | - | - - |  | 92.2\% | - | - | - | - |  | 92.2\% | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - | - | 6.3\% | - | - | - | - | 7.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | 7.8\% |  |

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Thu Oct 1, 2020
AM Peak (Oct 012020 7:30AM - 8:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC

All Movements
ID: 786555, Location: 42.253305, -71.024814

| Leg <br> Direction | Adams Street <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Adams Street <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Common Street <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Furnace Brook Parkway Ramp <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | T | R |  | App | Ped* | L | T |  | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R |  |  |  |  |
| 2020-10-01 7:30AM | 1 | 59 | 26 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 6 | 67 | 5 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 23 | 12 | 17 | 0 | 52 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 216 |
| 7:45AM | 2 | 58 | 39 | 0 | 99 |  | 8 | 55 | 2 | 1 | 66 | 0 | 27 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 43 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 208 |
| 8:00AM | 0 | 70 | 35 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 12 | 73 | 1 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 18 | 11 | 13 | 0 | 42 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 233 |
| 8:15AM | 0 | 57 | 39 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 9 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 1 | 24 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 199 |
| Total | 3 | 244 | 139 | 0 | 386 | 1 | 35 | 252 | 8 | 1 | 296 | 1 | 92 | 39 | 43 | 0 | 174 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 856 |
| \% Approach | 0.8\% | 63.2\% | 36.0\% 0\% |  | - |  | 11.8\% | 85.1\% | 2.7\% | 0.3\% |  |  | 52.9\% | 22.4\% | 24.7\% 0 | \% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% 0 | 0\% 0\% |  | - |  |  |
| \% Total | 0.4\% | 28.5\% | 16.2\% 0\% | \% | 45.1\% |  | 4.1\% | 29.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.1\% | 34.6\% |  | 10.7\% | 4.6\% | 5.0\% | \% | 20.3\% |  | 0\% | 0\% 0 | 0\% 0\% | \% |  |  |  |
| PHF | 0.375 | 0.868 | 0.891 | - | 0.917 |  | 0.729 | 0.863 | 0.400 | 0.250 | 0.860 |  | 0.852 | 0.792 | 0.574 |  | 0.813 |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | 0.928 |
| Lights | 3 | 234 | 135 | 0 | 372 |  | 35 | 243 | 8 | 1 | 287 |  | 89 | 37 | 35 | 0 | 161 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 820 |
| \% Lights | 100\% | 95.9\% | 97.1\% 0\% | \% 9 | 96.4 \% |  | 100\% | 96.4\% | 100\% | 100\% | 97.0\% |  | 96.7\% | 94.9\% | 81.4\% | \% | 92.5\% |  | 0\% | 0\% 0 | 0\% 0\% |  | - |  | 95.8\% |
| S ingle-Unit Trucks | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 8 |  | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 19 |
| \% Single-Unit Trucks | 0\% | 2.0\% | 2.2\% 0\% |  | 2.1\% |  | 0\% | 2.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2.4 \% |  | 1.1\% | 2.6\% | 4.7\% |  | 2.3\% |  | 0\% | 0\% 0 | 0\% 0\% |  | - |  | 2.2\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 5 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0\% | 0.4\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0.3\% |  | 0\% | 0.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.3\% |  | 1.1\% | 0\% | 4.7\% |  | 1.7\% |  | 0\% | 0\% 0 | 0\% 0\% |  | - |  | 0.6\% |
| Buses | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 6 |
| \% Buses | 0\% | 1.2\% | 0.7\% 0\% |  | 1.0\% |  | 0\% | 0.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.3\% | - | 1.1\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0.6\% |  | 0\% | 0\% 0 | 0\% 0\% |  | - |  | 0.7\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 6 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0.4\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0.3\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% | - | 0\% | 2.6\% | 9.3\% |  | 2.9\% |  | 0\% | 0\% 0 | 0\% 0\% |  | - |  | 0.7\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - |  | - | - |  | 100\% |  |  | - | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - |  |  | 93.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | 00\% |  |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | - |  | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - |  | 100\% | - | - | - | - | - | 6.7\% | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |

[^12]All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 786555, Location: 42.253305, -71.024814

Provided by: Precision Data
Industries, LLC (PDI)
46 Morton Street,
Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US
[N] Furnace Brook Parkway Ramp
Total: 50
In: $0 \quad$ Out: 50


Out: $174 \quad$ In: 174
Total: 348
[S] Common Street

## 207487-B Adams Street @ Furnace Brook Parkwa... - TMC

Thu Oct 1, 2020
PM Peak (Oct 012020 4:45PM - 5:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC
(PDI)
All Movements
ID: 786555, Location: 42.253305, -71.024814

| Leg Direction | Adams Street <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Adams Street <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Common Street <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Furnace Brook Parkway Ramp <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R |  |  | Ped* |  |
| 2020-10-01 4:45PM | 0 | 98 | 70 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 4 | 61 | 2 | 1 | 68 | 0 | 28 | 11 | 12 | 0 | 51 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 287 |
| 5:00PM | 0 | 85 | 45 | 1 | 131 | 0 | 14 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 1 | 34 | 25 | 8 | 0 | 67 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 282 |
| 5:15PM | 0 | 83 | 51 | 0 | 134 | 0 | 10 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 69 | 2 | 24 | 17 | 7 | 0 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 251 |
| 5:30PM | 0 | 103 | 56 | 0 | 159 | 2 | 7 | 81 | 3 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 21 | 16 | 10 | 0 | 47 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 297 |
| Total | 0 | 369 | 222 | 1 | 592 | 2 | 35 | 270 | 6 | 1 | 312 | 3 | 107 | 69 | 37 | 0 | 213 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1117 |
| \% Approach | 0\% | 62.3\% | 37.5\% | 0.2\% |  |  | 11.2\% | 86.5\% | 1.9\% | 0.3\% | - |  | 50.2\% | 32.4\% | 17.4\% 0\% |  |  |  | 0\% | \% 0 | \% 0\% |  |  |  |  |
| \% Total |  | 33.0\% | 19.9\% | 0.1\% | 53.0\% |  | 3.1\% | 24.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 27.9\% |  | 9.6\% | 6.2\% | 3.3\% 0\% |  | 19.1\% |  | 0\% | \% 0 | \% 0\% | \% |  |  |  |
| PHF | - | 0.896 | 0.797 | 0.250 | 0.883 |  | 0.625 | 0.833 | 0.625 | 0.250 | 0.864 |  | 0.787 | 0.690 | 0.771 |  | 0.795 |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | 0.941 |
| Lights | 0 | 365 | 218 | 1 | 584 |  | 35 | 267 | 5 | 1 | 308 |  | 104 | 68 | 35 | 0 | 207 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1099 |
| \% Lights | 0\% | 98.9\% | 98.2\% | 100\% | 98.6\% |  | 100\% | 98.9\% | 83.3\% | 100\% | 98.7\% |  | 97.2\% | 98.6\% | 94.6\% 0\% | \% | 7.2\% |  | 0\% | \% 0 | \% 0\% |  | - |  | 98.4\% |
| Single -Unit Trucks | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 |  | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 13 |
| \% Single -Unit Trucks | 0\% | 1.1\% | 0.5\% | 0\% | 0.8\% |  | 0\% | 1.1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.0\% |  | 1.9\% | 1.4\% | 5.4\% 0\% |  | 2.3\% |  | 0\% | \% 0 | \% 0\% |  | - |  | 1.2\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% | \% 0 | \% 0\% |  | - |  | 0\% |
| Buses | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 |
| \% Buses | 0\% | 0\% | 0.5\% | 0\% | 0.2\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0 \% |  | 0.9\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0.5\% |  | 0\% | \% 0 | \% 0\% |  | - |  | 0.2\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 3 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0\% | 0.9\% | 0\% | 0.3\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 16.7\% | 0\% | 0.3\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | $0 \%$ |  | 0\% | \% 0 | \% 0\% |  | - |  | 0.3\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | 13 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - |  | 100\% | - | - | - | - |  | 100\% | - | - | - | - |  | 100\% | - | - | - | - |  | 100\% |  |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn
[N] Furnace Brook Parkway Ramp
Total: 75
In: $0 \quad$ Out: 75


## APPENDIX G

## Spot Speed Count Data

February 2020

## Massachusetts Highway Department

 S20-005-243-01: February 2020 speed Report| Location ID: | S20-005-243-01 | Functional Class: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| County: | Norfolk | Axle Factor Group: |
| Community | Quincy |  |
| Description: | On ADAMS STREET at ALRICK RD. |  |


| DATE | DIR/LANE | 0-10 MPH | 10-15 MPH | 15-20 MPH | 20-25 MPH | 25-30 MPH | 30-35 MPH | 35-40 MPH | 40-45 MPH | 45-50 MPH | 50-55 MPH | 55-60 MPH | 60-65 MPH | 65-70 MPH | 70-250 | 250+ MPH | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mon 24 | EB | 31 | 65 | 223 | 461 | 2551 | 3595 | 1078 | 107 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 26 | 0 | 8158 |
| Mon 24 | WB | 78 | 74 | 201 | 618 | 1886 | 2975 | 2344 | 702 | 100 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8997 |
| Tue 25 | EB | 17 | 39 | 165 | 359 | 2545 | 3643 | 1086 | 87 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 18 | 0 | 7977 |
| Tue 25 | WB | 149 | 130 | 181 | 578 | 1833 | 2846 | 2265 | 626 | 99 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8727 |
| Wed 26 | EB | 22 | 30 | 146 | 324 | 2617 | 3617 | 1196 | 123 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 55 | 0 | 8154 |
| Wed 26 | WB | 322 | 222 | 385 | 771 | 1956 | 2904 | 2127 | 612 | 85 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9401 |
| Thu 27 | EB | 25 | 32 | 148 | 252 | 2069 | 3771 | 1588 | 163 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 25 | 0 | 8100 |
| Thu 27 | WB | 37 | 52 | 120 | 342 | 1580 | 2842 | 2451 | 731 | 89 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8266 |
| Fri 28 | EB | 30 | 20 | 164 | 339 | 2333 | 3813 | 1426 | 126 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 24 | 0 | 8299 |
| Fri 28 | WB | 24 | 42 | 93 | 336 | 1335 | 2530 | 2152 | 691 | 94 | 17 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7322 |


| Percentages | .81\% | .80\% | 2.11\% | 4.95\% | 24.09\% | 39.38\% | 21.87\% | 4.95\% | .64\% | .11\% | .03\% | .02\% | .04\% | .19\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Totals | 780 | 775 | 2032 | 4771 | 23207 | 37949 | 21077 | 4769 | 617 | 104 | 31 | 22 | 35 | 185 | 0 | 96354 |

Massachusetts Highway Department S20-005-243-03: February 2020 speed Report

Location ID:
County:
Community

S20-005-243-03
Norfolk
Quincy
On FURNACE BROOK PARKWAY at BRAE RD. AT 856 FURNACE BROOK PWY

Functional Class:
Axle Factor Group:

4
U4-7

| DATE | DIR/LANE | 0-10 MPH | 10-15 MPH | 15-20 MPH | 20-25 MPH | $25-30 \mathrm{MPH}$ | 30-35 MPH | 35-40 MPH | 40-45 MPH | 45-50 MPH | 50-55 MPH | 55-60 MPH | 60-65 MPH | 65-70 MPH | 70-250 | 250+ MPH | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thu 27 | NB | 96 | 29 | 57 | 167 | 881 | 2096 | 1703 | 300 | 18 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5354 |
| Thu 27 | SB | 158 | 108 | 206 | 470 | 1178 | 1682 | 1569 | 438 | 83 | 14 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5915 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentages |  | 11.92\% | 1.30\% | 2.48\% | 5.17\% | 15.96\% | 30.39\% | 25.46\% | 6.19\% | .87\% | .13\% | .04\% | .02\% | 0\% | .06\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Totals |  | 9722 | 1058 | 2019 | 4215 | 13020 | 24793 | 20769 | 5052 | 713 | 103 | 36 | 19 | 4 | 48 | 0 | 81571 |

Massachusetts Highway Department S20-005-243-04: February 2020 speed Report
Location ID:
County:
Community
Description:

S20-005-243-04
Norfolk
Quincy
On COMMON STREET at HILLTOP ST./ROOSEVELT RD.

Functional Class:
Axle Factor Group:

5
U4-7

| DATE | DIR/LANE | 0-10 MPH | 10-15 MPH | 15-20 MPH | 20-25 MPH | 25-30 MPH | 30-35 MPH | 35-40 MPH | 40-45 MPH | $45-50 \mathrm{MPH}$ | 50-55 MPH | 55-60 MPH | 60-65 MPH | 65-70 MPH | 70-250 | 250+ MPH | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mon 24 | NB | 369 | 254 | 976 | 1464 | 340 | 123 | 38 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3578 |
| Mon 24 | SB | 36 | 73 | 374 | 1479 | 969 | 117 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3056 |
| Tue 25 | NB | 289 | 282 | 957 | 1370 | 304 | 85 | 40 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3336 |
| Tue 25 | SB | 22 | 62 | 404 | 1475 | 908 | 78 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2959 |
| Wed 26 | NB | 658 | 336 | 903 | 1381 | 310 | 81 | 25 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3715 |
| Wed 26 | SB | 34 | 65 | 379 | 1492 | 884 | 116 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2980 |
| Thu 27 | NB | 140 | 202 | 915 | 1406 | 322 | 88 | 37 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3120 |
| Thu 27 | SB | 25 | 69 | 389 | 1465 | 963 | 115 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3029 |
| Fri 28 | NB | 65 | 114 | 778 | 1338 | 332 | 91 | 53 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2790 |
| Fri 28 | SB | 15 | 40 | 315 | 1477 | 1010 | 122 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2985 |
| Sat 29 | NB | 16 | 70 | 618 | 1109 | 287 | 93 | 45 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2248 |
| Sat 29 | SB | 18 | 22 | 239 | 1072 | 766 | 92 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2216 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentages |  | 4.68\% | 4.41\% | 20.12\% | 45.90\% | 20.53\% | 3.33\% | .77\% | .19\% | .03\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | .01\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Totals |  | 1687 | 1589 | 7247 | 16528 | 7395 | 1201 | 278 | 70 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 36012 |

## APPENDIX H

Intersection Capacity Analyses Estimated 2020 Existing Conditions

|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | 2 | $\cdots$ |  | $\Sigma$ | b | 7 | $\cdots$ | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 84 | 342 | 122 | 69 | 419 | 0 | 116 | 205 | 74 | 71 | 320 | 191 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 84 | 342 | 122 | 69 | 419 | 0 | 116 | 205 | 74 | 71 | 320 | 191 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 12 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 50 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 170 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 329 |  |  | 132 |  |  | 636 |  |  | 144 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.5 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 14.5 |  |  | 3.3 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 2 |  | 11 | 11 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 9 | 9 |  | 6 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 609 | 0 | 73 | 441 | 0 | 0 | 338 | 78 | 0 | 777 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 6 | 6 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 46.0 | 46.0 |  | 46.0 | 46.0 |  | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 35.7\% | 35.7\% |  | 35.7\% | 35.7\% |  | 43.4\% | 43.4\% | 43.4\% | 43.4\% | 43.4\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max |  | Max | Max |  | None | None | None | None | None |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 40.5 |  | 40.5 | 40.5 |  |  | 50.7 | 50.7 |  | 50.7 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.36 |  | 0.36 | 0.36 |  |  | 0.45 | 0.45 |  | 0.45 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.68 |  | 0.36 | 0.35 |  |  | 0.78 | 0.11 |  | 1.07 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 37.5 |  | 37.2 | 29.5 |  |  | 43.2 | 7.6 |  | 84.4 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 37.5 |  | 37.2 | 29.5 |  |  | 43.2 | 7.6 |  | 84.4 |  |
| LOS |  | D |  | D | C |  |  | D | A |  | F |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 37.5 |  |  | 30.6 |  |  | 36.6 |  |  | 84.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 165 |  | 33 | 103 |  |  | 166 | 3 |  | 470 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 320 |  | 99 | 203 |  |  | \#451 | 38 |  | \#771 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 249 |  |  | 52 |  |  | 556 |  |  | 64 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 896 |  | 204 | 1247 |  |  | 436 | 728 |  | 727 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |


| Lane Group | $\varnothing 9$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |  |  |
| Future Volume (vph) |  |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |  |  |
| Lane Width (tt) |  |  |
| Storage Length (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Lanes |  |  |
| Taper Length (t) |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  |  |
| Link Distance (t) |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |  |  |
| Turn Type |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 9 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 21\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  |
| Act Efft Green (s) |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |  |
| Control Delay |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  |  |
| Total Delay |  |  |
| LOS |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (t) |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |  |
| 2020 AM Baseline Scenario |  | Synchro 10 Report Page 2 |



Splits and Phases: 3:



|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | \% | $\ldots$ | $\leftarrow$ | $\pm$ | 霆 | $\nearrow$ | $\bigcirc$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\hat{4}_{\text {¢ }}$ |  | \% |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | ${ }_{\$}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 141 | 500 | 114 | 34 | 422 | 0 | 143 | 253 | 88 | 67 | 297 | 139 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 141 | 500 | 114 | 34 | 422 | 0 | 143 | 253 | 88 | 67 | 297 | 139 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 27 |  | 17 | 17 |  | 27 | 22 |  | 14 | 14 |  | 22 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |


| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | Perm | 829 | 0 | 39 | 485 | 0 | 0 | 421 | 94 | 0 | 553 |
| NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |


| Permitted Phases | 6 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Detector Phase | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $35.7 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | $43.4 \%$ | $43.4 \%$ | $43.4 \%$ | $43.4 \%$ | $43.4 \%$ |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |

## Lead/Lag

Lead-Lag Optimize?

| Recall Mode | Max | Max | Max | Max | None | None | None | None | None |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Act Efftt Green (s) |  | 40.5 | 40.5 | 40.5 |  | 50.7 | 50.7 |  | 50.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 |  | 0.45 | 0.45 |  | 0.45 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio |  | 0.98 | 0.31 | 0.38 |  | 0.78 | 0.13 |  | 0.81 |
| Control Delay |  | 62.8 | 39.7 | 29.9 |  | 41.3 | 9.2 |  | 39.3 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 62.8 | 39.7 | 29.9 |  | 41.3 | 9.2 |  | 39.3 |
| LOS |  | E | D | C |  | D | A |  | D |
| Approach Delay |  | 62.8 |  | 30.6 |  | 35.4 |  |  | 39.3 |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  | C |  | D |  |  | D |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 261 | 17 | 115 |  | 208 | 9 |  | 270 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | \#552 | 61 | 214 |  | \#534 | 50 |  | \#661 |
| Internal Link Dist (t) |  | 249 |  | 52 |  | 556 |  |  | 64 |
| Turn Bay Length (t) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 849 | 124 | 1271 |  | 537 | 739 |  | 684 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.98 | 0.31 | 0.38 |  | 0.78 | 0.13 |  | 0.81 |

## Intersection Summary

## Cycle Length: 129

Actuated Cycle Length: 112.8
Natural Cycle: 135
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.98

| Lane Group | $\varnothing 9$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |  |
| Future Volume (vph) |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |
| Peak Hour Factor |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |  |
| Turn Type |  |
| Protected Phases | 9 |
| Permitted Phases |  |
| Detector Phase |  |
| Switch Phase |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 27.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 27.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 21\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |
| Recall Mode | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |
| Control Delay |  |
| Queue Delay |  |
| Total Delay |  |
| LOS |  |
| Approach Delay |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |

Intersection Signal Delay: 44.6
Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 103.1\% ICU Level of Service G
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 3 :


HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analsysis
Adams Street at Common Street
01/12/2021


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | WB 3 | NB 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total | 313 | 438 | 50 | 252 | 134 | 324 |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 163 |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 56 |  |
| CSH | 1700 | 1700 | 1067 | 1700 | 1700 | 379 |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.86 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 203 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.6 |  |
| Lane LOS |  |  | A |  |  | F |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.0 |  | 1.0 |  |  | 50.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  | F |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 11.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 51.3\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX I

Intersection Capacity Analyses 2020 Signal Retiming Scenario

|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | 2 | $\cdots$ |  | $\Sigma$ | b | 7 | - | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 84 | 342 | 122 | 69 | 419 | 0 | 116 | 205 | 74 | 71 | 320 | 191 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 84 | 342 | 122 | 69 | 419 | 0 | 116 | 205 | 74 | 71 | 320 | 191 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 12 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 50 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 170 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 329 |  |  | 132 |  |  | 636 |  |  | 144 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.5 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 14.5 |  |  | 3.3 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 2 |  | 11 | 11 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 9 | 9 |  | 6 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 609 | 0 | 73 | 441 | 0 | 0 | 338 | 78 | 0 | 777 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 6 | 6 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 42.0 | 42.0 |  | 42.0 | 42.0 |  | 66.0 | 66.0 | 66.0 | 66.0 | 66.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 31.1\% | 31.1\% |  | 31.1\% | 31.1\% |  | 48.9\% | 48.9\% | 48.9\% | 48.9\% | 48.9\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max |  | Max | Max |  | None | None | None | None | None |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 36.4 |  | 36.4 | 36.4 |  |  | 60.7 | 60.7 |  | 60.7 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.31 |  | 0.31 | 0.31 |  |  | 0.51 | 0.51 |  | 0.51 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.82 |  | 0.47 | 0.41 |  |  | 0.62 | 0.10 |  | 0.89 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 50.1 |  | 50.0 | 36.1 |  |  | 30.2 | 6.8 |  | 40.9 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 50.1 |  | 50.0 | 36.1 |  |  | 30.2 | 6.8 |  | 40.9 |  |
| LOS |  | D |  | D | D |  |  | C | A |  | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 50.1 |  |  | 38.0 |  |  | 25.8 |  |  | 40.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 196 |  | 39 | 121 |  |  | 145 | 4 |  | 405 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | \#396 |  | 114 | 225 |  |  | 367 | 37 |  | 641 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 249 |  |  | 52 |  |  | 556 |  |  | 64 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 743 |  | 154 | 1064 |  |  | 543 | 818 |  | 876 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |


| Lane Group $\quad \varnothing 9$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |  |  |
| Future Volume (vph) |  |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Length (ft) |  |  |
| Storage Lanes |  |  |
| Taper Length (ft) |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |  |  |
| Turn Type |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 9 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 20\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |  |
| Control Delay |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  |  |
| Total Delay |  |  |
| LOS |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( ft ) |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |  |
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| $\rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | 2 | $\cdots$ | $\checkmark$ |  | ) | $\not$ | - | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.82 |  | 0.47 | 0.41 |  |  | 0.62 | 0.10 |  | 0.89 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 118.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 145 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 40.0 |  |  | Intersection LOS: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 97.5\% ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 3:


|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | \# | $\cdots$ |  | 5 | - | $\nearrow$ | $p$ | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 种 |  |  | * | 「 |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 141 | 500 | 114 | 34 | 422 | 0 | 143 | 253 | 88 | 67 | 297 | 139 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 141 | 500 | 114 | 34 | 422 | 0 | 143 | 253 | 88 | 67 | 297 | 139 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 27 |  | 17 | 17 |  | 27 | 22 |  | 14 | 14 |  | 22 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 829 | 0 | 39 | 485 | 0 | 0 | 421 | 94 | 0 | 553 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 6 | 6 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 51.0 | 51.0 |  | 51.0 | 51.0 |  | 57.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 37.8\% | 37.8\% |  | 37.8\% | 37.8\% |  | 42.2\% | 42.2\% | 42.2\% | 42.2\% | 42.2\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max |  | Max | Max |  | None | None | None | None | None |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 45.5 |  | 45.5 | 45.5 |  |  | 51.6 | 51.6 |  | 51.6 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.38 |  | 0.38 | 0.38 |  |  | 0.43 | 0.43 |  | 0.43 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.91 |  | 0.27 | 0.36 |  |  | 0.83 | 0.13 |  | 0.87 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 51.1 |  | 36.6 | 29.0 |  |  | 47.5 | 10.2 |  | 47.5 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 51.1 |  | 36.6 | 29.0 |  |  | 47.5 | 10.2 |  | 47.5 |  |
| LOS |  | D |  | D | C |  |  | D | B |  | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 51.1 |  |  | 29.6 |  |  | 40.7 |  |  | 47.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 264 |  | 17 | 117 |  |  | 233 | 11 |  | 307 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | \#546 |  | 59 | 215 |  |  | \#573 | 54 |  | \#723 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 249 |  |  | 52 |  |  | 556 |  |  | 64 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 912 |  | 143 | 1356 |  |  | 509 | 715 |  | 635 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.91 |  | 0.27 | 0.36 |  |  | 0.83 | 0.13 |  | 0.87 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 118.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group Ø9 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |  |
| Future Volume (vph) |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |
| Peak Hour Factor |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |  |
| Turn Type |  |
| Protected Phases | 9 |
| Permitted Phases |  |
| Detector Phase |  |
| Switch Phase |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 27.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 27.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 20\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |
| Recall Mode | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |
| Control Delay |  |
| Queue Delay |  |
| Total Delay |  |
| LOS |  |
| Approach Delay |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |

Intersection Signal Delay: 43.4
Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 103.1\% ICU Level of Service G
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 3:


## APPENDIX J

Intersection Capacity Analyses No-Build and Proposed Alternatives 2030 Projected Traffic Conditions

Table l-1
Intersection Capacity Analysis
No-Build Scenario under Projected 2030 AM and PM Peak-Hour Traffic Conditions

| Intersection Approach | Lane <br> Group | AM <br> LOS | AM <br> Delay | AM <br> V/C | 95th <br> Queue | PM <br> LOS | PM <br> Delay | PM <br> V/C | 95th <br> Queue |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adams Street EB | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | D | 47 | 0.82 | $\# 398$ | E | 74 | 1.03 | \#596 |
| Adams Street WB | L | D | 47 | 0.48 | 114 | D | 42 | 0.36 | 61 |
| Adams Street WB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | C | 33 | 0.41 | 223 | C | 30 | 0.39 | 224 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway NB | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T}$ | D | 38 | 0.74 | $\# 451$ | D | 44 | 0.78 | $\# 606$ |
| Furnace Brook Parkway NB | R | A | 7 | 0.10 | 39 | A | 10 | 0.14 | 54 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway SB | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | E | 69 | 1.03 | $\# 777$ | E | 56 | 0.94 | \#771 |
| Intersection (1) Average | - | D | 49 | - | - | D | 54 | - | - |
| Adams Street EB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | A | 0 | 0.19 | - | A | 0 | 0.27 | - |
| Adams Street WB | L | A | 8 | 0.05 | 4 | A | 9 | 0.05 | 4 |
| Adams Street WB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | A | 0 | 0.16 | - | A | 0 | 0.16 | - |
| Common Street NB | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | F | 76 | 0.98 | 263 | F | 74 | 0.96 | 264 |
| Intersection (2) Average | - | C | 21 | - | - | C | 16 | - | - |

Notes:

- Intersection: (1) Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway, (2) Adams Street at Common Street
- Approach: $\mathrm{NB}=$ Northbound, $\mathrm{SB}=$ Southbound, $\mathrm{EB}=$ Eastbound, WB $=$ Westbound
- Turning movement: $\mathrm{L}=$ Left turn, $\mathrm{T}=$ Through movement, $\mathrm{R}=$ Right turn
- LOS = Lever of Service
- Delay (seconds) = Average delay per vehicle
- $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}=$ Volume to capacity ratio
- \#: 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity. The queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
-\#: 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity. Queue shown is maximum afer two cycles.

|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | 2 | $\cdots$ |  | $\checkmark$ | b | 7 | $\rho$ | 4 | $\lambda$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 84 | 342 | 122 | 69 | 419 | 0 | 116 | 205 | 74 | 71 | 320 | 191 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 84 | 342 | 122 | 69 | 419 | 0 | 116 | 205 | 74 | 71 | 320 | 191 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 12 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 50 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 170 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 329 |  |  | 132 |  |  | 636 |  |  | 144 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.5 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 14.5 |  |  | 3.3 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 2 |  | 11 | 11 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 9 | 9 |  | 6 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Growth Factor | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 639 | 0 | 76 | 463 | 0 | 0 | 355 | 82 | 0 | 814 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 6 | 6 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 42.0 | 42.0 |  | 42.0 | 42.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 32.6\% | 32.6\% |  | 32.6\% | 32.6\% |  | 46.5\% | 46.5\% | 46.5\% | 46.5\% | 46.5\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max |  | Max | Max |  | None | None | None | None | None |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 36.5 |  | 36.5 | 36.5 |  |  | 54.7 | 54.7 |  | 54.7 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.32 |  | 0.32 | 0.32 |  |  | 0.48 | 0.48 |  | 0.48 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.82 |  | 0.48 | 0.41 |  |  | 0.74 | 0.10 |  | 1.03 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 46.9 |  | 47.0 | 33.1 |  |  | 37.6 | 7.2 |  | 68.5 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 46.9 |  | 47.0 | 33.1 |  |  | 37.6 | 7.2 |  | 68.5 |  |
| LOS |  | D |  | D | C |  |  | D | A |  | E |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 46.9 |  |  | 35.1 |  |  | 31.9 |  |  | 68.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 190 |  | 37 | 117 |  |  | 161 | 4 |  | 464 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | \#398 |  | 114 | 223 |  |  | \#451 | 39 |  | \#777 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 249 |  |  | 52 |  |  | 556 |  |  | 64 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 780 |  | 159 | 1122 |  |  | 480 | 781 |  | 794 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |


| Lane Group |
| :--- |
| Lane Configurations |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |
| Future Volume (vph) |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |
| Lane Width (ft) |
| Storage Length (ft) |
| Storage Lanes |
| Taper Length (ft) |
| Right Turn on Red |
| Link Speed (mph) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Travel Time (s) |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Growth Factor |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |
| Turn Type |
| Protected Phases |
| Permitted Phases |
| Detector Phase |
| Switch Phase |
| Minimum Initial (s) |
| Minimum Split (s) |
| Total Split (s) |
| Total Split (\%) |
| Yellow Time (s) |
| All-Red Time (s) |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |
| Total Lost Time (s) |
| Lead/Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |
| Recall Mode |
| Act Effct Green (s) |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |
| v/c Ratio |
| Contion Cap Reductn |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |
| Queue Delay |
| Total Delay |
| LOS |
| Approach Delay |
| Approach LOS |


| - | $\rightarrow$ | 2 | $\cdots$ |  | $\stackrel{1}{6}$ | $\cdots$ | $\nearrow$ | $p$ | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.82 |  | 0.48 | 0.41 |  |  | 0.74 | 0.10 |  | 1.03 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 112.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 145 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 48.8 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 101.3\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 3 :



|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | 2 | $\cdots$ |  | $\Sigma$ | b | $\nearrow$ | $\rho$ | 4 | $\lambda$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | *T |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 中4 |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F' |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 141 | 500 | 114 | 34 | 422 | 0 | 143 | 253 | 88 | 67 | 297 | 139 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 141 | 500 | 114 | 34 | 422 | 0 | 143 | 253 | 88 | 67 | 297 | 139 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 12 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 50 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 170 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 329 |  |  | 132 |  |  | 636 |  |  | 144 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.5 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 14.5 |  |  | 3.3 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 27 |  | 17 | 17 |  | 27 | 22 |  | 14 | 14 |  | 22 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Growth Factor | 106\% | 106\% | 106\% | 106\% | 106\% | 106\% | 106\% | 106\% | 106\% | 106\% | 106\% | 106\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 879 | 0 | 41 | 514 | 0 | 0 | 446 | 99 | 0 | 586 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 6 | 6 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 47.0 | 47.0 |  | 47.0 | 47.0 |  | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 36.4\% | 36.4\% |  | 36.4\% | 36.4\% |  | 42.6\% | 42.6\% | 42.6\% | 42.6\% | 42.6\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max |  | Max | Max |  | None | None | None | None | None |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 41.5 |  | 41.5 | 41.5 |  |  | 49.7 | 49.7 |  | 49.7 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.37 |  | 0.37 | 0.37 |  |  | 0.44 | 0.44 |  | 0.44 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 1.03 |  | 0.36 | 0.39 |  |  | 0.88 | 0.14 |  | 0.94 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 74.0 |  | 42.1 | 29.4 |  |  | 51.9 | 9.8 |  | 56.3 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 74.0 |  | 42.1 | 29.4 |  |  | 51.9 | 9.8 |  | 56.3 |  |
| LOS |  | E |  | D | C |  |  | D | A |  | E |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 74.0 |  |  | 30.3 |  |  | 44.3 |  |  | 56.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 284 |  | 18 | 121 |  |  | 238 | 10 |  | 320 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | \#596 |  | 65 | 224 |  |  | \#606 | 54 |  | \#771 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 249 |  |  | 52 |  |  | 556 |  |  | 64 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 857 |  | 115 | 1303 |  |  | 505 | 725 |  | 622 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |


| Lane Group |
| :--- |
| Lane Configurations |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |
| Future Volume (vph) |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |
| Lane Width (ft) |
| Storage Length (ft) |
| Storage Lanes |
| Taper Length (ft) |
| Right Turn on Red |
| Link Speed (mph) |
| Link Distance (ft) |
| Travel Time (s) |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Growth Factor |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |
| Turn Type |
| Protected Phases |
| Permitted Phases |
| Detector Phase |
| Switch Phase |
| Minimum Initial (s) |
| Minimum Split (s) |
| Total Split (s) |
| Total Split (\%) |
| Yellow Time (s) |
| All-Red Time (s) |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |
| Total Lost Time (s) |
| Lead/Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |
| Recall Mode |
| Act Effct Green (s) |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |
| v/c Ratio |
| Contion Cap Reductn |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |
| Queue Delay |
| Total Delay |
| LOS |
| Approach Delay |
| Approach LOS |



Splits and Phases: 3 :



Table l-2
Intersection Capacity Analysis
Alternative 1 under Projected 2030 AM and PM Peak-Hour Traffic Conditions

| Intersection Approach | Lane <br> Group | AM <br> LOS | AM <br> Delay | AM <br> V/C | 95th <br> Queue | PM <br> LOS | PM <br> Delay | PM <br> V/C | 95th <br> Queue |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adams Street EB | L | C | 26 | 0.37 | 82 | C | 33 | 0.57 | \#165 |
| Adams Street EB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | C | 32 | 0.64 | \#242 | C | 31 | 0.64 | \#340 |
| Adams Street WB | L | C | 26 | 0.33 | 67 | C | 22 | 0.19 | 40 |
| Adams Street WB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | C | 29 | 0.53 | 189 | C | 29 | 0.51 | 196 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway NB | L | E | 58 | 0.77 | $\# 168$ | D | 40 | 0.67 | \#171 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway NB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | D | 36 | 0.64 | $\# 333$ | D | 42 | 0.77 | \#412 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway SB | L | C | 26 | 0.34 | 68 | C | 26 | 0.36 | 67 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway SB | T | E | 64 | 0.95 | $\# 394$ | D | 39 | 0.73 | \#345 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway SB | R | D | 40 | 0.68 | $\# 215$ | C | 31 | 0.42 | 149 |
| Intersection (1) Average | - | D | 39 | - | - | C | 34 | - | - |
| Adams Street EB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | A | 0 | 0.19 | - | A | 0 | 0.27 | - |
| Adams Street WB | L | A | 8 | 0.05 | 4 | A | 9 | 0.05 | 4 |
| Adams Street WB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | A | 0 | 0.16 | - | A | 0 | 0.16 | - |
| Common Street NB | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | F | 63 | 0.94 | 259 | F | 101 | 1.05 | 312 |
| Intersection (2) Average | - | C | 17 | - | - | C | 22 | - | - |

Notes:

- Intersection: (1) Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway, (2) Adams Street at Common Street
- Approach: NB = Northbound, SB = Southbound, EB = Eastbound, WB = Westbound
- Turning movement: $L=$ Left turn, $T=$ Through movement, $R=$ Right turn
- LOS = Lever of Service
- Delay (seconds) = Average delay per vehicle
- $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}=$ Volume to capacity ratio
- \#: 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity. The queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
- \#: 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity. Queue shown is maximum afer two cycles.

|  | - | $\rightarrow$ | 2 | $\cdots$ |  | $\Sigma$ | b | 7 | ${ }^{+}$ | 4 | $\lambda$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 $\%$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 84 | 342 | 122 | 69 | 419 | 0 | 116 | 205 | 74 | 71 | 320 | 191 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 84 | 342 | 122 | 69 | 419 | 0 | 116 | 205 | 74 | 71 | 320 | 191 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 200 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 125 |  | 0 | 75 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 170 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 426 |  |  | 132 |  |  | 636 |  |  | 144 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 9.7 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 14.5 |  |  | 3.3 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 2 |  | 11 | 11 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 9 | 9 |  | 6 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Growth Factor | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 98 | 541 | 0 | 76 | 463 | 0 | 128 | 309 | 0 | 99 | 448 | 267 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 8 |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 5.0 |  | 3.0 | 5.0 |  | 3.0 | 5.0 |  | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 7.0 | 27.0 |  | 7.0 | 27.0 |  | 7.0 | 27.0 |  | 7.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 7.0 | 27.0 |  | 7.0 | 27.0 |  | 7.0 | 27.0 |  | 7.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 7.4\% | 28.4\% |  | 7.4\% | 28.4\% |  | 7.4\% | 28.4\% |  | 7.4\% | 28.4\% | 28.4\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | Max |  | None | Max |  | None | None |  | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 26.1 | 21.7 |  | 26.1 | 21.7 |  | 27.9 | 23.5 |  | 26.9 | 21.7 | 21.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.34 | 0.28 |  | 0.34 | 0.28 |  | 0.36 | 0.30 |  | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.37 | 0.64 |  | 0.33 | 0.53 |  | 0.77 | 0.64 |  | 0.34 | 0.95 | 0.68 |
| Control Delay | 26.3 | 31.7 |  | 25.9 | 29.2 |  | 57.8 | 36.4 |  | 25.6 | 64.3 | 39.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 26.3 | 31.7 |  | 25.9 | 29.2 |  | 57.8 | 36.4 |  | 25.6 | 64.3 | 39.8 |
| LOS | C | C |  | C | C |  | E | D |  | C | E | D |
| Approach Delay |  | 30.9 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 42.6 |  |  | 51.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 23 | 98 |  | 18 | 81 |  | 31 | 109 |  | 24 | 174 | 94 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 82 | \#242 |  | 67 | 189 |  | \#168 | \#333 |  | 68 | \#394 | \#215 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 346 |  |  | 52 |  |  | 556 |  |  | 64 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 200 |  |  |  |  |  | 125 |  |  | 75 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 267 | 849 |  | 227 | 877 |  | 166 | 481 |  | 288 | 470 | 392 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Lane Group | $\varnothing 9$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |  |  |
| Future Volume (vph) |  |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |  |  |
| Storage Length (t) |  |  |
| Storage Lanes |  |  |
| Taper Length (tt) |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  |  |
| Link Distance (t) |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor |  |  |
| Growth Factor |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |  |  |
| Turn Type |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 9 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 28\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  |
| Act Efft Green (s) |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |  |
| Control Delay |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  |  |
| Total Delay |  |  |
| LOS |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |  |
| 2030 Alternative-1 AM |  | Synchro 10 Report |



Splits and Phases: 3:



|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | 2 | $\cdots$ |  | $\Sigma$ | $\cdots$ | $\nearrow$ | $\rho$ | 4 | $\lambda$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 中t |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 |  | ${ }^{1}$ | F |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 141 | 500 | 114 | 34 | 422 | 0 | 143 | 253 | 88 | 67 | 297 | 139 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 141 | 500 | 114 | 34 | 422 | 0 | 143 | 253 | 88 | 67 | 297 | 139 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 200 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 125 |  | 0 | 100 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 170 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 438 |  |  | 132 |  |  | 636 |  |  | 144 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 10.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 14.5 |  |  | 3.3 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 27 |  | 17 | 17 |  | 27 | 22 |  | 14 | 14 |  | 22 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Growth Factor | 106\％ | 106\％ | 106\％ | 106\％ | 106\％ | 106\％ | 106\％ | 106\％ | 106\％ | 106\％ | 106\％ | 106\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 164 | 715 | 0 | 41 | 514 | 0 | 161 | 384 | 0 | 78 | 346 | 162 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 8 |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 3.0 | 5.0 |  | 3.0 | 5.0 |  | 3.0 | 5.0 |  | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 7.0 | 27.0 |  | 7.0 | 27.0 |  | 7.0 | 27.0 |  | 7.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 7.0 | 27.0 |  | 7.0 | 27.0 |  | 7.0 | 27.0 |  | 7.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 7．4\％ | 28．4\％ |  | 7．4\％ | 28．4\％ |  | 7．4\％ | 28．4\％ |  | 7．4\％ | 28．4\％ | 28．4\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | Max |  | None | Max |  | None | None |  | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 28.9 | 25.2 |  | 27.0 | 21.8 |  | 25.8 | 21.4 |  | 24.8 | 19.6 | 19.6 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.37 | 0.33 |  | 0.35 | 0.28 |  | 0.33 | 0.28 |  | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.57 | 0.64 |  | 0.19 | 0.51 |  | 0.67 | 0.77 |  | 0.36 | 0.73 | 0.42 |
| Control Delay | 33.3 | 30.5 |  | 22.2 | 28.5 |  | 40.4 | 41.9 |  | 26.2 | 39.2 | 30.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 33.3 | 30.5 |  | 22.2 | 28.5 |  | 40.4 | 41.9 |  | 26.2 | 39.2 | 30.9 |
| LOS | C | C |  | C | C |  | D | D |  | C | D | C |
| Approach Delay |  | 31.0 |  |  | 28.0 |  |  | 41.5 |  |  | 35.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 40 | 135 |  | 9 | 90 |  | 39 | 139 |  | 18 | 121 | 52 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | \＃165 | \＃340 |  | 40 | 196 |  | \＃171 | \＃412 |  | 67 | \＃345 | 149 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 358 |  |  | 52 |  |  | 556 |  |  | 64 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 200 |  |  |  |  |  | 125 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 288 | 1111 |  | 216 | 999 |  | 239 | 506 |  | 218 | 530 | 432 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Lane Group | $\varnothing 9$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LanefConfigurations |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |  |  |
| Future Volume (vph) |  |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |  |  |
| Storage Length (t) |  |  |
| Storage Lanes |  |  |
| Taper Length (tt) |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  |  |
| Link Distance (t) |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor |  |  |
| Growth Factor |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |  |  |
| Turn Type |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 9 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 28\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |  |
| Control Delay |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  |  |
| Total Delay |  |  |
| LOS |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |  |
| 2030 Alternative-1 PM |  | Synchro 10 Report Page 2 |



Splits and Phases: 3:



Table I-3
Intersection Capacity Analysis
Alternative 2 under Projected 2030 AM and PM Peak-Hour Traffic Conditions

| Intersection Approach | Lane <br> Group | AM <br> LOS | AM <br> Delay | AM <br> V/C | 95th <br> Queue | PM <br> LOS | PM <br> Delay | PM <br> V/C | 95th <br> Queue |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adams Street EB | L | D | 41 | 0.41 | 108 | D | 44 | 0.64 | 154 |
| Adams Street EB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | E | 63 | 0.86 | \#324 | D | 52 | 0.83 | \#380 |
| Adams Street WB | L | D | 40 | 0.67 | $\mathrm{~m} \# 46$ | B | 19 | 0.36 | m 25 |
| Adams Street WB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | B | 17 | 0.41 | m 171 | B | 11 | 0.39 | m 173 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway NB | L | E | 76 | 0.73 | $\# 181$ | E | 76 | 0.78 | \#220 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway NB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | D | 48 | 0.66 | 322 | D | 55 | 0.79 | \#453 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway SB | L | E | 68 | 0.61 | 108 | E | 75 | 0.61 | \#121 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway SB | T | E | 72 | 0.93 | \#397 | E | 71 | 0.88 | \#443 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway SB | R | C | 21 | 0.50 | 94 | C | 21 | 0.37 | 96 |
| Intersection (1) Average | - | D | 49 | - | - | D | 46 | - | - |
| Adams Street EB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | A | 2 | 0.24 | $\mathrm{m0}$ | A | 2 | 0.24 | 0 |
| Adams Street WB | L | D | 45 | 0.34 | 77 | D | 39 | 0.34 | 68 |
| Adams Street WB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | D | 43 | 0.47 | 190 | C | 34 | 0.47 | 166 |
| Common Street NB | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | E | 67 | 0.89 | $\# 379$ | E | 78 | 0.93 | \#354 |
| Intersection (2) Average | - | C | 33 | - | - | C | 27 | - | - |

Notes:

- Intersection: (1) Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway, (2) Adams Street at Common Street
- Approach: NB = Northbound, SB = Southbound, EB = Eastbound, WB = Westbound
- Turning movement: $L=$ Left turn, $T=$ Through movement, $R=$ Right turn
- LOS = Lever of Service
- Delay (seconds) = Average delay per vehicle
- V/C = Volume to capacity ratio
- 95th Queue (feet) = the maximun back of queue with 95 th percentile traffic volumes.
- \#: 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity. The queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
- m : Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | 2 | $\cdots$ |  | $\Sigma$ | $\cdots$ | $\nearrow$ | $\rho$ | 4 | $\lambda$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 中t |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中4 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 84 | 342 | 122 | 69 | 419 | 0 | 116 | 205 | 74 | 71 | 320 | 191 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 84 | 342 | 122 | 69 | 419 | 0 | 116 | 205 | 74 | 71 | 320 | 191 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 200 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 125 |  | 50 | 75 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 170 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 404 |  |  | 132 |  |  | 636 |  |  | 144 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 9.2 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 14.5 |  |  | 3.3 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 2 |  | 11 | 11 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 9 | 9 |  | 6 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Growth Factor | 105\％ | 105\％ | 105\％ | 105\％ | 105\％ | 105\％ | 105\％ | 105\％ | 105\％ | 105\％ | 105\％ | 105\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 98 | 541 | 0 | 76 | 463 | 0 | 128 | 309 | 0 | 99 | 448 | 267 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA |  | custom | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | pt＋ov |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 610 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 | 45 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 610 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 | 45 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 3.0 | 1.0 |  | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 7.0 | 8.0 |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 30.0 |  | 9.5 | 30.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 16.0 | 27.0 |  | 7.0 |  |  | 17.0 | 36.0 |  | 17.0 | 36.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 13．3\％ | 22．5\％ |  | 5．8\％ |  |  | 14．2\％ | 30．0\％ |  | 14．2\％ | 30．0\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 |  |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead |  |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None |  |  | None | Max |  | None | None |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 30.0 | 22.4 |  | 17.5 | 39.5 |  | 12.0 | 31.9 |  | 11.1 | 31.0 | 40.4 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.25 | 0.19 |  | 0.15 | 0.33 |  | 0.10 | 0.27 |  | 0.09 | 0.26 | 0.34 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.41 | 0.86 |  | 0.67 | 0.41 |  | 0.73 | 0.66 |  | 0.61 | 0.93 | 0.50 |
| Control Delay | 41.4 | 62.9 |  | 40.1 | 13.3 |  | 76.2 | 47.8 |  | 67.8 | 71.7 | 20.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.3 | 4.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 41.4 | 62.9 |  | 40.4 | 17.4 |  | 76.2 | 47.8 |  | 67.8 | 71.7 | 20.6 |
| LOS | D | E |  | D | B |  | E | D |  | E | E | C |
| Approach Delay |  | 59.6 |  |  | 20.7 |  |  | 56.1 |  |  | 54.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | C |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 61 | 219 |  | 31 | 128 |  | 97 | 216 |  | 74 | 344 | 78 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 108 | \＃324 |  | m\＃46 | m171 |  | \＃181 | 322 |  | 108 | \＃397 | 94 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 324 |  |  | 52 |  |  | 556 |  |  | 64 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 200 |  |  |  |  |  | 125 |  |  | 75 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 244 | 626 |  | 114 | 1143 |  | 189 | 467 |  | 191 | 480 | 540 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 585 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |




Splits and Phases: 3:


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | $4$ |  | 7 | , | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 309 | 176 | 48 | 337 | 11 | 155 | 66 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 309 | 176 | 48 | 337 | 11 | 155 | 66 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width (ft) | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 150 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 50 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 132 |  |  | 254 |  |  | 588 |  |  | 156 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 3.0 |  |  | 5.8 |  |  | 13.4 |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 1 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Growth Factor | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% | 105\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 554 | 0 | 59 | 424 | 0 | 0 | 375 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 21214 |  |  | 613 |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 613 |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 21214 |  | 613 | 613 |  | 9 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27.5\% | 27.5\% |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Time (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |
| All-Red Time (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode |  |  |  |  |  |  | Max | Max |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 81.0 |  | 28.0 | 28.0 |  |  | 29.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.68 |  | 0.23 | 0.23 |  |  | 0.24 |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.24 |  | 0.34 | 0.47 |  |  | 0.89 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay |  | 0.2 |  | 44.7 | 41.5 |  |  | 67.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 1.4 |  | 0.0 | 1.3 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay |  | 1.6 |  | 44.7 | 42.8 |  |  | 67.0 |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  | A |  | D | D |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 1.6 |  |  | 43.0 |  |  | 67.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 0 |  | 38 | 148 |  |  | 273 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | m0 |  | 77 | 190 |  |  | \#379 |  |  |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 52 |  |  | 174 |  |  | 508 |  |  | 76 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 2277 |  | 176 | 895 |  |  | 420 |  |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 1479 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group | $\emptyset 1$ | $\varnothing 2$ | $\emptyset 3$ | $\emptyset 4$ | $\emptyset 5$ | $\emptyset 6$ | $\emptyset 7$ | $\emptyset 8$ | $\emptyset 10$ | $\emptyset 12$ | $\emptyset 13$ | $\emptyset 14$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Future Volume (vph) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Lanes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taper Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 7.0 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 30.0 | 7.0 | 8.5 | 9.5 | 30.0 | 28.0 | 22.5 | 22.0 | 22.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 7.0 | 27.0 | 17.0 | 36.0 | 16.0 | 18.0 | 17.0 | 36.0 | 33.0 | 53.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 6\% | 23\% | 14\% | 30\% | 13\% | 15\% | 14\% | 30\% | 28\% | 44\% | 28\% | 28\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | C-Max | None | Max | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 272 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.69 |  | 0.34 | 0.68 |  |  | 0.89 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93
Intersection Signal Delay: 33.2 Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 49.4\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 6:


|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | 2 | $\cdots$ |  | $\Sigma$ | b | 7 | $p$ | 4 | $\lambda$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 性 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 141 | 500 | 114 | 34 | 422 | 0 | 143 | 253 | 88 | 67 | 297 | 139 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 141 | 500 | 114 | 34 | 422 | 0 | 143 | 253 | 88 | 67 | 297 | 139 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 200 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 125 |  | 50 | 75 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 170 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 404 |  |  | 132 |  |  | 636 |  |  | 144 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 9.2 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 14.5 |  |  | 3.3 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 27 |  | 17 | 17 |  | 27 | 22 |  | 14 | 14 |  | 22 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Growth Factor | 106\% | 106\% | 106\% | 106\% | 106\% | 106\% | 106\% | 106\% | 106\% | 106\% | 106\% | 106\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 164 | 715 | 0 | 41 | 514 | 0 | 161 | 384 | 0 | 78 | 346 | 162 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | custom | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | pt+ov |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 610 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 | 45 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 610 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 | 45 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 1.0 |  | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 7.0 | 8.0 |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 30.0 |  | 9.5 | 30.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 14.0 | 35.0 |  | 7.0 |  |  | 19.0 | 36.0 |  | 13.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 11.7\% | 29.2\% |  | 5.8\% |  |  | 15.8\% | 30.0\% |  | 10.8\% | 25.0\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 10.0 | 29.0 |  | 3.0 |  |  | 15.0 | 30.0 |  | 9.0 | 24.0 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 |  |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead |  |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None |  |  | None | Max |  | None | None |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.0 |  |  | 17.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  | 20 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 38.0 | 30.4 |  | 27.2 | 45.2 |  | 13.9 | 32.6 |  | 8.5 | 25.1 | 32.9 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.32 | 0.25 |  | 0.23 | 0.38 |  | 0.12 | 0.27 |  | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.27 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.64 | 0.83 |  | 0.36 | 0.39 |  | 0.78 | 0.79 |  | 0.61 | 0.88 | 0.37 |
| Control Delay | 43.6 | 52.3 |  | 19.2 | 9.3 |  | 76.2 | 54.6 |  | 74.8 | 70.6 | 21.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.2 | 1.5 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 43.6 | 52.3 |  | 19.3 | 10.8 |  | 76.2 | 54.6 |  | 74.8 | 70.6 | 21.2 |
| LOS | D | D |  | B | B |  | E | D |  | E | E | C |
| Approach Delay |  | 50.7 |  |  | 11.5 |  |  | 61.0 |  |  | 57.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 95 | 281 |  | 11 | 140 |  | 122 | 285 |  | 60 | 265 | 59 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 154 | \#380 |  | m25 | m173 |  | \#220 | \#453 |  | \#121 | \#443 | 96 |





|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Lane Group | $\emptyset 1$ | $\varnothing 2$ | $\emptyset 3$ | $\emptyset 4$ | $\emptyset 5$ | $\varnothing 6$ | $\emptyset 7$ | $\emptyset 8$ | $\emptyset 10$ | $\emptyset 12$ | $\emptyset 13$ | $\emptyset 14$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Future Volume (vph) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Lanes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taper Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Growth Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 7.0 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 30.0 | 7.0 | 8.5 | 9.5 | 30.0 | 28.0 | 22.5 | 22.0 | 22.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 7.0 | 35.0 | 19.0 | 30.0 | 14.0 | 28.0 | 13.0 | 36.0 | 29.0 | 49.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 6\% | 29\% | 16\% | 25\% | 12\% | 23\% | 11\% | 30\% | 24\% | 41\% | 35\% | 35\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 3.0 | 29.0 | 15.0 | 24.0 | 10.0 | 22.0 | 9.0 | 30.0 | 25.0 | 45.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | C-Max | None | Max | None | None | None | None |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  |  | 17.0 |  |  |  | 17.0 | 17.0 |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |  | 20 | 20 |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) | 0 |  | 68 | 166 |  |  | \#354 |  |  |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (t) | 52 |  |  | 201 |  |  | 508 |  |  | 76 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 2416 |  | 168 | 1176 |  |  | 370 |  |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 1363 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 257 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.76 |  | 0.32 | 0.45 |  |  | 0.93 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 6:WBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 27.4 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 52.9\% ICU Level of Service AAnalysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 6:


Table l-4
Intersection Capacity Analysis
Alternative 3 under Projected 2030 AM and PM Peak-Hour Traffic Conditions

| Intersection Approach | Lane <br> Group | AM <br> LOS | AM <br> Delay | AM <br> V/C | 95th <br> Queue | PM <br> LOS | PM <br> Delay | PM <br> V/C | 95th <br> Queue |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adams Street EB | L | C | 26 | 0.36 | 108 | C | 34 | 0.55 | $\# 157$ |
| Adams Street EB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | D | 51 | 0.79 | $\# 336$ | D | 39 | 0.75 | \#383 |
| Adams Street WB | L | D | 51 | 0.68 | $\# 177$ | D | 35 | 0.50 | \#91 |
| Adams Street WB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | D | 42 | 0.51 | 192 | C | 32 | 0.46 | 178 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway NB | L | D | 50 | 0.88 | $\# 334$ | D | 43 | 0.83 | \#292 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway NB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | C | 33 | 0.60 | 384 | D | 39 | 0.77 | \#520 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway SB | L | C | 23 | 0.29 | 69 | C | 28 | 0.37 | 68 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway SB | T | D | 54 | 0.86 | $\# 417$ | D | 50 | 0.80 | \#400 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway SB | R | D | 41 | 0.61 | 233 | C | 37 | 0.46 | 167 |
| Intersection (1) Average | - | D | 45 | - | - | D | 39 | - | - |
| Adams Street EB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | A | 0 | 0.19 | - | A | 0 | 0.27 | - |
| Adams Street WB | T | A | 0 | 0.15 | - | A | 0 | 0.14 | - |
| Common Street NB | R | A | 9 | 0.12 | 11 | A | 9 | 0.09 | 7 |
| Intersection (2) Average | - | A | 1 | - | - | A | 1 | - | - |

Notes:

- Intersection: (1) Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway, (2) Adams Street at Common Street
- Approach: NB = Northbound, SB = Southbound, EB = Eastbound, WB = Westbound
- Turning movement: $L=$ Left turn, $T=$ Through movement, $R=$ Right turn
- LOS = Lever of Service
- Delay (seconds) = Average delay per vehicle
- V/C = Volume to capacity ratio
- 95th Queue (feet) = the maximun back of queue with 95th percentile traffic volumes.
- \#: 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity. The queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | 2 | $\cdots$ |  | $\Sigma$ | $\cdots$ | $\nearrow$ | $p$ | 4 | $\lambda$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 中t |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 中t |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 84 | 342 | 122 | 117 | 311 | 11 | 255 | 271 | 74 | 71 | 320 | 191 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 84 | 342 | 122 | 117 | 311 | 11 | 255 | 271 | 74 | 71 | 320 | 191 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 200 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 250 |  | 0 | 75 |  | 150 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 170 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 426 |  |  | 132 |  |  | 636 |  |  | 294 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 9.7 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 14.5 |  |  | 6.7 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 2 |  | 11 | 11 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 9 | 9 |  | 6 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Growth Factor | 105\％ | 105\％ | 105\％ | 105\％ | 105\％ | 105\％ | 105\％ | 105\％ | 105\％ | 105\％ | 105\％ | 105\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 4\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 3\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 98 | 541 | 0 | 129 | 356 | 0 | 282 | 382 | 0 | 99 | 448 | 267 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 8 |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 3.0 | 5.0 |  | 3.0 | 5.0 |  | 3.0 | 5.0 |  | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 7.0 | 27.0 |  | 7.0 | 27.0 |  | 7.0 | 27.0 |  | 7.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 10.0 | 30.0 |  | 10.0 | 30.0 |  | 18.0 | 49.0 |  | 9.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 8．0\％ | 24．0\％ |  | 8．0\％ | 24．0\％ |  | 14．4\％ | 39．2\％ |  | 7．2\％ | 32．0\％ | 32．0\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | Max |  | None | Max |  | None | None |  | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 32.5 | 24.4 |  | 32.5 | 24.4 |  | 54.1 | 43.0 |  | 40.9 | 33.8 | 33.8 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.30 | 0.23 |  | 0.30 | 0.23 |  | 0.50 | 0.40 |  | 0.38 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.36 | 0.79 |  | 0.68 | 0.51 |  | 0.88 | 0.60 |  | 0.29 | 0.86 | 0.61 |
| Control Delay | 34.2 | 51.2 |  | 50.9 | 41.9 |  | 49.7 | 33.3 |  | 22.6 | 54.0 | 41.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 34.2 | 51.2 |  | 50.9 | 41.9 |  | 49.7 | 33.3 |  | 22.6 | 54.0 | 41.4 |
| LOS | C | D |  | D | D |  | D | C |  | C | D | D |
| Approach Delay |  | 48.6 |  |  | 44.3 |  |  | 40.2 |  |  | 46.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 41 | 163 |  | 55 | 100 |  | 91 | 171 |  | 28 | 246 | 133 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 108 | \＃336 |  | \＃177 | 192 |  | \＃334 | 384 |  | 69 | \＃417 | 233 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 346 |  |  | 52 |  |  | 556 |  |  | 214 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 200 |  |  |  |  |  | 250 |  |  | 75 |  | 150 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 272 | 681 |  | 191 | 700 |  | 322 | 646 |  | 341 | 535 | 446 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Lane? Configurations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |  |  |
| Future Volume (vph) |  |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |  |  |
| Storage Length (t) |  |  |
| Storage Lanes |  |  |
| Taper Length (tt) |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  |  |
| Link Distance (t) |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor |  |  |
| Growth Factor |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |  |  |
| Turn Type |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 9 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 22\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  |
| Act Efftt Green (s) |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |  |
| Control Delay |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  |  |
| Total Delay |  |  |
| LOS |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (t) |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |  |
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Splits and Phases: 3:



|  | － | $\rightarrow$ | \＃ | 1－ |  | $\Sigma$ | $\cdots$ | $\nsim$ | $p$ | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 个\％ |  | ${ }^{4}$ | 性 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 141 | 500 | 114 | 77 | 338 | 7 | 260 | 337 | 88 | 67 | 297 | 139 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 141 | 500 | 114 | 77 | 338 | 7 | 260 | 337 | 88 | 67 | 297 | 139 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 200 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 250 |  | 0 | 75 |  | 150 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 170 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 438 |  |  | 132 |  |  | 636 |  |  | 284 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 10.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 14.5 |  |  | 6.5 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 27 |  | 17 | 17 |  | 27 | 22 |  | 14 | 14 |  | 22 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Growth Factor | 106\％ | 106\％ | 106\％ | 106\％ | 106\％ | 106\％ | 106\％ | 106\％ | 106\％ | 106\％ | 106\％ | 106\％ |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 2\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ | 1\％ |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 164 | 715 | 0 | 94 | 421 | 0 | 293 | 479 | 0 | 78 | 346 | 162 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 8 |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 3.0 | 5.0 |  | 3.0 | 5.0 |  | 3.0 | 5.0 |  | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 7.0 | 27.0 |  | 7.0 | 27.0 |  | 7.0 | 27.0 |  | 7.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 8.0 | 28.0 |  | 8.0 | 28.0 |  | 15.0 | 35.0 |  | 7.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 7．6\％ | 26．7\％ |  | 7．6\％ | 26．7\％ |  | 14．3\％ | 33．3\％ |  | 6．7\％ | 25．7\％ | 25．7\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | Max |  | None | Max |  | None | None |  | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 29.7 | 24.5 |  | 28.7 | 22.6 |  | 37.5 | 30.0 |  | 25.2 | 20.1 | 20.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.34 | 0.28 |  | 0.33 | 0.26 |  | 0.43 | 0.34 |  | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.55 | 0.75 |  | 0.50 | 0.46 |  | 0.83 | 0.77 |  | 0.37 | 0.80 | 0.46 |
| Control Delay | 33.8 | 38.5 |  | 35.1 | 32.0 |  | 43.4 | 39.4 |  | 28.1 | 50.0 | 37.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 33.8 | 38.5 |  | 35.1 | 32.0 |  | 43.4 | 39.4 |  | 28.1 | 50.0 | 37.1 |
| LOS | C | D |  | D | C |  | D | D |  | C | D | D |
| Approach Delay |  | 37.6 |  |  | 32.6 |  |  | 40.9 |  |  | 43.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 50 | 165 |  | 28 | 87 |  | 83 | 194 |  | 19 | 150 | 64 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | \＃157 | \＃383 |  | \＃91 | 178 |  | \＃292 | \＃520 |  | 68 | \＃400 | 167 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 358 |  |  | 52 |  |  | 556 |  |  | 204 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 200 |  |  |  |  |  | 250 |  |  | 75 |  | 150 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 300 | 951 |  | 188 | 907 |  | 355 | 626 |  | 209 | 462 | 375 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Lane Group | $\varnothing 9$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |  |  |
| Future Volume (vph) |  |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |  |  |
| Storage Length (t) |  |  |
| Storage Lanes |  |  |
| Taper Length (tt) |  |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  |  |
| Link Distance (t) |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor |  |  |
| Growth Factor |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |  |  |
| Turn Type |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 9 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 26\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  |
| Act Efft Green (s) |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |  |
| Control Delay |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  |  |
| Total Delay |  |  |
| LOS |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |  |
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Splits and Phases: 3:



Table I-5
Intersection Capacity Analysis
Alternative 4 under Projected 2030 AM and PM Peak-Hour Traffic Conditions

| Intersection Approach | Lane <br> Group | AM <br> LOS | AM <br> Delay | AM <br> V/C | 95th <br> Queue | PM <br> LOS | PM <br> Delay | PM <br> V/C | 95th <br> Queue |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adams Street EB | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T}$ | B | 13 | 0.52 | 75 | C | 17 | 0.68 | 125 |
| Adams Street EB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | A | 9 | 0.36 | 50 | A | 9 | 0.40 | 50 |
| Adams Street WB | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T}$ | B | 10 | 0.34 | 25 | B | 12 | 0.37 | 50 |
| Adams Street WB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | A | 9 | 0.34 | 50 | B | 11 | 0.37 | 50 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway NB | L | A | 7 | 0.18 | 25 | B | 10 | 0.28 | 25 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway NB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | A | 10 | 0.40 | 50 | C | 17 | 0.60 | 100 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway SB | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T}$ | C | 17 | 0.61 | 100 | B | 12 | 0.43 | 50 |
| Furnace Brook Parkway SB | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{R}$ | C | 16 | 0.61 | 100 | B | 11 | 0.44 | 50 |
| Common Street NWB | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T}$ | C | 15 | 0.56 | 100 | C | 23 | 0.67 | 125 |
| Intersection Average | - | B | 13 | - | - | B | 14 | - | - |

Notes:

- This double-lane roundabout alternative contains five approaches from Adams Street, Furnace Brook Parkway, and Common Street.
- The right-turn from Common Street to Adams Street is separated from the roaundabout (see Figure 7).
- The analysis is summarized from Synchro roundabout reports based on Highway Capacity Manual 6th Version.
- Approach: NB = Northbound, SB = Southbound, EB = Eastbound, WB = Westbound, NWB = Northwest bound
- Turning movement: $L=$ Left turn, $T=$ Through movement, $R=$ Right turn
- LOS = Lever of Service
- Delay (seconds) = Average delay per vehicle
- V/C = Volume to capacity ratio
- 95th Queue (feet) = the estimated length of queueing vehicles ( 25 feet per vehicle) with 95 th percentile traffic volumes.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 12.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach |  | EB |  | WB | NW |  | NE |
| Entry Lanes |  | 2 |  | 2 | 1 |  | 2 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h |  | 639 |  | 460 | 375 |  | 437 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h |  | 652 |  | 477 | 394 |  | 450 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h |  | 692 |  | 763 | 833 |  | 663 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h |  | 944 |  | 464 | 280 |  | 681 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h |  | 6 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 |
| Ped Cap Adj |  | 0.998 |  | 0.996 | 0.998 |  | 0.994 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 11.5 |  | 9.7 | 15.0 |  | 8.9 |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  | A | C |  | A |
| Lane | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Designated Moves | LT | R | LT | TR | LR | L | TR |
| Assumed Moves | LT | R | LT | TR | LR | L | TR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 0.572 | 0.428 | 0.470 | 0.530 | 1.000 | 0.293 | 0.707 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.667 | 2.535 | 2.667 | 2.535 | 2.535 | 2.667 | 2.535 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.645 | 4.328 | 4.645 | 4.328 | 4.328 | 4.645 | 4.328 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 373 | 279 | 224 | 253 | 394 | 132 | 318 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 714 | 789 | 669 | 742 | 699 | 734 | 808 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.980 | 0.978 | 0.966 | 0.964 | 0.952 | 0.970 | 0.972 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 366 | 273 | 216 | 244 | 375 | 128 | 309 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 699 | 770 | 643 | 713 | 664 | 707 | 781 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.523 | 0.355 | 0.336 | 0.342 | 0.564 | 0.181 | 0.396 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 13.3 | 9.0 | 10.1 | 9.4 | 15.0 | 7.1 | 9.6 |
| LOS | B | A | B | A | C | A | A |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 |


| Intersection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh |  |  |
| Intersection LOS |  |  |
| Approach |  | SW |
| Entry Lanes |  | 2 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes |  | 2 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h |  | 815 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h |  | 831 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h |  | 805 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h |  | 435 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h |  | 2 |
| Ped Cap Adj |  | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 16.6 |
| Approach LOS |  | C |
| Lane | Left | Right |
| Designated Moves | LT | TR |
| Assumed Moves | LT | TR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |
| Lane Util | 0.471 | 0.529 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.667 | 2.535 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.645 | 4.328 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 391 | 440 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 644 | 716 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.979 | 0.982 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 383 | 432 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 630 | 703 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.608 | 0.614 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 17.2 | 16.0 |
| LOS | C | C |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 4 | 4 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 14.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach |  | EB |  | WB | NB |  | NE |
| Entry Lanes |  | 2 |  | 2 | 1 |  | 2 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h |  | 903 |  | 446 | 354 |  | 545 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h |  | 921 |  | 455 | 364 |  | 551 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h |  | 523 |  | 920 | 1121 |  | 917 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h |  | 866 |  | 565 | 347 |  | 527 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h |  | 6 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 |
| Ped Cap Adj |  | 0.996 |  | 1.000 | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 13.4 |  | 11.3 | 22.5 |  | 14.6 |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  | B | C |  | B |
| Lane | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Designated Moves | LT | R | LT | TR | LR | L | TR |
| Assumed Moves | LT | R | LT | TR | LR | L | TR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 0.610 | 0.390 | 0.470 | 0.530 | 1.000 | 0.296 | 0.704 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.667 | 2.535 | 2.667 | 2.535 | 2.535 | 2.667 | 2.535 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.645 | 4.328 | 4.645 | 4.328 | 4.328 | 4.645 | 4.328 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 562 | 359 | 214 | 241 | 364 | 163 | 388 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 834 | 910 | 579 | 650 | 548 | 581 | 651 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.981 | 0.981 | 0.980 | 0.981 | 0.973 | 0.988 | 0.990 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 551 | 352 | 210 | 236 | 354 | 161 | 384 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 815 | 889 | 567 | 637 | 533 | 574 | 645 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.676 | 0.396 | 0.370 | 0.371 | 0.665 | 0.281 | 0.596 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 16.5 | 8.7 | 11.9 | 10.8 | 22.5 | 10.1 | 16.5 |
| LOS | C | A | B | B | C | B | C |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 |


| Intersection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh |  |  |
| Intersection LOS |  |  |
| Approach |  | SW |
| Entry Lanes |  | 2 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes |  | 2 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h |  | 586 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h |  | 592 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h |  | 797 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h |  | 578 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h |  | 9 |
| Ped Cap Adj |  | 0.998 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 11.5 |
| Approach LOS |  | B |
| Lane | Left | Right |
| Designated Moves | LT | TR |
| Assumed Moves | LT | TR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |
| Lane Util | 0.470 | 0.530 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 2.667 | 2.535 |
| Critical Headway, s | 4.645 | 4.328 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 278 | 314 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 648 | 721 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.990 | 0.988 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 275 | 310 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 641 | 711 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.430 | 0.436 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 11.9 | 11.1 |
| LOS | B | B |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 2 | 2 |

## APPENDIX K

## Comments from City of Quincy

# CITY OF QUINCY, MASSACHUSETTS Department of Traffic, Parking, Alarm and Lighting 

Thomas P. Koch<br>Mayor

Allison L. Ruel, P.E. Traffic Engineer

Phone: (617) 376-1962
Fax: (617) 376-1969

March 1, 2021

To: $\quad$ Chen-Yuan Wang \& Mark Abbott MPO Staff

From: Allison Ruel, Traffic Engineer

CC: Chris Cassani, TPAL Director

RE: Safety and Operations Analyses at Selected Intersections, FFY 2020
Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway and Common Street in Quincy

I have reviewed the memorandum summarizing the safety and operations analyses and proposed improvements for the intersections of Adams Street at Furnace Brook Parkway and Common Street in Quincy.

The report details the crash history of the intersection, discussing that the intersection of Adams Street and Furnace Brook Parkway is an HSIP eligible high crash location with 47 crashes over a 5-year period and the adjacent intersection of Adams Street and Common Street experienced 27 crashes over a 5-year period for a total of 72 crashes in the intersection cluster over the period reviewed. A significant number of crashes at both locations are angle collisions resulting from uncontrolled or permissive movements through the intersection.

There are also deficiencies at the intersection that aid in the crash experience and traffic congestion at this location including the geometry of the intersection, the lack of exclusive turn lanes, inadequate signal displays, pedestrian accessibility and safety, and lack of bicycle facilities. The report also identifies the desire line from the unsignalized Common Street approach to connect to Adams Street and Furnace Brook Parkway and the difficulties that presents.

Based on the analysis conducted several short-term and long-term recommendations were proposed. The shortterm improvements include enforcing existing speed and turn restriction regulations, enlarging the 'Do Not Block the Box" striping, optimizing traffic signal timings, restriping travel lanes to reduce lanes widths and better accommodate bicycles, add backplates to existing signal heads to improve visibility, add an additional stop sign on Common Street, and more clearly define the parking spaces on Adams Street. We agree that these shortterm improvements would have a benefit on improving safety and reducing traffic congestion at these intersections.

Four long-term alternatives were identified in the report at the two intersections to maximize safety and operations for the intersections:

Alternative 1 proposed to reconstruct the two intersections and upgrade the traffic signal at the Adams Street/Furnace Brook Parkway intersection, while leaving the Common Street intersection unsignalized. While this alternative would help mitigate many of the issues at the Adams Street/Furnace Brook Parkway intersection, it would not have a significant impact on the safety issues that exist at the Adams Street and Common Street
intersection and therefore is not preferred by the City.
Alternative 2 proposes to reconstruct both intersections, upgrade signals at the intersection of Adams Street/Furnace Brook Parkway and signalize the Common Street intersection as part of a single clustered intersection. This alternative would have similar geometric and lane improvements as Alternative 1, but the signalized control of Common Street would help mitigate many of the safety issues without restricting movements exiting Common Street and is therefore the City's preferred alternative of the signalized Alternatives presented.

Alternative 3 proposes to reconstruct both intersections, upgrade signals at Adams Street and Furnace Brook Parkway, and extend the median on Adams Street to restrict Common Street to a right-in/right-out condition. Common Street provides a critical connection to Copeland Street and Centre Street to South and West Quincy and restricting connectivity to/from this roadway at Adams Street is not preferred by the City.

Alternative 4 reconstructs both intersections into a double-lane modern roundabout, tying in Common Street vis a separate approach. The alternative also moves bicycles to off-street facilities. We agree the isolated nature of this intersection cluster and the traffic calming and improvement on traffic congestion that a roundabout alternative brings makes this the preferred alternative. We ask that non-traditional roundabout alternatives to be further evaluated in the design process, including potentially an ellipse, a double roundabout, or a "Figure 8" or "Peanut" shaped roundabout. The Common Street and Adams Street approaches to the east are very close together in the existing concept which may be confusing to motorists. We also have concerns over the school zone crossing with the current roundabout concept. Under existing conditions, the intersection is managed by a crossing guard who stops travel in all directions for children to cross the road.

In summary, we feel that the reconstruction of this intersection to an unsignalized roundabout alternative is the preferred alternative, though there should be more exploration of non-traditional roundabout designs through the design process. If a signalized alternative is entertained, it is important that the Common Street approach be tied into the signal system to provide safer access while still maintaining full connectivity to and from the roadway.

## APPENDIX L

MassDOT Project Development Process

## Overview of the Project Development Process

Transportation decision-making is complex and can be influenced by legislative mandates, environmental regulations, financial limitations, agency programmatic commitments, and partnering opportunities. Decision-makers and reviewing agencies, when consulted early and often throughout the project development process, can ensure that all participants understand the potential impact these factors can have on project implementation. Project development is the process that takes a transportation improvement from concept through construction.

The MassDOT Highway Division has developed a comprehensive project development process which is contained in Chapter 2 of the MassDOT Highway Division's Project Development and Design Guide. The eight-step process covers a range of activities extending from identification of a project need, through completion of a set of finished contract plans, to construction of the project. The sequence of decisions made through the project development process progressively narrows the project focus and, ultimately, leads to a project that addresses the identified needs. The descriptions provided below are focused on the process for a highway project, but the same basic process will need to be followed for non-highway projects as well.

## 1. Needs Identification

For each of the locations at which an improvement is to be implemented, MassDOT leads an effort to define the problem, establishes project goals and objectives, and defines the scope of the planning needed for implementation. To that end, it has to complete a Project Need Form (PNF), which states in general terms the deficiencies or needs related to the transportation facility or location. The PNF documents the problems and explains why corrective action is needed. For this study, the information defining the need for the project will be drawn primarily, perhaps exclusively, from the present report. Also, at this point in the process, MassDOT meets with potential participants, such as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and community members, to allow for an informal review of the project.

The PNF is reviewed by the MassDOT Highway Division district office whose jurisdiction includes the location of the proposed project. MassDOT also sends the PNF to the MPO, for informational purposes. The outcome of this step determines whether the project requires further planning, whether it is already well supported by prior planning studies, and, therefore, whether it is ready to move forward into the design phase, or whether it should be dismissed from further consideration.

## 2. Planning

This phase will likely not be required for the implementation of the improvements proposed in this planning study, as this planning report should constitute the outcome of this step. However, in general, the purpose of this implementation step is for the project proponent to identify issues, impacts, and approvals that may need to be obtained, so that the subsequent design and permitting processes are understood.

The level of planning needed will vary widely, based on the complexity of the project. Typical tasks include: define the existing context, confirm project need, establish goals and objectives, initiate public outreach, define the project, collect data, develop and analyze alternatives, make recommendations, and provide documentation. Likely outcomes include consensus on the project definition to enable it to move forward into environmental documentation (if needed) and design, or a recommendation to delay the project or dismiss it from further consideration.

## 3. Project Initiation

At this point in the process, the proponent, MassDOT Highway Division, fills out a Project Initiation Form (PIF) for each improvement, which is reviewed by its Project Review Committee (PRC) and the MPO. The PRC is composed of the Chief Engineer, each District Highway Director, and representatives of the Project Management, Environmental, Planning, Right-ofWay, Traffic, and Bridge departments, and the MassDOT Federal Aid Program Office (FAPO). The PIF documents the project type and description, summarizes the project planning process, identifies likely funding and project management responsibility, and defines a plan for interagency and public participation. First the PRC reviews and evaluates the proposed project based on the MassDOT's statewide priorities and criteria. If the result is positive, MassDOT Highway Division moves the project forward to the design phase, and to programming review by the MPO. The PRC may provide a Project Management Plan to define roles and responsibilities for subsequent steps. The MPO review includes project evaluation based on the MPO's regional priorities and criteria. The MPO may assign project evaluation criteria score, a Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) year, a tentative project category, and a tentative funding category.

## 4. Environmental Permitting, Design, and Right-of-Way Process

This step has four distinct but closely integrated elements: public outreach, environmental documentation and permitting (if required), design, and right-of-way acquisition (if required). The outcome of this step is a fully designed and permitted project ready for construction. However, a project does not have to be fully designed in order for the MPO to program it in the TIP. The sections below provide more detailed information on the four elements of this step of the project development process.

Public Outreach
Continued public outreach in the design and environmental process is essential to maintain public support for the project and to seek meaningful input on the design elements. The public outreach is often in the form of required public hearings, but can also include less formal dialogues with those interested in and affected by a proposed project.

Environmental Documentation and Permitting
The project proponent, in coordination with the Environmental Services section of the MassDOT Highway Division, will be responsible for identifying and complying with all applicable federal, state, and local environmental laws and requirements. This includes determining the appropriate project category for both the Massachusetts Environmental Protection Act (MEPA) and the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA). Environmental documentation and permitting is often completed in conjunction with the Preliminary Design phase described below.

Design
There are three major phases of design. The first is Preliminary Design, which is also referred to as the 25 -percent submission. The major components of this phase include full survey of the project area, preparation of base plans, development of basic geometric layout, development of preliminary cost estimates, and submission of a functional design report. Preliminary Design, although not required to, is often completed in conjunction with the Environmental Documentation and Permitting. The next phase is Final Design, which is also referred to as the 75 -percent and 100 -percent submission. The major components of this phase include preparation of a subsurface exploratory plan (if required), coordination of utility relocations, development of traffic management plans through construction zones, development of final cost estimates, and refinement and finalization of the construction plans. Once Final Design is complete, a full set of Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS\&E) is developed for the project.

## Right-of-Way Acquisition

A separate set of Right-of-Way plans are required for any project that requires land acquisition or easements. The plans must identify the existing and proposed layout lines, easements, property lines, names of property owners, and the dimensions and areas of estimated takings and easements.

## 5. Programming (Identification of Funding)

Programming, which typically begins during the design phase, can actually occur at any time during the process, from planning to design. In this step, which is distinct from project initiation, the proponent requests that the MPO place the project in the region's Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). The proponent requesting the project's listing on the TIP can be the community or it can be one of the MPO member agencies (the Regional Planning Agency, MassDOT, and the Regional Transit Authority). The MPO then considers the project in terms of state and regional needs, evaluation criteria, and compliance with the regional Transportation Plan and decides whether to place it in the draft TIP for public review and then in the final TIP.

## 6. Procurement

Following project design and programming of a highway project, the MassDOT Highway Division publishes a request for proposals. It then reviews the bids and awards the contract to the qualified bidder with the lowest bid.

## 7. Construction

After a construction contract is awarded, MassDOT Highway Division and the contractor develop a public participation plan and a management plan for the construction process.

## 8. Project Assessment

The purpose of this step is to receive constituents' comments on the project development process and the project's design elements. MassDOT Highway Division can apply what is learned in this process to future projects.

## Project Development Schematic Timetable

| Description | Schedule Influence | Typical Duration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Step I: Problem/Need/Opportunity Identification The proponent completes a Project Need Form (PNF). This form is then reviewed by the MassDOT District office which provides guidance to the proponent on the subsequent steps of the process. | The Project Need Form has been developed so that it can be prepared quickly by the proponent, including any supporting data that is readily available. The District office shall return comments to the proponent within one month of PNF submission. | 1 to 3 months |
| Step II: Planning <br> Project planning can range from agreement that the problem should be addressed through a clear solution to a detailed analysis of alternatives and their impacts. | For some projects, no planning beyond preparation of the Project Need Form is required. Some projects require a planning study centered on specific project issues associated with the proposed solution or a narrow family of alternatives. More complex projects will likely require a detailed alternatives analysis. | Project Planning Report: 3 to 24+ months |
| Step III: Project Initiation <br> The proponent prepares and submits a Project Initiation Form (PIF) and a Transportation Evaluation Criteria (TEC) form in this step. The PIF and TEC are informally reviewed by the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and MassDOT District office, and formally reviewed by the PRC. | The PIF includes refinement of the preliminary information contained in the PNF. Additional information summarizing the results of the planning process, such as the Project Planning Report, are included with the PIF and TEC. The schedule is determined by PRC staff review (dependent on project complexity) and meeting schedule. | 1 to 4 months |
| Step IV: Design, Environmental, and Right of Way <br> The proponent completes the project design. Concurrently, the proponent completes necessary environmental permitting analyses and files applications for permits. Any right of way needed for the project is identified and the acquisition process begins. | The schedule for this step is dependent upon the size of the project and the complexity of the design, permitting, and right-of-way issues. Design review by the MassDOT district and appropriate sections is completed in this step. | 3 to 48+ months |
| Step V: Programming <br> The MPO considers the project in terms of its regional priorities and determines whether or not to include the project in the draft Regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) which is then made available for public comment. The TIP includes a project description and funding source. | The schedule for this step is subject to each MPO's programming cycle and meeting schedule. It is also possible that the MPO will not include a project in its Draft TIP based on its review and approval procedures. | 3 to 12+ months |
| Step VI: Procurement The project is advertised for construction and a contract awarded. | Administration of competing projects can influence the advertising schedule. | 1 to 12 months |
| Step VII: Construction The construction process is initiated including public notification and any anticipated public involvement. Construction continues to project completion. | The duration for this step is entirely dependent upon project complexity and phasing. | 3 to 60+ months |
| Step VIII: Project Assessment The construction period is complete and project elements and processes are evaluated on a voluntary basis. | The duration for this step is dependent upon the proponent's approach to this step and any follow-up required. | 1 month |

Source: MassDOT Highway Division Project Development and Design Guide


[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ Locations eligible for Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) funding are defined by MassDOT as crash clusters that rank within the top five percent of crash clusters for each regional planning agency, based on the Equivalent Property Damage Only (EPDO) index. In the EPDO index, crashes resulting in property damage only and crashes in which the severity is unknown are awarded one point each, fatal crashes and crashes involving injuries are given 21 points each. In the Boston Region MPO area, 421 intersections are identified from

[^1]:    MassDOT 2014-16 crash data as the top five percent crash clusters with a minimum EPDO value of 115 .
    3 "Left-turn crash" refers to a crash that involves at least one left-turning vehicle.
    ${ }^{4}$ The crosswalks on Adams Street are about 75 to 80 feet long and the crosswalks on Furnace Brook Parkway are about 50 to 55 feet long.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ Governor Baker's COVID-19 Order \#5, which prohibited gatherings of more than 25 people, was issued on March 15, 2020.
    ${ }^{6}$ The entire ATR data set for this study can be obtained from the MassDOT Interactive Traffic Volume and Classification Map (also known as Transportation Data Management System) at Massachusetts government webpage https://www.mass.gov/traffic-volume-and-classification.

[^3]:    ${ }^{7}$ To establish or modify speed controls, MassDOT requires the collection of speed data by radar gun or laser gun at critical locations at intervals not to exceed 0.25 miles, in addition to vehicle trial runs in the study area.
    ${ }^{8}$ Staff used Synchro Version 10.3, developed and distributed by Trafficware Ltd. It can perform capacity analysis and traffic simulation (when combined with SimTraffic) for an individual intersection or a series of intersections in a roadway network.

[^4]:    ${ }^{9}$ For the intersections in a metropolitan urban area, LOS $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C are considered desirable; LOS D and E are considered acceptable; and LOS F is considered undesirable.

[^5]:    ${ }^{10}$ Appendix I contains the intersection capacity analyses based on the estimated 2020 normal traffic volumes. The analyses indicate that an adjustment to the signal timing for both roadway approaches by slightly increasing the cycle length by six seconds would notably reduce delays and traffic queue lengths at the intersection, especially in the AM peak hour.
    ${ }^{11}$ The backplates and retroreflective borders would be effective by increasing drivers' awareness of the signal presence and by reducing solar glare. However, the existing signal post foundations may not be strong enough to support the additional weight. Their positions and effectiveness need to be further examined.

[^6]:    ${ }^{12}$ The right-turn channel carries a relatively low volume, allows fast right-turn movements, and inconveniences pedestrians when crossing.
    ${ }^{13}$ The space for adding the left-turn lane can be obtained by reducing the width of the traffic median on Adams Street. The intersection capacity analysis with the 2030 traffic projections indicate that it would require a storage length of at least 100 feet.
    ${ }^{14}$ The intersection capacity analysis indicates that the right-turn lane would require a storage length of about 150 feet and the left-turn lane would require a storage length of 50 to 75 feet.
    ${ }^{15}$ The left-turn lane should have a storage length of about 150 feet.

[^7]:    ${ }^{16}$ The existing signals are post-mounted. The posts should be replaced by mast arms. The signal heads should be designed and positioned according to traffic operations and the intersection layout and equipped with backplates and retroreflective borders.
    ${ }^{17}$ The intersection capacity analysis indicates that it would require a storage length of about 100 feet.
    ${ }^{18}$ The right-turn lane would require a storage length of about 150 feet and the left-turn would require a storage length of 50 to 75 feet.
    ${ }^{19}$ The left-turn lane should have a storage length of about 150 feet.

[^8]:    ${ }^{20}$ In this alternative, traffic signals would operate with protected left turns and pedestrian signals would operate in concurrent phases with through traffic movements.
    ${ }^{21}$ The left-turn should have a storage length of about 100 feet.
    ${ }^{22}$ The right-turn lane should have a storage length of about 150 feet and the left-turn should have a storage length of 50 to 75 feet.
    ${ }^{23}$ The intersection capacity analysis indicates that the left-turn lane would require a storage length of about 200 feet.
    ${ }^{24}$ The right-turn channel mainly serves traffic from Common Street and carries only about five to 10 vehicles per hour from Adams Street. The removal would provide more comfortable access and crossing at the intersection for people who walk and bike.

[^9]:    ${ }^{25}$ The Synchro HCM $6^{\text {th }}$ roundabout analysis indicates that a single-lane roundabout would not be feasible under the projected 2030 traffic conditions.
    ${ }^{26}$ The right turns at the roundabout would not be feasible because of the entry angle of the Common Street approach.
    ${ }^{27}$ According to the MassDOT Guidelines for Planning and Design of Roundabouts (published September 2020), bicyclists are always offered the option of traveling through a roundabout as a vehicle. However, at locations with planned or existing bicycle facilities on the roundabout approaches, bicyclists are provided with additional options for navigating the roundabout, such as by continuing biking on a share-use path ( 10 feet minimal) or walking their bike as a pedestrian on a sidewalk (at constrained locations less than 10 feet wide).

[^10]:    ${ }^{28}$ Staff estimated that the intersection would have about five percent traffic growth (about 0.5 percent per year) in the AM peak hour and six percent traffic growth (about 0.6 percent per year) in the PM peak hour from 2020 to 2030, based on analysis of the historical counts and consideration of traffic growth at Quincy Center.
    ${ }^{29}$ Presumably, most of the traffic using Common Street as a cut-through route would switch back to Furnace Brook Parkway. Common Street neighborhoods would benefit from reduced traffic, although the residents may also need to take a longer path to reach the north and west of the intersection. In the capacity analysis of Alternative 3, this study assumed that all the northbound through and left-turn movements on Common Street would divert to the Furnace Brook Parkway northbound approach. The analysis indicates that the northbound approach would have longer traffic queues and require about 50 feet more left-turn storage than Alternatives 1 and 2.
    ${ }^{30}$ As shown in Figure 7, MassGIS Level 3 standardized assessors' parcel data indicate that the roundabout could potentially be constructed without taking lands from adjacent private properties.

[^11]:    *Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

[^12]:    *Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

