# GROVE STREET CORRIDOR STUDY IN BRAINTREE



# Grove Street Corridor Study in Braintree

Project Manager Chen-Yuan Wang

Project Principal Mark Abbott

Data Analysts Chen-Yuan Wang Julie Dombroski

Graphics Kenneth Dumas Kim DeLauri

Cover Design Kim DeLauri

The preparation of this document was supported by the Federal Highway Administration through MPO Planning Contract #112310.

Central Transportation Planning Staff is directed by the Boston Region Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO). The MPO is composed of state and regional agencies and authorities, and local governments.

May 2022



For general inquiries, contact

Central Transportation Planning Staff State Transportation Building Ten Park Plaza, Suite 2150 Boston, Massachusetts 02116 857.702.3700 ctps@ctps.org ctps.org The Boston Region Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) operates its programs, services, and activities in compliance with federal nondiscrimination laws including Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VI), the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987, and related statutes and regulations. Title VI prohibits discrimination in federally assisted programs and requires that no person in the United States of America shall, on the grounds of race, color, or national origin (including limited English proficiency), be excluded from participation in, denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity that receives federal assistance. Related federal nondiscrimination, or both, prohibit discrimination on the basis of age, sex, and disability. The Boston Region MPO considers these protected populations in its Title VI Programs, consistent with federal interpretation and administration. In addition, the Boston Region MPO provides meaningful access to its programs, services, and activities to individuals with limited English proficiency, in compliance with U.S. Department of Transportation policy and guidance on federal Executive Order 13166.

The Boston Region MPO also complies with the Massachusetts Public Accommodation Law, M.G.L. c 272 sections 92a, 98, 98a, which prohibits making any distinction, discrimination, or restriction in admission to, or treatment in a place of public accommodation based on race, color, religious creed, national origin, sex, sexual orientation, disability, or ancestry. Likewise, the Boston Region MPO complies with the Governor's Executive Order 526, section 4, which requires that all programs, activities, and services provided, performed, licensed, chartered, funded, regulated, or contracted for by the state shall be conducted without unlawful discrimination based on race, color, age, gender, ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, religion, creed, ancestry, national origin, disability, veteran's status (including Vietnam-era veterans), or background.

A complaint form and additional information can be obtained by contacting the MPO or at http://www.bostonmpo.org/mpo\_non\_discrimination.

To request this information in a different language or in an accessible format, please contact

Title VI Specialist Boston Region MPO 10 Park Plaza, Suite 2150 Boston, MA 02116 civilrights@ctps.org

#### By Telephone:

857.702.3702 (voice)

For people with hearing or speaking difficulties, connect through the state MassRelay service:

- Relay Using TTY or Hearing Carry-over: 800.439.2370
- Relay Using Voice Carry-over: 866.887.6619
- Relay Using Text to Speech: 866.645.9870

For more information, including numbers for Spanish speakers, visit https://www.mass.gov/massrelay

# Abstract

The *Grove Street Corridor Study in Braintree* is one in a series of studies supported by the Boston Region Metropolitan Planning Organization that address safety, mobility, and access on the Boston region's roadways. This report identifies specific transportation issues and concerns in the Grove Street Corridor in Braintree, Massachusetts; presents an in-depth analysis of multiple transportation-related factors, such as accommodations for people who walk and bike and safe access to adjacent businesses; proposes short- and long-term improvements to address the problems; and provides a vision for the corridor's long-term development.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary9							
Chapter 1—Introduction							
1.1	Study Background13						
1.2	Study Objectives						
1.3	Selection Procedure14						
1.4	Study A	rea and Data Collection15					
1.5	Study Advisory Committee Meetings15						
Chapter 2	—Existi	ng Conditions and Issues 17					
2.1	Corrido	r Overview					
2.2	Corrido	r User Survey18					
	2.2.1	Survey Questions and Answers19					
	2.2.2	Summary of Survey Results19					
2.3	Issues a	and Concerns					
Chapter 3	—Crash	n Data Analysis 23					
3.1	Corrido	r Crash Statistics23					
3.2	Corrido	r and Intersection Crash Rates24					
3.3	Collision	n Diagrams25					
		Plain Street at Hancock Street and Washington Street (Figure F-1 and Table F-1)					
		Plain Street at RMV Driveway (Figure F-1 and Table F-1)26					
		Plain Street at John Mahar Highway and at Grove Street (Figure F-2 and Table F-2)					
		Grove Street between Plain Street and Hannah Niles Way (Figure F- 3 and Table F-3)					
		Grove Street between Hannah Niles Way and Liberty Street (Figure F-4 and TableF-4)					
		Grove Street at Liberty Street (Figure F-5 and Table F-5)27					
		Grove Street between Liberty Street and Columbian Street (Figure F-6 and Table F-6)					
		Grove Street at Columbian Street (Figure F-7 and Table F-7)28					
		Columbian Street between Grove Street and Weymouth Town Line (Figure F-8 and Table F-8)					

Chapter	4—Road	dway Operations Analysis 29				
4.1	Daily 1	raffic Volumes				
4.2	Interse	Intersection Turning Movement Counts				
4.3	Pedes	Pedestrian and Bicycle Volumes				
4.4	Heavy	Heavy Vehicle Volumes				
4.5	Interse	Intersection Capacity Analyses				
4.6	Roadw	Roadway Travel Speeds				
4.7	Existin	Existing Roadway Layouts and Potential Reconfigurations				
		Plain Street between Hancock Street and Grove Street				
		Grove Street between Plain Street and Liberty Street				
		Grove Street between Liberty Street and Columbian Street37				
		Columbian Street between Grove Street and Weymouth				
Chapter	5—Pron	losed Improvements 39				
5 1	Corrido	or Improvement Objectives and Design Strategies				
5.2	Plain S	Street between Hancock Street and Pond Street 40				
0.2	521	Issues and Concerns 40				
	522	Proposed Short-Term Improvements 40				
	523	Proposed   ong-Term Improvements				
5.3	Grove	Grove Street between Plain Street and Liberty Street				
	5.3.1	Issues and Concerns43				
	5.3.2	Proposed Short-Term Improvements44				
	5.3.3	Proposed Long-Term Improvements45				
5.4	Grove	Grove Street between Liberty Street and Columbian Street				
	5.4.1	Issues and Concerns47				
	5.4.2	Proposed Short-Term Improvements48				
	5.4.3	Proposed Long-Term Improvements48				
5.5	Colum	Columbian Street between Grove Street and Weymouth Town line				
	5.5.1	Issues and Concerns50				
	5.5.2	Proposed Short-Term Improvements50				
	5.5.3	Proposed Long-Term Improvements51				
5.6	Overview of Proposed Long-Term Improvements Under Projected 2030 Traffic Conditions					

Chapter 6—Summa	y and Recommendations	•••••	53
-----------------	-----------------------	-------	----

### FIGURES

Figure 1 Study Area Map	56
Figure 2 Transit Services in the Area	57
Figure 3 Corridor User Survey Questions and Results	58
Figure 4 Average Weekday Traffic Volumes	59
Figure 5 2021 Observed Weekday AM/PM Peak-Hour Intersection Traffic and	
Pedestrian Volumes	60
Figure 6 2021 Observed Weekday AM/PM Peak-Hour Intersection Traffic and	
Pedestrian Volumes	61
Figure 7 2021 Weekday AM/PM Peak-Hour Intersection Capacity Analysis: Observed Traffic Conditions	l 62
Figure 8 2021 Weekday AM/PM Peak-Hour Intersection Capacity Analysis: Estimated	ł
Traffic Conditions	63
Figure 9 Speed Regulations and Estimated 85th Percentile Speeds	64
Figure 10 Existing Roadway Cross Section and Potential Reconfigurations: Plain Stre	et
between Hancock Street and Grove Street	65
Figure 11 Existing Roadway Cross Section and Potential Reconfigurations: Grove	
Street between Plain Street and Liberty Street	66
Figure 12 Existing Roadway Cross Section and Potential Reconfigurations: Grove	
Street between Liberty Street and Columbian Street	67
Figure 13 Existing Roadway Cross Section and Potential Reconfigurations: Columbia	n
Street between Grove Street and Weymouth Town Line	68
Figure 14 Proposed Improvements Conceptual Plan: Plain Street between Hancock	
Street and Grove Street	69
Figure 15 Proposed Improvements Conceptual Plan: Grove Street between Plain Street	et
and Liberty Street (Section 1)	70
Figure 16 Proposed Improvements Conceptual Plan: Grove Street between Plain Street	et
and Liberty Street (Section 2)	71
Figure 17 Proposed Improvements Conceptual Plan: Grove Street between Liberty	
Street and Columbian Street	72
Figure 18 Proposed Improvements Conceptual Plan: Columbian Street between Grov	/e
Street and Weymouth Town Line	73
Figure 19 2030 Weekday AM/PM Peak Hour Intersection Capacity Analyses: Projected	ed
Traffic Conditions with Proposed Improvements	74

APPENDICES

# **Executive Summary**

Each year, the Boston Region Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) conducts outreach to local agencies, municipalities, the public, and other stakeholders during the development of the Unified Planning Work Program, a program of studies and research projects that provide transportation planning and technical assistance to municipalities and agencies in the Boston region. The purpose of this outreach is to gather information about specific transportation problems in the region so that studies may be conducted to analyze these issues, and projects may be developed to address these issues to improve the operation of the transportation system as a whole.

The MPO's series of *Subregional Priority Roadways* studies grew out of this information-gathering process. These studies identify safety, mobility, access, and other transportation-related concerns on specific roadways identified as requiring improvements by subregional planning groups. The studies evaluate potential multimodal solutions to the problems and then make recommendations for agencies and municipalities to implement. Each year, the Boston Region MPO chooses an arterial or collector roadway for staff to analyze, which results in recommendations for short- and long-term improvements for that roadway area.

Selecting a study area in the Boston region is a thorough and exacting process, based on many factors. In any large metropolitan region, there are many roadways that need improvement, so it can be a challenge to single out just one. However, because the MPO's *Subregional Priority Roadways* program is ongoing, MPO staff can address each problem area methodically, according to priority and regional needs.

This report focuses on the Grove Street corridor in Braintree, Massachusetts. It contains a review of existing conditions, various safety and operations analyses, and proposed short- and long-term improvements to address the problems in the study corridor.

The study corridor is approximately two miles long, comprising Plain Street, Grove Street, and Columbian Street in Braintree from Hancock Street to the Weymouth town line. Key issues and concerns identified for the corridor include the following:

- High corridor crash rate
- High vehicle travel speeds
- Recurrent traffic congestions

- Insufficient accommodation for people who walk
- Lack of accommodation for people who bike
- Lack of safe and convenient access to adjacent developments
- Safety concerns for all users, especially for those who walk and bike

The recommended short-term improvements would enhance safety for all users and improve traffic operations in the study area. With a high benefit-to-cost ratio, these short-term improvements should be considered and implemented as soon as resources are available. Among the improvements proposed at various locations in the corridor, two projects were recommended for consideration in the short term:

- Combine the two closely located crosswalks on Grove Street in the vicinity of Heritage United Methodist Church and install Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons and a series of pedestrian crossing warning signs and pavement markings to enhance the conspicuity of crossing activities and improve safety and access for people who walk.
- Review and retime the traffic signals at the intersections of Grove Street at Liberty Street and at Columbian Street, including increasing the pedestrian signal time for people to cross the intersection at Liberty Street.

Significantly improving the safety, mobility, and access for all users of the roadway would require a series of long-term improvements. The following major improvements are proposed for the corridor.

- Reduce travel lane width to 11.5 feet wide.
- Install street- or sidewalk-level separated bike lanes with traffic buffers on both sides of the roadway.
- Install six-foot sidewalks wherever absent and improve the existing sidewalks and expand them to six feet wide wherever applicable.
- Install five-foot grass buffers between the proposed bike lanes and sidewalks to accommodate the existing utility poles.
- Reduce driveway widths and tuning radii wherever applicable.
- Change speed limit from 40 to 35 miles per hour (mph) in the corridor, except for the curved and busy section between John Mahar Highway and Grove Circle (30 mph posted speed limit), after the implementation of the above improvements.
- Reconstruct the intersections of Grove Street at Liberty Street and at Columbian Street, with upgraded traffic signal system.

The proposed long-term improvements have several expected benefits:

- Improve accommodations and safety for people who walk, bike, and use a mobility device.
- Improve mobility and safety for people to access adjacent businesses and residences.
- Sustain appropriate travel speeds and increase safety for all users in the corridor.
- Maintain efficient traffic operations in the corridor.
- Support and enhance economic activities.
- Enhance livability for neighborhoods and the subregion.

Implementing the recommended long-term improvements in this extensive corridor would require sufficient resources. Four implementation stages can be considered for the entire corridor, as follows:

- 1. Grove Street between Hannah Niles Way and Liberty Street, including the intersection at Liberty Street
- 2. Grove Street between Plain Street and Hannah Niles Way, including the intersection at Plain Street
- 3. Grove Street between Liberty Street and Columbian Street
- 4. Columbian Street south of Grove Street, including the intersection at Grove Street

This report provides a detailed review and recommendations for improvements that address the transportation issues in the Grove Street corridor in Braintree. By addressing these problems systematically through the Subregional Priority Roadways program, the resulting improvements will help to enhance quality of life, support economic development, and improve air quality throughout the MPO region.

# Chapter 1-Introduction

# 1.1 STUDY BACKGROUND

During development of the Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) and the Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), the Boston Region Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) gathers feedback from the public, municipalities, the Metropolitan Area Planning Council's subregional groups, and the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) to identify transportation problems in the region. These problems generally involve accommodations for people who walk and bike, freight movement, traffic bottlenecks, safety of roadway users, and safe or convenient access for abutters along roadway corridors—problems that can adversely affect the region's quality of life, economic development, and air quality.

Each year, the MPO conducts a study, *Addressing Safety, Mobility, and Access on Subregional Priority Roadways*, to identify roadway segments in the Boston region that are of concern to stakeholders, but that have not been cited in the regional needs assessment conducted for the LRTP.<sup>1</sup> The *Subregional Priority Roadways* studies focus on arterial or collector roadways and result in recommendations for short- and long-term improvements. Funding for the *Grove Street Corridor Study in Braintree* was documented in the federal fiscal year (FFY) 2021 UPWP, and a work program outlining the study was endorsed by the MPO board on July 16, 2020.

# 1.2 STUDY OBJECTIVES

The *Grove Street Corridor Study in Braintree* focused on safety, mobility and access, and specific concerns related to bicycle and pedestrian transportation, multiuse trail feasibility, and other subjects raised by stakeholders. The objectives of the study were to

- identify safety, mobility, access, and other transportation-related problems in the study corridor; and
- develop and evaluate potential multimodal solutions to the problems, including those addressing the pedestrian, bicycle, truck, and transit modes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Roadways prioritized for improvement through this needs assessment are addressed through another annual work program, Addressing Priority Corridors from the Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Assessment.

# **1.3 SELECTION PROCEDURE**

The MPO selected the Grove Street corridor in Braintree by assessing 21 roadway corridors in the Boston region that were identified as potential candidates for study by various sources, including (1) suggestions heard during outreach for the FFY 2021 UPWP; (2) concerns documented in meeting records from the UPWP outreach process since 2012; and (3) data from the MPO's Congestion Management Process. MPO staff assembled detailed data about these roadways and evaluated them according to the following selection criteria:

- **Safety Conditions:** The roadway has a high crash rate for its functional class, or there have been a significant number of collisions (two or more per mile) involving people who walk or bike.
- **Multimodal Significance**: The roadway supports transit, bicycle, or walking activity, or accommodates large numbers of heavy vehicles (trucks and buses).
- **Subregional Priority**: The roadway carries a significant proportion of subregional vehicle, bicycle, or pedestrian traffic and is essential for the subregion's economic, cultural, or recreational development.
- Implementation Potential: Roadway improvements are proposed or endorsed by the agency or agencies that administer the roadway and other stakeholders who voiced strong support for the improvements.
- Regional Equity: The roadway is situated in a subregion that has not been selected for the Subregional Priority Roadways study in the past two years.<sup>2</sup>

The selected roadways belonged to the "Old Route 128" corridor, which runs parallel to Interstate 93 and Route 3 and carries regional and local traffic. The corridor contains various land uses, including a large-scale shopping plaza (Tedeschi Plaza Shopping Center) and several commercial developments, senior living residential developments, multiunit condos and apartments, and singlefamily residences. In addition, there are ongoing and planned developments in the corridor.

MassDOT Highway Division District 6 recommended this roadway for study to explore Complete Streets needs and safety improvements for all users of the roadway, especially for those who walk. The Town of Braintree noted that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Details of the criteria and rating system may be found in the Central Transportation Planning Staff's technical memorandum, "Selection of FFY 2021 Subregional Priority Roadway Study Location," dated December 17, 2020.

residents have expressed their concerns about this corridor because of the high crash rate and crashes caused by high vehicle travel speeds.

# 1.4 STUDY AREA AND DATA COLLECTION

The study corridor is about two miles long, comprising Plain Street, Grove Street, and Columbian Street in Braintree from Hancock Street to the Weymouth town line. It is a two-lane roadway classified as an Urban Minor Arterial and is under the jurisdiction of MassDOT District 6. The study area covers the corridor and its adjacent areas and connected roadways. Major cross streets in the corridor include John Mahar Highway, Plain Street, and Liberty Street. Figure 1 shows the study corridor, adjacent roadways, and major developments in the study area.

At the request of MPO staff, MassDOT collected daily traffic volumes and intersection turning movement counts (including pedestrian and bicycle movements and the percentage of heavy vehicles) for this study from April 7 to April 13, 2020, a period during the COVID-19 pandemic when traffic was still less intensive than the usual conditions. Staff reviewed historical counts and MassDOT COVID-19 traffic monitoring reports and made a series of adjustments to the collected data, so that the data would reflect the normal traffic conditions that are used in a series of essential analyses in this study.

MPO staff also collected a series of data from the Town of Braintree, including land use and zoning information, traffic studies from recent proposed developments and redevelopments in the corridor, and the police crash reports for a five-year period from 2015 to 2019.

MPO staff developed a corridor user survey to gather feedback from the public on perceived and actual problems with the corridor and to solicit improvement ideas. The survey yielded helpful information in identifying the issues and concerns and in developing improvement strategies for the corridor.

# 1.5 STUDY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETINGS

During the study, MPO staff worked closely with an advisory committee comprised of representatives from the Town of Braintree, MassDOT Office of Transportation Planning, and MassDOT Highway Division District 6. (See Appendix A for a complete list of the study advisory members.)

Two advisory committee meetings were held to guide and support the study. In the first meeting (February 11, 2021), MPO staff introduced the study, received input about the corridor's issues and concerns, and coordinated data collection needs. In the second meeting (December 10, 2021), staff presented the analyses

and findings and discussed the proposed short- and long-term improvement alternatives with the advisory committee members. After the meetings, staff received comments and revised the proposed improvements accordingly.

# Chapter 2–Existing Conditions and Issues

# 2.1 CORRIDOR OVERVIEW

The study corridor is about two miles long, comprising Plain Street, Grove Street, and Columbian Street in Braintree from Hancock Street to the Weymouth town line (Figure 1). It is a two-lane roadway, one lane in each direction, which carries approximately 14,000 to 19,000 vehicles per weekday. The entire corridor is classified as Urban Minor Arterial and is under the jurisdiction of MassDOT Highway Division District 6.

The corridor contains three signalized intersections, three uncontrolled marked crosswalks, several unsignalized intersections and commercial driveways. The three signalized intersections are Plain Street at John Mahar Highway, Grove Street at Liberty Street, and Grove Street at Columbian Street. The three marked crosswalks are all located on Grove Street, one just south of Hannah Niles Way, one near Heritage United Methodist Church, and one just north of O'Toole Terrace.

The adjacent land uses in the corridor include residential, commercial, religious, and open lands. The majority of the adjacent areas are under residential zoning, which contains mainly single-family houses and some multiunit residential developments. In addition, there are two major commercial areas: a general business district located on Grove Street near Liberty Street and a highway business district located on Columbian Street south of Grove Street.

The corridor can be roughly distinguished into four sections based on adjacent land uses and the existing roadway layouts. The first section, Plain Street between Hancock Street and Grove Street, contains mainly residential and some commercial areas. The roadway's adjacent areas are fully developed with singlefamily houses. It has two 12-foot travel lanes, with relatively wide shoulders (fourto six-feet) and sidewalks on both sides.

The second section of the corridor, Grove Street between Plain Street and Liberty Street, contains residential areas, a senior living community (Grove Manor Estates), a church (Heritage United Methodist Church), and a major business district that houses Tedeschi Plaza Shopping Center. The travel lanes in this section are wider than the previous section. It lacks sidewalks on the northbound side.

The third section, Grove Street between Liberty Street and Columbian Street, contains mostly residential areas, with some open spaces located near

Columbian Street. The travel lanes in this section are wide and with shoulders of inconsistent widths. It lacks sidewalks on the southbound side.

The last section, Columbian Street between Grove Street and the Weymouth town line, contains a major business park at 60 Columbian Street and a number of businesses with their own driveways and on-site parking. No sidewalks exist on either side and shoulders are generally narrow with a width of about three feet.

There are no dedicated bike lanes in the entire corridor. Shoulders are generally narrow (about two- to three-feet wide), except in some limited and discontinuous sections. Meanwhile, most sections in the corridor have a posted speed limit of 40 miles per hour (mph) and vehicles generally travel at a speed much higher than the posted speed limit.

There are no Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority (MBTA) or local transit services in the corridor. However, there are a few regional and subregional transit services in the areas adjacent to the corridor (see Figure 2). These include a station for two MBTA commuter rail lines, Kingston/Plymouth Line and Middleborough/Lakeville Line, with stops at Braintree Station. It is a major station with a parking garage of more than 1,200 spaces that also connects the MBTA rapid transit Red Line and a number of MBTA bus routes.

Meanwhile, three MBTA bus routes, 226, 230, and 236 serve the adjacent areas. Route 226 runs between Braintree Station and Weymouth Square; Route 230 runs between Montello Station in Brockton and Quincy Center Station (via Hancock Street in Braintree); and Route 236 runs between South Shore Plaza in Braintree and Quincy Center Station.

This corridor is less than one mile from Braintree Station and is a key route for commuters from the South Shore area to access the station for Boston Downtown or other major destinations.

# 2.2 CORRIDOR USER SURVEY

Boston Region Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) staff prepared and conducted a survey to help determine the public's opinion about the issues and problems on the study corridor, and to gather ideas for resolving them. The online survey was posted on the Boston Region MPO website and published in Metropolitan Area Planning Council newsletters and social media channels and received 155 responses between March 16 and May 4, 2021.

# 2.2.1 Survey Questions and Answers

The survey contained the following nine questions:

- 1) How do you typically use the corridor?
- 2) Please indicate the purpose of your usual trips in the corridor.
- 3) Please indicate the destination of your usual trips in the corridor.
- 4) While driving in the corridor, what problems do you encounter?
- 5) While bicycling or walking along the corridor, what particular problems do you regularly encounter?
- 6) Please indicate any problems that keep you from walking or bicycling in the corridor.
- 7) Please indicate any improvements that you would like to see implemented in the corridor.
- 8) Where do you live?
- 9) Please use the space below to describe specific problem locations and improvements that you would like to see implemented in the corridor.

Multiple choice answers are allowed in Questions 1 to 7 and a single answer applies to Question 8, while Question 9 required a written response. Figure 3 shows the results from the first seven questions of the survey, with the number of respondents and the percentage of applicable answers to each question being summarized in horizontal bar charts. In addition, the number and percentage of each answer and comments in answering "other (please specify)" for Questions 1 to 8 and written comments for Question 9 are summarized in Appendix B.

Question 8 is designed to understand the geographical distribution of the respondents. The answers indicate that about 93 percent of the respondents live in Braintree and more than 60 percent of them reside within one mile of the corridor. The rest of the respondents are mostly South Shore residents: six from Weymouth, one from Hingham, one from Milton, one from Norwell, one from Scituate, and one from Randolph.

Question 9 is a free response question for the respondents to describe further viewpoints and to cover the problems and improvement ideas that the survey answers might not have included. Nearly half of the respondents left significant feedback for the question. Their comments are listed with no alterations in Appendix B.

### 2.2.2 Summary of Survey Results

The following list includes notable conclusions drawn from the survey.

- Almost all the respondents indicated that they usually drive in the corridor. Nearly all respondents indicated driving alone as their typical travel mode. However, a noticeable portion of respondents said that they also walk (27 percent) and/or bike (12 percent) in the corridor.
- Shopping and dining are the predominant purposes of trips made in the corridor. Social, recreational, dining, walking, and jogging trips are also prevailing in the corridor. One-third of the respondents said that they also used the corridor for commuting to work.
- Tedeschi Plaza Shopping Center area is a popular trip destination for the respondents. In addition, people also frequent the areas north and south of the shopping center and beyond the corridor.
- For people who usually drive in the corridor, traffic congestion is the issue that concerns them the most, followed by difficulty turning into and out of stores, restaurants, and side streets.
- For people who usually walk and bike in the corridor, the high speed of vehicles is the issue that concerns them the most, followed by the high volume of traffic and lack of sidewalks. Almost half of the respondents also indicated that drivers' lack of attention to people who walk and bike, lack of midblock crosswalks, and lack of bike lanes are their concerns.
- For people who are hesitant to walk or bike, the high volume of traffic and high vehicle speeds are two major reasons that deter them. In addition, lack of sidewalks, poor sidewalk conditions, drivers' lack of attention to people who walk and bike, and lack of bicycle accommodations are their other major reasons.
- Most respondents (55 to 60 percent) indicated that they would like to see improvements in increasing safety for all users, especially accommodating people who walk, reducing traffic congestion, and adding or improving access to and from adjacent commercial developments. Nearly half of respondents indicated that improving pedestrian crossings in the corridor and accommodating biking are also desirable.

Feedback from the survey was helpful to gauge community sentiment and to solicit ideas for solutions to the existing problems. Some of the ideas were considered in developing the improvement alternatives discussed in Chapter 5. MPO staff also received additional comments on the corridor's issues and concerns. These comments are included in Appendix C.

# 2.3 ISSUES AND CONCERNS

Based on findings from the user survey, analyses of crash data and existing traffic operations, and discussions with the study advisory members, major issues and concerns of the corridor include the following:

#### • High corridor crash rate

The corridor has a crash rate close to the state average for urban minor arterials. Further crash data analyses indicate that the two business sections, Grove Street in the vicinity of Tedeschi Plaza Shopping Center and Columbian Street south of Grove Street, all have a crash rate much higher than the state average.

#### • High vehicle travel speeds

In general, travel lanes in the corridor are 12 feet or wider and intersections in the corridor generally have a large layout with wide-turning radii. These factors allow vehicles to travel at excessive speeds in the corridor and at intersections. In the survey, a large portion of the users referred the high vehicle travel speeds as a major concern of the corridor.

#### Recurrent traffic congestion

The three signalized intersections in the corridor are usually congested during peak traffic hours, especially the intersection of Grove Street and Liberty Street. In addition, periodic congestion frequently occurs in the Grove Street section adjacent to Tedeschi Plaza Shopping Center because of blockages by vehicles waiting for traffic gaps to access the shopping center.

#### Lack of safe and convenient access to and from adjacent developments

People who drive usually have a difficult time to get in and out of the shopping center due to the lack of exclusive left-turn lanes on Grove Street and sufficient traffic gaps to enter Grove Street under busy traffic conditions. Meanwhile, major driveways in the corridor are generally wide and with large turning radii that is inconvenient and unsafe for people who walk and bike.

#### Insufficient accommodation for people who walk

Sidewalks are missing in many sections of the corridor. Meanwhile, in the corridor, crosswalks exist only at the two signalized intersections and at three uncontrolled crossing locations on Grove Street where the pedestrian crossing warning signage is insufficient.

#### • Lack of accommodation for people who bike

There are no dedicated bike lanes in the entire corridor. The roadway shoulders are generally narrow and not suitable for bike travel.

#### Safety concerns for all users, especially for those who walk, bike, and use mobility devices

The mobility and access difficulties and insufficient accommodations for different modes of transportation in the corridor consequently generate safety concerns for all users, especially those who walk, bike, and use mobility devices.

These issues and concerns are about the corridor in general. The issues and concerns at specific locations in the corridor are further analyzed and identified in Chapters 3 and 4 and are summarized by location along with the proposed improvements in Chapter 5.

# Chapter 3–Crash Data Analysis

# 3.1 CORRIDOR CRASH STATISTICS

Crash data are an essential resource for identifying safety and operational problems in a study area. Analyzing data on the number of crashes and types of collisions that occur at particular locations, and the circumstances under which crashes occur (such as the time of day and roadway surface conditions) also helps to develop improvement strategies.

For this study, Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) staff collected the most recent five-year (2015–19) crash reports from Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) Crash Data Portal (<u>https://apps.impact.dot.state.ma.us/cdp/home</u>) for the entire corridor and conducted a series of crash data analyses.

In total, 176 crashes were recorded in the five-year period at different locations in the corridor. Major statistics analyzed from the data set including the following (see Appendix D for the crash data summarized by year):

- Crash severity: 64 crashes (36 percent) resulted in personal injuries
- Crash types
  - 74 (42 percent) rear-end collisions
  - 68 (39 percent) angle collisions
  - 16 (nine percent) single vehicle collisions
  - 12 (seven percent) sideswipe collisions (mostly opposite direction)
  - o five (three percent) head-on collisions
- Two pedestrian crashes and three bicycle crashes<sup>3</sup>
- Weekday peak-period crashes (7:00 AM–10:00 AM and 3:30 PM–6:30 PM): 40 percent
- Crashes under daylight conditions: 79 percent
- Crashes with dry roadway conditions: 76 percent

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In this study, the term "pedestrian crashes" refers to crashes that involve at least one vehicle and one pedestrian; "bicycle crashes" refers to crashes that involve at least one vehicle and one bicycle. No crashes between at least one bicycle and one pedestrian were identified in the data.

# 3.2 CORRIDOR AND INTERSECTION CRASH RATES

Based on the five-year crash data and the estimated average daily traffic, MPO staff estimated that the entire corridor has a crash rate of 3.17 crashes per million vehicle-miles traveled (MVMT). This crash rate is close to the statewide average for minor urban arterials, which is 3.49 crashes per MVMT (updated July 2020, based on 2017 crash data).

Staff further calculated the crash rates by five consecutive segments in the corridor based on the comparable land use characteristics and daily traffic volumes. The crash rates for the five segments include

- Plain Street from Hancock Street (Route 37) to Grove Street: 3.68 crashes per MVMT;
- Grove Street from the south of Plain Street to north of Tedeschi Plaza Shopping Center: 1.51 crashes per MVMT;
- Grove Street from Tedeschi Plaza Shopping Center to the south of Liberty Street: 5.92 crashes per MVMT;
- Grove Street from the south of Liberty Street to the northwest of Columbian Street: 1.77 crashes per MVMT; and
- Columbian Street from the Grove Street to the Weymouth town line: 5.09 crashes per MVMT.

Note that the segment of Grove Street has a very high crash rate due to intensive activities at the shopping plaza and most of the crashes in the Columbian Street segment were in the vicinity of the intersection at Grove Street. Appendix E contains worksheets showing the crash rate calculations for the entire corridor and the five different segments in the corridor.

Staff also calculated the crash rates at major intersections in the corridor, based on the yearly average of MassDOT crash data and the estimated intersection traffic counts. The crash rates for the signalized intersections are as follows:

- Plain Street at Hancock Street: 0.27 crashes per million entering vehicles (MEV)
- Plain Street at John Mahar Highway: 0.23 crashes per MEV
- Grove Street at Liberty Street: 0.53 crashes per MEV
- Grove Street at Columbian Street: 0.61 crashes per MEV
- Columbian Street at the driveway of the 60 Columbian Street development: 0.09 crashes per MEV

The average crash rate for MassDOT District 6 signalized intersections is 0.71 crashes per MEV (updated June 2018, based on 2016 crash data). None of the intersections have a crash rate higher than the district average. The crash rate at the intersection of Grove Street at Columbian Street is considered to be comparable to the district average.

Among the unsignalized intersections, Plain Street at the driveway of the Registry of Motor Vehicles (RMV) is estimated to have the highest crash rate of 0.53 crashes per MEV. The rate is slightly higher than the average crash rate for unsignalized intersections in MassDOT District 6, which is 0.52 crashes per MEV.

Appendix F contains worksheets showing the crash rate calculations for all the signalized and unsignalized intersections in the corridor.

# 3.3 COLLISION DIAGRAMS

To investigate safety and operational problems further, MPO staff constructed collision diagrams for the entire corridor at major intersections and in the roadway segments between those intersections, based on the recent five-year crash data. Appendix G presents eight collision diagrams for eight consecutive sections in the corridor. It also includes information on the crashes in each section (indexed by chronological order of occurrence) summarized in a lookup table following each collision diagram. The information includes crash date and time, severity (property damage only, nonfatal injury, fatality, or unknown), manner of collision type (rear-end, angle, single vehicle, rear-to-rear, sideswipe [same or opposite direction], head-on, or unknown), road surface conditions, weather conditions, most harmful event, vehicle actions prior to crash, and driver contributing code.

Key findings from collision diagram analysis and factors that might have affected safety and operations at major intersections and roadway segments in the corridor are summarized below.

# Plain Street at Hancock Street and Washington Street (Figure F-1 and Table F-1)

- The intersection has a large layout and is congested during peak hours.
- Fourteen crashes were recorded in the recent five-year period.
- Four crashes occurred on the eastbound approach (Washington Street).
- Two crashes occurred in the middle of the intersection between a westbound left-turning vehicle and a northbound through vehicle, one of which caused personal injuries.

- Half of the crashes (seven in total) were rear-end collisions
- Other crashes are scattered all over the intersection with no distinct patterns.

### Plain Street at RMV Driveway (Figure F-1 and Table F-1)

- During peak hours, Plain Street traffic is busy and, at times, vehicles on the RMV driveway (under stop-control) have difficulties entering the intersection.
- Eleven crashes were recorded in the recent five-year period.
- Approximately half of the total crashes (five in total) occurred between a westbound through vehicle and a southbound left-turning vehicle, one of which was an injury crash.
- One crash involved a cyclist traveling westbound on Plain Street and a vehicle turning left onto the RMV driveway from Plain Street eastbound.

# Plain Street at John Mahar Highway and at Grove Street (Figure F-2 and Table F-2)

- This section of Plain Street contains two intersections near each other, one signalized at John Mahar Highway and one unsignalized at Grove Street.
- The short section of Plain Street between the two intersections is usually congested during peak hours.
- Fourteen crashes were recorded in the recent five-year period.
- Majority of the crashes (nine in total) occurred on the roadway between the two intersections. Five of them were rear-end collisions.

# Grove Street between Plain Street and Hannah Niles Way (Figure F-3 and Table F-3)

- This roadway section has a relatively wide travel lane in each direction, with a number of side streets from adjacent residential areas.
- Sixteen crashes were recorded in the recent five-year period.
- A majority of the crashes (13 in total) occurred in the section between Grove Circle and Stone Crest Drive, where a roadside commercial building exists, and the roadway is wide and curved.
- One crash involved a deer crossing Grove Street and a driver who traveled straight in the northbound lane attempting to avoid it and crashed into a roadside stone wall.

# Grove Street between Hannah Niles Way and Liberty Street (Figure F-4 and Table F-4)

- This is a busy section in the corridor. It contains single- and multi-family residential areas, a church (Heritage United Methodist Church), and a major commercial district (Tedeschi Plaza Shopping Center).
- Eighteen crashes were recorded in the recent five-year period.
- Majority of the crashes (12 in total) occurred in the vicinity of the shopping plaza, including eight at the intersection of the plaza's main driveway and Hemlock Street and three in the area north of the intersection.
- Two crosswalks are located near each other in the section between Hannah Niles Way and the church, just north of the busy shopping center. Fortunately, only two crashes were recorded in the recent five-year period. One involved three vehicles in a rear-end collision and one involved a cyclist and a vehicle travelling southbound near the crosswalk at Hannah Niles Way.

# Grove Street at Liberty Street (Figure F-5 and Table F-5)

- The intersection of Grove Street at Liberty Street carries significant regional and local traffic and is near the shopping plaza. Traffic is usually congested during peak hours.
- Thirty-seven crashes were recorded in the most recent five-year period. All of them were related to the intersection operations, except two at the plaza's driveway at Liberty Street and one at the plaza's driveway at Grove Street.
- Eight angle collisions at the intersection involved a northbound left-turning vehicle and a southbound vehicle.<sup>4</sup>
- Six rear-end collisions occurred on Grove Street just east of the intersection, potentially due to traffic congestion in the section.

# Grove Street between Liberty Street and Columbian Street (Figure F-6 and Table F-6)

• This section contains mainly residential areas, with a relatively wide travel lane in each direction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The intersection does not provide dedicated travel lanes and signal phases for left turns at all approaches, and it has a high proportion of left turns, especially on the Liberty Street northbound.

- Twenty-seven crashes were recorded in the most recent five-year period.
- Most of the crashes (21) were rear-end collisions and dispersed throughout the section.
- One bicycle crash involved a cyclist and a vehicle travelling southbound.

### Grove Street at Columbian Street (Figure F-7 and Table F-7)

- The intersection has a large layout with wide turning radii. It is congested during peak hours, especially on the southbound approach of Grove Street.<sup>5</sup>
- Thirty-three crashes were recorded in the intersection vicinity in the most recent five-year period.
- Noticeably, 13 crashes were identified as angle collisions that involved a southbound left-turn vehicle colliding with a northbound through vehicle.

### Columbian Street between Grove Street and Weymouth Town Line (Figure F-8 and Table F-8)

- This section contains only commercial land uses, with a major office park at 60 Columbian Street.
- Six crashes were recorded in the recent five-year period.
- Four crashes were identified as angle collisions that involved a vehicle turning to and from the adjacent developments and colliding with a vehicle in the traffic on Columbian Street.
- One crash involved a pedestrian crossing Columbian Street and a vehicle exiting from an adjacent business.

The findings from collision diagrams are useful for identifying safety and operational problems and developing improvement alternatives at major intersections and specific roadway segments in the corridor. The findings are further discussed in the context of proposed improvements in Chapter 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The southbound approach does not have an exclusive left-turn lane and operates under a lagging protected left-turn signal phase. Meanwhile, left-turn vehicles on the approach have to cross two northbound travel lanes, with limited sight distances under heavy traffic.

# Chapter 4–Roadway Operations Analysis

To analyze the existing roadway operations, Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) staff requested Massachusetts Department of Transportation's (MassDOT) assistance in collecting automatic traffic recorder (ATR) counts on the approaching roadways and intersection turning movement counts (TMC) for this study. The ATR counts include daily traffic volumes and spot speed counts and the TMCs include pedestrian and bicycle counts at the intersections.

The data collection was performed from April 7 to April 13, 2021, a period that traffic started to increase from the spring in 2020 when the COVID-19 pandemic was prevalent.<sup>6</sup> However, the traffic had not reached the pre-pandemic level, according to MassDOT COVID-19 traffic monitoring reports.<sup>7</sup>

Staff reviewed historical counts and MassDOT COVID-19 traffic monitoring reports for major roadways in District 6 and made a series of adjustments to the collected data, so that the data being used in these operational analyses would reflect the normal pre-pandemic traffic conditions.

# 4.1 DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Daily traffic volumes are the fundamental data for analyzing traffic intensity and patterns in a roadway corridor. Staff used the ATR counts collected on weekdays from April 7 to April 13 as the basis to estimate the annual average weekday traffic volumes at key locations in the corridor (see Appendix H for the originally recorded counts by hour).

Staff estimated the annual average weekday daily traffic (AAWDT) in two steps: (1) applying axle adjustment (one percent reduction) and seasonal adjustment (eight percent reduction) factors to the recorded volumes, and (2) increasing the factored volumes by seven and one-half percent to represent the normal traffic conditions based on the analysis of MassDOT traffic monitoring reports.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Governor Baker's COVID-19 Order #5, which prohibited gatherings of more than 25 people, was issued on March 15, 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Since April 2020, MassDOT continually monitored the impacts of COVID-19 on the state's transportation network, including roadways and transit services, and published weekly traffic volumes at permanent count stations in the state, with comparison of the volumes in the same period in 2019, on the MassDOT Mobility Dashboard (<u>https://mobility-massdot.hub.arcgis.com</u>).

Figure 4 shows the estimated 2021 average weekday traffic volumes. The numbers in the graphic are average weekday directional AAWDT volumes representing the normal traffic conditions in 2021. The two tables in the graphic further summarize the data by count location, originally recorded volume, estimated AAWDT from the recorded volumes, and the final adjusted AAWDT by directions and in combination.

In general, the corridor carries an average daily traffic volume of about 14,000 to nearly 19,000 vehicles per weekday. The Grove Street sections adjacent to Tedeschi Plaza Shopping Center carry the highest daily traffic ranging from 16,000 to nearly 19,000 vehicles per weekday. The section of Plain Street west of John Mahar Highway carries the least daily traffic of about 14,000 vehicles per weekday. The Columbian Street section carries about 16,500 vehicles per weekday.

### 4.2 INTERSECTION TURNING MOVEMENT COUNTS

In addition to daily traffic counts, MassDOT collected TMCs at major intersections in the study corridor, including vehicle movements (by vehicle classifications), bicycle movements, and pedestrian crossings. These counts were collected during the morning peak period (6:00 AM–10:00 AM) and the evening peak period (2:00 PM–6:00 PM) on Thursday, April 8, 2021, and during the midday peak period (10:00 AM–2:00 PM) on Saturday, April 10, 2021. Appendix I contains these counts summarized by hourly and 15-minute intervals.

Figure 5 shows the weekday AM and PM peak hour TMCs at major intersections in the corridor, based on the TMCs recorded on April 4. The intersection of Grove Street at Liberty Street carried about 2,100 vehicles in the AM peak hour and nearly 2,700 vehicles in the PM peak hour. The intersection of Grove Street at Columbian Street carried about 1,650 vehicles in the AM peak hour and about 2,300 vehicles in the PM peak hour. The intersection of Plain Street at John Mahar Highway carried about 1,200 vehicles in the AM peak hour and about 1,800 vehicles in the PM peak hour.

Staff found that the volumes in these counts are generally lower than those collected in recent years before the pandemic by comparing them with historical counts at major intersections in the corridor.<sup>8</sup> The analysis observed the following traffic volume and pattern changes:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The historical data include two main resources: (1) available traffic counts in and around the study area from MassDOT Transportation Data Management System (Massachusetts

- In the morning, the peak hour traffic decreased significantly and shifted to one half an hour later from 7:15 AM–8:15 AM to 7:45 AM–8:45 AM.<sup>9</sup>
- In the evening, the peak hour traffic decreased less significantly and remained in the same time period around 4:45 PM–5:45 PM.
- Both the AM and PM traffic periods had a more flattened peak pattern. The AM peak period shifted to later than usual in the period around 7:45 AM–10:15 AM. The PM peak period expanded to more than three hours and started much earlier, such as 2:30 PM or 2:45 PM.
- The through movements generally had a higher proportion of reduction than the other turning movements at the intersections in the corridor.

Based on this analysis, staff increased the recorded turning movements at the count locations by 25 to 30 percent in AM peak hour and by eight to 10 percent in the PM peak hour to represent normal pre-pandemic traffic conditions, except the intersection of Grove Street at Liberty Street. Staff used the peak hour TMCs at the intersection directly from a recent transportation study for the redevelopment of 60 Columbian Street (see Appendix J for the original counts collected on Thursday, March 21, 2019).<sup>10</sup> Using the counts at this key intersection as the basis, staff made additional adjustments to the counts at other intersections through a count-balancing process.

Figure 6 shows the final adjusted weekday AM and PM peak hour TMCs at major intersections in the corridor. The counts indicate that under normal traffic conditions on an average weekday, the intersection of Grove Street at Liberty Street could carry nearly 2,700 vehicles in the AM peak hour and about 3,000 vehicles in the PM peak hour. The intersection of Grove Street at Columbian Street could carry about 2,000 vehicles in the AM peak hour and nearly 2,600 vehicles in the PM peak hour. The intersection of Plain Street at John Mahar Highway could carry about 1,650 vehicles in the AM peak hour and nearly 2,000

government webpage <u>https://www.mass.gov/traffic-volume-and-classification</u>) and (2) traffic impact study conducted in 2019 for the redevelopment of 60 Columbian Street in Braintree.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The peak hour alternation mainly refers to the Grove Street and Columbian Street sections of the corridor. There were no sufficient historical data to compare the counts in the Plain Street section of the corridor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> *Transportation Impact Assessment: 60 Columbian Street, Braintree, Massachusetts*, Prepared for Brigham and Women's Physicians Organization by VHB (Vanasse Hangen Brustlin) Incorporated, May 13, 2019. The study adjusted the original counts (five percent increase for the AM peak hour and four percent increase for the PM peak hour) to represent the busy traffic scenario.

vehicles in the PM peak hour. The other intersections in the corridor would carry a traffic volume of about 1,350 to 1,550 vehicles per AM peak hour and about 1,500 to 1,900 vehicles per PM peak hour.

The counts also indicate that the intersection of Grove Street at Liberty has a high proportion of left turns on the Grove Street northbound approach and on both approaches of Liberty Street (especially on the northbound), and a high proportion of right turns on the Liberty Street northbound approach. The intersection of Grove Street at Columbian Street has a high proportion of left turns on the southbound approach (Grove Street) and a high proportion of right turns on the northbound approach (Columbian Street). The intersection of Plain Street at John Mahar Highway has a high proportion of left turns on the southbound approach (John Mahar Highway) and a high proportion of right turns on the westbound approach (Plain Street).

The TMCs that MassDOT collected for this study also include Saturday, April 10, 2021, midday peak-period and peak-hour counts. Analysis of the Saturday peak-hour counts indicates that the traffic movement patterns in the Saturday peak hour are similar to that in the PM peak hour at major intersections in the corridor, and the Saturday peak-hour traffic volumes generally are about five to 10 percent lower than those in the PM peak hour.

### 4.3 PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE VOLUMES

In addition to traffic volumes, the intersection TMCs—conducted in the extended four-hour peak periods in the weekday morning and evening and on Saturday midday—also provided pedestrian crossing counts and bicycle counts by turning movements on each approach for this study.

The pedestrian crossing counts in the AM and PM peak hours at major intersections in the corridor are shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6.<sup>11</sup> On the count date, the intersection of Grove Street at Liberty Street had about three to five pedestrian crossings per peak hour. The corridor sections adjacent to Tedeschi Plaza Shopping Center also carried noticeable pedestrian activities. The intersection of Grove Street at the plaza's middle driveway and Hemlock Street had about five to six pedestrian crossings per peak hour. The crosswalk at the heritage United Church had about one to two pedestrians crossing Grove Street

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The data from MassDOT Mobility Dashboard indicate that pedestrian and bicycle activities generally did not decrease, and they even increased somewhat in some urban areas during the pandemic. Therefore, the pedestrian and bicycle counts in this study were not adjusted and the same numbers of pedestrian crossings were used in Figures 5 and 6.

per peak hour. The counts also indicate that there were about five pedestrians walking along Grove Street between Plain Street and Liberty Street in the AM or PM peak hour.

The counts in other hours of the AM or PM peak period (total four hours in each period) generally observed a similar or a smaller number of pedestrian crossings at the various intersections in the corridor.

Review of the bicycle counts at the major intersections indicate that about one to three cyclists traveled along the corridor during the weekday AM or PM peak period. On a fair-weather Saturday (April10, 2021), there were about two to five cyclists traveling in the corridor from 10:00 AM to 2:00 PM.

There are no sidewalks on the east side of Grove Street north of Liberty Street, no sidewalks on the west side of Grove Street south of Grove Street. Most sections of the corridor have no bicycle accommodations in the entire corridor and limited crosswalks across Grove Street. These may have discouraged walking and biking activities in the corridor. In addition, the counts were performed in early April when the weather was still relatively cold and there could have been more people walking and more biking activities in other warmer months of the year.

# 4.4 HEAVY VEHICLE VOLUMES

It is essential to examine the amount of truck and bus traffic in a study corridor, as an unusually high percentage of these heavy vehicles may seriously impact roadway operations.<sup>12</sup>

Staff reviewed vehicle classifications in the TMCs and identified the percentages of heavy vehicles within the total traffic at major locations in the corridor. On average, heavy vehicles accounted for approximately three to five percent of the corridor traffic in the AM peak hour, and approximately one to two percent in the PM and Saturday peak hours. These percentages are regarded as normal for an urban minor arterial.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Heavy vehicles include single-unit trucks (Federal Highway Administration [FHWA] Vehicle Classes 5 to 7), articulated trucks (single- and multi-trailer trucks, FHWA Vehicle Classes 8 to 13), and buses (FHWA Vehicle Class 4). Vehicles on a single frame with two axles and six tires (dual rear wheels) (FHWA Vehicle Class 5) include trucks and recreational vehicles. Passenger cars of any type and all other two-axle, four-tire vehicles (FHWA Vehicle Class 3), such as pickups, vans, mini-buses, ambulances, motorhomes, and trailers (even a passenger car pulling a trailer) are not considered heavy vehicles.

The percentage of heavy vehicle traffic by direction of approach to the major intersections was calculated in the intersection capacity analyses and the traffic simulation models used for this study. The capacity analyses detailed in the following sections indicate that the existing volumes of heavy vehicles do not seriously affect traffic operations at the intersections studied.

# 4.5 INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSES

Based on the observed and estimated TMCs, MPO staff constructed peak-hour traffic models for the entire corridor and conducted capacity analyses for major intersections using Synchro, a traffic analysis and simulation program.<sup>13</sup> The model set consisted of weekday AM and PM peak hour models and scenarios, including signal retiming under the assumed existing conditions and proposed improvement alternatives under the projected future traffic conditions in 2030.

Figure 7 shows the results of weekday AM and PM peak-hour capacity analyses for the observed 2021 traffic conditions at major intersections in the corridor and the level of service (LOS) each intersection provides.

The LOS was determined based on criteria from the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM).<sup>14</sup> The HCM defines LOS, using a qualitative scale from A to F, for signalized and unsignalized intersections as a function of the average vehicle control delay.<sup>15</sup> For the intersections in a metropolitan urban area, LOS A, B, and C are considered desirable; LOS D and E are considered acceptable; and LOS F is considered undesirable.

Based on the observed counts on April 8, 2021, the intersection capacity analyses indicate that major intersections in the corridor generally operated at acceptable LOS in the AM or PM peak hour. This primarily resulted from the traffic reduction during the pandemic (see the analysis in Section 3.2).

Figure 8 shows the results of weekday AM and PM peak-hour capacity analyses at major intersections in the corridor for the estimated 2021 normal (non-pandemic) traffic conditions. Based on the estimated 2021 traffic volumes (Figure

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Synchro Version 10.3 was used for the analyses. This software is developed and distributed by Trafficware Ltd. It can perform capacity analyses and traffic simulation (when combined with SimTraffic) for an individual intersection or a series of intersections in a roadway network.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> *Highway Capacity Manual 2010*, Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Washington, DC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Control delay quantifies the increase in travel time that a vehicle experiences due to a traffic signal or other type of control. It also provides a surrogate measure for driver discomfort and fuel consumption.

6), the intersection capacity analyses indicate that the signalized intersection of Grove Street at Liberty Street would operate at an undesirable LOS F, with average delay of more than one and one-half minutes per vehicle in the AM peak hour. Though it would operate at acceptable overall LOS D in the PM peak hour, all the approaches, except the Grove Street southbound, would operate with an average delay of approximately one minute or more.

At the signalized intersection of Grove Street at Columbian Street, the westbound approach (Grove Street) would operate at LOS E with an average delay of approximately one minute in the AM peak hour and at LOS F with an average delay of nearly one and one-half minutes in the PM peak hour.

For the unsignalized intersections, all the stop-controlled approaches would operate at acceptable LOS, except the middle driveway from Tedeschi Plaza Shopping Center to Grove Street. The through and left-turn shared approach on the driveway would operate at an undesirable LOS F with average delay of two minutes or more per vehicle during the AM and PM peak hours when the Grove Street traffic is busy.

Staff also explored opportunities of retiming signals at the three signalized intersections and found that all have the potential to improve from the existing timing settings. These options are discussed in Chapter 5. Details of Synchro capacity analysis reports for the major intersections in the weekday AM and PM peak hour under the 2021 observed and estimated traffic conditions are included in Appendices K and L.

### 4.6 ROADWAY TRAVEL SPEEDS

One of the major concerns raised by the town residents is the generally high travel speeds in the corridor. In order to examine the prevailing travel speeds versus regulated speeds, MPO staff requested that MassDOT help collect spot-speed data during the period when automatic traffic counts were being conducted.

Figure 9 shows the existing speed regulations and estimated 85th percentile speed at selected locations in the corridor, based on spot-speed counts collected from automatic traffic recorders. The 85th percentile speed is the speed at or below which 85 percent of vehicles passing a given point are traveling, and it is the principal value used to establish speed controls by MassDOT. It is generally regarded as the prevailing speed at a location where the speed data is collected.

The corridor has three speed regulations:
- 1. 30-mph speed limit: Plain Street and Grove Street between John Mahar Highway and Grove Circle
- 2. 35-mph speed limit: Plain Street between Hancock Street and John Mahar Highway and Grove Street between Hannah Niles Way and Liberty Street
- 40-mph speed limit: Grove Street between Grove Circle and Hannah Niles Way and the rest of the sections in the corridor (Grove Street south of Liberty Street and Columbian Street south of Grove Street)

The regulated speed limit in each zone applies to both directions of the roadways in corridor. The 85th percentile speeds estimated from the data indicate that vehicles generally traveled about three to five mph higher than the regulated speeds, except the Grove Street northbound section between Tedeschi Plaza Shopping Center and Heritage Methodist Church. The prevailing speed there is almost 10 mph higher than the 35-mph regulated speed. It is concerning that drivers tend to speed up after they pass the busy commercial section and may not pay attention to the upcoming crosswalk near the church.

The proposed long-term improvements described in this report with the reduction of travel lane width and the addition of separated bicycle lanes would potentially reduce travel speeds in the corridor. At the design stage, a consistent 35 mph speed limit could be considered for the entire corridor, except the 30-mph speed zone near Plain Street. It should be maintained with the same regulation, due to its curvature and limited sight distances. In the near term, if the speed regulation in the aforementioned section is to be changed, an engineering study, based on speed data collected from radar or laser guns, would have to be undertaken.<sup>16</sup>

# 4.7 EXISTING ROADWAY LAYOUTS AND POTENTIAL RECONFIGURATIONS

The corridor is a two-lane roadway and generally has a right-of-way width of about 60 feet. Based on the existing street layouts and adjacent land uses, the corridor can be distinguished into four roadway sections:

- 1. Plain Street between Hancock Street and Grove Street
- 2. Grove Street between Plain Street and Liberty Street
- 3. Grove Street between Liberty Street and Columbian Street
- 4. Columbian Street between Grove Street and Weymouth Town Line

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> To establish or modify speed controls, MassDOT requires the collection of speed data by radar gun or laser gun at critical locations at intervals not to exceed 0.25 miles, in addition to vehicle trial runs in the study area.

Figures 10 to 13 show the existing roadway cross section and potential reconfiguration alternatives in the four roadway sections. In each of the roadway sections, the cross section represents a typical layout in or near the tightest right-of-way area. It exhibits the view of a southbound driver in the corridor.

### Plain Street between Hancock Street and Grove Street

The top graphic in Figure 10 shows that the existing roadway contains a 12-footwide travel lane, an eight-foot shoulder, and a six-foot sidewalk in each direction. Field observations indicate that one to two vehicles could occasionally occupy the relatively wide shoulders. As the adjacent areas are fully built, with continuous sidewalks on both sides, two potential reconfigurations are proposed within the existing street layout: (1) to reduce the travel lane to 11.5-foot and install a street-level bike lane (five feet wide) with a traffic buffer (three and half feet wide) in each direction; and (2) to reduce the travel lane to 11.5-foot and install a raised bike (six feet wide) with a roadway shoulder of two and half feet wide (see the middle and bottom graphics of Figure 10).

### Grove Street between Plain Street and Liberty Street

The section contains mainly residential land uses (single-family houses and apartments), a senior living community (Grove Manor Estates), a church, and a major business district (Tedeschi Plaza Shopping Center). The top graphic in Figure 11 shows the existing roadway layout near the shopping center. It contains a 12.5-foot-wide travel lane and a six-foot shoulder in each direction. Sidewalks (five to six feet wide) exist only on the southbound side and utility poles exist mainly on the northbound side.

The middle and bottom graphics of Figure 11 show two potential reconfiguration alternatives for this roadway section: (1) to reduce the travel lane to an 11.5-foot lane and install a street-level bike lane (five feet wide) with a traffic buffer (three and half feet wide) in each direction, and to install five-foot grass buffers (to accommodate the existing utility poles) and six-foot sidewalks on the northbound side; and (2) to reduce the travel lane to an 11.5-foot lane and install a sidewalk-level bike lane (five feet wide) with a sidewalk buffer (two to five feet wide) and a roadway shoulder (two feet wide) in each direction, and to install six-foot sidewalks with five-foot buffers on the northbound side.

### Grove Street between Liberty Street and Columbian Street

The section contains mostly residential land uses (single-family houses) and open spaces located near Columbian Street. The travel lanes in this section are wider than the previous two roadway sections, with a width of about 12.5 to 14 feet. The top graphic in Figure 12 shows that it also contains shoulders of variable widths of about five to eight feet. Sidewalks (five to six feet wide) exist only on the northbound side (from Birch Street to Liberty Street) and in a short southbound section (from O'Toole Terrace to Birch Street) and utility poles exist mainly on the southbound side.

Two potential reconfiguration alternatives are proposed in this roadway section: (1) to reduce the travel lane to an 11.5-foot lane and install a street-level bike lane with a traffic buffer in each direction, and to install grass buffers and six-foot sidewalks on the southbound side; and (2) to reduce the travel lane to an 11.5-foot lane and install a sidewalk-level bike lane with a sidewalk buffer and a roadway shoulder in each direction, and to install six-foot sidewalks on the southbound side. The proposed reconfiguration layouts are similar to the previous section, except that the additional sidewalks and the grass buffers for utility pole accommodations are located on the southbound side.

### Columbian Street between Grove Street and Weymouth Town Line

This section currently contains only commercial and business land uses. Although the roadway between Grove Street and the development on 60 Columbian Street contains four travel lanes, it is a two-lane roadway extending beyond the Weymouth town line. As shown in Figure 13, the existing layout contains a 12- to 14-foot travel lane and a three- to four-foot shoulder in each direction. No sidewalks exist and utility poles exist mainly on the southbound side.

Two potential reconfiguration alternatives are proposed in this roadway section: (1) to reduce the travel lane to an 11.5-foot lane, install a five-foot street-level bike lane with a traffic buffer and six-foot sidewalks in both directions; and (2) to reduce the travel lane to an 11.5-foot lane and install a five-foot sidewalk-level bike lane with a sidewalk buffer and a roadway shoulder and six-foot sidewalks in both directions. The grass buffers are wider on the southbound side, to accommodate the existing utility poles.

In summary, staff developed two potential reconfiguration alternatives for the corridor based on the analyses of existing roadway layouts and adjacent land uses in different sections. Either of them would significantly improve the safety and accommodation for people who walk and bike, through provisions of sufficient and comfortable sidewalks and separated bike lanes (Alternative 1 at street level and Alternative 2 at sidewalk level), while maintaining efficient traffic flow in the corridor. They have a similar overall layout that provides a framework for developing improvement strategies in different sections and at critical locations of the corridor.

# Chapter 5–Proposed Improvements

Based on the analyses in the previous chapters, Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) staff developed a series of short- and long-term improvements to address safety and operational problems in the corridor. The proposed short-term improvements could be implemented within three years at a relatively low cost. The long-term improvements are more complicated and cover larger areas, thus requiring intensive planning and design, and significant funding.

This chapter contains six sections. The first section outlines the corridor improvement objectives and design strategies based on the identified issues and concerns for the corridor. The next four sections review the existing roadway conditions, discuss issues and concerns, and propose short- and long-term improvements for four consecutive but distinct roadway sections in the corridor. The last section in this chapter provides an overview of the proposed long-term improvements under the projected 2030 traffic conditions.

### 5.1 CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENT OBJECTIVES AND DESIGN STRATEGIES

Based on the identified key issues and concerns and discussions with the advisory members, MPO staff developed the following objectives to improve the safety, mobility, and access for all users of the corridor:

- improve safety for all users of the corridor
- maintain safe travel speeds in the corridor
- improve and provide safe and comfortable accommodations for people who walk and bike
- provide safe and convenient access to adjacent businesses and residences
- enhance access management to reduce traffic conflicts
- minimize delays and increase safety at intersections while maintaining efficient traffic flow in the corridor

To achieve the objectives, staff applied the following design strategies to the proposed improvement alternatives:

- reduce travel lane width to 11.5 feet wide
- reduce intersection layout and turning radii

- add sidewalks where absent and expand existing sidewalks to at least six feet wide where applicable
- improve safety and operations at existing crosswalks and add crosswalks where needed
- provide separated bike lanes wherever applicable
- provide sufficient buffer from traffic for people who walk and bike
- modify intersections and access to and from developments to improve safety and mobility for all users

### 5.2 PLAIN STREET BETWEEN HANCOCK STREET AND POND STREET

This section discusses Plain Street between Hancock Street and Grove Street, including the intersection of Plain Street at Grove Street. The adjacent land uses include mostly residential and some commercial areas, with the roadway mostly abutted by single-family houses (Figure 10). The section contains two intersections in close proximity, one signalized at John Mahar Highway and one unsignalized at Grove Street.

### 5.2.1 Issues and Concerns

In summary, these are the major issues and concerns identified for this roadway section:

- The roadway section between John Mahar Highway and Grove Street is frequently congested during peak hours, as it is short and curved with limited storage space. Several crashes occurred in this section in recent years.
- A noticeable number of crashes occurred at the intersection of Plain Street and the Registry of Motor Vehicles (RMV) driveway. The driveway is wide, and the stop sign at the approach is small and not very visible.
- No dedicated bike lanes exist for people to bike in the section. Although shoulders of four to six feet wide exist on Plain Street west of John Mahar Highway, they are occasionally occupied by parked vehicles.
- The roadway's adjacent areas are almost built up, with little room for multimodal improvements.

### 5.2.2 Proposed Short-Term Improvements

In the short term, this study proposes the following improvements for consideration:

- Increase the stop sign size to 36 inch by 36 inch on Plain Street westbound at Grove Street.
- Examine the feasibility of adding backplates and retroreflective borders to the existing signal displays at the intersection of Plain Street and John Mahar Highway.
- Increase the stop sign size to 36 inch by 36 inch and double up the signs at the RMV driveway.
- Examine the feasibility of restriping Plain Street west of John Mahar Highway to include street-level separated bike lanes by reducing the existing travel lanes to 11.5 feet wide (Figure 10).

### 5.2.3 Proposed Long-Term Improvements

In the long term, this study proposes the following improvements for the section in general and at the intersections of Plain Street at John Mahar Highway and at Grove Street. Figure 14 shows the conceptual plan of the proposed improvements.<sup>17</sup>

### The section in general

- Maintain the existing roadway layout on Plain Street west of John Mahar Highway.
- Widen Plain Street from John Mahar Highway to Grove Street under the available right-of-way.
- Reduce the travel lanes to 11.5 feet wide.
- Add street-level separated bike lanes or raised bike lanes with traffic buffers.<sup>18</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> This is a preliminary planning study that does not incorporate detailed design of the proposed improvements. Figures 14 to 18, the proposed improvements conceptual plans, exhibit the layout and approximate dimensions of key elements in the proposed reconfiguration Alternative 1 that contains street-level separated bike lanes for the different roadway sections in the corridor (Section 4.7). They can also be used to gauge the proposed reconfiguration Alternative 2 that contains sidewalk-level or raised bike lanes, as both alternatives have a similar layout except that Alternative 2 would require slightly more space for sidewalk buffers in a few roadway sections in the corridor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Based on a quick review of the existing right-of-way from the MassGIS level-3 Parcel data, the separated bike lanes are considered feasible only on the southbound side of the roadway between John Mahar Highway and Grove Street. At the design stage, they should be further considered to be installed on both sides of the roadway, with more precise land surveys and other opportunities such as minor land takings. Meanwhile, a bike box can be considered on

- Improve the existing sidewalks and expand them to at least six feet wide wherever applicable.
- Further study the feasibility of installing separated bike lanes on John Mahar Highway and Ivory Street between this corridor and Braintree Station.<sup>19</sup>

### Plain Street at John Mahar Highway

- Reduce turning radii and shorten crossing distances.
- Slightly widen the south side to accommodate a bike lane continuing through the intersection.
- Extend westbound left-turn storage length to 175 feet.
- Upgrade traffic signal system and signal displays with backplates and retroreflective borders.
- Retime traffic signal as needed in the future.<sup>20</sup>

### Plain Street at Grove Street

- Maintain stop-control operation.
- Widen the Plain Street eastbound to add a short left-turn lane (with 50-foot storage) and to install a bike lane (five-foot minimal).
- Reduce turning radii and shorten crossing distances on the Plain Street westbound approach.
- Extend westbound left-turn storage length to 175 feet.
- Upgrade traffic signal system and signal displays with backplates and retroreflective borders.
- Retime traffic signal as needed in the future.<sup>21</sup>

the southbound approach for left turns from Plain Street to John Mahar Highway, if the left-turn demand justifies the installation.

- <sup>19</sup> This study corridor, John Mahar Highway, and Ivory Street, form a major route for South Shore commuters and residents to reach Braintree Station; safe and convenient accommodation for people who bike along this route is highly desirable. The further study could examine the potential of converting the existing four-lane roadway to a two- to threelane roadway with separated bike lanes on both sides.
- <sup>20</sup> This intersection is being coordinated with the traffic signal at the rail crossing on John Mahar Highway in the north where drivers experience extensive delays during the train crossings. Further north on John Mahar Highway at Pearl Street, drivers also experience extensive delays, especially making the northbound left turns to Pearl Street. Currently, this intersection operates at acceptable level of service (LOS) under both the observed and estimated traffic conditions. In the future, this and the intersections of John Mahar Highway at the rail crossing and at Peal Street should be studied together for signal timing and coordination improvements.

### 5.3 GROVE STREET BETWEEN PLAIN STREET AND LIBERTY STREET

This section discusses Grove Street between Plain Street and Liberty Street, including the intersection of Grove Street at Liberty Street. It has wider travel lanes than the previous section and lacks sidewalks on the northbound side (Figure 11). It contains mainly residential zones, a church (Heritage United Methodist Church), and a major business district. The district, including Tedeschi Plaza Shopping Center, the intersection of Grove Street at Liberty Street, the businesses adjacent to the intersection, is the busiest section in the corridor that carries high traffic volume and considerable pedestrian activities. Meanwhile, survey respondents commented that they would walk to the shopping area if safer and more convenient accesses are provided.

### 5.3.1 Issues and Concerns

In summary, these are major issues and concerns regarding this roadway section:

- No sidewalks exist on the northbound side, except a short section from the northern edge of the shopping center to Hannah Niles Way. Sidewalks in the section are fragmental due to wide driveways with large turning radii.
- Two crosswalks are located closely (within 175 feet) in the section between Hannah Niles Way and the church. They have insufficient warning signage to alert drivers.<sup>22</sup>
- No dedicated bike lanes exist for people to bike. Although the section between Sunnyside Lane and Hannah Niles Way has shoulders of approximately five feet or slightly wider in both directions, vehicles usually travel at fast speeds of more than 40 mph.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> This intersection is being coordinated with the traffic signal at the rail crossing on John Mahar Highway in the north where drivers experience extensive delays during the train crossings. Further north on John Mahar Highway at Pearl Street, drivers also experience extensive delays, especially making the northbound left turns to Pearl Street. Currently, this intersection operates at acceptable LOS under both the observed and estimated traffic conditions. In the future, this and the intersections of John Mahar Highway at the rail crossing and at Peal Street should be studied together for signal timing and coordination improvements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> As the roadway is relatively wide, the crosswalks would be more conspicuous to drivers if the pedestrian crossing warning signs are installed on both sides in both directions. Currently they exist only on one side in each direction and the warning sign at the crosswalk near the church is absent in the southbound direction. Meanwhile, the warning sign on the northbound side at the crosswalk near Hannah Niles Way is obscured by a utility pole and overgrown vegetation.

- The intersection of Grove Street and Liberty Street is usually congested during the AM and PM peak hours, as it carries heavy regional and local traffic and lacks essential dedicated turning lanes and signal phases on all approaches.
- The intersection has a high crash rate and a high proportion of left-turn crashes.
- The Grove Street section along Tedeschi Plaza Shopping Center is also congested during the peak hours. The section had a number of crashes in recent years, with a noticeable cluster at the intersection of Grove Street at Hemlock Street and the shopping center's middle driveway.
- There are three driveways connecting the shopping center to Grove Street. Given the busy traffic on Grove Street and random pedestrian crossings, they should be under stop-control but only the middle driveway has a stop sign in place.

### 5.3.2 Proposed Short-Term Improvements

In the short term, this study proposes the following improvements for this roadway section:

- Consider consolidating the two closely located crosswalks into one and installing Rectangular Repaid Flashing Beacons (RRFB) to enhance the standard pedestrian crossing warning signs and location plaques on both sides in both directions of the roadway (Figure 15).<sup>23, 24, 25</sup>
- Install a Yield Here To Pedestrians regulatory sign (MUTCD R1-5 or R1-5a) along with a yield line (shark's teeth) pavement marking at about 30 feet from the crosswalk on the northbound and southbound approaches.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Currently the two crosswalks have a low use rate of about one crossing per hour during the day time. The north side of the crosswalk near Hannah Niles Way has a limited landing area with overgrown vegetation. The crosswalk near the church is located away from the main walkway leading to the church. Meanwhile, people may cross the roadway at locations other than the two crosswalks. Combining them into one crosswalk located near the church's main walkway with the RRFB's reinforcement of drivers' attention to pedestrian crossing activities would encourage people to use the crosswalk and significantly improve their safety.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> According to Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) Interim Approval for Optional Use of Pedestrian-Actuated Rectangular Rapid-flashing Beacons at Uncontrolled Marked Crosswalks (IA-21, dated March 21, 2018), an RRFB shall only be installed to supplement a post-mounted pedestrian crossing warning sign (MUTCD W11-2) with a diagonal downward arrow plaque (MUTCD W16-7P) located at or immediately adjacent to an uncontrolled marked crosswalk.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> The installation of RRFB at this location complies with the guidelines of FHWA Technical Report: *Guide for Improving Pedestrian Safety at Uncontrolled Crossing Locations* (FHWA-SA-17-072, updated July 2018).

- Clear overgrown vegetation in the area, especially on the northbound side.
- Retime the traffic signal at the intersection of Grove Street and Liberty Street under the existing phasing sequence, with the pedestrian signal being increased from 22 seconds to 27 seconds.<sup>26, 27</sup>
- Examine potential in adding backplates and retroreflective orders on the existing signal displays.
- Install stop signs at the north and south driveways of the shopping center to require drivers to stop and observe traffic and pedestrians before entering Grove Street.

### 5.3.3 Proposed Long-Term Improvements

In the long term, this study proposes the following improvements for the section in general, the intersection of Grove Street at Liberty Street, and the section in the vicinity of Tedeschi Plaza Shopping Center. Figures 15 and 16 show the conceptual plans of the proposed improvements.

### The section in general

- Reduce the travel lanes to 11.5 feet wide.
- Install street- or sidewalk-level separated bike lanes with traffic buffers on both sides of the roadway.
- Install six-foot sidewalks on the northbound side.
- Improve the existing sidewalks on the southbound side and expand them to six feet wide wherever applicable.
- Install five-foot grass buffers on the northbound side between the proposed bike lanes and sidewalks to accommodate the existing utility poles.
- Reduce driveway widths and tuning radii wherever applicable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> The existing signal operation includes an exclusion pedestrian signal phase of 22 seconds. Two crosswalks at the intersection have a crossing distance of nearly 70 feet. The increase to 27 seconds would provide more sufficient time for people to complete their crossings at the two crosswalks (based on estimation by using MUTCD's guideline of 3.5 feet per second walking speed).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> The retiming would enhance pedestrian safety and improve the intersection traffic operations especially in the AM peak hour. Appendix M presents the AM and PM peak hour capacity analyses with the retiming plans under the estimated 2021 normal traffic conditions.

• Change speed limit from 40 miles per hour (mph) to 35 mph in the section between Grove Circle and Hannah Niles Way after the roadway is reconfigured with the above improvements.

### Grove Street at Liberty Street

- Reconstruct the intersection under the existing right-of-way.<sup>28</sup>
- Add necessary turning lanes and rearrange the following travel lanes<sup>29</sup>
  - Eastbound (Grove Street): convert the existing two lanes into three that include a left-turn lane of at least 75 feet long, a through lane, and a through and right-turn shared lane.
  - Westbound (Grove Street): convert the existing two lanes into three that include a left-turn lane of about 200 feet long, a through lane, and a right-turn lane of about 50 feet long.
  - Northbound (Liberty Street): convert the existing two lanes into three that include a left-turn lane of at least 175 feet long, a through lane, and a right-turn lane of about 75 feet long.
  - Southbound (Liberty Street): convert the existing two lanes into a leftturn lane (about 150-feet long) and a through and right-turn shared lane.
- Provide bike lanes continuing through the intersection on Grove Street.<sup>30</sup>
- Upgrade traffic signal system and signal displays with backplates and retroreflective borders.

### Grove Street in the vicinity of Tedeschi Plaza Shopping Center

Proposed Improvements at the middle driveway (and Hemlock Street):<sup>31</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> With the reductions of travel lanes to 11 to 11.5 feet wide and turning radii, the proposed intersection layout is feasible under the existing right-of-way without increasing the pedestrian crossing distance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> The proposed layout was selected from a number of reconfiguration alternatives tested with projected 2030 AM and PM peak-hour traffic conditions. Appendix M contains detailed intersection capacity analyses for this proposed alternative, including the estimated average traffic queue on all the travel lanes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> At the design stage, the bike lane on Grove Street southbound should be further refined so as to reduce conflicts between people biking continuing straight and southbound right-turn traffic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> The proposed improvements at the three driveways should be regarded as a complete set for the entire section set, which should be designed and implemented together. Particularly, those proposed for the north and south driveways could be implemented only after the improvements at the middle driveway are completed.

- Install traffic signal and coordinate it with the new signal at Liberty Street.<sup>32</sup>
- Install crosswalks on all approaches with pedestrian signals and an exclusive signal phase.
- Add a left-turn exclusive lane on the Grove Street southbound approach.
- Proposed Improvements at the south driveway:
  - Reduce driveway width and turning radii.
  - Install stop signs on both sides at suitable locations (not to obstruct the drivers' view of Grove Street traffic and pedestrian activities).
  - Prohibit left turns from the driveway during the AM and PM peak hours.
- Proposed Improvements at the north driveway:
  - Reduce driveway width and turning radii.
  - $_{\odot}\,$  Install a stop sign on the right side at a suitable location.
  - Prohibit left turns from the driveway.

# 5.4 GROVE STREET BETWEEN LIBERTY STREET AND COLUMBIAN STREET

This section discusses Grove Street between Liberty Street and Columbian Street. It contains mostly residential areas, with some open spaces located near Columbian Street (Figure 12). The travel lanes in this section are wide and with wide shoulders. Sidewalks exist only on the northbound side and utility poles exist mainly on the southbound side.

### 5.4.1 Issues and Concerns

In summary, these are major issues and concerns regarding this roadway section:

• No sidewalks exist on the southbound side, except a short section between O'Toole Terrace and Birth Street.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Staff conducted a quick review of the intersection's traffic signal needs based on the available automatic traffic recorder and turning movement counts data collected on April 8, 2021, with no adjustments. The analysis indicated that a traffic signal could be considered at this location, as the counts satisfied the MUTCD Traffic Control Signal Warrant 1 (eight-hour vehicular volumes) and Warrant 2 (four-hour vehicular volumes).

- A crosswalk exists on Grove Street just north of O'Toole Terrace. It situates at a slightly downhill location where vehicles usually travel at high speeds.<sup>33</sup>
- No dedicated bike lanes exist for people to bike. Although some sections have shoulders of about five feet or slightly wider, vehicles usually travel at fast speeds of more than 40 mph throughout the section (under 40-mph speed regulation).
- Crashes occurred at various locations throughout the section, with a noticeable number of them identified as multiple-vehicle, rear-end, and out-of-control single-vehicle collisions.
- Birch Street is a local road that contains mainly residential areas. According to the area's residents, making left turns to and from Birch Street usually encounters excessive delays during peak hours.<sup>34</sup>

### 5.4.2 Proposed Short-Term Improvements

In the short term, this study proposes the following improvements for consideration:

- Restripe the travel lanes to 11.5 to 12 feet wide with shoulders of approximately five feet on both sides of the roadway.
- Install a Yield Here To Pedestrians regulatory sign (MUTCD R1-5 or R1-5a) along with a yield line (shark's teeth) pavement marking at about 30 feet from the crosswalk on the southbound approach and at about 50 feet from the crosswalk on the northbound approach.

### 5.4.3 Proposed Long-Term Improvements

In the long term, this study proposes the following improvements for the section in general, at the uncontrolled crossing location, and at the intersection at Birch Street (Figure 17):

• Reduce the travel lanes to 11.5 feet wide.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> The crosswalk was installed a few years ago. It is well equipped with the pedestrian crossing warning sign (MUTCD W11-2) and a diagonal downward arrow plaque (MUTCD W16-7P) on both sides in both directions of the roadway. Due to the high vehicle travel speeds of more than 40 mph, a RRFB is not considered suitable unless the roadway is redesigned with a 35 mph speed limit or lower.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Birch Street situates diagonally between Grove Street and Liberty Street. It is possible that a major portion of left turns from Grove Street to Birch Street (and right turns from Birch Street to Grove Street) is cut-through traffic intending to avoid the congested intersection of Grove Street and Liberty Street during peak hours.

- Install street- or sidewalk-level separated bike lanes with traffic buffers on both sides of the roadway.
- Install six-foot sidewalks on the southbound side.
- Improve the existing sidewalks on the northbound side and expand them to six feet wide wherever applicable.
- Install five-foot grass buffers on the southbound side between the proposed bike lanes and sidewalks to accommodate the existing utility poles.
- Reduce driveway widths and tuning radii wherever applicable.
- Change the speed limit from 40 mph to 35 mph for the entire section after the roadway is reconfigured with the above improvements.
- Install RRFB to supplement the pedestrian warning crossing signs at the uncontrolled crosswalk on Grove Street (north of O'Toole Terrace).<sup>35</sup>
- Continue monitoring traffic conditions at the intersection of Grove Street and Birch Street and further study the needs for improvement measures.<sup>36</sup>

## 5.5 COLUMBIAN STREET BETWEEN GROVE STREET AND WEYMOUTH TOWN LINE

This section discusses Columbian Street between Grove Street and Weymouth town line, including the intersection of Grove Street and Columbian Street. It is a two-lane roadway, except a four-lane section between Grove Street and the driveway of the business park at 60 Columbian Street. The two intersections are signalized and under coordination. The adjacent areas of the roadway are all

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> According to the guidelines of the FHWA technical report (FHWA-SA-17-072), RRFB is not suitable for roadways with a posted speed limit of 40 mph or higher. A Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon (PHB) can be considered for such roadways if it meets the installation guidelines—based on speed, pedestrian volume, vehicular volume, and crossing length—as provided in Section 4F.01 of the MUTCD. At this location, the installation of PHB does not meet the minimum requirement of 20 pedestrian crossings per hour. Therefore, staff recommend adding RRFB at this location as a long-term improvement measure after the roadway's posted speed limit is changed to 35 mph.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Based on the counts collected for this intersection on April 8 and 10, 2021, there were approximately 10 to 15 left turns per hour (approximately 20 to 30 in the PM or Saturday peak hour) to and from Birch Street. These volumes do not support the installation of a separated left turn either on Grove Street or on Birch Street. Meanwhile, pedestrian crossing improvement measures such as PHB and RRFB do not meet the installation guidelines by MUTCD and FHWA Technical Report (FHWA-SA-17-072). As such, staff proposed no improvements at the moment but recommend monitoring traffic condition, especially when traffic returns to normal following the pandemic.

zoned as business districts. No sidewalks exist on either side and utility poles exist mainly on the southbound side (Figure 13).

### 5.5.1 Issues and Concerns

In summary, these are major issues and concerns regarding this roadway section:

- No accommodations for people who walk.
- No dedicated bike lanes exist for people to bike, and the shoulders are generally narrow (about three feet or less).
- The intersection at Columbian Street has a large layout with wide turning radii.
- Traffic congestion at the intersection mainly occurs on the southbound approach of Grove Street. The approach does not have a dedicated leftturn lane and left turns are operated under a permissive-protected phase (lagging protective). During peak hours (especially in the PM), left-turn vehicles usually queue up and impede through traffic movements. Meanwhile, vehicles turning left there have to cross two northbound travel lanes under heavy traffic conditions.
- Nearly 30 crashes occurred at the intersection in recent five years. Almost half of them were angle crashes involving a southbound left-turn vehicle colliding with a northbound through vehicle.
- The large open area south of the intersection is occupied by wetlands and should remain intact.

### 5.5.2 Proposed Short-Term Improvements

In the short term, this study proposes the following improvements for consideration:

• At the intersection of Grove Street and Columbian Street, change the southbound left-turn operation from permissive-protective (lagging protective) to protected-permissive (leading protective) mode and retime the traffic signal under the existing cycle length.<sup>37</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> The retiming with the alteration of southbound left-turn operation would potentially reduce the crashes at the intersection (especially the southbound left-turn and rear-end collisions), while maintaining the same LOS and the coordination with the signal at the business park. Appendix L presents the AM and PM peak hour capacity analyses with the retiming plans under the estimated 2021 normal traffic conditions.

• Examine the potential of adding backplates and retroreflective orders on the existing signal displays.

### 5.5.3 Proposed Long-Term Improvements

In the long term, this study proposes the following improvements for the section in general, the intersection of Grove Street at Columbian Street, and the intersection of Columbian Street at the driveway of 60 Columbian Street. Figure 10 shows the conceptual plan of the proposed improvements.

### The section in general

- Reduce the travel lanes to 11.5 feet wide.
- Install street- or sidewalk-level separated bike lanes with traffic buffers on both sides of the roadway.
- Install six-foot sidewalks on both sides of the roadway.
- Install five-foot grass buffers on the southbound side between the proposed bike lanes and sidewalks to accommodate the existing utility poles.
- Install two- to three-foot grass buffers on the northbound side between the proposed bike lanes and sidewalks.
- Reduce driveway widths and tuning radii wherever applicable.
- Install eight- to 10-foot multiuse path on the southbound side from Grove Street to the driveway at 60 Columbian Street for people walking and biking.
- Change speed limit from 40 mph to 35 mph after the roadway is reconfigured with the above improvements.

### Grove Street at Columbian Street

- Reduce the northbound through lanes from two to one.
- Reduce intersection layout and turning radii.
- Install crosswalks with pedestrian signals.
- Provide a multiuse path (shared by people who walk and people who bike) through the intersection.
- Upgrade traffic signal system and signal displays with backplates and retroreflective borders.

### Columbian Street at the Driveway of 60 Columbian Street

• Change the two northbound travel lanes to one through lane and one exclusive left-turn lane.

- Install crosswalks with pedestrian signals.
- Upgrade traffic signal system and signal displays with backplates and retroreflective borders.
- Maintain signal coordination with the intersection of Grove Street and Columbian Street.

## 5.6 OVERVIEW OF PROPOSED LONG-TERM IMPROVEMENTS UNDER PROJECTED 2030 TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

To further examine the effect of the proposed long-term improvements at the various locations described above, staff constructed traffic models for projecting traffic conditions in the study corridor to the horizon year 2030. Staff projected the 2030 traffic volumes by using growth factors estimated from the Boston Region MPO's regional transportation planning model. The models project that traffic in the study area would increase by three percent (about 0.3 percent annually) in the AM peak period and two percent (about 0.25 percent annually) in the PM peak period from 2021 to 2030.

Figure 19 summarizes the weekday AM and PM peak hour intersection capacity analyses for major intersections in the corridor under the projected 2030 traffic conditions. With the proposed long-term improvements, all the intersections would operate at an acceptable level of service (LOS D or better) during the weekday AM and PM peak hours.

Synchro capacity analysis reports for major intersections in the study corridor are included in Appendix N. These reports present the results of the analysis of the 2030 weekday AM and PM peak-hour traffic conditions, under the assumption that the proposed improvements are implemented.

The analysis indicates that the proposed long-term improvements would improve traffic operations and the accommodation and safety for people who walk at critical locations of the corridor, especially at the intersections of Grove Street at Liberty Street and at Columbian Street. Meanwhile, as analyzed in the previous sections of this chapter, they would significantly enhance the mobility and safety of all users in the corridor.

# Chapter 6—Summary and Recommendations

This study provides a vision for the long-term development of the Grove Street corridor in Braintree and presents a series of improvements that would support the corridor to operate safely and efficiently for all people who walk, bike, and drive, or ride with others in the corridor. The recommendations included are based on a series of analyses that were performed to identify safety and operational problems in the corridor and to develop short- and long-term improvement alternatives.

The recommended short-term improvements could enhance safety for all users and improve traffic operations in the study area. With a high benefit-to-cost ratio, these short-term improvements should be considered and implemented as soon as resources are available. Among the improvements proposed at various locations in the corridor, two projects were recommended for consideration in the short term:

- Combine the two closely located crosswalks on Grove Street in the vicinity of Heritage United Methodist Church and install Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons and a series of pedestrian crossing warning signs and pavement markings to enhance the conspicuity of crossing activities and improve safety and access for people who walk.
- Review and retime the traffic signals at the intersections of Grove Street at Liberty Street and at Columbian Street, including increasing the pedestrian signal time for people to cross the intersection at Liberty Street.

To significantly improve the safety, mobility, and access for all users of the roadway would require a series of long-term improvements. The following major improvements are proposed for the corridors:

- Reduce travel lane width to 11.5 feet wide.
- Install street- or sidewalk-level separated bike lanes with traffic buffers on both sides of the roadway.
- Install six-foot sidewalks wherever absent and improve the existing sidewalks and expand them to six feet wide wherever applicable.
- Install five-foot grass buffers between the proposed bike lanes and sidewalks to accommodate the existing utility poles.
- Reduce driveway widths and tuning radii wherever applicable.
- Change the speed limit from 40 to 35 miles per hour (mph) in the corridor, except the curved and busy section between John Mahar Highway and

Grove Circle (30 mph posted speed limit), after the implementation of the above improvements.

• Reconstruct the intersections of Grove Street at Liberty Street and at Columbian Street, with an upgraded traffic signal system.

The proposed long-term improvements have a number of expected benefits:

- Improve accommodations and safety for people who walk, bike, and use a mobility device.
- Improve mobility and safety for people to access adjacent businesses and residences.
- Sustain appropriate travel speeds and increase safety for all users in the corridor.
- Maintain efficient traffic operations in the corridor.
- Support and enhance economic activities.
- Enhance livability for neighborhoods and the subregion.

Implementing the recommended long-term improvements in this corridor of approximately two miles long would require sufficient resources. Four implementation stages can be considered for the entire corridor, as follows:

- 1. Grove Street between Hannah Niles Way and Liberty Street, including the intersection at Liberty Street
- 2. Grove Street between Plain Street and Hannah Niles Way, including the intersection at Plain Street
- 3. Grove Street between Liberty Street and Columbian Street
- 4. Columbian Street south of Grove Street, including the intersection at Grove Street

Depending on the available and potential resources, the Town of Braintree could consult with Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) District 6 and reprioritize the implementation stages by rearranging, combining, or dividing the four proposed segments.

Meanwhile, achieving the proposed Complete Streets vision for the corridor via the recommended improvements would require significant effort and collaboration on the part of all stakeholders, including the Town of Braintree, residents, business owners, and MassDOT. All parties must concur on how the recommendations should be realized in a resourceful and fiscally responsible manner.

The next steps toward implementation are for the town to identify priority sections and work with MassDOT District 6 to initiate a project. For municipalities to initiate roadway projects, MassDOT developed an online tool for submission. The Massachusetts Project Intake Tool, also known as MaPIT, is a web-based application designed to help proponents map, create, and initiate projects with available in-house geographic information system (GIS) resources. The tool can be accessed from the GeoPass webpage of Massachusetts GIS for Transportation website, <u>https://massdothpi.esriemcs.com/mapit.</u>

To move a project from the initiation to the development stage, the Town of Braintree must obtain favorable assessment from MassDOT's Project Review Committee, start the project design process, and identify potential funding sources by coordinating with MassDOT and the Boston Region MPO.

MPO staff will continue to support this work by assisting with further project planning and the funding process. In addition, staff will continue monitoring the progress toward implementing this study's recommendations via the MPO's Unified Planning Work Program Study Recommendations Tracking Database.

Appendix O contains details about the various steps in MassDOT's project development process, including a schematic timetable. Information about the project development process may be found on MassDOT's website, at <a href="https://www.mass.gov/service-details/project-development-process">https://www.mass.gov/service-details/project-development-process</a>.



BOSTON	٨	Figure 1
REGION		Study Area Map
MPO	NN NN	Grove Street Corridor in Braintree



BOSTON	Λ	Figure 2 Transit Services in the Area	
MPO		Grove Street Corridor in Braintree	



### Figure 3 Corridor User Survey Questions and Results Grove Street Corridor in Braintree

BOSTON REGION MPO



MPO Grove Street Corridor in Braintree	BOSTON REGION MPO		Figure 4 Average Weekday Traffic Volumes Grove Street Corridor in Braintree
--	-------------------------	--	---

	0	2	8	4	6	)
	7,267	8,343	7,949	9,691	8,631	
	7,049	7,803	7,847	9,177	8,153	
	6,620	7,680	7,310	8,920	7,860	
	6,420	7,180	7,220	8,440	7,430	
DT	7,100	8,300	7,900	9,600	8,500	
/DT	6,900	7,700	7,800	9,100	8,000	
	51%	52%	50%	51%	52%	
	49%	48%	50%	49%	48%	
	14,000	16,000	15,700	18,700	16,500	J
	6	7	8	9	1	)
	6,807	437	4,942	7,331	7,521	
	6,821	605	5,122	7.083	6,853	
	6,200	400	4,500	6,680	6,850	
	6,210	550	4,670	6,450	6,240	
DT_	6,700	400	4,800	7,200	7,400	
<b>VD</b> T	6,700	600	5,000	6,900	6,700	
	50%	40%	49%	51%	52%	
	50%	60%	51%	49%	48%	
	13,400	1,000	9,800	14,100	14,100	J
<ul> <li>Boston): Westbound (WB) or Northbound (NB)</li> <li>rom Boston): Eastbound (EB) or Southbound (SB)</li> <li>plumes: Average weekday traffic counts collected by automatic traffic recorders in the period of April 7 to 13, 2021</li> <li>hual Average Weekday Daily Traffic</li> <li>ed AAWDT: Estimated AAWDT factored by COVID-19 traffic adjustment (7.5% increase) to represent normal traffic conditions</li> </ul>						
St St St St St St St St St St						



BOSTON	Figure 5
REGION	2021 Observed Weekday AM/PM Peak-Hour Intersection Traffic and Pedestrian Volumes
MPO	Grove Street Corridor in Braintree



BOSTON REGION MPO		Figure 6 2021 Estimated Weekday AM/PM Peak-Hour Intersection Traffic and Pedestrian Volumes Grove Street Corridor in Braintree
-------------------------	--	--

## Addressing Safety, Mobility, and Access on

Subregional Priority Roadways



Figure 7 2021 Weekday AM/PM Peak-Hour Intersection Capacity Analyses: Observed Traffic Conditions Grove Street Corridor in Braintree



Figure 8 2021 Weekday AM/PM Peak-Hour Intersection Capacity Analyses: Estimated Traffic Conditions **Grove Street Corridor in Braintree** 



BOSTON REGION MPO	Figure 9 Speed Regulations and Estimated 85th Percentile Speeds Grove Street Corridor in Braintree
	Grove Street Corridor in Braintree



Figure 10 Existing Roadway Cross Section and Potential Reconfigurations: Plain Street between Hancock Street and Grove Street **Grove Street Corridor in Braintree** 





Figure 12 Existing Roadway Cross Section and Potential Reconfigurations: Grove Street between Liberty Street and Columbian Street **Grove Street Corridor in Braintree** 

~

 $\sim$ 



Figure 13 Existing Roadway Cross Section and Potential Reconfigurations: Columbian Street between Grove Street and Weymouth Town Line **Grove Street Corridor in Braintree** 



'n





Figure 15 Proposed Improvements Conceptual Plan: Grove Street between Plain Street and Liberty Street (Section 1) **Grove Street Corridor in Braintree** 





Figure 16 Proposed Improvements Conceptual Plan: Grove Street between Plain Street and Liberty Street (Section 2) **Grove Street corridor in Braintree**


BOSTON REGION MPO



Figure 17 Proposed Improvements Conceptual Plan: Grove Street between Liberty Street and Columbian Street **Grove Street Corridor in Braintree** 

Addressing Safety, Mobility, and Access on Subregional Priority Roadways



BOSTON REGION MPO



Figure 18 Proposed Improvements Conceptual Plan: Columbian Street between Grove Street and Weymouth Town Line **Grove Street Corridor in Braintree** 

Addressing Safety, Mobility, and Access on Subregional Priority Roadways





Figure 19 2030 Weekday AM/PM Peak-Hour Intersection Capacity Analyses: Projected Traffic Conditions with Proposed Improvements **Grove Street Corridor in Braintree** 

Addressing Safety, Mobility, and Access on Subregional Priority Roadways

### Appendices

- A. Study Advisory Members
- B. Summary of Corridor User Survey Results and Comments
- C. Additional Comments on Corridor Issues and Concerns
- D. Corridor Crash Data (2015–19) Summary
- E. Corridor Crash Rate Worksheets
- F. Intersection Crash Rate Worksheets
- G. Collision Diagrams and Crash Look-Up Tables
- H. Automatic Traffic Recorder Counts, April 7–13, 2021
- I. Turning Movement Counts, April 8 and 10, 2021
- J. Turning Movement Counts, Grove Street at Liberty Street, March 21, 2019
- K. Intersection Capacity Analyses: Weekday AM/PM Peak Hour, 2021 Observed Traffic Condition
- L. Intersection Capacity Analyses: Weekday AM/PM Peak Hour, 2021 Estimated Traffic Condition
- M. Intersection Capacity Analyses: Weekday AM/PM Peak Hour, Signal Retiming Scenarios under 2021 Estimated Traffic Conditions
- N. Intersection Capacity Analyses: Weekday AM/PM Peak Hour, 2030 Projected Traffic conditions with Proposed Improvements
- O. MassDOT Project Development Process

### APPENDIX A Study Advisory Members

## **Study Advisory Members**

FFY 2021 Subregional Priority Roadway Study: Grove Street Corridor in Braintree

Name	Affiliation	Email
James Arsenault	Braintree Public Works	jarsenault@braintreema.gov
Ben Hulke	Braintree Public Works	bhulke@braintreema.gov
John Thompson	Braintree Public Works	jthompson@braintreema.gov
Chris Trudel	Braintree Public Works	ctrudel@braintreema.gov
Melissa M. SantucciRozzi	Braintree Planning and Community Development	msantucci@braintreema.gov
Mark W. Dubois	Braintree Police Department	mdubois@braintreema.gov
Tim Cohoon	Braintree Police Department	tcohoon@braintreema.gov
James O'Brien	Braintree Fire Department	jfobrien@braintreema.gov
Nicole Taub	Braintree Mayor's Office	ntaub@braintreema.gov
Stephen Leary	Braintree Mayor's Office	sleary@braintreema.gov
Raj Kulen	MassDOT District 6	raj.kulen@state.ma.us
Amitai Lipton	MassDOT District 6	amitai.lipton@state.ma.us
Hameed Pervez	MassDOT District 6	hameed.pervez@state.ma.us
Erin Kinahan	MassDOT District 6	erin.kinahan@state.ma.us
Benjamin Muller	MassDOT District 6	benjamin.muller@state.ma.us
Makaela Niles	MassDOT Office of Transportation Planning	makaela.niles@state.ma.us
Mark Abbott	Boston Region MPO	mabbott@ctps.org
Chen-Yuan Wang	Boston Region MPO	cwang@ctps.org

### APPENDIX B

Summary of Corridor User Survey Results and Comments

#### Summary of Grove Street Corridor Survey Results by Question and Answer

A\Q	1. How do you typically use the corridor? (Check all that apply)	155 Total F	Responses
1	Driving	154	99.4%
2	Walking	41	26.5%
3	Biking	19	12.3%
4	Use a mobility device (a wheelchair, for example)	2	1.3%
5	Other (please specify)	3	1.9%
	I live off of Grove Street. It's horrible and very dangerous.		
	I woud walk or bike, but that is not possible as it is.		
	I live on Grove St.		

#### A\Q 2. Please indicate the purpose of your usual trips in the corridor. (Check all that

apply)	155 Total Responses	
1 Work	45	29.0%
2 Shopping (including trips for pharmacy, banking, and other services)	146	94.2%
3 Dining	59	38.1%
4 Social / recreation	78	50.3%
5 School / daycare	19	12.3%
6 Walking, jogging, or other health improvement activities	46	29.7%
7 Other (please specify)	26	16.8%

Cut through travel

Live of of Grove Street

Veterinarian

I live near Grove and Liberty - so I access this corridor for everything I do.

Visit residents at Grove Manor & Alliance Nursing Home

On way to Dr. appointment/ hospital

Home

Medical appointments

Medical appointments in Weymouth

Healthcare

We love off grove street

doctor appointments

Live on grove st

Home Reside nearby Meeting friends I live in a neighborhood off of Grove Street Walking to the subway Vet visits for pets we would walk there but can't Home live on north portion of grove street travel through to destination in neighboring town I live on Grove St. visit family that live on Grove St DMV

#### A\Q 3. Please indicate the destination of your usual trips in the corridor. (Check all that apply)

that apply)	155 Total Responses	
1 The Tedeschi Plaza Shopping Area (see the corridor map)	127	81.9%
2 Grove Street north of the shopping area	75	48.4%
3 Grove Street/Columbian Street south of the shopping area	87	56.1%
4 North of Grove Street	62	40.0%
5 South of Columbian Street	62	40.0%
6 Other (please specify)	21	13.6%
using corridor to travel between Weymouth and Holbrook		

Access Liberty Street

East of the corridor to shop in Weymouth

Liberty School

South Weymouth - Rte 18 etc.

Monatiquot River

Driving twice daily from Hancock Street to Liberty to pick up my daughter at the Liberty School

On the way to Colombian Square and Rockland

Home, school

A friends home and as a cut through to my mothers home off of peach street.

South Braintree Square

Hannah Niles way
Derby Street and WholeFoods
Braintree Highlands
To go to Weymouth and Abington
To get home
MBTA
South Weymouth Whole Foods plaza
Intersection of Grove and Liberty to go to my home off Liberty.
visit family that live on Grove St
getting lost

## A\Q 4. While driving in the corridor, what problems do you encounter? (Check all that apply)

1 Long wait at intersections with signals	65	42.8%
2 High volume of traffic (congestion)	105	69.1%
3 Safety concerns, such as crashes and aggressive drivers	74	48.7%
4 Difficulty turning into and out of side streets	79	52.0%
5 Difficulty turning into and out of stores and restaurants	87	57.2%
6 Poor sight distance	29	19.1%
7 Poor street lighting	23	15.1%
8 Other (please specify)	26	17.1%

152 Total Responses

Speeding

People driving too fast

long wait times (or no opportunity) to get out of side streets and parkinglots in on tho the main road.

Horrible sidewalk conditions for wheelchair

No sidewalk - causes -pedestrians to walk on side of street

Bad pedestrian safety sidewalks etc. People in roads

I have had many experiences with aggressive drivers. I normally drive 35mph on this stretch of road because there are two signs (40mph and 35mph) I err on the side of being conservative. I have had drivers tailgate me while honking there horn at me (with two kids and a dog in my car) for the entire route from Liberty Street to Hancock Street. At that intersection the aggressive driver opened his window and raised his fist to me. This has happened more then once, different drivers (except the raising fist dude, he was exceptional).

Speed of drivers Speeding and tailgating

We can't take a left out of our street. The cars speed by. The speed limit jumps as it heads toward the residential portions of Grove Street. We've seen many almost accidents by cars rushing by or passing on right while people try to turn into a facility or residential neighborhoodz

Lack of bike lanes

Never had a problem

People often use grove as a 2 lane street when it is not - major accidents waiting to happen esp turning into shopping plaza t

People drive too fast, needs driving lanes to be narrower, needs sidewalk set back from curb needs speed monitoring/ticketing speeders

Speeding... can't get out of my driveway

Cars not stopping at crosswalks for pedestrians

Speeding vehicles

Speed limit too high in some places

no sidewalks. Sometimes the road narrowing (2 lanes to 1) can get dicey.

Speeding is huge issue.

No sidewalks on east side of Grove St. Sidewalks on west side are decrepid. Almost no crosswalks between Tedeschi Plaza and Plain St

Other drivers speeding

Narrow bike lane

The sewer/draining system is not good, it get flooded

difficulty turning into and out of driveway

None

#### A\Q 5. While walking or bicycling along the corridor, what particular problems do you regularly encounter? (Check all that apply)

#### **103 Total Responses**

69

67.0%

1 Lack of sidewalks	66	64.1%
2 Lack of midblock crossings or difficulty crossing Grove Street/Columbian Street	48	46.6%
3 Lack of bike lanes or useable shoulders	45	43.7%
4 Lack of accessible curb/wheelchair ramps	18	17.5%
5 Sidewalks too narrow or in poor condition	51	49.5%
6 High volume of traffic	67	65.1%

6 High volume of traffic 7 High speed of vehicles

8 Insufficient pedestrian crossing times at intersections with signals	42	40.8%
9 Poor street lighting	15	14.6%
10 Drivers with poor attention to people who walk or bike	49	47.6%
11 Personal safety concerns	32	31.1%
Poor connectivity to places you need to go (residence, work, school, or recreational		
12 area)	20	19.4%
13 Other (please specify)	10	9.7%

N/A

I don't walk or bike in that area

I never walk this area.

No sidewalks is a big problem

Ideally my daughter should be able to bike to her elementary school and middle school. I believe it is a healthy habit and would bring her joy. With the current state of this road the only time she bikes on it is with me and even that is scary.

None

Don't walk

Again people drive way too fast and try to pass others in the right (I have nearly been hit by cars multiple times)

North grove street (by plain st) is very unsafe for walking. Too much traffic going way to fast and lack of sidewalks on one side and a sidewalk that is too close to traffic on the other side. Also there is a lot of large truck traffic that again goes too fast though a residential area N/A

## A\Q 6. Please indicate any problems that keep you from walking or bicycling in the corridor. (Check all that apply)

		esponses
1 Lack of sidewalks	59.5%	66
2 Lack of midblock crossings or difficulty crossing Grove Street/Columbian Street	37.8%	42
3 Lack of bike lanes or useable shoulders	42.3%	47
4 Lack of accessible curb/wheelchair ramps	11.7%	13
5 Sidewalks too narrow or in poor condition	42.3%	47
6 High volume of traffic	66.7%	74
7 High speed of vehicles	66.7%	74
8 Insufficient pedestrian crossing times at intersections with signals	27.9%	31
9 Poor street lighting	11.7%	13

111 Total Responses

10 Drivers with poor attention to people who walk or bike	50.5%	56
11 Personal safety concerns	36.9%	41
Poor connectivity to places you need to go (residence, work, school, or re	ecreational	
12 area)	15.3%	17
13 Other (please specify)	7.2%	8
N/A		
I drive from too far away to bike or walk		
None		
Too far to walk		
Don't need to walk in this area		
The high speed is major safety concern near Gove st/plain st intersection		
n/a		
N/A		

A\Q	7. Please indicate any improvements that you would like to see implemented in		
	the corridor. (Check all that apply)	147 Total	Responses
1	Increase safety for all road users (reduce crashes)	92	62.6%
2	Accommodate people walking	89	60.5%
3	Improve pedestrian crossings in the corridor	81	55.1%
4	Accommodate biking	56	38.1%
5	Reduce traffic congestion	89	60.5%
6	Add left-turn lanes and improve access to adjacent commercial developments	83	56.5%
7	Improve shuttle and local bus service in the corridor	27	18.4%
8	Other (please specify)	13	8.8%

Add more lights at shopping areas

adding sidewalks

Connect the proposed Monatiquot River Trail to other sections of Trail and to the Ivory Street Corridor.

Fewer construction projects

Bike lane

Please DO NOT widen the roadway

improve aquatic connectivity under the road Culverts are in bad shape, and spring peepers are dying off

reduced speed and actual enforcement. Reduce large truck traffic

Traffic/Pedestrian light at Grove Circle. Lower speed limit to town level 25MPH AND ENFORCE IT.

Increased police presence for speeding and allowing pedestrians to cross in crosswalks.

lower speed limits

Make sidewalk more withder.

Better/more signage

A\Q	8. Where do you live?	153 Total	Responses
1	Within one mile of the study corridor	97	63.4%
2	Other location in Braintree	45	29.4%
3	Other town or city (please indicate the five-digit zip code of your residence)	11	7.2%
	02189		
	02186		
	02190		
	02188		
	02061		
	02368		
	I live on Hannah Niles Way right off of Grove.		
	02188		
	Mike and a half from Grove St		
	02066		

02188

02190

Hingham

# A\Q 9. Please use the space below to describe specific problem locations and improvements that you would like to see implemented in the corridor

#### **56 Total Responses**

Speeding, no cycle tracks provided, need multi-use path along RR ROWs

This route runs somewhat parallel to RT 3, so its easy to use for cut through travel and connect to points to the west in Braintree and Holbrook. Consequently there is speeding along this route. Reducing auto lanes in this area will result in the deterioration of Tedeschi plaza. There are several other shopping centers in the Braintree/Weymouth area that will benefit by making Tedeschi inconvenient.

If the sidewalk are bad for walkers, then they should be re-surfaced. People need to slow down...that's the main problem.

Motorists drive too fast, and have no respect for pedestrians. Grove street sidewalks are in bad condition. It is scary when walking on a Grove Street sidewalk and a car or truck speeds by. Please add more separation between motorists and pedestrians. Also Pearl and Ivory streets are just as bad if not worse for pedestrians!

Classic Massachusetts setup where at traffic lights road is two lanes neither lane is designating only for turns and then ten feet on far side of intersection it's back to one lane feeding road rage. Also all the signals on the route are ridiculous. At plain and mahar the sensors largely don't work or you sit at train crossing for two mins and then just get to plain for red light, another two mins. Liberty st the sensors have hair trigger resulting in excessive wait times on primary route of grove st. Two lights nearest Weymouth are few hundred feet apart but not coordinated. Road width varies widely across entire study corridor so cars are all over the place exacerbating blind spots. And as well understood sidewalks are non existent near Weymouth. 2021. Massachusetts should be better than this.

Install a light signal at Columbian St and Forest St

Bus service is a considerable need. Also, sidewalks.

We need WIDE sidewalks in the entire area on both sides of the street. We need a way to reduce traffic in this area as this is a popular cut-through route to/from Weymouth and Hingham and primary access point to Liberty street to get to Holbrook and Randolph. VERY BUSY area! It's like living ON the meridian of route 3 south. Please help!

Traffics is always heavy there. I travel Grove/Columbian multiple times daily to get my kids to and from school. The back-ups in the morning on a regular day are unbelievable.

1. Back ups at all traffic lights; 2. No sidewalks (e.g. Mahar Highway intersection and Liberty Streer) -- 3.Add dedicated tr\urning lanes at Grove and Liberty 4. Railroad crossing at Plain \ Hancock 5. difficult to make left turn from stores; side streets. 6 lengthy rush hour backups

I think the study should take into consideration the new trail that will be under construction at the Armstrong Dam Removal - Monatiquot River Restoration site off of Plain Street by the Commuter Rail Tracks. It would be great if there could be connections to the neighborhoods and the Ivory Street Corridor with the trail as it is built out over time. There is currently an unpaved nature trail downstream of the proposed trail.

There has been an increase in aggressive drivers. It is unsafe and needs to be stopped. There are many cars that have very loud engines that are very disruptive. This is a quality of life issue that needs to be improved - car manufacturers should be held responsible for the noise levels of their cars. This might even be an EPA issue - are these cars high polluters? Difficulty getting in and out of side streets when high volume of traffic.

Enforcement of traffic laws

It can be very difficult to take a left out of the Tedeschi Center due to high traffic volume and high speeds. Also difficult to take a left out of Grove Manor due to high speeds.

Although I don't live in Braintree now, I grew up off of Grove St and have experienced all aspects of road use (including walking, biking, and driving) in that area throughout my life. I continue to use the Grove St Corridor regularly to commute to and from work and visit family. I've never thought of this area as being any worse for traveling than other parts of Braintree, but I have always felt Braintree in general has a lot of traffic problems. It's unique location at the convergence of 2 major highways makes the whole Town a bit of a choke point for people passing through. More specifically in regards to the Grove St area, the northbound lanes at the Liberty St light often backs up to Columbian St. A second lane leading up to the light, and some adjustments to the light sequence could help that traffic flow through better (though it does also back up quite far from the Mahar Hwy light as well). As someone who lives near RT 53 in Norwell, I've had recent experience with the addition of a center turn lane... I think one of those could be helpful along the Tedeschi Plaza. As far as walking / cycling, I don't see many walkers these days. I used to walk those streets as a kid but would never allow my kids to walk them now, it's too dangerous. I also do not believe street cycling should be allowed in areas of this level of congestion. Ultimately, I think this corridor tends to be a main route between RT 37 and RT's 18 / 53. There aren't many better options to get from one of those areas to the other, like RT 139 is as you get further south. I'd like to see this corridor developed into a numbered state Route like 139, but like I said the overall traffic situation in Braintree is more concerning than the Grove St corridor alone. Thanks for your time.

time lights at intersections re: daily traffic flows

Drivers speed down Grove Street. We have a middle school and elementary school within walking distance. Kids are always walking to Dunkin Donuts and Papa Ginos after school or on half days. There should be a flashing light to warn drivers of pedestrians crossing. Taking a left out of our street is next to impossible. Drivers do NOT slow down. They DONT let us take a left out of our street even when the traffic is bumper to bumper at the Grove/Liberty lights. Our neighborhood has voiced concern for years. Someone is going to get hurt or killed. The sped limits increases as it passes out little neighborhood. There is also Alliance Healthcare and Grove Manor off this street. Many residents, mostly seniors, or trying to walk or drive to the shopping areas. It's too dangerous. Why does the speed increase? Why aren't there signs warning drivers to slow down??? Flashing yellow? Or a sign that displays what speed you're traveling? Something needs to be done about this area.

Being able to take left turn out of Hannah Niles Way

Would love to see Protected bike lanes

Turning left from Liberty on to Grove is aweful. Cars travel the entire length of Liberty way to fast!

Less congestion and more police presence for speed

My friend loves on the curve right by the power station and it is so scary to pull in, and pull out. They have an easement, but people go so fast it's still not safe. I wish there were sensors to stop traffic when residents and guests need to get onto the street.

I do not have a problem with the corridor

Fewer high-density occupancy construction projects

The grove at / liberty street light is a major traffic backup at ALL times of day. For walking and biking, the grove street corridor from stop and shop up to John Maher highway is a nightmare for walkers and bikers - cars pass each other often on the right and drive right along the sidewalk which leads to problems for walkers and bikers. There should be a proper bike lane here for bikers only. Also we live off grove street and it is very difficult to exit our neighborhood (from Hemlock street)

Narrower roadway for vehicular travel

I live on Grove St. At times it's nearly impossible to get out of my driveway going in either direction. The speed of traffic on the road is ridiculous. I live right at corner of Grove St and the turn into grove Circle. There are no crosswalks near that intersection. Only 1 side of street has sidewalk.

It is very difficult to take a left hand turn out of Hannah Niles Way or any of the streets that are on that side

Very difficult pulling out of Grove Circle onto Grove Street. Not enough safe crosswalks for walkers and bikes.

Right at the intersection of Liberty and Grove St. the road should be widened with two lanes at or right before allen dental. Many people cross the double yellow i have caught many almost near accidents on my dash camera. Some times people will cross the double yellow a little before allen dental too

People need to stop cutting thru hemlock or hickory to bypass light at Grove and liberty. They speed thru and we have many young kids in the neighborhood......we have complained about this for 10 + years.

Crossing light at cross walks. Cars do allow pedestrians to cross or allow cars to exit from side streets. Cars also pass when school bus lights are flashing.

Rush hour traffic is very congested. Since those roads are used as cut throughs in addition to traffic to the businesses, that would not be a good location for additional housing.

right turn only lane when coming north on liberty st to turn right onto Grove

My family lives just around the corner from this corridor. Our experience is that Drivers are too fast and too aggressive. Pedestrians and cyclists, as well as turning vehicles, would benefit from slowing the traffic and having better infrastructure for walking and biking.

Left turn arrow at intersection Sidewalks Lower speed limit - its very hard getting out of Grove Circle onto Grove Street

People to stop cutting through hemlock and hickory at top speedsters

I just did that with your servay

Because of where I live, I need to turn off Birch Street to get onto Grove Street, and it is an absolute nightmare and sometimes takes up to 10 minutes to get on Grove street. Grove street also desperately needs a sidewalk on the side of Car Craft going up and down the street. I would love to walk down it, but it is far too dangerous at the moment. I couldn't even cross the street to walk on the other side of the street if I wanted to.

Lower the speed limit and lengthen the red lights to discourage Boston to Weymouth commuting

Traffic light at Grove St/Liberty St needs to have left-turn light on all 4 directions! The culvert right at the town line and the 2 or 3 culverts under the dirt road under the high tension wires are in rough shape. When they dumped the new gravel on the dirt road under the high tension wires they blocked the ends: they are now fords.

Too much traffic the at travels at too high of speed for a residential road. Lack of sidewalks on the one side and very poor sidewalks that are too close to traffic

The north section of grove street (by plain st.) needs a lot of improvement. Decent sidewalks on both sides with an occasional crossing would be a start. The high speed of traffic in this area is my biggest concern, very unsafe for those who walk/bike along street. I would like to see some actual enforcement of the reduced speed limit in this area. A reduction in heavy truck traffic would also be beneficial as they also speed though this area.

Traveling from Hancock on Grove there's no accommodation for a left turn onto Liberty and visibility is poor. There should be sidewalks on both sides of the street. On Grove between Liberty and Columbia there are no sidewalks either. The intersection of Columbia and Grove is terrible especially if you're using Grove St from Weymouth to turn right onto Grove. You have to wait until traffic turning left onto Columbia is gone before being able to turn right onto Grove. Braintree Market at the corner of Grove and Liberty is difficult to get in and out of especially if you're turning onto Grove from the parking lot.

I have lived on Grove Street for 11 years. Both of my children were born here and have never allowed to play in front of our home due to dangerous drivers. Crossing Grove St on foot, to access the Alida Road neighborhood is terrifying. This is now a heavy residential area, but the traffic is still treated like a state highway it was 40 years ago. The state MUST designate this as a residential street and apply the driving laws befitting that designation. We need sidewalks on both sides of the street. We need bike lanes on both sides. We need wheelchair ramps and crosswalks at all intersections. Residents from surrounding towns need to be discouraged from using Grove Street as a "shortcut" from using Routes 3, 18 or 37.

many accidents at the Grove/Liberty St intersection, could use left turn lanes or light cycle just one direction at a time (similar to Union/Ivory

I don't have the answers, but in my opinion the corridor has many problems;

congestion/volume, speed, obeying traffic laws, lack of usable crosswalks, etc. The corridor is used a major cut through by surrounding communities between the Route 18/Route 3 corridor and Route 93/128. Many ambulances use it as a cut through to go to South Shore Hospital. Large numbers of garbage trucks from all communities use it as a cut through to Braintree's Covanta transfer station. The crosswalks that are there are useless, drivers do not stop for pedestrians in the crosswalk unless it has a warning light. The state increased the speed limit to 40mph, it needs to be reduced.

Sidewalks. Both sides.

An MBTA bus route along Liberty and Grove to Braintree station and South Braintree Square.

#1 - Aggressive Driving #2 - Speed limits are too high

Speed limits to be enforced. Intersection at Grove & Liberty to add a traffic light arrow to take a left onto liberty st. because of the freight train of traffic coming from Weymouth.

DO NOT ADD A BIKE LANE, THIS IMPEDES TRAFFIC

The tree is overhanging on the Grove St, need to cut.. Need to widened sidewalk , clean draining and sewer basin.

### APPENDIX C

Additional Comments on Corridor Issues and Concerns

April 12, 2022

Chen-Yuan Wang, Chief Transportation Planner Central Transportation Planning Staff Boston Region Metropolitan Planning Organization 10 Park Plaza, Suite 2150 Boston, MA 02116

#### Re: Grove Street Corridor Study, Braintree

#### Dear Mr. Wang,

I recently heard of the Grove Street Corridor Study being performed by Central Transportation Planning Staff (CTPS) and appreciate our conversation regarding the project last week. As a lifelong resident of Braintree who lives in a neighborhood directly off of Grove Street, I have a particular interest in any improvements being proposed along the corridor. As a licensed Professional Traffic Engineer who performs similar studies and designs for municipalities throughout the Commonwealth, I fully understand the competing interests of motorists, pedestrians and bicyclists and very much hope any future project focuses on a well-balanced design that looks out for the welfare and safety of our community over efficiency for the endless demand of commuters cutting through our town from other regions.

I commend those involved in promoting safe and comfortable Complete Streets improvements along the Grove Street corridor. Not only do existing pedestrian accommodations not comply with ADA, sidewalks are extremely tight and almost unpassable in places. The excessive roadway width promotes fast travel speeds and, given the cut-through commuter element, poor driver behavior makes unsignalized pedestrian crossing unsafe, motorist access from side streets near impossible during peak periods, and bicycle travel uncomfortable. As a result, I have very real concerns whenever my children want to walk from our home to nearby schools (Liberty School and South Middle School), Papa Gino's and/or Dunkin' Donuts, popular destinations with school children in the area. As it currently exists, I certainly would never allow my children to get anywhere near Grove Street with their bicycles.

The Grove Street at Liberty Street intersection is of particular concern given the unique role it plays. The intersection services a significant amount of cut-through traffic from neighboring towns and beyond seeking to avoid congested highways during peak commuter periods, approaching via the southern Liberty Street and eastern Grove Street approaches. In fact, I know people who travel through the Grove Street at Liberty Street intersection from as far as Middleborough to avoid highway traffic in order to reach Quincy or the Expressway.

Given the amount of cut-through demand from different regions, my fear in increasing the intersection's capacity with additional lanes is the direct result it will likely have on promoting increased cut-through traffic at the intersection and funneling more traffic along Grove Street. (For instance, in reference to the commuters who cut through this intersection from Middleborough, the delay they experienced at this intersection is the only reason they would occasionally seek going a different route.) Eliminating the

delay and queues could very well influence the volumes experienced at this intersection and impact other intersections downstream. Without these increases in traffic, it is currently already difficult for side street motorists to navigate left turns onto Grove Street, waiting excessively for an appropriate gap in traffic that frequently results in driver frustration and the unsafe condition of accepting a less than adequate gap, darting in front of other vehicles. I see it frequently.

Before advancing with a project that adds even more lanes at the Grove Street at Liberty Street intersection to completely "fix" delay and queue length deficiencies (at least until more cut-through traffic funnels through the intersection), I respectfully request that consideration be made for the welfare of our community by minimizing and limiting such increases in capacity to an appropriate degree to avoid promoting more cut-through traffic and to ensure the congestion that plagues this roadway and the town is not exasperated. I also suggest that the traffic analysis performed as the basis for design be based on unadjusted post-COVID volumes given changes in traffic patterns resulting from the "new normal" of many working from home and the desire to avoid over-designing the intersection.

Thank you for your efforts towards improving the Grove Street corridor and for taking my concerns and those of our community into consideration during future studies and design. This project has the potential to be truly transformative and have a positive impact on so many. I look forward to the opportunity of reviewing the studies and analyses prepared by CTPS and in the future.

Sincerely,

James D. Fitzgerald, P.E., LEED AP

101 Hannah Niles Way Braintree, MA 02184 617-653-6986

### APPENDIX D

Corridor Crash Data (2015–19) Summary

Statistics Pariod							Yearly
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	5-Year Total	Average
Total number of crashes	27	44	39	30	36	176	35.2
Severity: Property damage only	17	30	25	17	21	110	22.0
Severity: Non-fatal injury	9	14	14	13	14	64	12.8
Severity: Fatality	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Severity: Not reported/unknown	1	0	0	0	1	2	0.4
Collision type: Single vehicle	5	2	4	2	3	16	3.2
Collision type: Rear-end	10	16	21	14	13	74	14.8
Collision type: Angle	9	19	9	14	18	69	13.8
Collision type: Head-on	2	1	1	0	1	5	1.0
Collision type: Sideswipe, same direction	0	4	1	0	0	5	1.0
Collision type: Sideswipe, opposite direction	1	2	3	0	1	7	1.4
Collision type: Not reported/unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Involved pedestrian(s)	1	0	0	0	1	2	0.4
Involved cyclist(s)	1	0	1	1	0	3	0.6
Occurred during weekday peak periods*	6	19	16	17	13	71	14.2
Wet or icy pavement conditions	7	10	11	5	9	42	8.4
Dark conditions (lit or unlit)	6	6	12	2	11	37	7.4

Table 1Crash Data Summary: Grove Street Corridor in BraintreeMassDOT Crash Data 2015–19

\* Peak periods are defined as weekday 7:00–10:00 AM and 3:30–6:30 PM.

### APPENDIX E Corridor Crash Rate Worksheets



CITY/TOWN : Braintree	<u> </u>	COUNT DATE :	4/7-13/2021
DISTRICT : 6	_		
	~ SEGMENT DATA ~		
ROADWAY NAME:	Grove Street Corridor		
START POINT: Hancock	Street (Route 37)		
END POINT: Weymou	th Town Line		
FUNCTIONAL CLASSIF	ICATION OF ROADWAY: Urban Mind	or Arterial	





CITY/TOWN : Braintree	COUNT DATE :	4/7-13/2021
DISTRICT : 6		
~ SEGMENT DATA	~	
ROADWAY NAME: Plain Street		
START POINT: Hancock Street (Route 37)		
END POINT: Grove Street		
FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION OF ROADWAY: Urban Mi	nor Arterial	



Comments : State Average for Urban Minor Arterial = 3.49 (7/1/2020)



CITY/TOWN : Braintree	COUNT DATE :	4/7-13/2021
DISTRICT : 6		
~ SEGMENT DATA ~		
ROADWAY NAME: Grove Street		
START POINT: South of Grove Street		
END POINT: North of Tedeschi Plaza		
FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION OF ROADWAY: Urban Min	or Arterial	





CITY/TOWN : Braintree		COUNT DATE :	4/7-13/2021
DISTRICT : 6			
~ SEG	MENT DATA ~		
ROADWAY NAME: Grove Street			
START POINT: Tedeschi Plaza			
END POINT: South of Liberty Street			
FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION OF ROADWAY	Y: Urban Mine	or Arterial	



Comments : State Average for Urban Minor Arterial = 3.49 (7/1/2020)



CITY/TOWN : Braintree	COUNT DATE :	4/7-13/2021
DISTRICT : 6		
~ SEGMENT DATA ~	~	
ROADWAY NAME: Grove Street		
START POINT: South of Liberty Street		
END POINT: North of Columbian Street		
FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION OF ROADWAY: Urban Min	nor Arterial	





CITY/TOWN : Braintree	COUNT DATE : 4/7-13/2021
DISTRICT : 6	
~ SEGMENT	DATA ~
ROADWAY NAME: Colubian Street	
START POINT: Grove Street	
END POINT: Waymouth Town Line	
FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION OF ROADWAY:	Irban Minor Arterial



Comments : State Average for Urban Minor Arterial = 3.49 (7/1/2020)

#### APPENDIX F

Intersection Crash Rate Worksheets



CITY/TOWN : Braintree				COUNT DA	TE: <u>4/8/20</u>	21 (adjusted)
DISTRICT : 6	UNSIGN	ALIZED :		SIGNA	LIZED :	X
~ INTERSECTION DATA ~						
MAJOR STREET :	Plain Street					
MINOR STREET(S) :	Washington	Street (Route	37)			
	Hancock St					
INTERSECTION DIAGRAM	<b>↑</b> North	Wass Route	Hancock St	Hancock St	Plain St	
			PEAK HOUF			Total Deals
APPROACH :	1	2	3	4	5	Hourly
DIRECTION :	EB	WB	SB	NB		Approach Volume
PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) :	979	610	537	778		2,904
"K" FACTOR:	0.090	INTERSE	ECTION ADT APPROACH	( <b>V</b> )= TOTA I VOLUME:	AL DAILY	32,267
TOTAL # OF CRASHES :	14	# OF YEARS :	5	AVERA CRASHES ( <b>/</b>	GE # OF PER YEAR A ) :	2.80
CRASH RATE CALCULATION : 0.27 RATE = (A * 1,000,000) (V * 365)						
Comments : 2018 Avera	age Crash Ra	te for MassDC	DT District 6 S	Signalized Int	ersections = (	).71

Project Title & Date: Grove Street Corridor Study in Braintree



CITY/TOWN : Braintree				COUNT DA	TE: <u>4/8/20</u>	21 (adjusted)
DISTRICT : 6	UNSIGN	ALIZED :		SIGN	ALIZED :	X
		~ IN1	ERSECTION	I DATA ~		
MAJOR STREET :	Plain Street					
MINOR STREET(S):	John Mahar	Highway				
INTERSECTION DIAGRAM	<b>↑</b> North	Plain St		JM Hwy	Plain St	
			PEAK HOUP		5	Tetel Deele
APPROACH :	1	2	3	4	5	Hourly
DIRECTION :	EB	WB	SB	NB		Approach Volume
PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) :	652	753	573	0		1,978
"K" FACTOR:	0.090	INTERSE	ECTION ADT APPROACH	( <b>V</b> ) = TOT, I VOLUME :	AL DAILY	21,978
TOTAL # OF CRASHES :	8	# OF YEARS :	5	AVERA CRASHES ( /	AGE # OF 8 PER YEAR <b>A</b> ) :	1.60
CRASH RATE CALCU	LATION :	0.23	RATE =	<u>(A*1</u> , (V	000,000) * 365)	
Comments : 2018 Avera	age Crash Ra	te for MassDC	OT District 6 S	Signalized In	tersections = (	).71

Project Title & Date: Grove Street Corridor Study in Braintree



CITY/TOWN : Braintree				COUNT DA	TE: <u>4/8/20</u>	21 (adjusted)
DISTRICT : 6	UNSIGN	ALIZED :		SIGNA	LIZED :	X
		~ IN1	ERSECTION	I DATA ~		
MAJOR STREET :	Grove Street					
MINOR STREET(S) :	Liberty Stree	t				
INTERSECTION DIAGRAM	<b>↑</b> North	Groves	ŝt	Liberty St Liberty St	Grove St	
			PEAK HOUP	R VOLUMES		Total Poak
APPROACH :	1	2	3	4	5	Hourly
DIRECTION :	EB	WB	SB	NB		Approach Volume
PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) :	331	979	398	981		2,689
"K" FACTOR :	0.090	INTERSI	ECTION ADT APPROACH	( <b>V</b> )= TOTA I VOLUME:	AL DAILY	29,878
TOTAL # OF CRASHES :	25	# OF YEARS :	5	AVERA CRASHES ( <b>/</b>	GE # OF PER YEAR (A):	5.00
CRASH RATE CALCU	LATION :	0.53	RATE =	( A * 1,0 ( V	000,000) * 365)	
Comments : 2018 Avera	age Crash Ra	te for MassDO	<u>DT District 6 S</u>	Signalized Int	ersections = (	).71



CITY/TOWN : Braintree				COUNT DA	TE : <u>4/8/20</u>	21 (adjusted)	
DISTRICT : 6	UNSIGN	ALIZED :		SIGNA	LIZED :	X	
~ INTERSECTION DATA ~							
MAJOR STREET :	Grove Street						
MINOR STREET(S):	Columbian S	t					
INTERSECTION DIAGRAM	<b>↑</b> North	Grove St		Gro	ve <sup>5t</sup>		
			PEAK HOUP	R VOLUMES		Total Deals	
APPROACH :	1	2	3	4	5	Hourly	
DIRECTION :	EB	WB	SB	NB		Approach Volume	
PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) :	0	725	1,072	790		2,587	
"K" FACTOR :	0.090	INTERSE	ECTION ADT APPROACH	( <b>V</b> )= TOT/ I VOLUME:	AL DAILY	28,744	
TOTAL # OF CRASHES :	28	# OF YEARS :	5	AVERA CRASHES ()	GE # OF PER YEAR A ) :	5.60	
CRASH RATE CALCU	LATION :	0.61	RATE =	<u>(A*1,</u> (V	000,000) * 365)		
Comments : 2018 Avera	age Crash Ra	te for MassDC	OT District 6 S	Signalized Int	ersections = (	).71	

Project Title & Date: Grove Street Corridor Study in Braintree


CITY/TOWN : Braintree				COUNT DA	TE: <u>4/8/20</u>	21 (adjusted)
DISTRICT : 6	UNSIGN	ALIZED :		SIGNA	LIZED :	X
		~ IN1	ERSECTION	I DATA ~		
MAJOR STREET :	Columbian S	treet				
MINOR STREET(S) :	Driveway at	#60 Columbia	an Street (Rar	ntoule Road)		
INTERSECTION DIAGRAM	<b>↑</b> North	Rountout	eRd	C_	lumbian St	
			PEAK HOUP	R VOLUMES		
APPROACH :	1	2	3	4	5	Total Peak Hourly
DIRECTION :	EB	WB	SB	NB		Approach Volume
PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) :	0	725	1,072	790		2,587
"K" FACTOR :	0.090	INTERSE	ECTION ADT APPROACH	( <b>V</b> )= TOTA I VOLUME:	AL DAILY	28,744
TOTAL # OF CRASHES :	4	# OF YEARS :	5	AVERA CRASHES ( <b>4</b>	GE # OF PER YEAR () :	0.80
CRASH RATE CALCU	LATION :	0.09	RATE =	<u>( A * 1,0</u> ( V *	000,000) * 365)	
Comments : 2018 Avera	age Crash Ra	te for MassD(	OT District 6 S	Signalized Inte	ersections = (	).71



CITY/TOWN : Braintree	21 (adjusted)					
DISTRICT : 6	UNSIGN	ALIZED :	X	SIGNA	LIZED :	
		~ IN7	ERSECTION	I DATA ~		
MAJOR STREET :	Plain Street					
MINOR STREET(S):	RMV Drivewa	ay				
INTERSECTION DIAGRAM	<b>↑</b> North	Plain St		RMV Driveway	Plain	St
			PEAK HOUF	R VOLUMES		
						Total Boak
APPROACH :	1	2	3	4	5	Total Peak Hourly
APPROACH : DIRECTION :	1 EB	<b>2</b> WB	3 SB	4	5	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) :	1 EB 450	2 WB 650	<b>3</b> SB 70	4	5	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,170
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) : " K " FACTOR :	1 EB 450 0.090	2 WB 650 INTERSE	3 SB 70 ECTION ADT APPROACH	4 (V) = TOTA VOLUME :	5 AL DAILY	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,170 13,000
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) : "K" FACTOR : TOTAL # OF CRASHES :	1 EB 450 0.090	2 WB 650 INTERSE # OF YEARS :	3 SB 70 ECTION ADT APPROACH	4 (V) = TOTA VOLUME : AVERA CRASHES (A	5 AL DAILY GE # OF PER YEAR A):	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,170 13,000 2.20
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) : "K " FACTOR : TOTAL # OF CRASHES : CRASH RATE CALCU	1 EB 450 0.090 11 LATION :	2 WB 650 INTERSE # OF YEARS : 0.53	3 SB 70 ECTION ADT APPROACH 5 RATE =	4 (V) = TOTA VOLUME : AVERA CRASHES (A (A* 1,1) (V)	5 AL DAILY GE # OF PER YEAR A): 000,000) * 365)	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,170 13,000 2.20



CITY/TOWN : Braintree	-		COUNT DA	TE: <u>4/8/20</u>	21 (adjusted)	
DISTRICT : 6	UNSIGN	ALIZED :	X	SIGNA	LIZED :	
		~ INT	ERSECTION	I DATA ~		
MAJOR STREET :	Plain Street					
MINOR STREET(S) :	Grove Street					
INTERSECTION DIAGRAM	<b>Î</b> North	Plain St				
				J	×	
			PEAK HOUF	NOLUMES	*	
APPROACH :	1	2	PEAK HOUF 3	R VOLUMES	5	Total Peak Hourly
APPROACH : DIRECTION :	1 WB	<b>2</b> SB	PEAK HOUF 3 NB	R VOLUMES	5	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) :	1 WB 34	<b>2</b> SB 880	<b>РЕАК НОИР</b> <b>3</b> NB 704	R VOLUMES	5	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,618
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) : " K " FACTOR :	1 WB 34 0.090	2 SB 880 INTERSE	PEAK HOUF 3 NB 704 ECTION ADT APPROACH	<b>R VOLUMES</b> 4 ( <b>V</b> ) = TOTA VOLUME :	5 AL DAILY	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,618 17,978
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) : "K" FACTOR : TOTAL # OF CRASHES :	1 WB 34 0.090 2	2 SB 880 INTERSE # OF YEARS :	PEAK HOUF 3 NB 704 ECTION ADT APPROACH	VOLUMES 4 (V) = TOTA VOLUME : AVERA CRASHES (A	5 AL DAILY GE # OF PER YEAR A) :	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,618 17,978 0.40
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) : "K" FACTOR : TOTAL # OF CRASHES : CRASH RATE CALCU	1 WB 34 0.090 2 ULATION :	2 SB 880 INTERSE # OF YEARS : 0.07	PEAK HOUF 3 NB 704 ECTION ADT APPROACH 5 RATE =	$\frac{4}{(V) = TOTA}$ $(V) = TOTA$ $VOLUME :$ $AVERA$ $CRASHES$ $(A)$ $\frac{(A * 1, 0)}{(V)}$	5 AL DAILY GE # OF PER YEAR A): 200,000) * 365)	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,618 17,978 0.40



CITY/TOWN : Braintree	-			COUNT DA	TE : <u>4/8/20</u>	21 (adjusted)			
DISTRICT : 6	UNSIGN	IALIZED :	X	SIGNA	LIZED :				
		~ IN1	TERSECTION	I DATA ~					
MAJOR STREET :	Grove Street	t							
MINOR STREET(S):	Hannah Nile	s Way							
INTERSECTION DIAGRAM	<b>↑</b> North	North Grove St North Grove St							
			Ŧ Kis						
			PEAK HOUF	RVOLUMES		Total Peak			
APPROACH :	1	2	PEAK HOUF	R VOLUMES	5	Total Peak Hourly			
APPROACH : DIRECTION :	1 WB	2 SB	PEAK HOUF	R VOLUMES	5	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume			
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) :	<b>1</b> WB 6	<b>2</b> SB 814	PEAK HOUF 3 NB 694	R VOLUMES 4	5	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,514			
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) : " K " FACTOR :	1 WB 6 0.090	2 SB 814 INTERSI	PEAK HOUF 3 NB 694 ECTION ADT APPROACH	<b>E VOLUMES</b> 4 ( <b>V</b> ) = TOTA VOLUME :	5 AL DAILY	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,514 16,822			
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) : "K " FACTOR : TOTAL # OF CRASHES :	1 WB 6 0.090 6	2 SB 814 INTERSI # OF YEARS :	PEAK HOUF 3 NB 694 ECTION ADT APPROACH	<b>VOLUMES</b> 4 (V) = TOTA VOLUME : AVERA CRASHES (A	5 AL DAILY GE # OF PER YEAR A) :	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,514 16,822 1.20			
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) : "K" FACTOR : TOTAL # OF CRASHES : CRASH RATE CALCU	1 WB 6 0.090 6 LATION :	2 SB 814 INTERSI # OF YEARS : 0.22	PEAK HOUF 3 NB 694 ECTION ADT APPROACH 5 RATE =	$\frac{\mathbf{VOLUMES}}{4}$ $(\mathbf{V}) = TOTA$ $\frac{\mathbf{V} = TOTA}{\mathbf{VOLUME}}$ $\frac{\mathbf{V} = TOTA}{\mathbf{VOLUME}}$ $\frac{\mathbf{V} = TOTA}{\mathbf{VOLUME}}$	5 AL DAILY GE # OF PER YEAR () : )000,000 ) * 365 )	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,514 16,822 1.20			



CITY/TOWN : Braintree	21 (adjusted)					
DISTRICT : 6	UNSIGN	ALIZED :	X	SIGNA	LIZED :	
		~ IN1	ERSECTION	I DATA ~		
MAJOR STREET :	Grove Street					
MINOR STREET(S):	Plaza North					
INTERSECTION DIAGRAM	<b>↑</b> North	2				
						<sup>arove St</sup>
			PEAK HOUF			Total Peak
APPROACH :	1	2	PEAK HOUF	R VOLUMES	5	Total Peak Hourly
APPROACH : DIRECTION :	1 SWB	2 SEB	PEAK HOUF 3 NWB	R VOLUMES	5	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) :	1 SWB 50	<b>2</b> SEB 822	PEAK HOUF 3 NWB 663	R VOLUMES 4	5	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,535
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) : " K " FACTOR :	1 SWB 50 0.090	2 SEB 822 INTERSI	PEAK HOUF 3 NWB 663 ECTION ADT APPROACH	<b>4</b> ( <b>V</b> ) = TOTA VOLUME :	5 AL DAILY	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,535
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) : "K " FACTOR : TOTAL # OF CRASHES :	1 SWB 50 0.090 4	2 SEB 822 INTERSI # OF YEARS :	PEAK HOUF 3 NWB 663 ECTION ADT APPROACH	VOLUMES 4 (V) = TOTA VOLUME : AVERA CRASHES ()	5 AL DAILY GE # OF PER YEAR A):	<sup>arove</sup> St Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,535 17,056 0.80
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) : "K " FACTOR : TOTAL # OF CRASHES : CRASH RATE CALCU	1 SWB 50 0.090 4 LATION :	2 SEB 822 INTERSI # OF YEARS : 0.15	PEAK HOUF 3 NWB 663 ECTION ADT APPROACH 5 RATE =	<b>VOLUMES</b> <b>4</b> ( <b>V</b> ) = TOTA VOLUME : AVERA CRASHES (A (A* 1,1) (V)	5 AL DAILY GE # OF PER YEAR A): 2000,000 ) * 365 )	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,535 17,056 0.80



CITY/TOWN : Braintree				COUNT DA	TE : <u>4/8/20</u>	21 (adjusted)
DISTRICT : 6	UNSIGN	ALIZED :	X	SIGNA	LIZED :	
		~ INT	ERSECTION	I DATA ~		
MAJOR STREET :	Grove Street					
MINOR STREET(S):	Hemlock St					
INTERSECTION DIAGRAM	<b>↑</b> North	Groves	Gr		2020	Grove St
			PEAK HOUF	R VOLUMES		Total Peak
APPROACH :	1	2	3	4	5	Hourly
DIRECTION :	NWB	SWB	NEB	SEB		Volume
PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) :	625	127	10	775		1,537
"K" FACTOR :	0.090	INTERSE	ECTION ADT APPROACH	( <b>V</b> )= TOTA I VOLUME:	AL DAILY	17,078
TOTAL # OF CRASHES :	6	# OF YEARS :	5	AVERA CRASHES ()	GE # OF PER YEAR A ) :	1.20
CRASH RATE CALCU		0.22	PATE =	( A * 1,0	000,000 )	
	LATION .	0.22		( V	* 365)	



CITY/TOWN : Braintree	21 (adjusted)					
DISTRICT : 6	UNSIGN	ALIZED :	X	SIGNA	LIZED :	
		~ INT	ERSECTION	data ~		
MAJOR STREET :	Grove Street					
MINOR STREET(S):	Plaza South					
INTERSECTION DIAGRAM	<b>↑</b> North	Grove St				rove st
			PEAK HOUR	<b>VOLUMES</b>		Total Dook
APPROACH :	1	2	3	4	5	Hourly
DIRECTION :	NWB	SWB	SEB			Approach Volume
PEAK HOURLY	744	70				
VOLUMES (PM) :	744	79	699			1,522
VOLUMES (PM) : "K" FACTOR :	0.090	79 INTERSE	699 ECTION ADT APPROACH	( <b>V</b> ) = TOT <i>I</i> I VOLUME :	AL DAILY	1,522 16,911
VOLUMES (PM) : "K" FACTOR : TOTAL # OF CRASHES :	0.090 8	79 INTERSE # OF YEARS :	699 ECTION ADT APPROACH 5	( <b>V</b> ) = TOTA VOLUME : AVERA CRASHES ( <b>A</b>	AL DAILY GE # OF PER YEAR A ) :	1,522 16,911 1.60
VOLUMES (PM) : "K" FACTOR : TOTAL # OF CRASHES : CRASH RATE CALCU	0.090 8 LATION :	79 INTERSE # OF YEARS : <b>0.30</b>	699 ECTION ADT APPROACH 5 RATE =	( <b>V</b> ) = TOTA VOLUME : AVERA CRASHES ( <b>A</b> ( <b>A</b> * 1,0) (V	AL DAILY GE # OF PER YEAR A ) : 2000,000 ) * 365 )	1,522 16,911 1.60



CITY/TOWN : Braintree				COUNT DA	TE : <u>4/8/20</u>	21 (adjusted)
DISTRICT : 6	UNSIGN	IALIZED :	X	SIGNA	LIZED :	
		~ INT	ERSECTION	I DATA ~		
MAJOR STREET :	Grove Street	t				
MINOR STREET(S) :	O'Toole Terr	ace				
INTERSECTION DIAGRAM	<b>↑</b> North	Grovest				
					G	rove st
			PEAK HOU	R VOLUMES	G	Total Peak
APPROACH :	1	2	PEAK HOUP	R VOLUMES	5	Total Peak Hourly
APPROACH : DIRECTION :	1 NWB	2 SWB	کی کری کی کری کری کری کری کری کری کری کر	R VOLUMES	5	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) :	1 NWB 834	<b>2</b> SWB 1	<u>PEAK HOUR</u> 3 SEB 1,004	R VOLUMES	5	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,839
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) : " K " FACTOR :	1 NWB 834 0.090	2 SWB 1 INTERSE	PEAK HOUR 3 SEB 1,004 ECTION ADT APPROACH	<b>4</b> ( <b>V</b> ) = TOTA VOLUME :	5 AL DAILY	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,839 20,433
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) : "K" FACTOR : TOTAL # OF CRASHES :	1 NWB 834 0.090 6	2 SWB 1 INTERSE # OF YEARS :	PEAK HOUE 3 SEB 1,004 CTION ADT APPROACH	<b>VOLUMES</b> 4 (V) = TOTA VOLUME : AVERA CRASHES (A	5 AL DAILY GE # OF PER YEAR A) :	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,839 20,433 1.20
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) : "K" FACTOR : TOTAL # OF CRASHES : CRASH RATE CALCU	1 NWB 834 0.090 6	2 SWB 1 INTERSE # OF YEARS : 0.18	PEAK HOUE 3 SEB 1,004 ECTION ADT APPROACE 5 RATE =	$\frac{4}{(V) = TOTA}$ $\frac{4}{(V) = TOTA}$ $\frac{VOLUME :}{CRASHES}$ $\frac{(A * 1, 0)}{(V)}$	5 AL DAILY GE # OF PER YEAR A): 2000,000 ) * 365 )	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,839 20,433 1.20



CITY/TOWN : Braintree				COUNT DA	TE : <u>4/8/20</u>	21 (adjusted)
DISTRICT : 6	UNSIGN	ALIZED :	X	SIGNA	LIZED :	
		~ IN7	FERSECTION	I DATA ~		
MAJOR STREET :	Grove Street	:				
MINOR STREET(S):	Birch Street					
INTERSECTION DIAGRAM	<b>Î</b> North	Grove St	2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3			<sup>r</sup> ove st
			PEAK HOUF	R VOLUMES		
						Total Peak
APPROACH :	1	2	3	4	5	Total Peak Hourly
APPROACH : DIRECTION :	1 NWB	2 SWB	3 SEB	4	5	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) :	1 NWB 861	2 SWB 19	<b>3</b> SEB 997	4	5	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,877
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) : " K " FACTOR :	1 NWB 861 0.090	2 SWB 19 INTERSI	3 SEB 997 ECTION ADT APPROACH	4 (V) = TOTA VOLUME :	5 AL DAILY	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,877 20,856
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) : "K" FACTOR : TOTAL # OF CRASHES :	1 NWB 861 0.090 9	2 SWB 19 INTERSI # OF YEARS :	3 SEB 997 ECTION ADT APPROACH 5	4 (V) = TOTA VOLUME : AVERA CRASHES (A	5 AL DAILY GE # OF PER YEAR A) :	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,877 20,856 1.80
APPROACH : DIRECTION : PEAK HOURLY VOLUMES (PM) : "K " FACTOR : TOTAL # OF CRASHES : CRASH RATE CALCU	1 NWB 861 0.090 9 LATION :	2 SWB 19 INTERSI # OF YEARS : 0.27	3 SEB 997 ECTION ADT APPROACH 5 RATE =	4 (V) = TOTA VOLUME : AVERA CRASHES (A (V)	5 AL DAILY GE # OF PER YEAR A): 000,000) * 365)	Total Peak Hourly Approach Volume 1,877 20,856 1.80

### APPENDIX G

Collision Diagrams and Crash Look-Up Tables



Addressing Safety, Mobility, and Access on Subregional Priority Roadways

# Table 1Crash Data Lookup: Plain Street at Hancock StreetMassDOT Crash Data 2015–19

		1		Deele	1			Deed Overface					
Indo	v Crash Dato	Dav	Timo	Peak	# Vob	# Injured Crash Severity	Manner of Collision	Road Surface	Amplent Light	Conditions	Vohicle Actions Prior to Crash	Most Harmful Event	Driver Contributing Code
mue		Day	Time	noui	# ven	# Injured Crash Seventy	Sideswine same	Conditions	Conditions	Conditions			Driver Contributing Code
1	2016-06-14	Tue	10:55 AM	Off-peak	2	0 Property damage only	direction	Dry	Daylight	Clear / Cloudy	Travelling straight ahead / Parked	Motor vehicle in transport	Inattention / Distracted
2	2016-06-30	Thu	8:43 AM	Peak	2	0 Property damage only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Not Reported	Travelling straight ahead / Turning left	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
3	2016-07-06	Wed	2·24 PM	Off-neak	2	0 Property damage only	Sideswipe, same direction	Drv	Davlight	Clear	Backing / Parked	Parked motor vehicle	Inattention
	2010 07 00	WCu	2.2111		-				Daylight	oloui	Entering traffic lane / Travelling straight		
4	2016-07-13	Wed	2:07 PM	Off-peak	2	0 Property damage only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
5	2016-09-06	Tue	3:13 PM	Off-peak	2	2 Non-fatal injury	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead / Turning left	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
6	2016-09-14	Wed	4:39 PM	Peak	3	1 Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Drv	Davlight	Cloudy	Slowing or stopped in traffic / Making U- turn / Slowing or stopped in traffic	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
-									Dark - lighted		5 11	•	
7	2016-11-06	Sun	9:04 PM	Off-peak	1	0 Property damage only	Single vehicle crash	Dry	roadway	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	Utility pole	No improper driving
8	2016-12-01	Thu	8·16 AM	Peak	2	O Property damage only	Angle	Wet	Davlight	Clear	lane	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
Ŭ	2010 12 01	1110	0.107.00	1 Out	-		, angle		Dark - lighted		Slowing or stopped in traffic / Travelling		
9	2017-01-26	Thu	5:52 PM	Peak	2	0 Property damage only	Rear-end	Wet	roadway	Cloudy / Rain	straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
											Travelling straight ahead / Travelling		
10	2017-03-05	Sun	2:33 PM	Off-peak	2	2 Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
								Sand, mud, dirt,	Dark - lighted		Slowing or stopped in traffic / Travelling		
11	2017-03-20	Mon	10:34 PM	Off-peak	2	0 Property damage only	Rear-end	oil, gravel	roadway	Clear	straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
							Sideswipe, same				Changing lanes / Travelling straight		
12	2017-04-23	Sun	4:47 PM	Off-peak	2	0 Property damage only	direction	Dry	Daylight	Clear	ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	Inattention
											Slowing or stopped in traffic / Entering		
13	2017-05-09	Tue	6:09 AM	Off-peak	2	1 Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	traffic lane	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
14	2017-06-13	Tue	8:06 AM	Peak	1	0 Property damage only	Single vehicle crash	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Turning left	Cyclist	Visibility obstructed / Glare
15	2017-07-10	Mon	2:47 PM	Off-peak	2	0 Property damage only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Turning left / Travelling straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
											Travelling straight ahead / Entering traffic		
16	2017-07-13	Thu	10:22 AM	Off-peak	2	0 Property damage only	Angle	Wet	Daylight	Cloudy	lane	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
17	2017-08-22	Tue	11:04 AM	Off-peak	2	0 Property damage only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead / Turning left	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
							Sideswipe, opposite		Dark - lighted		Travelling straight ahead / Travelling		
18	2017-11-22	Wed	6:21 PM	Peak	2	1 Non-fatal injury	direction	Wet	roadway	Clear	straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
													<b>C</b>
19	2018-05-29	Tue	6:00 PM	Peak	2	0 Property damage only	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Turning left / Turning left	Motor vehicle in transport	Followed too closely
													Failed to yield right of way /
20	2018-06-05	Tue	8:44 AM	Peak	2	0 Property damage only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Cloudy	Turning left / Travelling straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
21	2018-08-03	Fri	11:24 AM	Off-peak	2	0 Property damage only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Turning left / Travelling straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
				•					Dark - lighted		Travelling straight ahead / Travelling		No improper driving /
22	2019-01-26	Sat	10:41 PM	Off-peak	2	2 Non-fatal injury	Angle	Dry	roadway	Clear	straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	Unknown
				•				,				-	No improper driving / Failed
23	2019-06-18	Tue	3:09 PM	Off-peak	2	2 Non-fatal iniurv	Angle	Wet	Daylight	Rain	Turning left / Travelling straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	to yield right of way
					-	······································			Dark - lighted		Slowing or stopped in traffic / Travelling		No improper driving /
24	2019-06-26	Wed	1:47 AM	Off-peak	2	Unknown Not Reported	Rear-end	Wet	roadway	Rain	straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	Unknown
					+								
25	2019-11-29	Fri	10:50 AM	Off-peak	2	0 Property damage only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead / Turning left	Motor vehicle in transport	Unknown
	-					,	5	,	, , ,				



### CRASH INDEX AND SEVERITY

Property Damage Only Crash Index Number Injury Crash Index Number

Addressing Safety, Mobility, and Access on Subregional Priority Roadways

# Table 2Crash Data Lookup: Plain Street at John Mahar Highway and Grove StreetMassDOT Crash Data 2015–19

				Peak				Manner of	Road Surface	Ambient Light	Weather			
Index	Crash Date	Day	Time	Hour	# Veh	# Injured	Crash Severity	Collision	Conditions	Conditions	Conditions	Vehicle Actions Prior to Crash	Most Harmful Event	Driver Contributing Code
1	2015-01-17	Sat	3:14 AM	Off-peak	1	C	Property damage only	Single vehicle crash	Dry	Dark - lighted roadway	Clear	Turning left	Unknown/other fixed object	Erratic or reckless operation / Failure to keep in proper lane or running off road
2	2015-08-24	Mon	12:06 PM	Off-peak	2	C	Property damage only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Cloudy	Travelling straight ahead / Turning left	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
3	2015-12-17	Thu	9:16 PM	Off-peak	1	C	Property damage only	Single vehicle crash	Wet	Dark - lighted roadway	Rain	Not reported	Utility pole	Unknown
4	2016-03-01	Tue	5:01 PM	Peak	2	C	Property damage only	Head-on	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	Disregarded traffic signs, signals, road markings
5	2016-04-06	Wed	3:44 PM	Peak	2	C	Property damage only	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	or stopped in traffic	Motor vehicle in transport	Inattention
6	2016-10-14	Fri	8:53 PM	Off-peak	2	C	Property damage only	Angle	Dry	roadway	Clear	ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
7	2017-01-19	Thu	4:50 PM	Peak	3	C	Property damage only	Rear-end	Dry	roadway	Clear	or stopped in traffic	Motor vehicle in transport	Followed too closely
8	2017-02-05	Sun	4:44 PM	Off-peak	2	C	Property damage only	Angle	Dry	roadway	Clear	left	Other movable object	No improper driving
9	2017-03-07	Tue	1:43 PM	Off-peak	3	C	Property damage only	Rear-end	Wet	Daylight	Cloudy	Travelling straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
10	2017-06-22	Thu	3:08 PM	Off-peak	2	1	Non-fatal injury	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	left	Other movable object	No improper driving
11	2018-05-29	Tue	4:01 PM	Peak	3	C	Property damage only	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Slowing or stopped in traffic / Travelling straight ahead	Not reported	No improper driving / Inattention
12	2018-07-07	Sat	9:35 AM	Off-peak	2	С	Property damage only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead / Turning left	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving / Disregarded traffic signs, signals, road markings
13	2018-12-17	Mon	3:30 PM	Peak	2	C	Property damage only	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Not reported / Slowing or stopped in traffic	Not reported	Not reported
14	2019-05-02	Thu	12:23 PM	Off-peak	2	С	Property damage only	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Cloudy	Slowing or stopped in traffic / Turning left	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving / Inattention



Subregional Priority Roadways

### Table 3 Crash Data Lookup: Grove Street Between Plain Street and Hannah Niles Way MassDOT Crash Data 2015–19

									Road Surface	Ambient Light	Weather			
Index	Crash Date	Day	Time	Peak Hour	# Veh	# Injured	Crash Severity	Manner of Collision	Conditions	Conditions	Conditions	Vehicle Actions Prior to Crash	Most Harmful Event	Driver Contributing Code
							Property damage	Sideswipe, same			Blowing sand /		Motor vehicle in	
1	2016-02-08	Mon	4:02 PM	Peak	2	0	only	direction	Snow	Daylight	Snow	Parked / Travelling straight ahead	transport	No improper driving
							Property damage						Motor vehicle in	
2	2016-03-20	Sun	12:57 PM	Off-peak	2	0	only	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear / Cloudy	Parked / Turning left	transport	No improper driving
							Property damage						Unknown/other fixed	
3	2016-06-20	Mon	4:58 AM	Off-peak	1	0	only	Single vehicle crash	Dry	Dawn	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	object	No improper driving
							Property damage	Sideswipe, opposite				Travelling straight ahead / Turning	Motor vehicle in	
4	2016-08-13	Sat	1:33 PM	Off-peak	2	0	only	direction	Dry	Daylight	Clear / Cloudy	left	transport	Unknown
										Dark - lighted		Turning right / Travelling straight	Motor vehicle in	
5	2017-01-20	Fri	11:49 PM	Off-peak	2	1	Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Dry	roadway	Clear	ahead	transport	No improper driving
							Property damage							
6	2017-05-18	Thu	6:19 PM	Peak	1	0	only	Single vehicle crash	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	Unknown	No improper driving
							Property damage					Slowing or stopped in traffic /	Motor vehicle in	
7	2017-09-23	Sat	5:48 PM	Off-peak	2	0	only	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	transport	No improper driving
										Dark - lighted			Light pole or other	
8	2017-09-28	Thu	4:28 AM	Off-peak	1	1	Non-fatal injury	Single vehicle crash	Dry	roadway	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	post/support	Glare
							Property damage					Travelling straight ahead / Turning	Motor vehicle in	
9	2017-12-18	Mon	8:03 AM	Peak	2	0	only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Cloudy	left	transport	No improper driving
										Dark - lighted			Bridge overhead	
10	2018-08-04	Sat	1:13 AM	Off-peak	1	4	Non-fatal injury	Single vehicle crash	Dry	roadway	Cloudy	Travelling straight ahead	structure	Erratic or reckless operation
												Slowing or stopped in traffic /	Motor vehicle in	No improper driving /
11	2018-10-18	Thu	7:40 AM	Peak	2	1	Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	transport	Inattention
							Property damage					Travelling straight ahead / Turning	Motor vehicle in	No improper driving / Failed
12	2019-04-05	Fri	7:24 AM	Peak	2	0	only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	left	transport	to yield right of way
					_	-	Property damage					Slowing or stopped in traffic /	Motor vehicle in	No improper driving /
13	2019-04-10	Wed	8:51 AM	Peak	2	0	oniy	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Slowing or stopped in traffic	transport	Inattention
					_					Dark - lighted		Turning left / Travelling straight	Motor vehicle in	No improper driving /
14	2019-11-10	Sun	5:53 PM	Off-peak	2	1	Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Dry	roadway	Clear	anead	transport	Followed too closely
4-	0040 44 00	-	0.00.44		~	_	Property damage	A L.		Dellate		I ravelling straight ahead / Turning	transport	to viold right of way
15	2019-11-26	Iue	8:39 AM	Реак	2	0	only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear			to yield right of way
	0040 40 07		4 05 514	0.11	-	-				Dark - lighted		Travelling straight ahead /	Notor vehicle in	NI
16	2019-12-07	Sat	4:35 PM	Ott-peak	2	2	Non-tatal injury	Head-on	Dry	roadway	Clear	mavening straight anead	transport	No improper driving



Addressing Safety, Mobility, and Access on Subregional Priority Roadways

### Table 4 Crash Data Lookup: Grove Street between Hannah Niles Way and Liberty Street MassDOT Crash Data 2015–19

									Road Surface	Ambient Light	Weather			
Index	Crash Date	Day	Time	Peak Hour	# Veh	# Injured	Crash Severity	Manner of Collision	Conditions	Conditions	Conditions	Vehicle Actions Prior to Crash	Most Harmful Event	Driver Contributing Code
							,					Slowing or stopped in traffic /		
1	2015-09-18	Fri	9:24 AM	Peak	2	Unknown	Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
-										Dark - lighted		Making U-turn / Travelling		
2	2015-12-10	Thu	10:06 PM	Off-peak	2	4	Non-fatal injury	Angle	Dry	roadway	Clear	straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	Made an improper turn
												Travelling straight ahead /		
3	2016-03-26	Sat	2:21 PM	Off-peak	2	0	Property damage only	/ Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Turning left	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
												Travelling straight ahead /		
4	2016-04-17	Sun	8:53 AM	Off-peak	2	0	Property damage only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Parked	Motor vehicle in transport	Other improper action
												Turning left / Travelling straight		
5	2016-05-06	Fri	11:02 AM	Off-peak	2	0	Property damage only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Cloudy	ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	Unknown
												Slowing or stopped in traffic /		
6	2016-05-11	Wed	2:45 PM	Off-peak	2	0	Property damage only	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
7	2016-07-21	Thu	3:26 PM	Off-peak	3	3	Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Travelling straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
										Dark - lighted		Slowing or stopped in traffic /		
8	2016-11-15	Tue	6:42 PM	Off-peak	2	2	Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Wet	roadway	Rain / Cloudy	Travelling straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	Unknown
												Backing / Travelling straight		
9	2016-12-14	Wed	8:25 AM	Peak	2	0	Property damage only	Angle	Wet	Daylight	Not Reported	ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	Glare
												Travelling straight ahead /		Followed too closely /
10	2017-01-17	Tue	8:46 AM	Peak	3	0	Property damage only	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear / Cloudy	Slowing or stopped in traffic	Motor vehicle in transport	Inattention
												Slowing or stopped in traffic /		
11	2017-05-26	Fri	4:33 PM	Peak	2	0	Property damage only	Rear-end	Wet	Daylight	Cloudy	Travelling straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
								Sideswipe, opposite				Travelling straight ahead /		
12	2017-10-09	Mon	1:46 PM	Off-peak	2	0	Property damage only	direction	Wet	Daylight	Rain / Cloudy	I urning left	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
									_					
13	2018-01-18	Thu	4:17 PM	Peak	2	1	Non-fatal injury	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	I ravelling straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	Failure to keep in proper lane
											-	<b>T</b>		No improper driving /
14	2018-04-23	Mon	9:24 AM	Peak	2	1	Non-fatal injury	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	I ravelling straight ahead	Motor venicle in transport	Inattention
												Travelling staright should	Qualitat	
15	2018-07-22	Sun	9:14 AM	Off-peak	1	1	Non-fatal injury	Angle	Wet	Daylight	Rain	I ravelling straight anead	Cyclist	No improper driving
10	0040 07 00	0.1	10.04 514				Dranarty damage only		D	Deliver		Trovalling straight shood	Other meyeble object	<b>N</b>
16	2018-07-28	Sat	12:24 PM	Оп-реак	1	0	Property damage only	Single venicle crash	Dry	Daylight	Clear			No improper driving
												Entering troffic lane / Trovelling		VISIDILITY ODSTRUCTED / Failed to
47	2010 02 20	E-ri	10:00 014	Off needs	_	Linksey	Non fotal inium	Angle		Dauliaht	Cloudy	straight aboad	Motor vehicle in transport	improper driving
17	2019-03-29		12:08 PIVI	оп-реак	2	UNKNOWN	Non-latal injury	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Cioudy	Trovolling stroight shood /		
40	2010 10 22	Tue		Deel	_	~	Proporty damage only			Dark - lignied	Clear	Entoring traffic lance	Motor vohicle in transport	
18	2019-10-29	Tue	5:59 PM	Реак	2	0	Froperty damage only	Angle	Dry	loauway	Clear	Entening trainc lane	motor venicle in transport	ino improper ariving



### Table 5 Crash Data Lookup: Grove Street at Liberty Street MassDOT Crash Data 2015–2019

							Manner of	Road Surface	Ambient Light	Weather		Most Harmful Event	
Index	Crash Date	Day	Time	Peak Hour	# Veh	# Injured Crash Severity	Collision	Conditions	Conditions	Conditions	Vehicle Actions Prior to Crash	(Collision with)	Driver Contributing Code
1	2015-03-30	Mon	3:09 PM	Off-peak	1	1 Non-fatal injury	Single vehicle crash	ו Dry	Daylight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	Pedestrian	Distracted
	0045 00 00	0.1		011		Property damage						Motor vehicle in	<b>B</b> istorial
2	2015-06-20	Sat	3:14 PM	Off-peak	2	0 only	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Slowing or stopped in traffic	Iransport Motor vohiolo in	Distracted
	0045 00 05	<b>T</b>	11.00 414			Property damage		Deri	Dauliaht	01	Turning left / Travelling statistick should	transport	inaltention / Failed to yield right of
3	2015-08-25	Tue	11:23 AM	Оп-реак	2	0 Only	Head-on	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Turning leπ / Traveiling straight ahead	Mater vehicle in	way
	2015 10 00	E ei		Deels	2		Angle	\A/ot	Duck	Dain	Travelling straight shood / Turning left	transport	No improper driving
4	2015-10-09		5.50 PIVI	Реак	2	Broporty damage	Angle	wei	Dusk	Rain		Motor vohielo in	
5	2015 10 28	Wod	0.50 VW	Off pook	2		Anglo	Dry	Davlight	Cloudy	Not reported / Turning loft	transport	No impropor driving
5	2013-10-20	weu	9.39 AW	Оп-реак	2	Property damage	Aligie	Diy	Daylight	Cloudy	Slowing or stopped in traffic /	Motor vehicle in	
6	2015-11-18	Wed	9.33 AM	Peak	3		Rear-end	Dry	Davlight	Clear	Overtaking/passing	transport	No improper driving
•	2010 11 10		0.007.00	1 Cult		0,		Diy	Dayiigin		Slowing or stopped in traffic / Travelling	Motor vehicle in	
7	2015-12-16	Wed	1.33 PM	Off-peak	2	Unknown Not Reported	Rear-end	Dry	Davlight	Clear	straight ahead	transport	
	2010 12 10		1.001 111	on pour		Property damage			Daylight			Motor vehicle in	
8	2015-12-16	Wed	2:00 PM	Off-peak	2	0 only	Angle	Drv	Davlight	Clear	Turning left / Travelling straight ahead	transport	Failed to yield right of way
-				on pour	_				Dark - lighted		Slowing or stopped in traffic / Travelling	Motor vehicle in	, , , ,
9	2015-12-27	Sun	5:44 PM	Off-peak	2	2 Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Wet	roadway	Rain / Cloudy	straight ahead	transport	Not reported
				•		,,,				, ,	Travelling straight ahead / Travelling	Motor vehicle in	
10	2016-06-12	Sun	11:52 AM	Off-peak	2	1 Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	straight ahead	transport	No improper driving
				•		Property damage	Sideswipe, opposite	9				Motor vehicle in	
11	2016-08-28	Sun	8:36 AM	Off-peak	2	0 only	direction	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Slowing or stopped in traffic / Turning left	transport	No improper driving
				-		Property damage		-	Dark - lighted			Motor vehicle in	
12	2016-10-03	Mon	8:55 PM	Off-peak	2	0 only	Angle	Dry	roadway	Clear	Travelling straight ahead / Turning left	transport	No improper driving
						Property damage						Motor vehicle in	
13	2016-10-09	Sun	1:04 PM	Off-peak	2	0 only	Angle	Wet	Daylight	Rain	Travelling straight ahead / Turning left	transport	No improper driving
									Dark - lighted		Slowing or stopped in traffic / Entering		
14	2016-10-28	Fri	5:32 PM	Peak	3	1 Non-fatal injury	Angle	Wet	roadway	Rain / Cloudy	traffic lane / Travelling straight ahead	Other	Failed to yield right of way
						Property damage						Motor vehicle in	
15	2016-11-01	Tue	3:41 PM	Peak	2	0 only	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	transport	No improper driving
												Motor vehicle in	
16	2017-01-21	Sat	11:18 AM	Off-peak	2	1 Non-fatal injury	Angle	Wet	Daylight	Clear	I ravelling straight ahead / I urning left	transport	No improper driving
I		-						_	5		Turning right / Trouglling straight shood	Motor vehicle in	
1/	2017-02-14	Tue	8:20 AM	Реак	2	1 Non-tatal injury	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear			No improper driving
40	2017 00 07	Thu			2	1 Non fotol inium			Dual	Clear	Travelling straight shaed	transport	
18	2017-09-07	Thu	7:25 PIM	Оп-реак	2	Proporty damage	Head-on	Dry	DUSK	Clear	Travening straight anead	Motor vohielo in	
10	2017 00 24	Sup	2:05 DM	Off pook	2		Anglo	Day	Dovlight	Clear	Travelling straight shood / Turning left	transport	Instigution
19	2017-09-24	Sull	2.05 FIVI	Оп-реак	2	Proporty damage	Angle	Diy	Daylight Dark lighted	Cieai	Slowing or stopped in traffic / Travelling	Motor vohiclo in	
20	2017 12 22	Eri	5.14 DM	Poak	2		Poar and	W/ot	roadway	Spow	straight ahead	transport	No impropor driving
20	2017-12-22	1 11	5.1411	I Cak	2	0 only	Iteal-enu	Wei	Dark - lighted	511000		Motor vehicle in	
21	2017-12-20	Fri	6·22 PM	Peak	2	1 Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Dry	roadwav	Clear	Slowing or stopped in traffic	transport	No improper driving
<u> </u>	2011-12-23		0.221 111		2						Slowing or stopped in traffic / Travelling	Motor vehicle in	
22	2018-01-11	Thu	10:29 AM	Off-neak	2	2 Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Wet	Davlight	Clear	straight ahead	transport	No improper driving
			10.207.00	on pour	<b>Z</b>	Property damage			_ c,ng.nc		Slowing or stopped in traffic / Travelling	Motor vehicle in	
23	2018-03-16	Fri	1:45 PM	Off-peak	2	0 only	Rear-end	Drv	Davlight	Clear	straight ahead	transport	Not reported
						Property damage		,				Motor vehicle in	
24	2018-04-16	Mon	1:33 PM	Off-peak	2	0 only	Rear-end	Wet	Daylight	Rain	Turning left / Travelling straight ahead	transport	No improper drivina
										1 -	5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·	r · r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

### Table 5 Crash Data Lookup: Grove Street at Liberty Street MassDOT Crash Data 2015–2019

												Motor vehicle in	No improper driving / Followed
25	2018-04-27	Fri	12:10 PM	Off-peak	2	1 Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Cloudy	Slowing or stopped in traffic	transport	too closely
						Property damage						Motor vehicle in	
26	2018-07-31	Tue	6:47 AM	Off-peak	2	0 only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear / Cloudy	Turning left / Travelling straight ahead	transport	No improper driving
						Property damage						Motor vehicle in	No improper driving / Failed to
27	2018-08-14	Tue	6:43 PM	Off-peak	2	0 only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead / Turning left	transport	yield right of way
						Property damage	-		Dark - lighted			Motor vehicle in	
28	2019-01-08	Tue	6:30 AM	Off-peak	2	0 only	Angle	Dry	roadway	Clear	Travelling straight ahead / Turning left	transport	No improper driving
						Property damage	-					Motor vehicle in	
29	2019-03-01	Fri	4:35 PM	Peak	2	0 only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead / Turning left	transport	Failed to yield right of way
						Property damage						Motor vehicle in	No improper driving / Failed to
30	2019-03-26	Tue	12:04 PM	Off-peak	2	0 only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead / Turning left	transport	yield right of way
						Property damage						Motor vehicle in	
31	2019-04-22	Mon	5:05 PM	Peak	4	0 only	Rear-end	Wet	Daylight	Rain	Travelling straight ahead	transport	Visibility obstructed
						Property damage						Motor vehicle in	
32	2019-04-22	Mon	3:35 PM	Peak	2	0 only	Angle	Wet	Daylight	Rain / Cloudy	Turning left / Travelling straight ahead	transport	Not reported
						Property damage					Slowing or stopped in traffic / Travelling	Motor vehicle in	
33	2019-08-14	Wed	1:24 PM	Off-peak	2	0 only	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	straight ahead	transport	No improper driving
											Travelling straight ahead / Slowing or	Motor vehicle in	
34	2019-08-27	Tue	12:54 PM	Off-peak	2	1 Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	stopped in traffic	transport	No improper driving
											Travelling straight ahead / Turning left /	Motor vehicle in	
35	2019-10-30	Wed	3:27 PM	Off-peak	3	1 Non-fatal injury	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Rain / Cloudy	Slowing or stopped in traffic	transport	No improper driving / Inattention
											Travelling straight ahead / Slowing or		
36	2019-11-01	Fri	8:39 AM	Peak	2	1 Non-fatal injury	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	stopped in traffic	Tree	No improper driving / Unknown
						Property damage			Dark - lighted			Motor vehicle in	
37	2019-12-28	Sat	3:49 AM	Off-peak	2	0 only	Angle	Wet	roadway	Cloudy	Travelling straight ahead	transport	Unknown



Addressing Safety, Mobility, and Access on Subregional Priority Roadways

### Table 6 Crash Data Lookup: Grove Street between Liberty Street and Columbian Street MassDOT Crash Data 2015–19

				Peak				Manner of	Road Surface	Ambient Light	Weather			
Index	Crash Date	Day	Time	Hour	# Veh	# Injured	Crash Severity	Collision	Conditions	Conditions	Conditions	Vehicle Actions Prior to Crash	Most Harmful Event	Driver Contributing Code
			-		_	<b>,</b>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Dark - lighted	Snow / Blowing	Travelling straight ahead /	Motor vehicle in	
1	2015-02-07	Sat	10:20 PM	Off-peak	2	c	Property damage onl	V Angle	Ice	roadway	sand, snow	Slowing or stopped in traffic	transport	No improper driving
								,				Slowing or stopped in traffic /	Motor vehicle in	
2	2015-02-26	Thu	12:46 PM	Off-peak	2	0	Property damage onl	V Rear-end	Snow	Davlight	Snow	Travelling straight ahead	transport	No improper driving
													•	Failure to keep in proper lane or
								Sinale vehicle		Dark - lighted				running off road / Other improper
3	2015-03-13	Fri	00:02 AM	Off-peak	1	0	Property damage onl	y crash	Drv	roadway	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	Other	action
				on pour				Sinale vehicle	,					
4	2015-07-01	Wed	4:09 PM	Peak	1	C	Property damage onl	y crash	Drv	Davlight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	Cyclist	No improper driving
-					-				,				Motor vehicle in	
5	2015-08-08	Sat	11:35 AM	Off-peak	2	1	Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Drv	Davlight	Clear / Other	Travelling straight ahead	transport	No improper driving
-	2010 00 00		11.00 / 111	on pour					5.9	Baynght			Motor vehicle in	
6	2016-01-15	Fri	4·24 PM	Peak	2		Property damage onl	V Rear-end	Drv	Davlight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	transport	No improper driving
	2010 01 10			i oun	-				5.9	Bayngin		Slowing or stopped in traffic /	Motor vehicle in	
7	2016-01-26	Tue	7.07 AM	Peak	2		Property damage onl	V Rear-end	Wet	Davlight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	transport	Other improper action
	2010 01 20			- Out			,			Bayngin		Slowing or stopped in traffic /	Motor vehicle in	
8	2016-05-13	Fri	2.53 PM	Off-neak	4	1	Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Dry	Davlight	Cloudy	Travelling straight ahead	transport	Reckless or erratic operation
-	2010 00 10		2.001 111		•	•		Sideswine same	2	Dark - lighted	Cloudy			
a	2016-06-26	Sun	3·48 AM	Off-neak	2	1	Non-fatal injury	direction		roadway	Clear	Travelling straight ahead / Parked	Troo	Fatigued/asleep / Inattention
5	2010-00-20	Oun	5. <del>1</del> 0 AM	оп-реак	2				Diy			Slowing or stopped in traffic /	Motor vehicle in	
10	2016-08-29	Mon	3.24 PM	Peak	3		Property damage onl	V Rear-end	Dry	Davlight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	transport	No improper driving
10	2010-00-23	IVIOIT	5.541 10	T Cak	5				Diy	Daylight	oicai	Slowing or stopped in traffic /	Motor vehicle in	
11	2016-00-01	Thu	0.01 AM	Peak	3	1	Non-fatal iniury	Pear and	W/ot	Davlight	Cloudy / Pain	Travelling straight ahead	transport	No improper driving
	2010-09-01	THU	9.01 AW	I Cak	5			Iteal-enu	WEL	Daylight		Travelling straight ahead /	Motor vehicle in	
12	2016 10 02	Sun	5.48 DM	Off poak	3		Property damage onl	V Poor and	W/ot	Davlight	Cloudy	Slowing or stopped in traffic	transport	Distracted
12	2010-10-02	Sun	5.40 F M	Оп-реак	5	L L	) i toperty damage om		WEL	Daylight	Cloudy		Motor vehicle in	Distracted
13	2017-01-24	Tuo	8.20 01	Peak	5		Property damage onl	V Rear and	W/ot	Davlight	Pain	Slowing or stopped in traffic	transport	No improper driving
15	2017-01-24	Tue	0.20 AM	I Cak	5	C C	) i toporty damage om	y iteai-enu	WEL	Daylight	IXaiii	Turning left / Travelling straight	Motor vehicle in	
14	2017 06 02	Eri	11.24 AM	Off poak	2		Non fatal injuny	Boar and	Dn/	Davlight	Cloar	ahead	transport	No impropor driving
14	2017-00-02		11.24 Alvi	Оп-реак	2	2		Real-ellu	Diy	Daylight	Cieai	Slowing or stoppod in traffic /	Motor vohicle in	
15	2017 00 12	Wod	2.24 DM	Off pook	2		Property damage onl	V Door ond		Doulight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	transport	No impropor driving
15	2017-09-13	weu	3.24 FIVI	Oll-peak	2	L L		y Real-enu	Diy	Daylight Dark - lighted	Ciedi	Travelling straight ahead /	Motor vehicle in	
16	2017 12 20	Wod	1:46 DM	Dook	2		Property damage onl	V Door ond		roadway	Clear	Slowing or stopped in traffic	transport	Followed too placely
10	2017-12-20	weu	4.40 FIVI	reak	3	L C	I Toperty damage on	y Real-ellu	Diy	Todoway	Cieai	Slowing of stopped in traffic /	Matar vahiala in	
47	2019 05 09	Tue	2.22 DM	Off pools	2		Non fotal injuny	Deer and		Doulight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	transport	No improper driving / Institution
17	2010-00-00	Tue	3.23 PIVI	Оп-реак	3		i Non-latal injury	Real-enu	Diy	Daylight	Clear	Clowing or stopped in troffic /	Matar vahiala in	
40	2010 00 10	Man	0.50 414	Deels			Non fotol inium	Deerand		Dauliaht	Clear	Travelling straight aboad	transport	
18	2018-06-18	IVION	8:53 AIVI	Реак	2		i Non-latal injury	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Clowing or stopped in troffic /	Matar vahiala in	No improper anving
10	2018 00 10	Tue	7.00 414	Deels			Nen fetel inium	Deerend		Dauliaht	Clear	Slowing or stopped in traffic /	transport	
19	2018-06-19	Tue	7:38 AIVI	Реак	3	1	i Non-latal Injury	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Clauring straight arread	Matar vahiala in	No improper anving
	0040 00 05	<b>T</b>	7.00 414	Deels				Description	Deri	Devilation		Travelling straight should	transport	
20	2018-09-25	Tue	7:09 AM	Реак	3		i Non-tatal Injury	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear			No Improper ariving
	0040 44 07		0 57 444	0.11			Dranarty damage and		XA/ . 1			Clowing or stopped in traffic	transport	
21	2018-11-07	Wed	6:57 AM	Off-peak	2	C	) Property damage on	y Rear-end	Wet	Daylight	Clear		transport	No improper driving
	0040 44 5-	-	0 00		-	-	Droportu donaces			Dark - lighted		I ravelling straight ahead /	iviotor venicle in	Inottontion / No improved driving
22	2018-11-27	lue	6:30 PM	Peak	2	C	roperty damage on	y Angle	Dry	Toauway	Clear			Inaltention / No Improper driving
		-			-	-						Slowing or stopped in traffic /	iviotor venicle in	ino improper ariving / Other
23	2019-06-06	Thu	7:16 AM	Peak	3	C	Property damage onl	y Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Cloudy	Travelling straight ahead		improper action
_												I ravelling straight ahead /	Motor vehicle in	No improper driving / Visibility
24	2019-10-16	Wed	7:50 AM	Peak	3	C	Property damage onl	y Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Slowing or stopped in traffic	transport	obstructed

### Table 6 Crash Data Lookup: Grove Street between Liberty Street and Columbian Street MassDOT Crash Data 2015–19

25	<b>5</b> 201	9-11-24	Sun	10:35 PM	Off-peak	1	1	Non-fatal injury	Single vehicle crash	Wet	Dark - lighted roadway	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	Utility pole	Failure to keep in proper lane or running off road / Fatigued/asleep
											Dark - lighted			Motor vehicle in	
26	<b>3</b> 2019	9-12-19	Thu	4:55 PM	Peak	3	1	Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Dry	roadway	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	transport	No improper driving / Inattention
													Travelling straight ahead /	Motor vehicle in	
27	201	9-12-20	Fri	2:28 PM	Off-peak	3	1	Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Slowing or stopped in traffic	transport	No improper driving / Inattention



Addressing Safety, Mobility, and Access on Subregional Priority Roadways

# Table 7Crash Data Lookup: Grove Street at Columbian StreetMassDOT Crash Data 2015–19

								Road Surface	Ambient	Weather	Vahiala Actiona Brian		
Index	Crash Date	Day	Time	Peak Hour	# Veh	# Injured Crash Severity	Manner of Collision	Conditions	Conditions	Conditions	Crash	Most Harmful Event	Driver Contributing Code
	0045 00 44	0.1	0.07 DM	011	_		P I	NA / . /	Durble		Trovelling straight shood	Matar vahiala in transport	
1	2015-03-14	Sat	3:37 PM	Оп-реак	2	2 Non-fatal injury	Rear-end Sideswine opposite	vvet	Daylight	Cloudy / Rain	Travelling straight ahead /		
2	2015-07-09	Thu	11:01 AM	Off-peak	2	0 Property damage only	direction	Drv	Davlight	Cloudy / Clear	Turning left	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
				en peux									
											Slowing or stopped in traffic /		
3	2015-08-16	Sun	9:33 AM	Off-peak	2	2 Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	I ravelling straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
4	2015-08-28	Fri	2:22 PM	Off-peak	2	Unknown Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Entering traffic lane	Motor vehicle in transport	Inattention
											Turning left / Travelling		
5	2015-10-12	Mon	7·58 AM	Peak	3	0 Property damage only	Angle	Dry	Davlight	Clear	straight ahead / Slowing or stopped in traffic	Motor vehicle in transport	Glare / Failed to vield right of way
5	2013-10-12	NOT	7.50 AM	I Cak	5		Angle	Diy	Daylight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead /		
6	2015-11-15	Sun	3:07 PM	Off-peak	2	0 Property damage only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Turning left	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
											Travelling straight ahead /		
7	2015-11-22	Sun	12:42 PM	Off-peak	2	Unknown Non-fatal injury	Head-on	Wet	Daylight	Cloudy / Rain	Turning left	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
0	2016 02 24	Wod	7.33 014	Poak	2	0 Property damage only	Poor and	W/ot	Down	Cloudy / Pain	Travelling straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	Followed too closely / Inattention
0	2010-02-24	vveu	7.33 AM	reak	2		Real-ellu	WEL	Dawii		Travelling straight ahead /		
9	2016-04-24	Sun	3:10 PM	Off-peak	2	0 Property damage only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Turning left	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
		_									Entering traffic lane /		Estada vialdaiska formu
10	2016-05-17	Tue	3:59 PM	Реак	2	1 Non-fatal injury	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	
											Turning left / Travelling		
11	2016-06-01	Wed	7:11 AM	Peak	3	Unknown Non-fatal injury	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	Failed to yield right of way
		. ·	0.54.514	0.00		o Droporty domogo oply		_	5		Slowing or stopped in traffic /	Motor vohiolo in transport	
12	2016-08-20	Sat	6:51 PM	Off-peak	2	0 Property damage only	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear			No improper driving
13	2016-11-01	Tue	8:45 AM	Peak	2	1 Non-fatal injury	Angle	Drv	Davlight	Clear	Turning left / Not reported	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
14	2017-01-09	Mon	7:07 AM	Peak	2	0 Property damage only	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Slowing or stopped in traffic	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
									Dark - lighted		Travelling straight ahead /		
15	2017-01-09	Mon	4:55 PM	Peak	2	0 Property damage only	Angle	Dry	roadway	Clear	Not reported	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
									Dark lighted				
16	2017-01-18	Wed	11.18 PM	Off-neak	1	0 Property damage only	Single vehicle crash	Wet	roadway	Cloudy / Rain	Turning right	Litility pole	Inattention
	2011/01/10			on pour			Sideswipe, opposite				Travelling straight ahead /		
17	2017-05-22	Mon	1:11 PM	Off-peak	2	1 Non-fatal injury	direction	Wet	Daylight	Cloudy / Rain	Turning left	Motor vehicle in transport	Unknown
					_	Descente descente ante					Olau in a stand in tarffic	Matan	
18	2017-05-31	Wed	10:43 AM	Off-peak	2		Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Slowing or stopped in traffic	wotor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
											Slowing or stopped in traffic /		
19	2017-07-05	Wed	3:30 PM	Peak	2	3 Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
									-		Turning left / Travelling		No improper driving / Failed to yield right of
20	2018-01-16	Tue	8:47 AM	Peak	2	0 Property damage only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	way / Inattention
	0040.04.04	1.01	7.00 414	Deel	_	O Droporty demose anti-	America	Deri	Deviliant		Travelling straight ahead /	Motor vobiolo in transport	No impropor driving / Clore
21	2018-01-31	vved	7:29 AM	Peak	2	U Property damage only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear		iviolor venicle in transport	no improper unving / Glare

# Table 7Crash Data Lookup: Grove Street at Columbian StreetMassDOT Crash Data 2015–19

								Road	Ambient				
								Surface	Light	Weather	Vehicle Actions Prior		
Index	Crash Date	Day	Time	Peak Hour	# Veh # Injured	Crash Severity	Manner of Collision	Conditions	Conditions	Conditions	Crash	Most Harmful Event	Driver Contributing Code
											Travelling straight ahead /		
22	2018-02-07	Wed	7:58 AM	Peak	2 1	Non-fatal injury	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Cloudy	Turning left	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
											Turning left / Travelling		
23	2018-03-23	Fri	4:38 PM	Peak	2 0	Property damage only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
													Swerving or avoiding due to wind, slippery
											Entering traffic lane /		surface, vehicle, object, non-motorist in
24	2018-04-27	Fri	5:07 PM	Peak	2 0	Property damage only	Angle	Wet	Daylight	Rain	Travelling straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	roadway, etc
											Slowing or stopped in traffic /		
25	2018-08-09	Thu	4:58 PM	Peak	3 1	Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Travelling straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving / Followed too closely
											Travelling straight ahead /		
26	2019-04-09	Tue	8:50 AM	Peak	2 0	Property damage only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Cloudy	Turning left	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
27	2019-05-08	Wed	7:00 AM	Peak	2 1	Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Slowing or stopped in traffic	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving / Inattention
											Travelling straight ahead /		No improper driving / Failed to yield right of
28	2019-09-29	Sun	3:05 PM	Off-peak	2 0	Property damage only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Turning left	Motor vehicle in transport	way
											Travelling straight ahead /		No improper driving / Failed to vield right of
29	2019-11-18	Mon	11·53 AM	Off-neak	2 0	Property damage only	Angle	Wet	Davlight	Rain	Turning left	Motor vehicle in transport	way
20	2010 11 10	Thu	C.EC AM		4 0	Proporty damage only	Cingle vehicle creek		Daylight	Clear	Travelling straight aboad	Other	Driving too fast for conditions
30	2019-11-21	Thu	6:56 AIVI	Оп-реак	I U	Froperty damage only	Single vehicle crash	ice	Daylight	Clear		Other	Driving too last for conditions
													No improper driving / Faligued/asieep /
													surface vehicle object non-motorist in
21	2010 11 21	Thu	1.43 DM	Off pook	2 2	Non fatal injuny	Poar and		Davlight	Cloar	Travelling straight ahead	Other movable object	roadway etc
31	2019-11-21	mu	1.45 F W	Оп-реак	2 2	. Non-ialai injui y	rteal-ellu	Ыу	Daylight Dark lighted	Ciedi	Travelling straight shood /		No improper driving / Foiled to viold right of
22	2010 12 07	Sat	6.07 DM	Off pook	2 0	Property damage only	Anglo	Dry		Clear	Turning left	Other moveble chiest	
32	2019-12-07	ડ્યા	0.07 PIVI	On-peak	2 0	in roperty damage only	Sidoswino, opposito	ыу	Dark lighted	Cieai	Travelling straight aboad /		No improper driving / Epiled to vield right of
22	2010 12 14	Sat	4.26 DM	Off pook	2 0	Property damage only	direction	\M/ot		Dain	Turning left	Motor vehicle in transport	
33	2019-12-14	Sat	4.30 PIVI	Оп-реак	2 0	In Toperty damage only	unection	vvet	loauway	Ralli		initial vehicle in transport	way



Addressing Safety, Mobility, and Access on Subregional Priority Roadways

# Table 8Crash Data Lookup: Columbian Street between Grove Street and Weymouth BorderMassDOT Crash Data 2015–19

								Road	Ambient				
				Peak				Surface	Light	Weather	Vehicle Actions Prior		
Index	Crash Date	Day	Time	Hour	# Veh	# Injured Crash Severity	Manner of Collision	Conditions	Conditions	Conditions	Crash	Most Harmful Event	Driver Contributing Code
1	2015-12-0	1 Tue	3:54 PM	Peak	2	0 Property damage only	Angle	Wet	Dusk	Rain	Travelling straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
											Turning left / Travelling		
2	2016-02-1	6 Tue	8:57 AM	Peak	2	1 Non-fatal injury	Angle	Wet	Daylight	Cloudy	straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
											Travelling straight ahead /		
3	2016-07-1	9 Tue	2:03 PM	Off-peak	2	1 Non-fatal injury	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Entering traffic lane	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
											Travelling straight ahead /		
4	2016-07-2	7 Wed	8:33 AM	Peak	2	0 Property damage only	Angle	Dry	Daylight	Clear / Cloudy	Turning left	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
											Turning left / Travelling		
5	2017-09-1	1 Mon	1:45 PM	Off-peak	2	2 Non-fatal injury	Rear-end	Dry	Daylight	Clear / Cloudy	straight ahead	Motor vehicle in transport	No improper driving
6	2019-03-0	9 Sat	11:14 AM	Off-peak	1	1 Non-fatal injury	Single vehicle crash	Dry	Daylight	Clear	Turning right	Pedestrian	No improper driving

### APPENDIX H

Automatic Traffic Recorder Counts April 7–13, 2021

WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES Starting: 4/7/2021 Page: 1

Station #: 2 Site ID: 000 Location: G Direction: H	210020000 000000010 cove Stre ROAD TOTA	125 3 et EB, a L	t Grove	Circle	STA	IEB	Fi Ci Co	le: D040 ty: Brai unty: sp	6002.prn ntree eed	
TIME	MON 12	TUE 13	WED 7	ТНU 8	FRI 9	WKDAY AVG	SAT 10	SUN 11	WEEK AVG	TOTAL
01.00	11	14	28	26	29	22	12	44	29	104
02:00	16	16	20	17	10	15	22	14	17	101
03:00	13	14	6	17	11	10	12	14	10	121
04:00	15	24	7	6	11	10	13	0	10	10
05:00	25	19	13	15	10	10	17	0	17	116
06:00	64	69	65	57	64	64	32	21	57	372
07:00	172	181	169	175	178	175	90	71	149	1025
08:00	339	344	318	350	324	335	202	142	200	2010
09.00	418	392	382	384	504	416	274	190	363	2511
10:00	357	393	370	377	453	390	394	251	371	2595
11:00	398	376	418	437	447	415	460	364	414	2900
12:00	467	437	462	450	510	465	620	424	481	3370
13:00	523	549	504	495	570	528	659	547	550	3847
14:00	504	516	539	516	618	539	581	494	538	3768
15:00	670	687	733	671	665	685	575	501	643	4502
16:00	705	714	721	663	827	726	561	490	669	4681
17:00	725	702	716	727	664	707	521	413	638	4468
18:00	717	731	745	757	760	742	471	380	652	4561
19:00	477	554	568	562	583	549	415	304	495	3463
20:00	385	401	412	456	423	415	370	228	382	2675
21:00	233	264	283	283	306	274	261	156	255	1786
22:00	130	160	149	160	195	159	173	88	151	1055
23:00	84	82	72	121	125	97	116	60	94	660
24:00	29	44	43	44	90	50	87	43	54	380
TOTALS	7468	7667	7731	7756	8391	7803	6978	5247	7319	51238
% AVG WKDY	95.7	98.3	99.1	99.4	107.5		89.4	67.2		
% AVG WEEK	102.0	104.8	105.6	106.0	114.6		95.3	71.7		
AM Times	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	
AM Peaks	467	437	462	450	510	465	620	424	481	
PM Times	17:00	18:00	18:00	18:00	16:00	18:00	13:00	13:00	16:00	
PM Peaks	725	731	745	757	827	742	659	547	669	

44

EB 7803 WB <u>8343</u> COMB AND 16146 FAC 192 COMB ADT 14,8900

WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES Page: 1 Starting: 4/7/2021

Station #: 2 Site ID: 000 Location: G Direction: F	210020000 000000010 cove Stre ROAD TOTA	112 4 et WB, a L	t Grove	Circle	STA	IWB	Fi Ci Co	le: D040 ty: Brai unty: sp	6004.prn ntree eed	
TIME	MON 12	TUE 13	WED 7	THU 8	FRI 9	WKDAY AVG	SAT 10	SUN 11	WEEK AVG	TOTAL
01:00	13	0	13	11	14	12	32	11	19	136
02:00	11	6	10	11	8	22	17	15	11	130
02.00	10	7	0	5	15	0	16	13	10	74
03.00	21	22	10	21	21	21	24	10	20	120
04.00	69	23	01	21	21	70	23	11	62	130
05.00	105	202	105	200	105	107	23	20	156	1005
07:00	265	502	733	200	247	197	120	39	220	1095
07.00	5/3	614	515	552	510	547	123	120	320	2237
00:00	510	570	504	556	536	555	200	241	444	2/12
10:00	551	550	563	602	541	563	521	241	400	3603
11:00	546	535	562	532	520	541	542	470	520	3716
12:00	505	503	502	505	563	534	606	523	542	3707
13:00	532	534	571	193	611	549	650	509	570	3080
14:00	569	522	593	500	613	559	676	550	575	4023
15:00	584	565	708	560	645	612	531	492	584	4025
16:00	611	571	689	626	659	631	540	452	505	4005
17:00	557	549	583	558	635	576	530	392	545	3813
18:00	529	617	558	573	615	578	464	362	531	3718
19:00	429	461	454	438	472	451	399	299	422	2952
20:00	349	370	366	376	474	377	340	230	351	2455
21:00	231	232	233	269	249	243	210	146	224	1570
22:00	112	147	136	142	160	139	143	71	130	911
23:00	87	88	73	96	128	94	112	48	90	632
24:00	55	64	53	74	67	63	79	41	62	433
TOTALS	7963	8430	8509	8184	8637	8343	7307	5624	7810	54654
% AVG WKDY	95.4	101.0	102.0	98.1	103.5		87.6	67.4		
% AVG WEEK	102.0	107.9	109.0	104.8	110.6		93.6	72.0		
AM Times	10:00	08:00	09:00	10:00	12:00	10:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	
AM Peaks	551	614	594	602	563	563	606	523	542	
PM Times	16:00	18:00	15:00	16:00	16:00	16:00	14:00	13:00	16:00	
PM Peaks	611	617	708	626	659	631	676	598	595	

WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES Starting: 4/7/2021 Page: 1

File: D0406006.prn

City: Braintree

County: speed

Station #: 210020000018 Site ID: 00000000203 Location: Grove St.EB,btwn.Hannah Niles Wy/Hemlock Direction: ROAD TOTAL

TIME	MON	TUE	WED 7	THU	FRI	WKDAY	SAT	SUN	WEEK	TOTAL
01:00	12	14	27	25	28	21	44	42	27	192
02:00	16	18	10	17	20	16	33	15	18	129
03:00	16	13	6	8	11	11	17	7	11	78
04:00	5	5	5	5	6	5	12	8	7	46
05:00	19	15	12	11	15	14	19	6	1.4	97
06:00	69	67	72	56	59	65	27	20	53	370
07:00	152	172	149	166	176	163	74	62	136	951
08:00	330	317	312	334	306	320	208	151	280	1958
09:00	413	392	380	391	509	417	269	177	362	2531
10:00	347	385	366	379	444	384	383	247	364	2551
11:00	392	366	418	436	431	409	463	366	410	2872
12:00	460	451	450	447	525	467	611	421	481	3365
13:00	518	549	529	490	585	534	669	543	555	3883
14:00	510	500	538	518	607	535	614	505	542	3792
15:00	652	681	743	663	68.6	685	577	491	642	4493
16:00	704	754	719	688	862	745	572	498	685	4797
17:00	756	733	727	763	693	734	501	415	655	4588
18:00	704	751	755	798	767	755	465	384	661	4624
19:00	491	579	589	566	591	563	411	310	505	3537
20:00	391	404	416	445	414	414	377	221	381	2668
21:00	240	275	283	290	313	280	265	164	261	1830
22:00	134	162	154	159	190	160	167	80	151	1055
23:00	82	77	73	117	128	95	110	55	92	642
24:00	33	51	44	54	92	55	96	45	59	415
TOTALS	7446	7731	7777	7826	8458	7847	6984	5242	7352	51464
% AVG WKDY	94.9	98.5	99.1	99.7	107.8		89.0	66.8		
% AVG WEEK	101.3	105.2	105.8	106.4	115.0		95.0	71.3		
AM Times	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	
AM Peaks	460	451	450	447	525	467	611	421	481	
PM Times	17:00	16:00	18:00	18:00	16:00	18:00	13:00	13:00	16:00	
PM Peaks	756	754	755	798	862	755	669	543	685	

44

EB 7847 WB 7949 COMB AWD 15 796 FAC .92 COMB ADT 19,500

WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES Starting: 4/7/2021

Page: 1

STA. 2 WB Station #: 210020000057 Site ID: 00000000204 Location: Grove St.WB, btwn.Hannah Niles Wy/Hemlock Direction: ROAD TOTAL

TIME	MON 12	TUE 13	WED 7	THU 8	FRI 9	WKDAY AVG	SAT 10	SUN 11	WEEK AVG	TOTAL
01.00	10		10							
01:00	12	9	13	9	14	11	27	34	17	118
02:00	10	5	1	9	8	8	17	12	10	68
03:00	9	17	9	4	12	8	11	9	9	61
04:00	19	17	17	19	17	18	21	1	17	117
05:00	102	70	85	78	15	/4	21	12	58	403
06:00	183	199	193	198	185	192	62	36	151	1056
07:00	353	574	330	384	334	395	129	85	313	2189
08:00	523	5/4	491	525	494	521	213	130	421	2950
09:00	495	556	574	533	513	534	382	224	468	3277
10:00	529	525	539	589	497	536	497	338	502	3514
11:00	524	503	528	523	512	518	517	451	508	3558
12:00	480	481	582	481	517	508	573	500	516	3614
13:00	513	508	551	483	575	526	615	574	546	3819
14:00	531	478	582	478	570	528	642	529	544	3810
15:00	. 554	504	707	535	624	585	507	495	561	3926
16:00	589	552	660	580	627	602	496	439	563	3943
17:00	539	508	569	527	583	545	487	394	515	3607
18:00	490	559	533	537	569	538	437	345	496	3470
19:00	398	453	430	418	452	430	380	295	404	2826
20:00	338	350	351	353	393	357	328	232	335	2345
21:00	228	228	220	255	250	236	207	138	218	1526
22:00	107	142	130	132	152	133	133	69	124	865
23:00	88	89	69	96	119	92	105	50	88	616
24:00	51	54	42	63	62	54	70	32	53	374
TOTALS	7625	7945	8212	7809	8154	7949	6877	5430	7437	52052
% AVG WKDY	95.9	99.9	103.3	98.2	102.6		86.5	68.3		
% AVG WEEK	102.5	106.8	110.4	105.0	109.6		92.5	73.0		
AM Times	10:00	07:00	12:00	10:00	12:00	10:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	
AM Peaks	529	574	582	589	517	536	573	500	516	
PM Times	16:00	18:00	15:00	16:00	16:00	16:00	14:00	13:00	16:00	
PM Peaks	589	559	707	580	627	602	642	574	563	

File: D0406008.prn

City: Braintree

County: speed

WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES Starting: 4/7/2021

Page: 1

				0	curering.					
Station #: 2 Site ID: 000	STA.3EB			File: D0406010.prn City: Braintree						
Location: Grove St.EB, btwn.Liberty St.& Otoole Ter County: speed Direction: ROAD TOTAL										
TIME	MON	TUE	WED	THU	FRI	WKDAY	SAT	SUN	WEEK	TOTAL
	12			8 		AVG	10		AVG	
01:00	13	13	18	28	32	21	43	41	27	188
02:00	15	13	12	20	16	15	34	15	18	125
03:00	10	9	7	10	12	10	11	8	10	67
04:00	4	7	8	10	9	8	12	8	8	58
05:00	30	35	28	19	31	29	18	11	25	172
06:00	95	97	92	80	85	90	39	35	75	523
07:00	218	252	240	245	263	244	112	90	203	1420
08:00	455	469	453	480	472	466	240	147	388	2716
09:00	509	514	503	512	650	538	348	242	468	3278
10:00	448	473	455	453	563	478	503	353	464	3248
11:00	419	472	461	492	509	471	540	415	473	3308
12:00	512	503	534	526	582	531	686	513	551	3856
13:00	605	619	604	573	646	609	752	601	629	4400
14:00	585	573	584	626	675	609	706	586	619	4335
15:00	698	706	863	705	814	757	671	570	718	5027
16:00	845	893	850	860	1050	900	610	548	808	5656
17:00	806	857	880	883	839	853	567	454	755	5286
18:00	763	828	829	885	944	850	518	403	739	5170
19:00	574	661	629	693	630	637	442	329	565	3958
20:00	385	428	474	464	470	444	* 383	235	406	2839
21:00	266	286	295	320	334	300	267	177	278	1945
22:00	134	158	168	176	204	168	169	81	156	1090
23:00	92	97	80	120	123	102	116	49	97	677
24:00	29	37	39	45	87	47	84	33	51	354
TOTALS	8510	9000	9106	9225	10040	9177	7871	5944	8531	59696
% AVG WKDY	92.7	98.1	99.2	100.5	109.4		85.8	64.8		
% AVG WEEK	99.8	105.5	106.7	108.1	117.7		92.3	69.7		
AM Times	12:00	09:00	12:00	12:00	09:00	09:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	
AM Peaks	512	514	534	526	650	538	686	513	551	
PM Times	16:00	16:00	17:00	18:00	16:00	16:00	13:00	13:00	16:00	
PM Peaks	845	893	880	885	1050	900	752	601	808	

893 880

845

PM Peaks

1050

44

EB 9177 WB 9691 COMB AWD 18868 FAC ,92 COMB ADT 17,400

WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES Starting: 4/7/2021

Page: 1

Station #: 210020000148 Site ID: 00000000304 Location: Grove St.WB, btwn.Liberty St.				t.& Otoo	STA · 2 le Ter	swB	File: D0406012.prn City: Braintree County: speed			
TIME	MON 12	TUE 13	WED 7	THU 8	FRI 9	WKDAY AVG	SAT 10	SUN 11	WEEK AVG	TOTAL
	<del>12 (10) (10) (10)</del> (10) (10)									
01:00	13	13	24	19	21	18	46	49	26	185
02:00	13	11	9	10	11	11	27	19	14	100
03:00	10	19	18	14	21	16	14	12	15	108
04:00	9	18	17	18	17	16	19	7	15	105
05:00	78	79	81	74	80	78	25	11	61	428
06:00	162	195	187	189	172	181	54	32	142	991
07:00	357	624	328	389	319	403	131	70	317	2218
08:00	546	652	537	565	506	561	280	160	464	3246
09:00	573	611	647	635	639	621	401	261	538	3767
10:00	593	589	679	711	620	638	574	390	594	4156
11:00	587	542	682	608	593	602	627	510	593	4149
12:00	604	611	719	571	618	625	656	577	622	4356
13:00	621	651	681	622	-714	658	717	633	663	4639
14:00	616	599	727	553	707	640	750	647	657	4599
15:00	638	643	899	638	797	723	642	564	689	4821
16:00	709	754	848	773	792	775	663	551	727	5090
17:00	715	713	766	735	833	752	667	449	697	4878
18:00	655	775	673	711	775	718	523	373	641	4485
19:00	541	559	596	589	566	570	470	359	526	3680
20:00	389	431	447	430	495	438	392	274	408	2858
21:00	266	322	282	334	316	304	257	152	276	1929
22:00	135	158	204	190	184	174	172	96	163	1139
23:00	93	77	96	100	130	99	137	77	101	710
24:00	61	74	59	77	81	70	102	42	71	496
TOTALS	8984	9720	10206	9555	10007	9691	8346	6315	9020	63133
% AVG WKDY	92.7	100.3	105.3	98.6	103.3		86.1	65.2		
% AVG WEEK	99.6	107.8	113.1	105.9	110.9		92.5	70.0		
AM Times	12:00	08:00	12:00	10:00	09:00	10:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	
AM Peaks	604	652	719	711	639	638	656	577	622	
PM Times	17:00	18:00	15:00	16:00	17:00	16:00	14:00	14:00	16:00	
PM Peaks	715	775	899	773	833	775	750	647	727	
WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES Starting: 4/7/2021

Page: 1

					5195 N. G. M. D. S.	at ctoreare				
Station #: 2 Site ID: 000 Location: P Direction: I	21002000011 2000000403 lain St. EB ROAD TOTAL	3 , West	of John	Mahar H	STA . ighway	4-EB	Fi Ci Co	le: D040 ty: Brai unty: vo	6013.prn ntree lume	
TIME	MON 12	TUE 13	WED 7	THU 8	FRI 9	WKDAY AVG	SAT 10	SUN 11	WEEK AVG	TOTAL
01:00	17	12	12	28	25	19	30	34	24	167
02:00	12	13	8	12	13	12	26	14	14	107
03:00	9	17	9	11	16	12	12	10	12	94
04:00	1.4	12	9	0	15	12	10	10	12	02
05:00	15	55	11	16	10	12	17	10	37	261
06:00	139	130	146	125	122	132	10	20	106	201
07:00	221	239	246	234	220	232	100	79	103	1349
08:00	367	121	353	413	275	306	200	144	225	2072
09.00	119	421	133	410	110	126	200	100	374	2615
10:00	370	227	301	303	440	270	200	245	3/4	2015
11:00	378	300	304	417	405	100	112	245	300	2303
12.00	410	380	113	417	415	400	597	367	390	2/0/
13:00	410	116	415	455	511	425	507	171	440	3300
14:00	420	130	431	403	560	400	5/1	4/1	404	2215
15:00	566	603	503	570	617	502	501	441	554	3977
16:00	573	673	617	555	681	620	100	376	566	3065
17:00	590	580	620	611	587	598	127	310	533	3734
18.00	539	613	632	617	658	612	133	279	530	3771
19:00	358	419	450	478	483	438	361	255	401	2804
20:00	287	328	331	356	355	331	303	187	307	2147
21.00	152	180	188	214	236	194	214	117	186	1301
22:00	129	122	105	134	156	129	140	83	124	869
23:00	71	77	64	93	91	79	98	53	78	547
24:00	31	37	31	30	81	42	61	26	42	297
TOTALS	6554	6941	6956	7163	7618	7049	6313	4509	6581	46054
8 AVG WKDY	93.0	98.5	98.7	101.6	108.1		89.6	64.0		
% AVG WEEK	99.6	105.5	105.7	108.8	115.8		95.9	68.5		
AM Times	09:00	00:80	09:00	12:00	12:00	09:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	
AM Peaks	419	421	432	435	477	426	587	367	440	
PM Times	17:00	16:00	18:00	18:00	16:00	16:00	13:00	13:00	16:00	
PM Peaks	590	673	632	617	681	620	587	471	566	

PM Peaks

45

EB 7049 WB 7267 WB 7267 COMB AWD 14 316 FAC ,92 (.99) COMB ADT 13,000

WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES Starting: 4/7/2021 Page: 1

File: D0406014.prn City: Braintree County: volume

Station #: 21002	20000114					STA.	4 WB
Site ID: 0000000	000404						
Location: Plain	St. WB,	West	of	John	Mahar	Highway	
Direction: ROAD	TOTAL						

TIME	MON 12	TUE 13	WED 7	THU 8	FRI 9	WKDAY AVG	SAT 10	SUN 11	WEEK AVG	TOTAL
01:00	25	20	20	23	25	23	53	49	31	215
02:00	15	14	15	19	16	16	22	29	19	130
03:00	8	9	7	8	14	9	16	15	11	77
04:00	7	17	13	13	13	13	16	9	13	88
05:00	28	38	40	48	37	38	23	8	32	222
06:00	100	110	113	102	99	105	40	26	84	590
07:00	261	483	230	274	251	300	97	60	237	1656
08:00	415	486	435	453	411	440	197	138	362	2535
09:00	413	454	473	511	444	459	309	220	403	2824
10:00	422	404	466	535	409	447	409	274	417	2919
11:00	424	386	509	460	448	445	460	344	433	3031
12:00	427	421	532	447	452	456	461	428	453	3168
13:00	449	458	544	502	512	493	541	470	497	3476
14:00	495	427	558	476	556	502	573	397	497	3482
15:00	526	578	682	529	578	579	466	425	541	3784
16:00	525	547	640	571	586	574	496	398	538	3763
17:00	521	519	565	518	577	540	465	345	501	3510
18:00	508	510	558	532	519	525	402	330	480	3359
19:00	355	445	440	412	449	420	365	295	394	2761
20:00	308	344	351	354	350	341	332	234	325	2273
21:00	218	219	239	271	251	240	219	145	223	1562
22:00	119	145	119	136	141	132	160	81	129	901
23:00	79	97	79	90	115	92	124	53	91	637
24:00	52	85	74	65	112	78	98	48	76	534
TOTALS	6700	7216	7702	7349	7365	7267	6344	4821	6787	47497
% AVG WKDY	92.2	99.3	106.0	101.1	101.3		87.3	66.3		
% AVG WEEK	98.7	106.3	113.5	108.3	108.5		93.5	71.0		
AM Times	12:00	08:00	12:00	10:00	12:00	09:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	
AM Peaks	427	486	532	535	452	459	461	428	453	
PM Times	15:00	15:00	15:00	16:00	16:00	15:00	14:00	13:00	15:00	
PM Peaks	526	578	682	571	586	579	573	470	541	

WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES Starting: 4/7/2021 Page: 1

File: D0406015.prn

City: Braintree

County: volume

Station #: 21002000086 Site ID: 00000000501 Location: John Mahar Highway NB, N.of Plain St. Direction: ROAD TOTAL

TIME	MON 12	TUE 13	WED 7	THU 8	FRI 9	WKDAY AVG	SAT 10	SUN 11	WEEK AVG	TOTAL
01:00	16	12	11	26	14	16	23	33	19	135
02:00	6	5	6	9	12	8	17	13	10	68
03:00	16	13	9	10	10	12	7	6	10	71
04:00	26	15	15	15	13	17	21	8	16	113
05:00	70	80	81	77	88	79	20	9	61	425
06:00	212	228	225	213	194	214	58	35	166	1165
07:00	325	309	327	315	308	317	132	86	257	1802
08:00	459	488	414	430	405	439	186	117	357	2499
09:00	426	468	446	445	442	445	334	183	392	2744
10:00	423	403	419	392	438	415	418	307	400	2800
11:00	426	476	418	454	479	451	499	428	454	3180
12:00	428	428	436	437	489	444	572	460	464	3250
13:00	458	460	439	447	528	466	562	498	485	3392
14:00	469	468	453	464	577	486	520	484	491	3435
15:00	427	520	519	452	533	490	463	434	478	3348
16:00	457	480	508	487	529	492	429	402	470	3292
17:00	427	436	467	428	482	448	448	335	432	3023
18:00	405	473	413	418	511	444	409	316	421	2945
19:00	330	406	398	373	397	381	377	275	365	2556
20:00	267	295	325	333	347	313	289	158	288	2014
21:00	176	198	190	196	234	199	176	114	183	1284
22:00	130	131	116	119	144	128	107	91	120	838
23:00	74	76	70	84	105	82	96	49	79	554
24:00	34	34	35	40	34	35	57	24	37	258
TOTALS	6487	6902	6740	6664	7313	6821	6220	4865	6455	45191
% AVG WKDY	95.1	101.2	98.8	97.7	107.2		91.2	71.3		
% AVG WEEK	100.5	106.9	104.4	103.2	113.3		96.4	75.4		
AM Times	08:00	08:00	09:00	11:00	12:00	11:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	
AM Peaks	459	488	446	454	489	451	572	460	464	
PM Times	14:00	15:00	15:00	16:00	14:00	16:00	13:00	13:00	14:00	
PM Peaks	469	520	519	487	577	492	562	498	491	

45

NB 6821 SB 6807 comp AND 13 628 FAC 192 (.99) comp APT 12,400

WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES Starting: 4/7/2021

STA.55B Station #: 210020000158 File: D0406016.prn Site ID: 00000000502 City: Braintree Location: John Mahar Highway SB, N.of Plain St. County: volume Direction: ROAD TOTAL TUE WED THU TIME MON FRI WKDAY SAT SUN WEEK 12 13 9 7 8 AVG 10 11 AVG -----29 29 01:00 25 21 35 57 28 55 36 32 02:00 15 18 12 22 25 18 34 23 15 12 7 03:00 11 14 12 15 10 12

04:00	9	3	5	5	5	5	13	13	8	53
05:00	21	21	17	17	20	19	19	6	17	121
06:00	58	73	71	56	60	64	19	16	50	353
07:00	160	139	138	114	159	142	63	63	119	836
08:00	243	217	213	231	242	229	163	112	203	1421
09:00	351	341	300	331	423	349	246	163	308	2155
10:00	305	314	317	322	382	328	328	202	310	2170
11:00	335	312	357	370	404	356	430	306	359	2514
12:00	427	433	430	397	447	427	457	411	429	3002
13:00	505	506	488	511	506	503	506	472	499	3494
14:00	497	489	532	500	576	519	532	411	505	3537
15:00	535	603	621	582	593	587	490	475	557	3899
16:00	549	591	591	569	681	596	455	443	554	3879
17:00	593	590	581	565	549	576	475	418	539	3771
18:00	592	584	627	599	581	597	430	372	541	3785
19:00	418	513	506	462	511	482	388	340	448	3138
20:00	343	370	372	402	384	374	361	218	350	2450
21:00	239	266	302	291	298	279	275	158	261	1829
22:00	121	166	137	147	183	151	180	97	147	1031
23:00	81	82	93	99	128	97	122	64	96	669
24:00	42	72	72	56	101	69	105	52	71	500
TOTALS	6479	6736	6824	6688	7301	6807	6159	4913	6442	45100
% AVG WKDY	95.2	99.0	100.2	98.3	107.3		90.5	72.2		
% AVG WEEK	100.6	104.6	105.9	103.8	113.3		95.6	76.3		
AM Times	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	
AM Peaks	427	433	430	397	447	427	457	411	429	
PM Times	17:00	15:00	18:00	18:00	16:00	18:00	14:00	15:00	15:00	
PM Peaks	593	603	627	599	681	597	532	475	557	

Page: 1

TOTAL

251

158

84

WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES Starting: 4/7/2021 Page: 1

Station #: 2 Site ID: 000 Location: P Direction: N	210020000 000000060 lain St. ROAD TOTA	053 3 EB, East L	of Grov	e St.	STA.	GEB	Fi Ci Co	le: D040 ty: Brai unty: vo	6017.prn ntree lume	
TIME	MON 12	TUE 13	WED 7	THU 8	FRI 9	WKDAY AVG	SAT 10	SUN	WEEK	TOTAL
	a second									
01:00	2	3	2	4	1	2	7	5	3	24
02:00	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	4
03:00	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	1	5
04:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
05:00	0	2	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	6
06:00	2	2	2	2	3	2	4	1	2	16
07:00	10	15	12	11	9	11	5	11	10	73
08:00	26	45	39	37	41	38	21	18	32	227
09:00	46	44	36	44	44	43	39	24	40	277
10:00	25	24	36	34	46	33	48	34	35	247
11:00	33	22	38	58	40	38	62	57	44	310
12:00	31	27	58	46	59	44	78	53	50	352
13:00	37	44	56	51	53	48	48	67	51	356
14:00	39	48	47	48	51	47	75	58	52	366
15:00	59	37	54	58	52	52	71	45	54	376
16:00	45	49	50	43	56	49	50	33	47	326
17:00	37	52	40	50	47	4.5	35	32	42	293
18:00	47	47	52	33	41	44	39	32	42	291
19:00	41	29	54	45	43	42	28	24	38	264
20:00	27	28	30	32	40	31	27	18	29	202
21:00	17	17	14	23	19	18	32	6	18	128
22:00	5	7	9	6	11	8	7	8	8	53
23:00	3	3	1	5	10	4	9	6	5	37
24:00	2	5	2	5	9	5	2	2	4	27
TOTALS	534	550	634	638	676	605	691	539	609	4262
% AVG WKDY	88.3	90.9	104.8	105.5	111.7		114.2	89.1		
% AVG WEEK	87.7	90.3	104.1	104.8	111.0		113.5	88.5		
AM Times	09:00	08:00	12:00	11:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	11:00	12:00	
AM Peaks	46	45	58	58	59	44	78	57	50	
PM Times	15:00	17:00	13:00	15:00	16:00	15:00	14:00	13:00	15:00	
PM Peaks	59	52	56	58	56	52	75	67	54	

44

EB 605 WB 437 COMBAND 1042 FAC .92(.99) COMBADT 950

WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES Starting: 4/7/2021

Page: 1

Station #: 2 Site ID: 000 Location: Pl	210020000 000000060 Lain St.	045 4 WB, East	of Grov	s e st.	TA G	WB File: D0406018.prn City: Braintree County: volume				
TIME	MON 12	TUE 13	WED 7	THU 8	FRI 9	WKDAY AVG	SAT 10	SUN 11	WEEK AVG	TOTAI
01:00	3	3	3	5	1	 2	c .			
02:00	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	1	24
03:00	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	2 1	1	5
04:00	0	1	1	0	0	0	T	2	1	4
05:00	0	2	2	2	1	1	2	1	1	2
05.00	2	2	2	6	I E	1	2	0	1	9
07.00	11	20	10	12	16	14	4	2	11	23
07.00	20	20	10	15	10	14	0	5	11	80
00.00	20	33	49	30	35	37	19	14	31	217
10:00	20	24	20	30	30	31	39	26	32	221
11:00	29	20	22	32	12	20	37	39	29	206
12:00	31	21	32	43	43	34	53	40	38	263
12:00	20	24	37	29	51	33	55	50	39	271
14.00	23	30	39	35	39	33	48	53	38	267
14:00	24	29	47	45	35	36	66	31	40	211
15:00	20	20	30	24	38	29	53	36	33	234
10:00	40	18	50	35	34	35	28	20	32	225
17:00	27	21	31	29	38	29	22	15	26	183
18:00	19	27	34	34	29	29	21	13	25	177
19:00	24	20	30	32	23	26	23	18	24	170
20:00	8	20	22	19	12	16	21	11	16	113
21:00	11	16	11	9	17	13	13	8	12	85
22:00	4	3	3	1	9	5	13	2	6	41
23:00 24:00	2	1 3	3	3	3 5	2	6	2	3	21 16
TOTALS	366	384	486	468	492	437	545	394	446	3135
% AVG WKDY	83.8	87.9	111.2	107.1	112.6		124.7	90.2		
% AVG WEEK	82.1	86.1	109.0	104.9	110.3		122.2	88.3		
AM Times	11:00	08:00	08;00	11:00	12:00	08:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	
AM Peaks	31	35	49	43	51	37	55	50	39	
PM Times	16:00	13:00	16:00	14:00	13:00	14:00	14:00	13:00	14:00	
PM Peaks	40	30	50	45	39	36	66	53	40	

WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES Starting: 4/7/2021 Page: 1

Station #: 210020000119 Site ID: 00000000701	STA. 7 NB	File: D0406019.prn City: Braintree
Location: Liberty St.NB,N.of Ted Direction: ROAD TOTAL	eschi Plaza drivew	County: volume

TIME	MON 12	TUE 13	WED 7	THU 8	FRI 9	WKDAY AVG	SAT 10	SUN 11	WEEK	TOTAL
01.00	13	8	12	7	10	10	21	25	14	96
02:00	15	2	3	7	7	5	17	19	9	60
03:00	3	5	5	4	10	5	8	8	6	43
04:00	6	6	4	4	7	5	2	10	6	39
05:00	25	31	30	26	31	29	17	8	24	168
06:00	111	97	100	100	90	100	35	19	79	552
07:00	256	271	237	229	220	243	100	54	195	1367
08:00	389	452	378	398	390	401	148	95	321	2250
09:00	429	388	416	429	413	415	223	171	353	2469
10:00	254	281	272	298	326	286	258	204	270	1893
11:00	262	250	282	270	270	267	335	260	276	1929
12:00	279	265	284	266	314	282	371	311	299	2090
13:00	289	280	275	269	327	288	381	362	312	2183
14:00	298	282	304	292	378	311	405	351	330	2310
15:00	350	379	440	343	409	384	370	300	370	2591
16:00	385	420	402	402	421	406	311	309	379	2650
17:00	317	367	384	363	381	362	363	256	347	2431
18:00	346	371	375	383	411	377	289	247	346	2422
19:00	278	296	309	337	356	315	271	243	299	2090
20:00	221	234	221	284	267	245	228	156	230	1611
21:00	166	169	153	179	181	170	183	128	166	1159
22:00	89	100	117	136	124	113	121	66	108	753
23:00	58	56	58	67	94	67	105	53	70	491
24:00	20	32	29	46	54	36	69	18	38	268
TOTALS	4849	5042	5090	5139	5491	5122	4631	3673	4847	33915
% AVG WKDY	94.7	98.4	99.4	100.3	107.2		90.4	71.7		
% AVG WEEK	100.0	104.0	105.0	106.0	113.3		95.5	75.8		
AM Times	09:00	08:00	09:00	09:00	09:00	09:00	12:00	12:00	09:00	
AM Peaks	429	452	416	429	413	415	371	311	353	
PM Times	16:00	16:00	15:00	16:00	16:00	16:00	14:00	13:00	16:00	
PM Peaks	385	420	440	402	421	406	405	362	379	

44

NB 5122 SB 4942 COMBAND 10069 FAC .92 (.99) COMBADT 9,200

WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES Starting: 4/7/2021 Page: 1

Station #: 3 Site ID: 000	210020000	056 2		-	STA. T	SB	Fi Ci	File: D0406020.prn City: Braintree			
Location: L Direction: 1	iberty St ROAD TOTA	.SB,N.of L	Tedeschi	Plaza	drivew		Co	unty: vo	lume		
TIME	MON 12	TUE 13	WED 7	THU 8	FRI 9	WKDAY AVG	SAT 10	SUN 11	WEEK AVG	TOTA	
01:00	15	12	0	24	22	17	20	21	20	145	
02:00	15	10	10	10	22	17	29	20	20	143	
02:00	4	2	10	10	8		30	28	13	92	
03:00	2	3	4	8	9	5	10	9	6	43	
04:00	12	3	1	10	2	3	5	13	5	32	
05:00	13	20	15	10	14	14	8	13	13	9:	
00:00	40	4/	49	38	44	44	21	13	36	252	
07:00	108	110	93	110	114	107	61	43	91	639	
08:00	297	294	296	278	293	292	145	109	245	1712	
09:00	311	315	319	331	339	323	189	112	274	1916	
10:00	219	215	195	204	215	210	253	225	218	1526	
11:00	233	250	241	219	249	238	272	249	245	1713	
12:00	253	256	264	264	267	261	313	354	282	1971	
13:00	283	260	254	265	309	274	387	322	297	2080	
14:00	253	254	312	307	317	289	376	366	312	2185	
15:00	477	390	515	433	464	456	315	293	412	2887	
16:00	491	496	486	470	560	501	297	322	446	3122	
17:00	466	432	520	516	530	493	311	244	431	3019	
18:00	438	465	480	506	478	473	272	242	412	2881	
19:00	278	312	310	384	373	331	222	211	299	2090	
20:00	241	229	250	247	261	246	223	145	228	1596	
21:00	156	170	153	173	164	163	188	109	159	1113	
22:00	88	99	71	92	122	94	113	59	92	644	
23:00	51	56	63	67	85	64	93	29	63	444	
24:00	34	32	32	32	57	37	53	32	39	272	
TOTALS	4754	4723	4940	4993	5296	4942	4186	3573	4638	32465	
% AVG WKDY	96.2	95.6	100.0	101.0	107.2		84.7	72.3			
% AVG WEEK	102.5	101.8	106.5	107.7	114.2		90.3	77.0			
AM Times	09:00	09:00	09:00	09:00	09:00	09:00	12:00	12:00	12:00		
AM Peaks	311	315	319	331	339	323	313	354	282		
PM Times	16:00	16:00	17:00	17:00	16:00	16:00	13:00	14:00	16:00		
PM Peaks	491	496	520	516	560	501	387	366	446		

WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES

Page: 1

				5	carting;	4/1/202.	1			
Station #: 2 Site ID: 000	210020000	162 1			STA	Fi Ċi	File: D0406021.prn City: Braintree			
Location: Li Direction: F	lberty St ROAD TOTA	.NB,btwn L	.Forest	St/Sycam	ore Rd	Co	County: volume			
TIME	MON	TUE	WED	THU	FRI	WKDAY	SAT	SUN	WEEK	TOTA
	12	13	7	8	9	AVG	10	11	AVG	
01:00	14	12	12	12	16	13	27	26	17	110
02:00	0	12	12	12	5	15	13	20	10	70
03:00	9	1	7	12	10	0	10	20	10	57
04+00	19	15	15	18	16	17	8	12	15	103
05:00	15	56	61	55	60	55	24	10	13	211
06:00	205	201	200	197	186	198	71	56	159	1116
07:00	380	380	366	374	385	377	162	119	309	2166
08:00	634	674	627	657	632	645	276	167	524	3667
09:00	591	605	623	633	626	616	402	262	535	3742
10:00	385	384	373	401	421	393	418	375	394	2757
11:00	331	362	363	369	420	369	518	364	390	2727
12:00	383	343	428	382	463	400	580	462	434	3041
13:00	363	378	389	402	430	392	508	478	421	2948
14:00	399	366	377	404	497	409	557	441	434	3041
15:00	557	561	579	578	594	574	463	405	534	3737
16:00	526	517	554	563	605	553	430	370	509	3565
17:00	424	512	515	502	526	496	488	314	469	3281
18:00	425	500	480	554	558	503	351	297	452	3165
19:00	315	343	373	391	421	369	341	262	349	2446
20:00	218	285	258	287	327	275	256	198	261	1829
21:00	182	181	152	210	207	186	201	146	183	1279
22:00	89	93	99	123	145	110	137	52	105	738
23:00	65	74	59	77	116	78	118	53	80	562
24:00	25	31	32	45	66	40	76	29	43	304
TOTALS	6592	6884	6946	7255	7732	7083	6435	4927	6679	46771
AVG WKDY	93.1	97.2	98.1	102.4	109.2		90.9	69.6		
AVG WEEK	98.7	103.1	104.0	108.6	115.8		96.3	73.8		
AM Times	08:00	08:00	08:00	08:00	08:00	08:00	12:00	12:00	09:00	
AM Peaks	634	674	627	657	632	645	580	462	535	

PM Times 15:00 15:00 15:00

PM Peaks

557 561

15:00 16:00 15:00 14:00 13:00 15:00 579 578 605 574 557 478 534

44

NB 7083 SB 7331 comb AWD 14414 FAL ,92 (,99) comb ADT 13,100

WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES Starting: 4/7/2021 Page: 1

File: D0406022.prn

City: Braintree County: volume

Station #: 210020000117 Site ID: 00000000802 Location: Liberty St.SB,btwn.Forest St/Sycamore Rd Direction: ROAD TOTAL

TIME	MON 12	TUE 13	WED 7	THU 8	FRI 9	WKDAY AVG	SAT 10	SUN 11	WEEK AVG	TOTAL
01:00	15	23	24	38	29	26	50	53	33	232
02:00	8	14	9	11	12	11	42	34	19	130
03:00	9	14	11	21	14	14	15	14	14	98
04:00	9	10	8	12	10	10	9	15	10	73
05:00	18	19	13	13	18	16	16	10	15	107
06:00	46	54	46	44	44	47	30	15	40	279
07:00	134	165	132	132	155	144	71	40	118	829
08:00	405	424	376	412	351	394	158	137	323	2263
09:00	442	402	429	432	411	423	243	151	359	2510
10:00	293	301	340	338	345	323	358	267	320	2242
11:00	322	284	356	345	358	333	467	367	357	2499
12:00	374	354	415	380	419	388	545	464	422	2951
13:00	408	397	408	434	484	426	559	467	451	3157
14:00	397	398	484	406	466	430	518	484	450	3153
15:00	665	658	679	703	689	679	544	424	623	4362
16:00	650	740	714	709	754	713	502	447	645	4516
17:00	694	688	685	789	780	727	541	403	654	4580
18:00	623	701	679	750	763	703	428	373	617	4317
19:00	439	502	494	540	533	502	382	327	460	3217
20:00	368	395	396	370	414	389	353	236	362	2532
21:00	266	315	253	301	289	285	284	162	267	1870
22:00	130	150	172	161	213	165	193	96	159	1115
23:00	91	82	105	107	142	105	150	62	106	739
24:00	63	70	69	80	108	78	106	65	80	561
TOTALS	6869	7160	7297	7528	7801	7331	6564	5113	6904	48332
% AVG WKDY	93.7	97.7	99.5	102.7	106.4		89.5	69.7		
% AVG WEEK	99.5	103.7	105.7	109.0	113.0		95.1	74.1		
AM Times	09:00	08:00	09:00	09:00	12:00	09:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	
AM Peaks	442	424	429	432	419	423	545	464	422	
PM Times	17:00	16:00	16:00	17:00	17:00	17:00	13:00	14:00	17:00	
PM Peaks	694	740	714	789	780	727	559	484	654	

WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES Starting: 4/7/2021 Page: 1

Station #: 2	210020000	129			57A.9	EB	Fi	le: D040	6023.prn	
Location: G Direction: H	rove St. ROAD TOTA	3 EB, East L	of Colu	mbian St			Ci Co	ty: Brai unty: vo	ntree lume	
TIME	MON 12	TUE 13	WED 7	THU 8	FRI 9	WKDAY AVG	SAT 10	SUN 11	WEEK AVG	TOTAL
01:00	16	18	15	29	21	20	32	39	24	170
02:00	8	9	8	16	12	11	27	18	14	98
03:00	9	7	5	8	9	8	14	6	8	58
04:00	7	9	8	13	13	10	13	7	10	70
05:00	38	36	38	29	28	34	17	11	28	197
06:00	102	108	114	99	91	103	35	26	82	575
07:00	215	216	205	217	210	213	92	59	173	1214
08:00	436	478	500	473	462	470	232	118	386	2699
09:00	456	470	469	431	479	461	299	211	402	2815
10:00	376	367	391	412	415	392	458	272	384	2691
11:00	359	364	386	375	388	374	441	304	374	2617
12:00	384	409	392	394	479	412	474	384	417	2916
13:00	438	432	433	437	482	444	500	357	440	3079
14:00	396	384	399	432	443	411	476	362	413	2892
15:00	462	497	570	542	567	528	445	369	493	3452
16:00	549	565	595	573	632	583	461	376	536	3751
17:00	517	609	582	580	558	569	372	325	506	3543
18:00	525	609	566	603	597	580	367	288	508	3555
19:00	414	477	478	478	464	462	324	241	411	2876
20:00	258	328	324	330	322	312	247	179	284	1988
21:00	198	217	226	229	213	217	185	118	198	1386
22:00	103	114	119	127	137	120	133	70	115	803
23:00	54	72	57	96	95	75	98	34	72	506
24:00	24	43	31	46	78	44	69	35	47	326
TOTALS	6344	6838	6911	6969	7195	6853	5811	4209	6325	44277
% AVG WKDY	92.6	99.8	100.8	101.7	105.0		84.8	61.4		
% AVG WEEK	100.3	108.1	109.3	110.2	113.8		91.9	66.5		
AM Times	09:00	08:00	08:00	08:00	09:00	08:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	
AM Peaks	456	478	500	473	479	470	474	384	417	
PM Times	16:00	17:00	16:00	18:00	16:00	16:00	13:00	16:00	16:00	
PM Peaks	549	609	595	603	632	583	500	376	536	

\* 44 EB 6853 WB 7521 COMB AND 14374 FAC 192 (199) COMB ADT 13,100

WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES Starting: 4/7/2021 Page: 1

Station #: 210020000073S7A 9 WBFile: D0406024.prnSite ID: 000000000904City: BraintreeLocation: Grove St. WB, East of Columbian St.County: volumeDirection: ROAD TOTALCounty: volume

TIME	MON 12	TUE 13	WED 7	THU 8	FRI 9	WKDAY AVG	SAT 10	SUN 11	WEEK AVG	TOTAL
01:00	13	20	12	26	27	20	39	38	25	175
02:00	13	17	14	17	13	15	26	24	18	124
03:00	10	25	20	18	17	18	1.4	13	17	117
04:00	12	13	11	17	21	15	18	13	15	105
05:00	47	42	43	28	44	41	27	8	34	239
06:00	96	100	99	92	78	93	33	15	73	513
07:00	212	416	212	230	219	258	100	49	205	1438
08:00	396	475	418	415	411	423	204	125	349	2444
09:00	458	494	497	476	481	481	330	204	420	2940
10:00	480	464	476	469	450	468	471	328	448	31.38
11:00	454	381	487	428	455	441	512	383	443	3100
12:00	451	434	502	414	495	459	538	422	465	3256
13:00	475	472	490	449	519	481	526	447	483	3378
14:00	494	449	501	441	481	473	585	473	489	3424
15:00	527	538	615	503	643	565	543	389	537	3758
16:00	626	623	760	652	699	672	460	405	604	4225
17:00	642	703	669	663	758	687	454	336	604	4225
18:00	562	639	581	612	633	605	418	295	534	3740
19:00	419	458	494	451	409	446	333	278	406	2842
20:00	344	354	337	388	371	359	277	191	323	2262
21:00	215	258	226	238	221	232	194	128	211	1480
22:00	113	142	143	134	148	136	130	83	128	893
23:00	68	67	74	97	98	81	105	55	81	564
24:00	46	55	39	57	63	52	71	31	52	362
TOTALS	7173	7639	7720	7315	7754	7521	6408	4733	6964	48742
% AVG WKDY	95.4	101.6	102.6	97.3	103.1		85.2	62.9		
% AVG WEEK	103.0	109.7	110.9	105.0	111.3		92.0	68.0		
AM Times	10:00	09:00	12:00	09:00	12:00	09:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	
AM Peaks	480	494	502	476	495	481	538	422	465	
PM Times	17:00	17:00	16:00	17:00	17:00	17:00	14:00	14:00	16:00	
PM Peaks	642	703	760	663	758	687	585	473	604	

# WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES

Page: 1

				S	tarting:	4/7/202	1 1		Fd	ge: I
Station #: 2 Site ID: 000	210020000	051 1		57.	A. 10 N	B	File City	: D040 : Brai	)6025.prn .ntree	
Location: Co Direction: H	olumbian ROAD TOTA	St. NB, L	North of	Weymout	h TL		Coun	ty: vo	olume	
TIME	MON 12	TUE 13	WED 7	THU 8	FRI 9	WKDAY AVG	SAT 10	SUN 11	WEEK AVG	TOTA
01:00	10	17	23	16	18	17	27	41	22	152
02:00	11	7	5	6	9	8	18	14	10	70
03:00	5	2	6	6	11	6	13	7	7	50
04:00	5	12	11	9	13	10	11	4	9	65
05:00	60	65	68	66	62	64	13	10	49	344
06:00	150	165	180	166	143	161	49	27	126	880
07:00	354	434	334	372	308	360	126	85	288	2013
08:00	584	646	606	614	540	598	287	155	490	3432
09:00	535	552	581	565	613	569	357	250	493	3453
10:00	495	492	593	575	535	538	525	341	508	3556
11:00	483	467	564	524	502	508	561	427	504	3528
12:00	508	496	531	485	547	513	580	511	523	3658
13:00	525	514	555	522	590	541	699	502	558	3907
14:00	488	514	607	471	616	539	629	521	549	3846
15:00	520	545	810	577	667	624	565	483	595	4167
16:00	559	599	679	640	650	625	595	464	598	4186
17:00	578	587	645	637	647	619	551	391	577	4036
18:00	519	617	572	593	601	580	442	325	524	3660
19:00	397	440	464	485	195	156	417	267	124	2065
20:00	268	313	343	323	376	325	345	236	315	2200
21:00	203	230	227	272	253	237	220	120	210	153/
22:00	100	110	149	133	150	129	111	120	124	2004
23:00	61	71	140	70	115	76	115	51	79	546
24:00	42	50	38	51	72	51	73	39	52	365
FOTALS	7460	7945	8653	8178	8533	8153	7368	5354	7642	53491
% AVG WKDY	91.5	97.4	106.1	100.3	104.7		90.4	65.7		
% AVG WEEK	97.6	104.0	113.2	107.0	111.7		96.4	70.1		
AM Times	08:00	08:00	08:00	08:00	09:00	08:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	
AM Peaks	584	646	606	614	613	598	580	511	523	
PM Times	17:00	18:00	15:00	16:00	15:00	16:00	13:00	14:00	16:00	
PM Peaks	578	617	810	640	667	625	699	521	598	

44

NB 8153 SB 8631 COMBAND 16784 FAC .92 (.99) COMBADT 15,300

WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES Starting: 4/7/2021

STA. 105B Station #: 210020000116 File: D0406026.prn Site ID: 000000001002 City: Braintree Location: Columbian St. SB, North of Weymouth TL County: volume Direction: ROAD TOTAL TUE WED THU TIME MON FRI WKDAY SAT SUN WEEK TOTAL AVG AVG 01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00 07:00 08:00 09:00 10:00 11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00 -TOTALS % AVG WKDY 91.2 97.0 101.1 99.0 111.6 92.4 63.2 % AVG WEEK 97.4 103.6 108.0 105.7 119.2 98.7 67.5 AM Times 12:00 12:00 12:00 12:00 09:00 12:00 12:00 12:00 12:00 AM Peaks PM Times 16:00 16:00 16:00 17:00 16:00 16:00 13:00 13:00 16:00

PM Peaks

Page: 1

WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES Starting: 4/7/2021

STA. 11 NB

Page: 1

Station #: 210020000132 Site ID: 00000001101 Location: Washington St. NB, South of Peach St. Direction: ROAD TOTAL

File: D0406027.prn City: Braintree County: volume

TIME	MON 12	TUE 13	WED 7	THU 8	FRI 9	WKDAY	SAT	SUN	WEEK	TOTAL
01:00	45	49	56	67	54	54	110	100	69	481
02:00	26	32	31	43	34	33	56	55	40	277
03:00	18	29	21	28	27	25	22	33	25	178
04:00	21	17	17	15	25	19	33	25	22	153
05:00	28	45	38	40	37	38	23	12	32	223
06:00	101	143	117	100	91	110	50	40	92	642
07:00	272	329	262	249	260	274	116	91	226	1579
08:00	447	463	473	466	510	472	252	171	397	2782
09:00	474	504	499	534	530	508	348	237	447	3126
10:00	442	410	435	500	492	456	519	366	452	3164
11:00	503	521	515	558	536	527	652	449	533	3734
12:00	571	691	625	547	577	602	709	649	624	4369
13:00	659	648	693	696	721	683	873	677	710	4967
14:00	656	640	753	662	783	699	811	695	714	5000
15:00	880	875	1031	934	1031	950	806	664	889	6221
16:00	1049	1030	1080	1069	1080	1062	767	682	965	6757
17:00	1075	1079	1065	1113	1157	1098	797	596	983	6882
18:00	970	1019	1020	998	1051	1012	680	625	909	6363
19:00	651	754	764	843	844	771	689	538	726	5083
20:00	572	666	690	676	706	662	632	371	616	4313
21:00	369	468	441	489	518	457	454	269	430	3008
22:00	293	282	298	323	414	322	343	190	306	2143
23:00	165	173	185	172	244	188	245	150	191	1334
24;00	119	140	135	145	232	154	176	97	149	1044
TOTALS	10406	11007	11244	11267	11954	11176	10163	7782	10547	73823
% AVG WKDY	93.1	98.5	100.6	100.8	107.0		90.9	69.6		
% AVG WEEK	98.7	104.4	106.6	106.8	113.3		96.4	73.8		
AM Times	12:00	12:00	12:00	11:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	12:00	
AM Peaks	571	691	625	558	577	602	709	649	624	
PM Times	17:00	17:00	16:00	17:00	17:00	17:00	13:00	14:00	17:00	
PM Peaks	1075	1079	1080	1113	1157	1098	873	695	983	

UB

NB 11176 5B 11113 COMB AND 22289 FAC .94 (.98) COMB ADT 20,500

# WEEKLY SUMMARY FOR ALL LANES Starting: 4/7/2021

STA.11 53

Page: 1

Station #: 210020000142 Site ID: 000000001102 Location: Washington St. SB, South of Peach St. Direction: ROAD TOTAL

### File: D0406028.prn City: Braintree County: volume

TIME	MON	TUE	WED	THU	FRI	WKDAY	SAT	SUN	WEEK	TOTAL
	12	13	7	8	9	AVG	10	11	AVG	
01:00	25	27	28	39	30	30	53	67	38	269
02:00	19	13	17	15	12	15	40	39	22	155
03:00	24	22	18	27	27	24	28	27	25	173
04:00	57	57	64	67	65	62	46	27	55	383
05:00	204	214	203	221	209	210	65	37	165	1153
06:00	542	550	548	525	505	534	165	102	420	2937
07:00	775	806	754	769	750	771	312	186	622	4352
08:00	955	1005	939	1045	968	982	428	256	799	5596
09:00	787	895	837	874	840	847	574	345	736	5152
10:00	547	603	615	694	712	634	698	493	623	4362
11:00	615	583	658	757	717	666	752	630	673	4712
12:00	628	598	620	644	730	644	747	707	668	4674
13:00	565	576	731	711	693	655	768	722	681	4766
14:00	625	615	646	680	784	670	814	732	699	4896
15:00	697	683	726	723	813	728	643	638	703	4923
16:00	646	686	659	719	723	687	683	563	668	4679
17:00	631	665	689	683	706	675	686	526	655	4586
18:00	578	613	648	705	713	651	605	458	617	4320
19:00	489	517	517	557	604	537	530	387	514	3601
20:00	329	429	424	444	467	419	465	291	407	2849
21:00	241	279	253	269	357	280	309	191	271	1899
22:00	180	181	171	180	241	191	231	151	191	1335
23:00	118	141	158	131	188	147	173	103	145	1012
24:00	46	39	38	51	97	54	119	48	63	438
TOTALS	10323	10797	10961	11530	11951	11113	9934	7726	10460	73222
% AVG WKDY	92.9	97.2	98.6	103.8	107.5		89.4	69 5		
% AVG WEEK	98.7	103.2	104.8	110.2	114.3		95.0	73.9		
AM Times	08:00	08:00	08:00	08:00	08:00	08:00	11:00	12:00	08:00	
AM Peaks	955	1005	939	1045	968	982	752	707	799	
PM Times	15:00	16:00	13:00	15:00	15:00	15:00	14:00	14:00	15:00	
PM Peaks	697	686	731	723	813	728	814	732	703	

### APPENDIX I

Turning Movement Counts April 8 and 10, 2021

#### 217835 (1) - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 Full Length (10 AM-2 PM, 7 AM-11 AM, 2 PM-6 PM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 818570, Location: 42.196174, -71.005175

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

Plain Street Plain Street Hancock Street (Route 37) Hancock Street (Route 37) Leg Direction Northbound Southbound Eastbound Westbound R U Time R U Арр R U Арр R U Ped\* I Ped\* T т Арр Ped L Т Ped\* Т Арр Int 2021-04-08 7:00AM 8:00AM З 9:00AM 10:00AM 2:00PM 3:00PM 4:00PM 5:00PM 2021-04-10 10:00AM 11:00AM 12:00PM 210 0 10 0 1:00PM Total 34.7% 42.4% 22.9% 0% 78.8% 1.6% 0% 0.5% 44.2% 55.4% 0% 54.9% 11.2% 0% % Approach 19.6% 33.9% % Total 12.2% 14.9% 8.0% 0% 35.2% 3.4% 13.6% 0.3% 0% 17.3% 0.1% 11.2% 14.0% 0% 25.3% 7.5% 12.2% 2.5% 0% 22.2% 0 0 Motorcycles 0.5% 0% 0.1% 0% 0.3% % Motorcycles 0.3% 0.3% 0.5% 0% 0.3% 0.3% 0.4% 0% 0% 0.3% 0% 0.4% 0.4% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% Lights 2210 0 76 0 3832 0 682 0 97.8% 0% 97.5% 97.2% 0% **96.9%** 97.3% 96.2% 98.0% 0% 96.8% 97.1% % Lights 97.0% 97.7% 97.9% 96.8% 95.0% 0% 97.0% 97.1% 96.4% Single-Unit Trucks 2 0 % Single-Unit Trucks 1.7% 1.2% 0% 1.3% 1.7% 2.5% 0% 1.7% 2.9% 2.4% 1.4% 0% 1.8% 2.0% 2.5% 1.4% 0% 2.2% 1.7% 1.1% 1.4% Articulated Trucks 9 0 1 0 2 0 % Articulated Trucks 0.4% 0.2% 0.4% 0% 0.3% 0.2% 0.3% 1.3% 0% 0.3% 0% 0.5% 0.2% 0% 0.3% 0.4% 0.6% 0.3% 0% 0.5% 0.4% 0 0 Buses 0 0 % Buses 0.6% 0.7% 0% 0% 0.5% 0.2% 0.7% 0% 0% **0.6%** 0% 0.4% 0.6% 0% 0.5% 0% 0.4% 0.1% 0% 0.3% 0.5% Bicycles on Road 0 0 1 0 % Bicycles on Road 0% 0% 0.1% 0% 0% 0% 0.1% 1.3% 0% 0.1% 0% 0% 0.1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Pedestrians 100% 90.0% % Pedestrians 75.0% 66.7% Bicycles on Crosswalk 10.0% % Bicycles on Crosswalk 25.0% 0% 33.3%

<sup>\*</sup>Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

#### 217835 (1) - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 7:15AM - 8:15 AM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 818570, Location: 42.196174, -71.005175

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

																					0	-	,	·	-
Leg	Hancock	Street (	Route 37	7)			Hancock	Street (I	Route 37	)			Plain St	reet					Plain Stre	et					
Direction	Northbo	und					Southbou	ind					Eastbou	nd					Westbour	nd					
Time	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 7:15AM	117	132	51	0	300	0	12	42	2	0	56	0	0	54	42	0	96	0	24	110	17	0	151	0	603
7:30AM	96	119	42	0	257	0	16	56	0	0	72	0	0	57	66	0	123	0	19	57	11	0	87	0	539
7:45AM	99	113	51	0	263	0	20	63	1	0	84	0	1	35	58	0	94	0	31	69	16	0	116	0	557
8:00AM	92	109	47	0	248	0	17	56	3	0	76	3	1	40	36	0	77	2	35	92	10	0	137	0	538
Total	404	473	191	0	1068	0	65	217	6	0	288	3	2	186	202	0	390	2	109	328	54	0	491	0	2237
% Approach	37.8%	44.3%	17.9%	0%	-	-	22.6%	75.3%	2.1%	0%	-	-	0.5%	47.7%	51.8%	0%	-	-	22.2%	66.8%	11.0%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	18.1%	21.1%	8.5%	0%	47.7%	-	2.9%	9.7%	0.3%	0%	12.9%	-	0.1%	8.3%	9.0%	0%	17.4%	-	4.9%	14.7%	2.4%	0%	21.9%	-	-
PHF	0.863	0.896	0.936	-	0.890	-	0.813	0.861	0.500	-	0.857	-	0.500	0.816	0.765	-	0.793	-	0.779	0.745	0.794	-	0.813	-	0.927
Motorcycles	0	1	1	0	2	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	3
% Motorcycles	0%	0.2%	0.5%	0%	0.2%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.5%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Lights	389	461	185	0	1035	-	64	204	5	0	273	-	2	172	191	0	365	-	101	311	53	0	465	-	2138
% Lights	96.3%	97.5%	96.9%	0%	96.9%	-	98.5%	94.0%	83.3%	0%	94.8%	-	100%	92.5%	94.6%	0%	93.6%	-	92.7%	94.8%	98.1%	0%	94.7%	-	95.6%
Single-Unit Trucks	7	6	3	0	16	-	1	7	0	0	8	-	0	10	4	0	14	-	7	12	1	0	20	-	58
% Single-Unit Trucks	1.7%	1.3%	1.6%	0%	1.5%	-	1.5%	3.2%	0%	0%	2.8%	-	0%	5.4%	2.0%	0%	3.6%	-	6.4%	3.7%	1.9%	0%	4.1%	-	2.6%
Articulated Trucks	1	1	2	0	4	-	0	2	1	0	3	-	0	1	2	0	3	-	1	4	0	0	5	-	15
% Articulated Trucks	0.2%	0.2%	1.0%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0.9%	16.7%	0%	1.0%	-	0%	0.5%	1.0%	0%	0.8%	-	0.9%	1.2%	0%	0%	1.0%	-	0.7%
Buses	7	4	0	0	11	-	0	4	0	0	4	-	0	2	5	0	7	-	0	1	0	0	1	-	23
% Buses	1.7%	0.8%	0%	0%	1.0%	-	0%	1.8%	0%	0%	1.4%	-	0%	1.1%	2.5%	0%	1.8%	-	0%	0.3%	0%	0%	0.2%	-	1.0%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

\*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

217835 (1) - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 7:15AM - 8:15 AM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 818570, Location: 42.196174, -71.005175

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US



#### 217835 (1) - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 4:30PM - 5:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 818570, Location: 42.196174, -71.005175

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

12

Hancock Street (Route 37) Plain Street Plain Street Hancock Street (Route 37) Leg Direction Northbound Southbound Eastbound Westbound Time R U Арр Ped\* R U App Ped\* R U Арр Ped\* Т R U App Ped\* Int L т L т L Т L 2021-04-08 4:30PM 184 19 119 0 241 676 56 92 0 98 97 142 57 64 0 132 36 2 0 2 11 4:45PM 63 75 35 0 173 19 103 1 0 123 2 101 137 0 240 57 74 11 0 142 678 0 0 5:00PM 688 64 65 51 0 180 24 120 1 0 145 0 92 114 0 206 61 82 14 0 157 5:15PM 60 96 41 0 197 1 14 105 1 0 120 0 1 99 137 0 237 49 81 14 0 144 0 698 2740 734 924 Total 243 328 163 0 1 76 426 5 0 507 0 5 389 530 0 224 301 50 0 575 0 % Approach 33.1% 44.7% 22.2% 0% 15.0% 84.0% 1.0% 0% 0.5% 42.1% 57.4% 0% 39.0% 52.3% 8.7% 0% 5.9% 0% 26.8% 0.2% 14.2% 19.3% 0% 33.7% 1.8% 0% 21.0% 8.9% 12.0% 2.8% 15.5% 0.2% 0% 18.5% 8.2% 11.0% % Total PHF 0.949 0.854 0.799 0.931 0.792 0.888 0.625 0.874 0.625 0.963 0.933 0.959 0.918 0.918 0.893 0.916 0.981 -Motorcycles 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 2 0 0 4 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 % Motorcycles 0.4% 0% 0% 0% 0.1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0.4% 0% 0.2% 0.4% 0% 0% 0% 0.2% 0.1% Lights 237 325 163 0 725 76 419 5 0 500 5 384 524 0 913 221 295 49 0 565 2703 98.6% 100% 0% 98.8% 97.5% 99.1% 100% 98.4% 100% 0% 98.6% 100% 98.7% 98.9% 0% 98.8% 98.7% 98.0% 98.0% 0% 98.3% % Lights Single-Unit Trucks 4 0 0 6 0 4 0 0 4 0 4 4 0 8 4 0 0 5 23 % Single-Unit Trucks 0% 0% 0.9% 0% 0% 0.8% 0.8% 0% 0.9% 0.4% 0% 0% 0.9% 0.8% 1.6% 0.6% 0.8% 0% 0% 1.0% 1.3% Articulated Trucks 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 1 2 1 0 4 7 % Articulated Trucks 0.4% 0% 0% 0% 0.1% 0% 0.2% 0% 0% 0.2% 0% 0.3% 0% 0% 0.1% 0.4% 0.7% 2.0% 0% 0.7% 0.3% Buse 0 1 0 0 1 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 % Buses 0% 0.3% 0% 0% 0.1% 0% 0.5% 0% 0% 0.4% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0.1% Bicycles on Road 0 % Bicycles on Road 0% 0%  $0\% \ 0\%$ 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Pedestrian % Pedestrians 100% 66.7% Bicycles on Crosswalk % Bicycles on Crosswalk 33.3% 0%

<sup>\*</sup>Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

217835 (1) - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 4:30PM - 5:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 818570, Location: 42.196174, -71.005175

13 L Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US



[S] Hancock Street (Route 37)

### **217835 (2) John Mahar Hwy @ Plain Street - TMC** Thu Apr 8, 2021 Full Length (7 AM-11 AM, 2 PM-6 PM, 10 AM-2 PM)

Full Length (7 AM-11 AM, 2 PM-6 PM, 10 AM-2 PM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818572, Location: 42.196556, -70.999603

													0	. , ,	,	,
Leg	John Mahar H	lighway				Plain Street					Plain Street					
Direction	Southbound					Eastbound					Westbound					
Time	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 7:00AM	123	90	0	213	0	163	238	0	401	0	343	261	0	604	0	1218
8:00AM	160	149	0	309	0	152	262	0	414	0	344	268	0	612	0	1335
9:00AM	[ 157	124	1	282	0	132	252	0	384	0	397	248	0	645	0	1311
10:00AM	1 215	125	0	340	0	141	270	0	411	0	329	257	0	586	0	1337
2:00PM	í 341	205	1	547	2	176	412	0	588	1	319	265	0	584	0	1719
3:00PM	I 327	208	0	535	1	150	399	0	549	1	365	279	0	644	0	1728
4:00PM	1 352	186	0	538	0	140	475	0	615	1	321	246	0	567	0	1720
5:00PM	í 391	186	0	577	0	162	456	0	618	0	346	251	0	597	0	1792
2021-04-10 10:00AM	264	133	0	397	1	160	282	0	442	1	315	303	0	618	0	1457
11:00AM	1 298	150	0	448	0	180	411	0	591	3	314	349	0	663	0	1702
12:00PM	1 324	202	0	526	0	190	399	0	589	1	340	349	0	689	0	1804
1:00PM	1 323	183	1	507	1	159	380	0	539	0	392	351	0	743	0	1789
Tota	l 3275	1941	3	5219	5	1905	4236	0	6141	8	4125	3427	0	7552	0	18912
% Approact	62.8%	37.2%	0.1%	-	-	31.0%	69.0%	0%	-	-	54.6%	45.4%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	l 17.3%	10.3%	0%	27.6%	-	10.1%	22.4%	0%	32.5%	-	21.8%	18.1%	0%	39.9%	-	-
Motorcycles	<b>i</b> 7	4	0	11	-	2	22	0	24	-	12	4	0	16	-	51
% Motorcycles	0.2%	0.2%	0%	0.2%	-	0.1%	0.5%	0%	0.4%	-	0.3%	0.1%	0%	0.2%	-	0.3%
Lights	3207	1890	3	5100	-	1852	4090	0	5942	-	3962	3354	0	7316	-	18358
% Lights	97.9%	97.4%	100%	97.7%	-	97.2%	96.6%	0%	96.8%	-	96.0%	97.9%	0%	96.9%	-	97.1%
Single-Unit Trucks	47	43	0	90	-	42	94	0	136	-	110	55	0	165	-	391
% Single-Unit Trucks	1.4%	2.2%	0%	1.7%	-	2.2%	2.2%	0%	2.2%	-	2.7%	1.6%	0%	2.2%	-	2.1%
Articulated Trucks	7	2	0	9	-	6	16	0	22	-	24	8	0	32	-	63
% Articulated Trucks	0.2%	0.1%	0%	0.2%	-	0.3%	0.4%	0%	0.4%	-	0.6%	0.2%	0%	0.4%	-	0.3%
Buses	6	2	0	8	-	2	13	0	15	-	16	5	0	21	-	44
% Buses	0.2%	0.1%	0%	0.2%	-	0.1%	0.3%	0%	0.2%	-	0.4%	0.1%	0%	0.3%	-	0.2%
Bicycles on Road	l 1	0	0	1	-	1	1	0	2	-	1	1	0	2	-	5
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
*	11 т.т.	( D D'	1	1 TT TT												

Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

### 217835 (2) John Mahar Hwy @ Plain Street - TMC

Thu Apr 8, 2021

AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 10AM - 11 AM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

12

ID: 818572, Location: 42.196556, -70.999603

													0			,
Leg	John Mahar H	Iighway				Plain Street					Plain Street					
Direction	Southbound					Eastbound					Westbound					
Time	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 10:00AM	47	31	0	78	0	37	69	0	106	0	76	66	0	142	0	326
10:15AM	61	25	0	86	0	34	56	0	90	0	86	69	0	155	0	331
10:30AM	58	23	0	81	0	31	66	0	97	0	80	60	0	140	0	318
10:45AM	49	46	0	95	0	39	79	0	118	0	87	62	0	149	0	362
Total	215	125	0	340	0	141	270	0	411	0	329	257	0	586	0	1337
% Approach	63.2%	36.8%	0%	-	-	34.3%	65.7%	0%	-	-	56.1%	43.9%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	16.1%	9.3%	0%	25.4%	-	10.5%	20.2%	0%	30.7%	-	24.6%	19.2%	0%	43.8%	-	-
PHF	0.881	0.679	-	0.895	-	0.904	0.854	-	0.871	-	0.945	0.931	-	0.945	-	0.923
Motorcycles	0	0	0	0	-	0	2	0	2	-	0	0	0	0	-	2
% Motorcycles	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.7%	0%	0.5%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Lights	203	120	0	323	-	138	257	0	395	-	315	249	0	564	-	1282
% Lights	94.4%	96.0%	0%	95.0%	-	97.9%	95.2%	0%	96.1%	-	95.7%	96.9%	0%	96.2%	-	95.9%
Single-Unit Trucks	8	4	0	12	-	3	10	0	13	-	11	5	0	16	-	41
% Single-Unit Trucks	3.7%	3.2%	0%	3.5%	-	2.1%	3.7%	0%	3.2%	-	3.3%	1.9%	0%	2.7%	-	3.1%
Articulated Trucks	3	1	0	4	-	0	0	0	0	-	2	3	0	5	-	9
% Articulated Trucks	1.4%	0.8%	0%	1.2%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.6%	1.2%	0%	0.9%	-	0.7%
Buses	1	0	0	1	-	0	1	0	1	-	1	0	0	1	-	3
% Buses	0.5%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0.4%	0%	0.2%	-	0.3%	0%	0%	0.2%	-	0.2%
Bicycles on Road	. 0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	. 0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

\*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

#### 217835 (2) John Mahar Hwy @ Plain Street - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 10AM - 11 AM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 818572, Location: 42.196556, -70.999603 EXAMPLE: A Content on Content on



### 217835 (2) John Mahar Hwy @ Plain Street - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021

PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 2:15PM - 3:15 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

12 Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818572, Location: 42.196556, -70.999603

													0		-	-
Leg	John Mahar H	lighway				Plain Street					Plain Street					
Direction	Southbound					Eastbound					Westbound					
Time	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 2:15PM	93	50	0	143	2	34	113	0	147	0	80	74	0	154	0	444
2:30PM	84	58	0	142	0	35	125	0	160	1	74	63	0	137	0	439
2:45PM	84	58	1	143	0	58	110	0	168	0	83	66	0	149	0	460
3:00PM	82	56	0	138	0	43	99	0	142	0	110	78	0	188	0	468
Total	343	222	1	566	2	170	447	0	617	1	347	281	0	628	0	1811
% Approach	60.6%	39.2%	0.2%	-	-	27.6%	72.4%	0%	-	-	55.3%	44.7%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	18.9%	12.3%	0.1%	31.3%	-	9.4%	24.7%	0%	34.1%	-	19.2%	15.5%	0%	34.7%	-	-
PHF	0.922	0.957	0.250	0.990	-	0.733	0.894	-	0.918	-	0.789	0.901	-	0.835	-	0.967
Motorcycles	2	0	0	2	-	0	0	0	0	-	1	0	0	1	-	3
% Motorcycles	0.6%	0%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%	0%	0%	0.2%	-	0.2%
Lights	335	216	1	552	-	164	432	0	596	-	330	274	0	604	-	1752
% Lights	97.7%	97.3%	100%	97.5%	-	96.5%	96.6%	0%	96.6%	-	95.1%	97.5%	0%	96.2%	-	96.7%
Single-Unit Trucks	4	5	0	9	-	5	10	0	15	-	10	5	0	15	-	39
% Single-Unit Trucks	1.2%	2.3%	0%	1.6%	-	2.9%	2.2%	0%	2.4%	-	2.9%	1.8%	0%	2.4%	-	2.2%
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	-	1	0	0	1	-	2	2	0	4	-	5
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.6%	0%	0%	0.2%	-	0.6%	0.7%	0%	0.6%	-	0.3%
Buses	2	1	0	3	-	0	5	0	5	-	4	0	0	4	-	12
% Buses	0.6%	0.5%	0%	0.5%	-	0%	1.1%	0%	0.8%	-	1.2%	0%	0%	0.6%	-	0.7%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-

\*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

### 217835 (2) John Mahar Hwy @ Plain Street - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 2:15PM - 3:15 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 818572, Location: 42.196556, -70.999603 IN John Mahar Highway



### 217835 (3) Grove Street @ Plain Street - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021

Full Length (10 AM-2 PM, 7 AM-11 AM, 2 PM-6 PM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

12 10 Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818573, Location: 42.196517, -70.998599

Leg	Grove Street					Plain Street					Plain Street					
Direction	Northbound					Eastbound					Westbound					
Time	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 7:00AM	575	7	0	582	0	30	333	0	363	0	8	27	0	35	2	980
8:00AM	584	9	0	593	0	37	382	0	419	0	6	27	0	33	0	1045
9:00AM	608	9	0	617	0	26	380	0	406	0	5	32	0	37	2	1060
10:00AM	549	15	0	564	0	44	438	0	482	1	7	37	0	44	2	1090
2:00PM	553	18	0	571	0	43	692	0	735	0	4	25	0	29	4	1335
3:00PM	612	18	0	630	0	29	701	0	730	0	11	30	0	41	0	1401
4:00PM	560	14	0	574	0	38	794	0	832	1	3	28	0	31	0	1437
5:00PM	571	12	0	583	0	23	807	0	830	0	6	28	0	34	3	1447
2021-04-10 10:00AM	573	18	0	591	0	44	498	0	542	1	8	48	0	56	4	1189
11:00AM	616	26	0	642	0	56	648	0	704	0	12	45	0	57	1	1403
12:00PM	649	16	0	665	2	32	694	0	726	0	11	40	0	51	0	1442
1:00PM	680	22	0	702	0	53	647	0	700	0	14	52	0	66	0	1468
Total	7130	184	0	7314	2	455	7014	0	7469	3	95	419	0	514	18	15297
% Approach	97.5%	2.5%	0%	-	-	6.1%	93.9%	0%	-	-	18.5%	81.5%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	46.6%	1.2%	0%	47.8%	-	3.0%	45.9%	0%	48.8%	-	0.6%	2.7%	0%	3.4%	-	-
Motorcycles	16	1	0	17	-	1	27	0	28	-	0	0	0	0	-	45
% Motorcycles	0.2%	0.5%	0%	0.2%	-	0.2%	0.4%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%
Lights	6919	181	0	7100	-	435	6827	0	7262	-	92	401	0	493	-	14855
% Lights	97.0%	98.4%	0%	97.1%	-	95.6%	97.3%	0%	97.2%	-	96.8%	95.7%	0%	95.9%	-	97.1%
Single-Unit Trucks	142	2	0	144	-	15	120	0	135	-	1	16	0	17	-	296
% Single-Unit Trucks	2.0%	1.1%	0%	2.0%	-	3.3%	1.7%	0%	1.8%	-	1.1%	3.8%	0%	3.3%	-	1.9%
Articulated Trucks	32	0	0	32	-	3	20	0	23	-	0	0	0	0	-	55
% Articulated Trucks	0.4%	0%	0%	0.4%	-	0.7%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.4%
Buses	19	0	0	19	-	1	16	0	17	-	2	2	0	4	-	40
% Buses	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0.2%	0.2%	0%	0.2%	-	2.1%	0.5%	0%	0.8%	-	0.3%
Bicycles on Road	2	0	0	2	-	0	4	0	4	-	0	0	0	0	-	6
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	18	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	66.7%	-	-	-	-	100%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	33.3%	-	-	-	-	0%	-
	11 7 7	(	1													

Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

### 217835 (3) Grove Street @ Plain Street - TMC

Thu Apr 8, 2021 AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 9:45AM - 10:45 AM)

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818573, Location: 42.196517, -70.998599

Leg	Grove Street					Plain Street					Plain Street					
Direction	Northbound					Eastbound					Westbound					
Time	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 9:45AM	155	4	0	159	0	5	105	0	110	0	4	10	0	14	1	283
10:00AM	134	4	0	138	0	10	106	0	116	0	2	8	0	10	2	264
10:15AM	149	3	0	152	0	8	107	0	115	0	1	9	0	10	0	277
10:30AM	135	4	0	139	0	12	114	0	126	1	2	9	0	11	0	276
Total	573	15	0	588	0	35	432	0	467	1	9	36	0	45	3	1100
% Approach	97.4%	2.6%	0%	-	-	7.5%	92.5%	0%	-	-	20.0%	80.0%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	52.1%	1.4%	0%	53.5%	-	3.2%	39.3%	0%	42.5%	-	0.8%	3.3%	0%	4.1%	-	-
PHF	0.924	0.938	-	0.925	-	0.729	0.947	-	0.927	-	0.563	0.900	-	0.804	-	0.972
Motorcycles	1	0	0	1	-	0	3	0	3	-	0	0	0	0	-	4
% Motorcycles	0.2%	0%	0%	0.2%	-	0%	0.7%	0%	0.6%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.4%
Lights	551	15	0	566	-	33	406	0	439	-	9	35	0	44	-	1049
% Lights	96.2%	100%	0%	96.3%	-	94.3%	94.0%	0%	94.0%	-	100%	97.2%	0%	97.8%	-	95.4%
Single-Unit Trucks	16	0	0	16	-	2	18	0	20	-	0	1	0	1	-	37
% Single-Unit Trucks	2.8%	0%	0%	2.7%	-	5.7%	4.2%	0%	4.3%	-	0%	2.8%	0%	2.2%	-	3.4%
Articulated Trucks	5	0	0	5	-	0	4	0	4	-	0	0	0	0	-	9
% Articulated Trucks	0.9%	0%	0%	0.9%	-	0%	0.9%	0%	0.9%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.8%
Buses	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	1
% Buses	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.2%	0%	0.2%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	3	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	100%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-

\*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

#### 217835 (3) Grove Street @ Plain Street - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 9:45AM - 10:45 AM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 818573, Location: 42.196517, -70.998599

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US



### **217835 (3) Grove Street @ Plain Street - TMC** Thu Apr 8, 2021

PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 4:45PM - 5:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818573, Location: 42.196517, -70.998599

Leg	Grove Street					Plain Street					Plain Street					
Direction	Northbound					Eastbound					Westbound					
Time	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	T	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 4:45PM	134	2	0	136	C	) 11	211	0	222	0	1	8	0	9	0	367
5:00PM	í 144	3	0	147	C	) 5	190	0	195	0	0	10	0	10	0	352
5:15PM	157	3	0	160	C	) 5	224	0	229	0	1	7	0	8	1	397
5:30PM	139	2	0	141	0	6	203	0	209	0	3	4	0	7	0	357
Tota	574	10	0	584	C	) 27	828	0	855	0	5	29	0	34	1	1473
% Approact	98.3%	1.7%	0%	-		3.2%	96.8%	0%	-	-	14.7%	85.3%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	39.0%	0.7%	0%	39.6%		1.8%	56.2%	0%	58.0%	-	0.3%	2.0%	0%	2.3%	-	-
PHI	0.914	0.833	-	0.913		0.614	0.924	-	0.933	-	0.417	0.725	-	0.850	-	0.928
Motorcycles	2	0	0	2		- 0	1	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	3
% Motorcycles	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%		- 0%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.2%
Lights	566	10	0	576		- 27	819	0	846	-	5	28	0	33	-	1455
% Lights	98.6%	100%	0%	98.6%		- 100%	98.9%	0%	98.9%	-	100%	96.6%	0%	97.1%	-	98.8%
Single-Unit Trucks	4	0	0	4		- 0	6	0	6	-	0	1	0	1	-	11
% Single-Unit Trucks	0.7%	0%	0%	0.7%		- 0%	0.7%	0%	0.7%	-	0%	3.4%	0%	2.9%	-	0.7%
Articulated Trucks	2	0	0	2		- 0	2	0	2	-	0	0	0	0	-	4
% Articulated Trucks	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%		- 0%	0.2%	0%	0.2%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%
Buses	0	0	0	0		- 0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Buses	0%	0%	0%	0%		- 0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0		- 0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%		- 0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians		-	-	-	0	) -	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	C	) –	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-

\*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

217835 (3) Grove Street @ Plain Street - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 4:45PM - 5:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 818573, Location: 42.196517, -70.998599

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US



### 217835 (4) Grove Street @ Grove Circle (sout... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021

Full Length (10 AM-2 PM, 7 AM-11 AM, 2 PM-6 PM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

12 10 Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818574, Location: 42.194974, -70.996102

	-															
Leg	Grove St	reet				Grove Street					Grove Circle (	south)				
Direction	Northbou	und				Southbound					Eastbound					
Time	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 7:00AM	0	547	0	547	0	330	0	1	331	0	49	15	1	65	3	943
8:00AM	0	558	0	558	0	369	0	0	369	0	46	24	0	70	1	997
9:00AM	0	602	0	602	0	362	0	0	362	0	26	18	0	44	5	1008
10:00AM	0	548	0	548	0	425	1	0	426	0	31	17	0	48	10	1022
2:00PM	0	560	0	560	0	663	0	0	663	0	39	19	0	58	3	1281
3:00PM	0	625	0	625	0	672	0	0	672	0	29	20	0	49	1	1346
4:00PM	0	567	0	567	0	758	0	0	758	0	29	16	0	45	4	1370
5:00PM	0	544	0	544	0	750	0	0	750	0	27	21	0	48	2	1342
2021-04-10 10:00AM	0	536	0	536	1	456	0	0	456	0	61	26	0	87	4	1079
11:00AM	0	609	0	609	0	614	0	0	614	0	55	28	0	83	11	1306
12:00PM	0	651	0	651	0	658	0	0	658	0	42	20	0	62	1	1371
1:00PM	0	675	0	675	0	606	0	0	606	0	50	19	0	69	1	1350
Total	0	7022	0	7022	1	6663	1	1	6665	0	484	243	1	728	46	14415
% Approach	0%	100%	0%	-	-	100.0%	0%	0%	-	-	66.5%	33.4%	0.1%	-	-	-
% Total	0%	48.7%	0%	48.7%	-	46.2%	0%	0%	46.2%	-	3.4%	1.7%	0%	5.1%	-	-
Motorcycles	0	13	0	13	-	26	0	0	26	-	1	0	0	1	-	40
% Motorcycles	0%	0.2%	0%	0.2%	-	0.4%	0%	0%	0.4%	-	0.2%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0.3%
Lights	0	6819	0	6819	-	6478	0	1	6479	-	477	234	1	712	-	14010
% Lights	0%	97.1%	0%	97.1%	-	97.2%	0%	100%	97.2%	-	98.6%	96.3%	100%	97.8%	-	97.2%
Single-Unit Trucks	0	134	0	134	-	115	1	0	116	-	5	3	0	8	-	258
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	1.9%	0%	1.9%	-	1.7%	100%	0%	1.7%	-	1.0%	1.2%	0%	1.1%	-	1.8%
Articulated Trucks	0	27	0	27	-	20	0	0	20	-	0	2	0	2	-	49
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0.4%	0%	0.4%	-	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0.8%	0%	0.3%	-	0.3%
Buses	0	23	0	23	-	19	0	0	19	-	1	4	0	5	-	47
% Buses	0%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0.2%	1.6%	0%	0.7%	-	0.3%
Bicycles on Road	0	6	0	6	-	5	0	0	5	-	0	0	0	0	-	11
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0.1%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	46	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-
*	11 1	T () T			TTT	T OD										

Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

### 217835 (4) Grove Street @ Grove Circle (sout... - TMC

Thu Apr 8, 2021 AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 9:15AM - 10:15 AM)

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

12

ID: 818574, Location: 42.194974, -70.996102

		0 .														
				outh)	Grove Circle (sout					Grove Street				eet	Grove Str	Leg
					Eastbound					Southbound				nd	Northboui	Direction
nt	Ped* I	Арр	U	R	L	Ped*	Арр	U	R	Т	Ped*	Арр	U	Т	L	Time
245	0	11	0	5	6	0	88	0	0	88	0	146	0	146	0	2021-04-08 9:15AM
262	2	12	0	5	7	0	88	0	0	88	0	162	0	162	0	9:30AM
263	1	10	0	3	7	0	99	0	0	99	0	154	0	154	0	9:45AM
265	2	13	0	6	7	0	101	0	0	101	0	151	0	151	0	10:00AM
1035	5	46	0	19	27	0	376	0	0	376	0	613	0	613	0	Total
-	-	-	0%	41.3%	58.7%	-	-	0%	0%	100%	-	-	0%	100%	0%	% Approach
-	-	4.4%	0%	1.8%	2.6%	-	36.3%	0%	0%	36.3%	-	59.2%	0%	59.2%	0%	% Total
0.976	-	0.885	-	0.792	0.964	-	0.931	-	-	0.931	-	0.946	-	0.946	-	PHF
2	-	0	0	0	0	-	1	0	0	1	-	1	0	1	0	Motorcycles
0.2%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0.2%	0%	0.2%	0%	% Motorcycles
988	-	45	0	18	27	-	359	0	0	359	-	584	0	584	0	Lights
95.5%	-	97.8%	0%	94.7%	100%	-	95.5%	0%	0%	95.5%	-	95.3%	0%	95.3%	0%	% Lights
37	-	1	0	1	0	-	12	0	0	12	-	24	0	24	0	Single-Unit Trucks
3.6%	-	2.2%	0%	5.3%	0%	-	3.2%	0%	0%	3.2%	-	3.9%	0%	3.9%	0%	% Single-Unit Trucks
7	-	0	0	0	0	-	3	0	0	3	-	4	0	4	0	Articulated Trucks
0.7%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.8%	0%	0%	0.8%	-	0.7%	0%	0.7%	0%	% Articulated Trucks
1	-	0	0	0	0	-	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	Buses
0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	% Buses
0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	Bicycles on Road
0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	% Bicycles on Road
	5	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	Pedestrians
-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	% Pedestrians
	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	Bicycles on Crosswalk
-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	% Bicycles on Crosswalk

\*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

217835 (4) Grove Street @ Grove Circle (sout... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 9:15AM - 10:15 AM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 818574, Location: 42.194974, -70.996102

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US



## **217835 (4) Grove Street @ Grove Circle (sout... - TMC** Thu Apr 8, 2021

PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 4:45PM - 5:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818574, Location: 42.194974, -70.996102

Leg       Grow Strest       Strest       Grow Strest       Strest       Grow Strest       Strest       Grow Strest        Strest       S														. runn	inginum, iviz	<b>1</b> , 1 <b>1</b> 111, (	,1,02, 00
Direction     Number     Submer     Submer <th>Leg</th> <th>Grove Str</th> <th>eet</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Grove Street</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Grove Circle (s</th> <th>south)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	Leg	Grove Str	eet				Grove Street					Grove Circle (s	south)				
TimeIIMMPorIMPorPorPorMPorMPorMPorMPorM2021-04-08 4:45P01280128000700128020400450903315:00P017401740174017802160901840011801903375:15P0132013201720184011801903375:15P013201720178017801780118011801440338033800033701780178198145000 <td< td=""><td>Direction</td><td>Northbou</td><td>nd</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Southbound</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Eastbound</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Direction	Northbou	nd				Southbound					Eastbound					
2021-04-08 4:45PM     0     128     0     128     0     204     0     0     44     5     0     9     0     331       5:15PM     0     144     0     144     0     216     0     0     126     0     9     2     4     0     16     0     331       5:15PM     0     132     0     132     0     132     0     0     184     0     184     0     11     8     0     10     133       5:30PM     0     132     0     132     0     0     184     0     0     11     8     0     11     1333       5:30PM     0%     100%     55.5     190     56.7%     0     1.4%     0%     3.3%     0<	Time	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	nt
StoopPM     0     147     0     147     0     178     0     178     0     2     4     0     6     0     331       StoopPA     0     144     0     144     0     144     0     118     0     216     0     216     0     216     0     9     2     0     11     331       StoopPA     0     132     0     132     0     551     0     782     0     0     782     0     2     57.8%     42.2%     0%      4     0     40.%     0%     40.%     0%<	2021-04-08 4:45PM	0	128	0	128	0	204	0	0	204	0	4	5	0	9	0	341
S15PM     0     144     0     144     0     216     0     216     0     9     2     0     11     11     137       G     530PM     0     132     0     132     0     732     0     782     0     184     0     11     8     0     19     1     335       G     551     0     551     0     782     0     782     0     256     0     256     256.7%     0     256.7%     0     256.7%     0     256.7%     0     55.7%     0     55.7%     0     55.7%     0     55.7%     0     55.7%     0     0.591 <td>5:00PM</td> <td>0</td> <td>147</td> <td>0</td> <td>147</td> <td>0</td> <td>178</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>178</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> <td>0</td> <td>6</td> <td>0</td> <td>331</td>	5:00PM	0	147	0	147	0	178	0	0	178	0	2	4	0	6	0	331
S130PM01320132018401840011180191335AMAP055.057.078202615.045.21378MAPMAP0%100%0%55.0%0%0%55.%41.0%41.0%0%45.033%00MAP0%40.0%0%55.%0%05.950.510.591	5:15PM	0	144	0	144	0	216	0	0	216	0	9	2	0	11	1	371
Index	5:30PM	0	132	0	132	0	184	0	0	184	0	11	8	0	19	1	335
% Approach     0%     100%     0%     -     100%     0%     0%     -     57.8%     42.2%     0%     - <t< td=""><td>Total</td><td>0</td><td>551</td><td>0</td><td>551</td><td>0</td><td>782</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>782</td><td>0</td><td>26</td><td>19</td><td>0</td><td>45</td><td>2</td><td>1378</td></t<>	Total	0	551	0	551	0	782	0	0	782	0	26	19	0	45	2	1378
\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	% Approach	0%	100%	0%	-	-	100%	0%	0%	-	-	57.8%	42.2%	0%	-	-	-
PHF.0.937.0.937.0.937.0.9050.905.0.5910.5910.594.0.5920.929Motorcycles0101001001000 <td< td=""><td>% Total</td><td>0%</td><td>40.0%</td><td>0%</td><td>40.0%</td><td>-</td><td>56.7%</td><td>0%</td><td>0%</td><td>56.7%</td><td>-</td><td>1.9%</td><td>1.4%</td><td>0%</td><td>3.3%</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></td<>	% Total	0%	40.0%	0%	40.0%	-	56.7%	0%	0%	56.7%	-	1.9%	1.4%	0%	3.3%	-	-
Motocycles010100100100	PHF	-	0.937	-	0.937	-	0.905	-	-	0.905	-	0.591	0.594	-	0.592	-	0.929
% Motorcycles0%0.2%0%0.2%00.1%0%0.1%00% <th< td=""><td>Motorcycles</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>-</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>-</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>-</td><td>2</td></th<>	Motorcycles	0	1	0	1	-	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	2
Image: binometry bin	% Motorcycles	0%	0.2%	0%	0.2%	-	0.1%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
MethodMetho	Lights	0	545	0	545	-	772	0	0	772	-	25	19	0	44	-	1361
Single-Unit Tucks030306006010101010100 <td>% Lights</td> <td>0%</td> <td>98.9%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>98.9%</td> <td>-</td> <td>98.7%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>98.7%</td> <td>-</td> <td>96.2%</td> <td>100%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>97.8%</td> <td>-</td> <td>98.8%</td>	% Lights	0%	98.9%	0%	98.9%	-	98.7%	0%	0%	98.7%	-	96.2%	100%	0%	97.8%	-	98.8%
M Single-Unit Turk $0\%$ $0\%$ $0\%$ $0\%$ $0.\%$	Single-Unit Trucks	0	3	0	3	-	6	0	0	6	-	1	0	0	1	-	10
Articulated Turk0202000 <td>% Single-Unit Trucks</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0.5%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0.5%</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.8%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0.8%</td> <td>-</td> <td>3.8%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>2.2%</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.7%</td>	% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	0.5%	0%	0.5%	-	0.8%	0%	0%	0.8%	-	3.8%	0%	0%	2.2%	-	0.7%
Matriculated Tucks0%0	Articulated Trucks	0	2	0	2	-	2	0	0	2	-	0	0	0	0	-	4
Buse000001001000<	% Articulated Trucks	0%	0.4%	0%	0.4%	-	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%
MethodMetho	Buses	0	0	0	0	-	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	1
Bicycles on Road000 <td>% Buses</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.1%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0.1%</td> <td>-</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.1%</td>	% Buses	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
% Bicycles on Root     0%     0	Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
Pedestrians     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     2       Modestrians     -     -     -     -     -     -     -     2     -     100%     -     -     100%     -     -     100%     -     -     100%     -     -     100%     -     -     100%     -     -     100%     -     -     100%     -     -     100%     -     -     100%     -     -     100%     -     -     100%     -     -     100%     -     -     0     -     -     100%     -     -     0     -     -     0     -     -     0     -     -     0     -     -     0     -     -     0     -     -     0     -     -     0     -     -     0     -     -     0     -     -     0     -     -     0     -     -     0     -     -     0     -     -     0     -     -     0     -     -     0     -	% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
% Pedestrians     -     -     -     -     -     100%     -     100%     -     -     100%     -     -     100%     -     -     100%     -     -     100%     -     -     100%     -     -     100%     -     -     100%     -     -     100%     -	Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	2	
Bicycles on Crosswalk       -       -       -       -       0       -       -       0         % Bicycles on Crosswalk       -       -       -       -       -       -       0 <td>% Pedestrians</td> <td>-</td> <td>100%</td> <td>-</td>	% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-
% Bicycles on Crosswalk - - - - 0%	Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
	% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-

Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn
#### 217835 (4) Grove Street @ Grove Circle (sout... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 4:45PM - 5:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 818574, Location: 42.194974, -70.996102 ID: B18574, Location: 42.194744 ID: B18574, Locatio



# 217835 (5) Grove Street @ Hannah Niles Way - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021

Full Length (10 AM-2 PM, 7 AM-11 AM, 2 PM-6 PM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

12 10 Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818575, Location: 42.19278, -70.991506

<b>r</b> .						0 0					TT 1 5121	<b>X</b> +7				
Leg	Grove Street					Grove Street					Hannan Niles	way				
Direction	Northbound		**			Southbound					Eastbound				N 14	-
1 ime	L	1	<u> </u>	App	Ped*	1	R	0	Арр	Ped*	L	R	0	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 7:00AM	5	541	0	546	1	324	4	0	328	0	9	8	0	17	4	891
8:00AM	8	561	0	569	0	390	2	0	392	0	4	7	0	11	1	972
9:00AM	3	599	1	603	0	369	2	0	371	0	5	6	0	11	2	985
10:00AM	5	541	0	546	0	441	4	0	445	0	5	2	0	7	5	998
2:00PM	7	563	0	570	3	667	6	1	674	0	4	7	0	11	2	1255
3:00PM	5	611	0	616	3	694	5	0	699	0	2	5	0	7	3	1322
4:00PM	6	559	0	565	1	774	7	0	781	0	2	3	0	5	10	1351
5:00PM	2	556	0	558	1	785	6	0	791	0	2	4	0	6	5	1355
2021-04-10 10:00AM	1	541	0	542	0	469	6	0	475	0	8	2	0	10	3	1027
11:00AM	4	597	0	601	5	620	2	0	622	0	1	5	0	6	15	1229
12:00PM	2	641	0	643	1	677	5	0	682	0	3	5	0	8	3	1333
1:00PM	4	664	1	669	5	628	4	0	632	0	4	7	0	11	1	1312
Total	52	6974	2	7028	20	6838	53	1	6892	0	49	61	0	110	54	14030
% Approach	0.7%	99.2%	0%	-	-	99.2%	0.8%	0%	-	-	44.5%	55.5%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	0.4%	49.7%	0%	50.1%	-	48.7%	0.4%	0%	49.1%	-	0.3%	0.4%	0%	0.8%	-	-
Motorcycles	0	15	0	15	-	26	0	0	26	-	0	0	0	0	-	41
% Motorcycles	0%	0.2%	0%	0.2%	-	0.4%	0%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%
Lights	51	6751	2	6804	-	6628	49	1	6678	-	47	58	0	105	-	13587
% Lights	98.1%	96.8%	100%	96.8%	-	96.9%	92.5%	100%	96.9%	-	95.9%	95.1%	0%	95.5%	-	96.8%
Single-Unit Trucks	1	144	0	145	-	135	3	0	138	-	1	3	0	4	-	287
% Single-Unit Trucks	1.9%	2.1%	0%	2.1%	-	2.0%	5.7%	0%	2.0%	-	2.0%	4.9%	0%	3.6%	-	2.0%
Articulated Trucks	0	37	0	37	-	23	0	0	23	-	0	0	0	0	-	60
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0.5%	0%	0.5%	-	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.4%
Buses	0	21	0	21	-	22	0	0	22	-	0	0	0	0	-	43
% Buses	0%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%
Bicycles on Road	0	6	0	6	-	4	1	0	5	-	1	0	0	1	-	12
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0.1%	1.9%	0%	0.1%	-	2.0%	0%	0%	0.9%	-	0.1%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	19	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	53	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	95.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	98.1%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	5.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.9%	-
*	11 T 1				X X . (70)	•					•					

# 217835 (5) Grove Street @ Hannah Niles Way - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021

AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 9:15AM - 10:15 AM)

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

12 Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI)

r														,	-, -·,	,
Leg	Grove Street					Grove Street					Hannah Niles V	Nay				
Direction	Northbound					Southbound					Eastbound					
Time	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 9:15AM	1	144	0	145	0	91	1	0	92	0	2	1	0	3	0	240
9:30AM	0	156	0	156	0	98	0	0	98	0	2	0	0	2	0	256
9:45AM	1	159	0	160	0	96	1	0	97	0	0	3	0	3	2	260
10:00AM	1	149	0	150	0	111	1	0	112	0	2	1	0	3	0	265
Total	3	608	0	611	0	396	3	0	399	0	6	5	0	11	2	1021
% Approach	0.5%	99.5%	0%	-	-	99.2%	0.8%	0%	-	-	54.5%	45.5%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	0.3%	59.5%	0%	59.8%	-	38.8%	0.3%	0%	39.1%	-	0.6%	0.5%	0%	1.1%	-	-
PHF	0.750	0.956	-	0.955	-	0.892	0.750	-	0.891	-	0.750	0.417	-	0.917	-	0.963
Motorcycles	0	1	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	1
% Motorcycles	0%	0.2%	0%	0.2%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Lights	3	575	0	578	-	378	2	0	380	-	6	4	0	10	-	968
% Lights	100%	94.6%	0%	94.6%	-	95.5%	66.7%	0%	95.2%	-	100%	80.0%	0%	90.9%	-	94.8%
Single-Unit Trucks	0	26	0	26	-	15	1	0	16	-	0	1	0	1	-	43
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	4.3%	0%	4.3%	-	3.8%	33.3%	0%	4.0%	-	0%	20.0%	0%	9.1%	-	4.2%
Articulated Trucks	0	6	0	6	-	2	0	0	2	-	0	0	0	0	-	8
% Articulated Trucks	0%	1.0%	0%	1.0%	-	0.5%	0%	0%	0.5%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.8%
Buses	0	0	0	0	-	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	1
% Buses	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Bicycles on Road	. 0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	. 0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	2	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-

217835 (5) Grove Street @ Hannah Niles Way - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 9:15AM - 10:15 AM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements



# **217835 (5) Grove Street @ Hannah Niles Way - TMC** Thu Apr 8, 2021

PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 4:30PM - 5:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818575, Location: 42.19278, -70.991506

													0			
Leg	Grove Street					Grove Street					Hannah Niles V	√ay				
Direction	Northbound					Southbound					Eastbound					
Time	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 4:30PM	0	151	0	151	0	175	3	0	178	0	2	1	0	3	5	332
4:45PM	4	119	0	123	0	203	2	0	205	0	0	2	0	2	0	330
5:00PM	2	145	0	147	0	193	1	0	194	0	0	1	0	1	1	342
5:15PM	0	153	0	153	0	216	1	0	217	0	0	0	0	0	1	370
Total	6	568	0	574	0	787	7	0	794	0	2	4	0	6	7	1374
% Approach	1.0%	99.0%	0%	-	-	99.1%	0.9%	0%	-	-	33.3%	66.7%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	0.4%	41.3%	0%	41.8%	-	57.3%	0.5%	0%	57.8%	-	0.1%	0.3%	0%	0.4%	-	-
PHF	0.375	0.928	-	0.938	-	0.911	0.583	-	0.915	-	0.250	0.500	-	0.500	-	0.928
Motorcycles	0	3	0	3	-	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	4
% Motorcycles	0%	0.5%	0%	0.5%	-	0.1%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%
Lights	6	558	0	564	-	779	7	0	786	-	2	4	0	6	-	1356
% Lights	100%	98.2%	0%	98.3%	-	99.0%	100%	0%	99.0%	-	100%	100%	0%	100%	-	98.7%
Single-Unit Trucks	0	4	0	4	-	5	0	0	5	-	0	0	0	0	-	9
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	0.7%	0%	0.7%	-	0.6%	0%	0%	0.6%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.7%
Articulated Trucks	0	3	0	3	-	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	4
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0.5%	0%	0.5%	-	0.1%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%
Buses	0	0	0	0	-	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	1
% Buses	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	7	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-
-																

# **217835 (5) Grove Street @ Hannah Niles Way - TMC** Thu Apr 8, 2021

PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 4:30PM - 5:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)

All Movements

ID: 818575, Location: 42.19278, -70.991506



#### 217835 (6) Grove Street @ United Methodist C... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 Full Length (7 AM-11 AM, 2 PM-6 PM, 10 AM-2 PM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

All Movements ID: 818577, Location: 42.191533, -70.989714

1	1				1		1 1 4 1 1 1 1 1	Briani, 1911, 19	
Leg	Grove Street				Grove Street				
Direction	Northbound				Southbound				
Time	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 7:00AM	546	0	546	0	330	0	330	0	876
8:00AM	553	0	553	1	397	0	397	1	950
9:00AM	604	0	604	0	373	0	373	0	977
10:00AM	546	0	546	0	442	0	442	0	988
2:00PM	577	0	577	0	675	0	675	0	1252
3:00PM	617	0	617	0	706	0	706	4	1323
4:00PM	569	0	569	0	774	0	774	4	1343
5:00PM	555	0	555	0	797	1	798	2	1353
2021-04-10 10:00AM	541	0	541	0	470	0	470	1	1011
11:00AM	597	0	597	1	623	0	623	1	1220
12:00PM	642	0	642	0	688	0	688	0	1330
1:00PM	665	0	665	0	633	0	633	0	1298
Total	7012	0	7012	2	6908	1	6909	13	13921
% Approach	100%	0%	-	-	100.0%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	50.4%	0%	50.4%	-	49.6%	0%	49.6%	-	-
Motorcycles	16	0	16	-	28	0	28	-	44
% Motorcycles	0.2%	0%	0.2%	-	0.4%	0%	0.4%	-	0.3%
Lights	6799	0	6799	-	6706	1	6707	-	13506
% Lights	97.0%	0%	97.0%	-	97.1%	100%	97.1%	-	97.0%
Single-Unit Trucks	138	0	138	-	124	0	124	-	262
% Single-Unit Trucks	2.0%	0%	2.0%	-	1.8%	0%	1.8%	-	1.9%
Articulated Trucks	34	0	34	-	24	0	24	-	58
% Articulated Trucks	0.5%	0%	0.5%	-	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0.4%
Buses	20	0	20	-	20	0	20	-	40
% Buses	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0.3%
Bicycles on Road	5	0	5	-	6	0	6	-	11
% Bicycles on Road	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0.1%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	8	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	50.0%	-	-	-	61.5%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	5	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	50.0%	-	-	-	38.5%	-
					1				

Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. T: Thru, U: U-Turn

#### 217835 (6) Grove Street @ United Methodist C... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 9:15AM - 10:15 AM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818577, Location: 42.191533, -70.989714

							i iuning	iiuiii, ivii i,	1011, 01702, 00
Leg	Grove Street				Grove Street				
Direction	Northbound				Southbound				
Time	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 9:15AM	143	0	143	0	93	0	93	0	236
9:30AM	155	0	155	0	98	0	98	0	253
9:45AM	161	0	161	0	98	0	98	0	259
10:00AM	150	0	150	0	112	0	112	0	262
Total	609	0	609	0	401	0	401	0	1010
% Approach	100%	0%	-	-	100%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	60.3%	0%	60.3%	-	39.7%	0%	39.7%	-	-
PHF	0.946	-	0.946	-	0.895	-	0.895	-	0.964
Motorcycles	1	0	1	-	1	0	1	-	2
% Motorcycles	0.2%	0%	0.2%	-	0.2%	0%	0.2%	-	0.2%
Lights	578	0	578	-	383	0	383	-	961
% Lights	94.9%	0%	94.9%	-	95.5%	0%	95.5%	-	95.1%
Single-Unit Trucks	24	0	24	-	13	0	13	-	37
% Single-Unit Trucks	3.9%	0%	3.9%	-	3.2%	0%	3.2%	-	3.7%
Articulated Trucks	6	0	6	-	3	0	3	-	9
% Articulated Trucks	1.0%	0%	1.0%	-	0.7%	0%	0.7%	-	0.9%
Buses	0	0	0	-	1	0	1	-	1
% Buses	0%	0%	0%	-	0.2%	0%	0.2%	-	0.1%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*									

Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. T: Thru, U: U-Turn

217835 (6) Grove Street @ United Methodist C... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 9:15AM - 10:15 AM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 818577, Location: 42.191533, -70.989714

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US



Out: 401 In: 609 Total: 1010 [S] Grove Street

# 217835 (6) Grove Street @ United Methodist C... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021

PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 4:30PM - 5:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

10 Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

120

ID: 818577, Location: 42.191533, -70.989714

				Grove Street				Grove Street	Leg
				Southbound				Northbound	Direction
Int	Ped*	Арр	U	Т	Ped*	Арр	U	Т	Time
330	1	179	0	179	0	151	0	151	2021-04-08 4:30PM
332	0	207	0	207	0	125	0	125	4:45PM
343	1	196	0	196	0	147	0	147	5:00PM
372	0	220	0	220	0	152	0	152	5:15PM
1377	2	802	0	802	0	575	0	575	Total
-	-	-	0%	100%	-	-	0%	100%	% Approach
-	-	58.2%	0%	58.2%	-	41.8%	0%	41.8%	% Total
0.925	-	0.911	-	0.911	-	0.946	-	0.946	PHF
4	-	1	0	1	-	3	0	3	Motorcycles
0.3%	-	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0.5%	0%	0.5%	% Motorcycles
1362	-	797	0	797	-	565	0	565	Lights
98.9%	-	99.4%	0%	99.4%	-	98.3%	0%	98.3%	% Lights
8	-	4	0	4	-	4	0	4	Single-Unit Trucks
0.6%	-	0.5%	0%	0.5%	-	0.7%	0%	0.7%	% Single-Unit Trucks
3	-	0	0	0	-	3	0	3	Articulated Trucks
0.2%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	0.5%	0%	0.5%	% Articulated Trucks
0	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	Buses
0%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	% Buses
0	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	Bicycles on Road
0%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	% Bicycles on Road
	2	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	Pedestrians
-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	% Pedestrians
	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	Bicycles on Crosswalk
-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	% Bicycles on Crosswalk

Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. T: Thru, U: U-Turn

## **217835 (6) Grove Street @ United Methodist C... - TMC** Thu Apr 8, 2021

PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 4:30PM - 5:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)

All Movements

ID: 818577, Location: 42.191533, -70.989714

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US



Total: 1377 [S] Grove Street

# **217835 (7) Grove Street @ Tedeschi Shipping ... - TMC** Thu Apr 8, 2021 Full Length (7 AM-11 AM, 2 PM-6 PM, 10 AM-2 PM)

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818578, Location: 42.191004, -70.988938

Leg	Grove Street			Grove Street					Tedeschi Plaza	a North Driv	veway					
Direction	Northbound					Southbound					Westbound					
Time	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 7:00AM	503	9	0	512	0	31	301	0	332	0	19	44	0	63	0	907
8:00AM	526	26	0	552	0	49	348	0	397	0	11	34	0	45	1	994
9:00AM	560	12	0	572	0	44	322	0	366	0	11	39	0	50	1	988
10:00AM	504	6	0	510	0	50	390	0	440	1	16	46	0	62	0	1012
2:00PM	515	9	0	524	0	54	605	0	659	0	16	50	0	66	0	1249
3:00PM	578	8	0	586	0	41	667	0	708	0	15	44	0	59	1	1353
4:00PM	533	12	0	545	0	50	726	0	776	0	16	34	0	50	1	1371
5:00PM	524	10	0	534	0	66	733	0	799	0	12	30	0	42	1	1375
2021-04-10 10:00AM	500	14	0	514	0	43	437	0	480	1	13	40	0	53	1	1047
11:00AM	553	13	0	566	0	35	578	0	613	0	13	52	0	65	0	1244
12:00PM	603	21	0	624	1	56	642	0	698	0	22	43	0	65	0	1387
1:00PM	625	13	0	638	0	48	577	0	625	0	12	40	0	52	0	1315
Total	6524	153	0	6677	1	567	6326	0	6893	2	176	496	0	672	6	14242
% Approach	97.7%	2.3%	0%	-	-	8.2%	91.8%	0%	-	-	26.2%	73.8%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	45.8%	1.1%	0%	46.9%	-	4.0%	44.4%	0%	48.4%	-	1.2%	3.5%	0%	4.7%	-	-
Motorcycles	16	0	0	16	-	0	25	0	25	-	1	1	0	2	-	43
% Motorcycles	0.2%	0%	0%	0.2%	-	0%	0.4%	0%	0.4%	-	0.6%	0.2%	0%	0.3%	-	0.3%
Lights	6324	151	0	6475	-	562	6133	0	6695	-	170	489	0	659	-	13829
% Lights	96.9%	98.7%	0%	97.0%	-	99.1%	96.9%	0%	97.1%	-	96.6%	98.6%	0%	98.1%	-	97.1%
Single-Unit Trucks	130	0	0	130	-	5	115	0	120	-	4	5	0	9	-	259
% Single-Unit Trucks	2.0%	0%	0%	1.9%	-	0.9%	1.8%	0%	1.7%	-	2.3%	1.0%	0%	1.3%	-	1.8%
Articulated Trucks	30	0	0	30	-	0	25	0	25	-	0	0	0	0	-	55
% Articulated Trucks	0.5%	0%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0.4%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.4%
Buses	19	0	0	19	-	0	21	0	21	-	0	1	0	1	-	41
% Buses	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0.2%	0%	0.1%	-	0.3%
Bicycles on Road	5	2	0	7	-	0	7	0	7	-	1	0	0	1	-	15
% Bicycles on Road	0.1%	1.3%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0.6%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0.1%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	5	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	50.0%	-	-	-	-	83.3%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	50.0%	-	-	-	-	16.7%	-

# 217835 (7) Grove Street @ Tedeschi Shipping ... - TMC

Thu Apr 8, 2021 AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 9:15AM - 10:15 AM)

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818578, Location: 42.191004, -70.988938

																-
Leg	Grove Street					Grove Street					Tedeschi Plaza	a North Driv	eway			
Direction	Northbound					Southbound					Westbound					
Time	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 9:15AM	137	1	0	138	0	13	78	0	91	0	4	7	0	11	0	240
9:30AM	146	3	0	149	0	12	83	0	95	0	2	9	0	11	0	255
9:45AM	143	3	0	146	0	11	83	0	94	0	2	12	0	14	1	254
10:00AM	142	2	0	144	0	13	100	0	113	0	4	10	0	14	0	271
Total	568	9	0	577	0	49	344	0	393	0	12	38	0	50	1	1020
% Approach	98.4%	1.6%	0%	-	-	12.5%	87.5%	0%	-	-	24.0%	76.0%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	55.7%	0.9%	0%	56.6%	-	4.8%	33.7%	0%	38.5%	-	1.2%	3.7%	0%	4.9%	-	-
PHF	0.973	0.750	-	0.968	-	0.942	0.860	-	0.869	-	0.750	0.792	-	0.893	-	0.941
Motorcycles	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	1	-	1	0	0	1	-	2
% Motorcycles	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	8.3%	0%	0%	2.0%	-	0.2%
Lights	542	9	0	551	-	47	330	0	377	-	10	37	0	47	-	975
% Lights	95.4%	100%	0%	95.5%	-	95.9%	95.9%	0%	95.9%	-	83.3%	97.4%	0%	94.0%	-	95.6%
Single-Unit Trucks	21	0	0	21	-	2	10	0	12	-	1	1	0	2	-	35
% Single-Unit Trucks	3.7%	0%	0%	3.6%	-	4.1%	2.9%	0%	3.1%	-	8.3%	2.6%	0%	4.0%	-	3.4%
Articulated Trucks	5	0	0	5	-	0	2	0	2	-	0	0	0	0	-	7
% Articulated Trucks	0.9%	0%	0%	0.9%	-	0%	0.6%	0%	0.5%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.7%
Buses	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	1
% Buses	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-

# 217835 (7) Grove Street @ Tedeschi Shipping ... - TMC

Thu Apr 8, 2021 AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 9:15AM - 10:15 AM)

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818578, Location: 42.191004, -70.988938



# 217835 (7) Grove Street @ Tedeschi Shipping ... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021

PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 4:30PM - 5:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

12 Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818578, Location: 42.191004, -70.988938

														· · ·	· · ·	
Leg	Grove Street					Grove Street					Tedeschi Plaza	North Drive	way			
Direction	Northbound					Southbound					Westbound					
Time	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 4:30PM	138	3	0	141	0	8	170	0	178	0	4	9	0	13	0	332
4:45PM	118	3	0	121	0	17	189	0	206	0	6	7	0	13	0	340
5:00PM	140	2	0	142	0	15	184	0	199	0	2	9	0	11	0	352
5:15PM	138	1	0	139	0	21	198	0	219	0	3	10	0	13	0	371
Total	534	9	0	543	0	61	741	0	802	0	15	35	0	50	0	1395
% Approach	98.3%	1.7%	0%	-	-	7.6%	92.4%	0%	-	-	30.0%	70.0%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	38.3%	0.6%	0%	38.9%	-	4.4%	53.1%	0%	57.5%	-	1.1%	2.5%	0%	3.6%	-	-
PHF	0.954	0.750	-	0.956	-	0.726	0.936	-	0.916	-	0.625	0.875	-	0.962	-	0.940
Motorcycles	2	0	0	2	-	0	1	0	1	-	0	1	0	1	-	4
% Motorcycles	0.4%	0%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	2.9%	0%	2.0%	-	0.3%
Lights	525	9	0	534	-	61	734	0	795	-	15	34	0	49	-	1378
% Lights	98.3%	100%	0%	98.3%	-	100%	99.1%	0%	99.1%	-	100%	97.1%	0%	98.0%	-	98.8%
Single-Unit Trucks	5	0	0	5	-	0	5	0	5	-	0	0	0	0	-	10
% Single-Unit Trucks	0.9%	0%	0%	0.9%	-	0%	0.7%	0%	0.6%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.7%
Articulated Trucks	2	0	0	2	-	0	1	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	3
% Articulated Trucks	0.4%	0%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.2%
Buses	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Buses	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

# **217835 (7) Grove Street @ Tedeschi Shipping ... - TMC** Thu Apr 8, 2021

ID: 818578, Location: 42.191004, -70.988938

PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 4:30PM - 5:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements



#### **217835 (8) Grove Street @ Tedeschi Plaza Mid... - TMC** Thu Apr 8, 2021 Full Length (7 AM-11 AM, 2 PM-6 PM, 10 AM-2 PM)

Full Length (7 AM-11 AM, 2 PM-6 PM, 10 AM-2 PM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

12

ID: 818579, Location: 42.190544, -70.988318

Leg Direction	Grove St Northbo	treet und					Grove St Southbo	reet und					Hemlock Eastbour	Street					Tedeschi Westbou	Plaza N nd	fain Driv	eway			
Time	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 7:00AM	3	484	39	0	526	0	21	292	3	0	316	0	11	0	6	0	17	3	25	2	20	0	47	1	906
8:00AM	0	513	49	0	562	1	31	318	8	0	357	0	6	0	3	0	9	1	35	2	35	0	72	0	1000
9:00AM	2	506	41	0	549	1	38	294	2	0	334	0	6	0	5	0	11	4	37	2	55	0	94	0	988
10:00AM	4	415	48	0	467	0	52	353	2	0	407	0	6	2	1	0	9	5	45	2	88	0	135	0	1018
2:00PM	2	469	45	0	516	0	59	562	13	0	634	0	6	2	2	0	10	4	59	3	49	0	111	0	1271
3:00PM	4	505	40	0	549	4	66	589	19	0	674	0	6	4	4	0	14	0	70	2	67	0	139	0	1376
4:00PM	2	457	43	0	502	0	65	670	11	0	746	0	7	3	3	0	13	3	46	3	81	0	130	0	1391
5:00PM	1	462	52	0	515	2	66	664	14	0	744	0	6	1	3	0	10	1	63	2	68	1	134	2	1403
2021-04-10 10:00AM	2	426	69	0	497	0	53	391	8	0	452	0	7	4	3	0	14	2	67	0	83	0	150	1	1113
11:00AM	6	461	58	0	525	0	57	524	10	0	591	0	7	2	4	0	13	8	72	5	93	0	170	0	1299
12:00PM	2	532	42	0	576	1	59	592	13	0	664	0	4	1	2	0	7	4	70	2	85	1	158	0	1405
1:00PM	4	549	30	0	583	0	76	506	9	0	591	1	6	1	4	0	11	0	57	5	87	0	149	0	1334
Total	32	5779	556	0	6367	9	643	5755	112	0	6510	1	78	20	40	0	138	35	646	30	811	2	1489	4	14504
% Approach	0.5%	90.8%	8.7%	0%	-	-	9.9%	88.4%	1.7%	0%	-	-	56.5%	14.5%	29.0%	)%	-	-	43.4%	2.0%	54.5%	0.1%	-	-	-
% Total	0.2%	39.8%	3.8%	0%	43.9%	-	4.4%	39.7%	0.8%	0%	44.9%	-	0.5%	0.1%	0.3%	)%	1.0%	-	4.5%	0.2%	5.6%	0%	10.3%	-	-
Motorcycles	1	15	1	0	17	-	0	28	0	0	28	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	1	0	1	-	46
% Motorcycles	3.1%	0.3%	0.2%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0.5%	0%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0%	0% (	)%	0%	-	0%	0%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0.3%
Lights	30	5592	548	0	6170	-	637	5575	105	0	6317	-	75	18	39	0	132	-	640	29	804	2	1475	-	14094
% Lights	93.8%	96.8%	98.6%	0%	96.9%	-	99.1%	96.9%	93.8%	0%	97.0%	-	96.2%	90.0%	97.5%	)% (	95.7%	-	99.1%	96.7%	99.1%	100%	99.1%	-	97.2%
Single-Unit Trucks	1	123	6	0	130	-	5	105	2	0	112	-	2	1	1	0	4	-	5	0	3	0	8	-	254
% Single-Unit Trucks	3.1%	2.1%	1.1%	0%	2.0%	-	0.8%	1.8%	1.8%	0%	1.7%	-	2.6%	5.0%	2.5%	)%	2.9%	-	0.8%	0%	0.4%	0%	0.5%	-	1.8%
Articulated Trucks	0	29	1	0	30	-	0	23	0	0	23	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	53
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0.5%	0.2%	0%	0.5%	-	0%	0.4%	0%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0%	0% (	)%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.4%
Buses	0	17	0	0	17	-	1	18	1	0	20	-	1	1	0	0	2	-	1	1	3	0	5	-	44
% Buses	0%	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0.2%	0.3%	0.9%	0%	0.3%	-	1.3%	5.0%	0% (	)%	1.4%	-	0.2%	3.3%	0.4%	0%	0.3%	-	0.3%
Bicycles on Road	0	3	0	0	3	-	0	6	4	0	10	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	13
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0.1%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.1%	3.6%	0%	0.2%	-	0%	0%	0% (	)%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	34	-	-	-	-	-	4	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	97.1%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	2.9%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-

# 217835 (8) Grove Street @ Tedeschi Plaza Mid... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 9:45AM - 10:45 AM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818579, Location: 42.190544, -70.988318

	-																				-				
Leg	Grove S	treet					Grove St	reet					Hemlock	Street					Tedeschi	Plaza M	lain Driv	eway	r		
Direction	Northbo	und					Southbou	ınd					Eastboun	ıd					Westbour	nd					
Time	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 9:45AM	2	128	9	0	139	1	8	81	0	0	89	0	1	0	1	0	2	3	9	1	17	0	27	0	257
10:00AM	1	128	16	0	145	0	18	82	0	0	100	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	15	1	16	0	32	0	278
10:15AM	1	86	13	0	100	0	7	92	0	0	99	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	12	0	24	0	36	0	236
10:30AM	1	103	14	0	118	0	17	85	2	0	104	0	2	1	1	0	4	1	8	1	21	0	30	0	256
Total	5	445	52	0	502	1	50	340	2	0	392	0	5	1	2	0	8	7	44	3	78	0	125	0	1027
% Approach	1.0%	88.6%	10.4%	0%	-	-	12.8%	86.7%	0.5%	0%	-	-	62.5%	12.5%	25.0%	0%	-	-	35.2%	2.4%	62.4%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	0.5%	43.3%	5.1%	0%	48.9%	-	4.9%	33.1%	0.2%	0%	38.2%	-	0.5%	0.1%	0.2%	0%	0.8%	-	4.3%	0.3%	7.6%	0%	12.2%	-	-
PHF	0.625	0.869	0.813	-	0.866	-	0.694	0.924	0.250	-	0.942	-	0.625	0.250	0.500	-	0.500	-	0.733	0.750	0.813	-	0.868	-	0.924
Motorcycles	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	3	0	0	3	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	3
% Motorcycles	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.9%	0%	0%	0.8%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%
Lights	5	427	51	0	483	-	50	320	2	0	372	-	5	1	2	0	8	-	42	3	78	0	123	-	986
% Lights	100%	96.0%	98.1%	0%	96.2%	-	100%	94.1%	100%	0%	94.9%	-	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	-	95.5%	100%	100%	0%	98.4%	-	96.0%
Single-Unit Trucks	0	15	1	0	16	-	0	13	0	0	13	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	2	0	0	0	2	-	31
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	3.4%	1.9%	0%	3.2%	-	0%	3.8%	0%	0%	3.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	4.5%	0%	0%	0%	1.6%	-	3.0%
Articulated Trucks	0	3	0	0	3	-	0	3	0	0	3	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	6
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0.7%	0%	0%	0.6%	-	0%	0.9%	0%	0%	0.8%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.6%
Buses	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	1
% Buses	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

#### 217835 (8) Grove Street @ Tedeschi Plaza Mid... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 9:45AM - 10:45 AM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 818579, Location: 42.190544, -70.988318 ID: 918579, Location: 42.190544, -70.988318 ID: 918579, Location: 42.190544, -70.988318



# 217835 (8) Grove Street @ Tedeschi Plaza Mid... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021

PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 3:45PM - 4:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

10 Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

120

ID: 818579, Location: 42.190544, -70.988318

																					-			-	-
Leg	Grove S	street					Grove S	street					Hemlock	Street					Tedeschi	Plaza M	lain Driv	reway			
Direction	Northbo	ound					Southbo	ound					Eastboun	ıd					Westbou	nd					
Time	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 3:45PM	1	126	13	0	140	0	21	166	9	0	196	0	2	1	1	0	4	0	15	0	14	0	29	0	369
4:00PM	0	117	7	0	124	0	21	173	4	0	198	0	1	2	0	0	3	0	7	0	20	0	27	0	352
4:15PM	0	124	16	0	140	0	15	166	2	0	183	0	0	1	2	0	3	2	10	3	21	0	34	0	360
4:30PM	0	119	9	0	128	0	13	156	2	0	171	0	3	0	1	0	4	1	14	0	21	0	35	0	338
Total	1	486	45	0	532	0	70	661	17	0	748	0	6	4	4	0	14	3	46	3	76	0	125	0	1419
% Approach	0.2%	91.4%	8.5%	0%	-	-	9.4%	88.4%	2.3%	0%	-	-	42.9%	28.6%	28.6%	0%	-	-	36.8%	2.4%	60.8%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	0.1%	34.2%	3.2%	0%	37.5%	-	4.9%	46.6%	1.2%	0%	52.7%	-	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0%	1.0%	-	3.2%	0.2%	5.4%	0%	8.8%	-	-
PHF	0.250	0.964	0.703	-	0.950	-	0.833	0.954	0.536	-	0.941	-	0.500	0.500	0.500	-	0.875	-	0.767	0.250	0.905	-	0.893	-	0.967
Motorcycles	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	1
% Motorcycles	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.2%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Lights	1	477	45	0	523	-	70	647	15	0	732	-	6	4	4	0	14	-	45	3	75	0	123	-	1392
% Lights	100%	98.1%	100%	0%	98.3%	-	100%	97.9%	88.2%	0%	97.9%	-	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	-	97.8%	100%	98.7%	0%	98.4%	-	98.1%
Single-Unit Trucks	0	5	0	0	5	-	0	11	0	0	11	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	1	0	0	0	1	-	17
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	1.0%	0%	0%	0.9%	-	0%	1.7%	0%	0%	1.5%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	2.2%	0%	0%	0%	0.8%	-	1.2%
Articulated Trucks	0	2	0	0	2	-	0	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	3
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0.4%	0%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0.2%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.2%
Buses	0	2	0	0	2	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	1	0	1	-	3
% Buses	0%	0.4%	0%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	1.3%	0%	0.8%	-	0.2%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	2	0	3	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	3
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.2%	11.8%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.2%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*					-		-																		

#### 217835 (8) Grove Street @ Tedeschi Plaza Mid... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021

ID: 818579, Location: 42.190544, -70.988318

PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 3:45PM - 4:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements



#### 217835 (9) Grove Street @ Tedeschi Plaza Sou... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 Full Length (7 AM-11 AM, 2 PM-6 PM, 10 AM-2 PM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818581, Location: 42.189847, -70.987314

														<i>,</i>		,
Leg	Grove Street					Grove Street					Tedeschi Plaz	a South Driv	eway			
Direction	Northbound					Southbound					Westbound					
Time	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 7:00AM	514	28	0	542	0	9	315	0	324	0	13	4	0	17	1	883
8:00AM	552	53	0	605	1	19	341	0	360	0	21	9	0	30	1	995
9:00AM	537	79	0	616	1	17	318	0	335	0	27	23	0	50	0	1001
10:00AM	455	71	0	526	0	12	380	0	392	0	39	13	0	52	0	970
2:00PM	502	100	0	602	0	13	618	0	631	0	53	21	0	74	0	1307
3:00PM	521	88	0	609	0	24	635	0	659	0	53	26	0	79	0	1347
4:00PM	495	83	0	578	0	19	711	0	730	0	50	13	0	63	0	1371
5:00PM	491	102	0	593	0	20	706	0	726	0	58	26	0	84	0	1403
2021-04-10 10:00AM	483	108	0	591	0	10	454	0	464	0	44	20	0	64	2	1119
11:00AM	511	115	0	626	0	22	580	0	602	0	37	26	0	63	0	1291
12:00PM	562	102	0	664	0	21	639	0	660	0	54	15	0	69	0	1393
1:00PM	570	95	0	665	0	21	550	0	571	0	56	23	0	79	1	1315
Total	6193	1024	0	7217	2	207	6247	0	6454	0	505	219	0	724	5	14395
% Approach	85.8%	14.2%	0%	-	-	3.2%	96.8%	0%	-	-	69.8%	30.2%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	43.0%	7.1%	0%	50.1%	-	1.4%	43.4%	0%	44.8%	-	3.5%	1.5%	0%	5.0%	-	-
Motorcycles	17	2	0	19	-	0	26	0	26	-	1	0	0	1	-	46
% Motorcycles	0.3%	0.2%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0.4%	0%	0.4%	-	0.2%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0.3%
Lights	5998	1018	0	7016	-	204	6071	0	6275	-	498	218	0	716	-	14007
% Lights	96.9%	99.4%	0%	97.2%	-	98.6%	97.2%	0%	97.2%	-	98.6%	99.5%	0%	98.9%	-	97.3%
Single-Unit Trucks	126	2	0	128	-	3	107	0	110	-	5	1	0	6	-	244
% Single-Unit Trucks	2.0%	0.2%	0%	1.8%	-	1.4%	1.7%	0%	1.7%	-	1.0%	0.5%	0%	0.8%	-	1.7%
Articulated Trucks	31	1	0	32	-	0	20	0	20	-	0	0	0	0	-	52
% Articulated Trucks	0.5%	0.1%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.4%
Buses	17	1	0	18	-	0	19	0	19	-	1	0	0	1	-	38
% Buses	0.3%	0.1%	0%	0.2%	-	0%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0.2%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0.3%
Bicycles on Road	4	0	0	4	-	0	4	0	4	-	0	0	0	0	-	8
% Bicycles on Road	0.1%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	4	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	80.0%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20.0%	-
*	11 x x		1													

# 217835 (9) Grove Street @ Tedeschi Plaza Sou... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 9:15AM - 10:15 AM)

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

12 Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street

ID: 818581, Location: 42.189847,

Leg Direction Time

tion: 42.189847, -	-70.987314											F	ramin	gham, MA	, MA, 0	1702, US
	Grove Street Northbound					Grove Street Southbound					Tedeschi Plaza Westbound	South Drive	eway			
	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	int
2021-04-08 9:15AM	133	24	0	157	0	6	75	0	81	0	5	7	0	12	0	250
9:30AM	136	12	0	148	0	2	74	0	76	0	8	7	0	15	0	239
9:45AM	138	20	0	158	1	6	84	0	90	0	9	7	0	16	0	264
10:00AM	137	13	0	150	0	3	96	0	99	0	9	4	0	13	0	262
Total	544	69	0	613	1	17	329	0	346	0	31	25	0	56	0	1015
% Approach	88.7%	11.3%	0%	-	-	4.9%	95.1%	0%	-	-	55.4%	44.6%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	53.6%	6.8%	0%	60.4%	-	1.7%	32.4%	0%	34.1%	-	3.1%	2.5%	0%	5.5%	-	-
PHF	0.986	0.719	-	0.970	-	0.708	0.857	-	0.874	-	0.861	0.893	-	0.875	-	0.961
Motorcycles	0	0	0	0	-	0	2	0	2	-	0	0	0	0	-	2
% Motorcycles	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.6%	0%	0.6%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.2%
Lights	517	69	0	586	-	17	314	0	331	-	30	25	0	55	-	972
% Lights	95.0%	100%	0%	95.6%	-	100%	95.4%	0%	95.7%	-	96.8%	100%	0%	98.2%	-	95.8%
Single-Unit Trucks	23	0	0	23	-	0	11	0	11	-	1	0	0	1	-	35
% Single-Unit Trucks	4.2%	0%	0%	3.8%	-	0%	3.3%	0%	3.2%	-	3.2%	0%	0%	1.8%	-	3.4%
Articulated Trucks	4	0	0	4	-	0	1	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	5

Articulated Trucks	4	0	0	4	-	0	1	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	5
% Articulated Trucks	0.7%	0%	0%	0.7%	-	0%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.5%
Buses	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	1
% Buses	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

#### 217835 (9) Grove Street @ Tedeschi Plaza Sou... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 9:15AM - 10:15 AM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 818581, Location: 42.189847, -70.987314

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US



[S] Grove Street

#### **217835 (9) Grove Street @ Tedeschi Plaza Sou... - TMC** Thu Apr 8, 2021 PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 5PM - 6 PM) - Overall Peak Hour

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

												1.1	anning		win, 0	1702, 05
Leg	Grove Street					Grove Street					Tedeschi Plaza	South Drives	way			
Direction	Northbound					Southbound					Westbound		-			
Time	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 5:00PM	134	22	0	156	0	2	187	0	189	0	15	4	0	19	0	364
5:15PM	113	31	0	144	0	7	177	0	184	0	11	8	0	19	0	347
5:30PM	126	21	0	147	0	4	165	0	169	0	19	7	0	26	0	342
5:45PM	118	28	0	146	0	7	177	0	184	0	13	7	0	20	0	350
Total	491	102	0	593	0	20	706	0	726	0	58	26	0	84	0	1403
% Approach	82.8%	17.2%	0%	-	-	2.8%	97.2%	0%	-	-	69.0%	31.0%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	35.0%	7.3%	0%	42.3%	-	1.4%	50.3%	0%	51.7%	-	4.1%	1.9%	0%	6.0%	-	-
PHF	0.916	0.823	-	0.950	-	0.714	0.944	-	0.960	-	0.763	0.813	-	0.808	-	0.964
Motorcycles	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	1
% Motorcycles	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Lights	486	102	0	588	-	20	696	0	716	-	58	26	0	84	-	1388
% Lights	99.0%	100%	0%	99.2%	-	100%	98.6%	0%	98.6%	-	100%	100%	0%	100%	-	98.9%
Single-Unit Trucks	4	0	0	4	-	0	7	0	7	-	0	0	0	0	-	11
% Single-Unit Trucks	0.8%	0%	0%	0.7%	-	0%	1.0%	0%	1.0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.8%
Articulated Trucks	1	0	0	1	-	0	2	0	2	-	0	0	0	0	-	3
% Articulated Trucks	0.2%	0%	0%	0.2%	-	0%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.2%
Buses	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Buses	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

#### 217835 (9) Grove Street @ Tedeschi Plaza Sou... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021

ID: 818581, Location: 42.189847, -70.987314

PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 5PM - 6 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements



Full Length (7 AM-11 AM, 2 PM-6 PM, 10 AM-2 PM)

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818582, Location: 42.189116, -70.986145

																					0	-	· ·	·	,
Leg	Grove St	treet					Grove St	reet					Liberty S	street					Liberty S	street					
Direction	Northbo	und					Southbou	ınd					Eastbour	ıd					Westbou	nd					
Time	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 7:00AM	132	333	84	0	549	0	15	216	93	0	324	0	182	281	177	0	640	0	76	169	30	0	275	0	1788
8:00AM	128	400	85	0	613	1	13	240	102	0	355	1	171	312	180	0	663	1	104	194	34	0	332	1	1963
9:00AM	129	485	92	0	706	2	13	265	68	0	346	1	117	171	111	0	399	0	82	126	24	0	232	0	1683
10:00AM	109	393	85	0	587	0	20	296	97	0	413	0	106	137	123	0	366	0	96	143	20	0	259	0	1625
2:00PM	162	408	63	0	633	1	29	427	212	0	668	4	166	225	165	0	556	1	147	307	26	0	480	1	2337
3:00PM	189	446	119	0	754	1	31	485	160	0	676	1	142	218	206	0	566	0	178	353	23	0	554	0	2550
4:00PM	209	424	98	0	731	1	30	547	188	0	765	5	123	187	191	0	501	0	165	393	29	0	587	1	2584
5:00PM	201	443	97	0	741	0	29	550	187	0	766	4	157	219	181	0	557	0	194	362	21	0	577	0	2641
2021-04-10 10:00AM	154	377	102	0	633	0	19	331	130	0	480	0	191	182	145	0	518	0	107	167	24	0	298	1	1929
11:00AM	165	397	87	0	649	0	30	432	159	0	621	1	190	215	184	0	589	1	122	212	35	0	369	0	2228
12:00PM	151	452	113	0	716	0	27	492	182	0	701	2	170	189	169	0	528	0	152	230	41	0	423	0	2368
1:00PM	161	464	113	0	738	0	28	413	152	0	593	2	178	219	165	0	562	0	160	215	23	0	398	1	2291
Total	1890	5022	1138	0	8050	6	284	4694	1730	0	6708	21	1893	2555	1997	0	6445	3	1583	2871	330	0	4784	5	25987
% Approach	23.5%	62.4%	14.1%	0%	-	-	4.2%	70.0%	25.8%	0%	-	-	29.4%	39.6%	31.0%	0%	-	-	33.1%	60.0%	6.9%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	7.3%	19.3%	4.4%	0%	31.0%	-	1.1%	18.1%	6.7%	0%	25.8%	-	7.3%	9.8%	7.7%	0%	24.8%	-	6.1%	11.0%	1.3%	0%	18.4%		-
Motorcycles	2	15	0	0	17	-	1	22	7	0	30	-	3	10	5	0	18	-	5	8	0	0	13		78
% Motorcycles	0.1%	0.3%	0%	0%	0.2%	-	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0%	0.4%	-	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0.3%	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%		0.3%
Lights	1835	4860	1119	0	7814	-	276	4547	1697	0	6520	-	1870	2484	1957	0	6311	-	1554	2800	319	0	4673		25318
% Lights	97.1%	96.8%	98.3%	0%	97.1%	-	97.2%	96.9%	98.1%	0%	97.2%	-	98.8%	97.2%	98.0%	0%	97.9%	-	98.2%	97.5%	96.7%	0%	97.7%		97.4%
Single-Unit Trucks	38	105	16	0	159	-	4	98	14	0	116	-	15	33	29	0	77	-	20	32	4	0	56		408
% Single-Unit Trucks	2.0%	2.1%	1.4%	0%	2.0%	-	1.4%	2.1%	0.8%	0%	1.7%	-	0.8%	1.3%	1.5%	0%	1.2%	-	1.3%	1.1%	1.2%	0%	1.2%		1.6%
Articulated Trucks	9	28	2	0	39	-	0	17	3	0	20	-	3	3	2	0	8	-	3	9	1	0	13	-	80
% Articulated Trucks	0.5%	0.6%	0.2%	0%	0.5%	-	0%	0.4%	0.2%	0%	0.3%	-	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0.3%
Buses	6	10	1	0	17	-	3	10	8	0	21	-	2	22	4	0	28	-	1	21	6	0	28	-	94
% Buses	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0%	0.2%	-	1.1%	0.2%	0.5%	0%	0.3%	-	0.1%	0.9%	0.2%	0%	0.4%	-	0.1%	0.7%	1.8%	0%	0.6%	-	0.4%
Bicycles on Road	0	4	0	0	4	-	0	0	1	0	1	-	0	3	0	0	3	-	0	1	0	0	1	-	9
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0.1%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0.1%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.1%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	18	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	3	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	85.7%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	60.0%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	2	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	14.3%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	40.0%	-
* *		-	11 x	<b>T</b>	(		an ani		T 00																

AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 7:45AM - 8:45 AM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818582, Location: 42.189116, -70.986145

																					0		-	-	-
Leg	Grove St	treet					Grove S	Street					Liberty S	Street					Liberty S	treet					
Direction	Northbo	und					Southbo	ound					Eastbour	ıd					Westbou	nd					
Time	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 7:45AM	42	96	27	0	165	0	6	59	29	0	94	0	52	73	53	0	178	0	27	81	7	0	115	0	552
8:00AM	35	116	22	0	173	0	2	60	26	0	88	0	44	90	49	0	183	0	33	36	6	0	75	0	519
8:15AM	37	94	26	0	157	0	5	58	26	0	89	0	34	77	43	0	154	0	26	73	17	0	116	0	516
8:30AM	29	94	21	0	144	0	1	52	29	0	82	1	56	90	45	0	191	0	25	48	7	0	80	0	497
Total	143	400	96	0	639	0	14	229	110	0	353	1	186	330	190	0	706	0	111	238	37	0	386	0	2084
% Approach	22.4%	62.6%	15.0%	0%	-	-	4.0%	64.9%	31.2%	0%	-	-	26.3%	46.7%	26.9%	0%	-	-	28.8%	61.7%	9.6%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	6.9%	19.2%	4.6%	0%	30.7%	-	0.7%	11.0%	5.3%	0%	16.9%	-	8.9%	15.8%	9.1%	0%	33.9%	-	5.3%	11.4%	1.8%	0%	18.5%	-	-
PHF	0.851	0.862	0.889	-	0.923	-	0.583	0.954	0.948	-	0.939	-	0.830	0.914	0.896	-	0.923	-	0.841	0.735	0.544	-	0.832	-	0.945
Motorcycles	0	1	0	0	1	-	0	1	0	0	1	-	0	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	3
% Motorcycles	0%	0.3%	0%	0%	0.2%	-	0%	0.4%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0.3%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Lights	137	381	94	0	612	-	14	220	106	0	340	-	182	319	186	0	687	-	108	231	34	0	373	-	2012
% Lights	95.8%	95.3%	97.9%	0%	95.8%	-	100%	96.1%	96.4%	0%	96.3%	-	97.8%	96.7%	97.9%	0%	97.3%	-	97.3%	97.1%	91.9%	0%	96.6%	-	96.5%
Single-Unit Trucks	5	13	2	0	20	-	0	8	1	0	9	-	2	2	3	0	7	-	3	2	1	0	6	-	42
% Single-Unit Trucks	3.5%	3.3%	2.1%	0%	3.1%	-	0%	3.5%	0.9%	0%	2.5%	-	1.1%	0.6%	1.6%	0%	1.0%	-	2.7%	0.8%	2.7%	0%	1.6%	-	2.0%
Articulated Trucks	0	3	0	0	3	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	1	0	1	0	2	-	0	2	0	0	2	-	7
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0.8%	0%	0%	0.5%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.5%	0%	0.5%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0.8%	0%	0%	0.5%	-	0.3%
Buses	1	2	0	0	3	-	0	0	3	0	3	-	1	7	0	0	8	-	0	3	2	0	5	-	19
% Buses	0.7%	0.5%	0%	0%	0.5%	-	0%	0%	2.7%	0%	0.8%	-	0.5%	2.1%	0%	0%	1.1%	-	0%	1.3%	5.4%	0%	1.3%	-	0.9%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	1
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.3%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
*~ ` ` ` ` ` `			11 x	<b>x</b> 0					x																





PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 4:45PM - 5:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

10. 10 Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818582, Location: 42.189116, -70.986145

																				1101111		,	,	, • • •	·
Leg	Grove St	treet					Grove S	treet					Liberty S	treet					Liberty S	treet					
Direction	Northbo	und					Southbo	ound					Eastboun	d					Westbou	nd					
Time	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 4:45PM	43	98	25	0	166	0	8	142	42	0	192	0	24	45	51	0	120	0	35	112	9	0	156	0	634
5:00PM	57	120	28	0	205	0	11	142	51	0	204	2	34	57	54	0	145	0	61	95	7	0	163	0	717
5:15PM	48	106	22	0	176	0	5	137	53	0	195	2	45	58	46	0	149	0	53	104	5	0	162	0	682
5:30PM	56	118	22	0	196	0	7	135	38	0	180	0	37	49	40	0	126	0	41	96	3	0	140	0	642
Total	204	442	97	0	743	0	31	556	184	0	771	4	140	209	191	0	540	0	190	407	24	0	621	0	2675
% Approach	27.5%	59.5%	13.1%	0%	-	-	4.0%	72.1%	23.9%	0%	-	-	25.9%	38.7%	35.4%	0%	-	-	30.6%	65.5%	3.9%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	7.6%	16.5%	3.6%	0%	27.8%	-	1.2%	20.8%	6.9%	0%	28.8%	-	5.2%	7.8%	7.1%	0%	20.2%	-	7.1%	15.2%	0.9%	0%	23.2%	-	-
PHF	0.895	0.921	0.866	-	0.906	-	0.705	0.979	0.868	-	0.945	-	0.778	0.897	0.884	-	0.904	-	0.779	0.908	0.667	-	0.952	-	0.934
Motorcycles	0	3	0	0	3	-	0	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	1	0	0	0	1	-	5
% Motorcycles	0%	0.7%	0%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0.2%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.5%	0%	0%	0%	0.2%	-	0.2%
Lights	194	434	97	0	725	-	31	547	183	0	761	-	140	207	190	0	537	-	186	404	23	0	613	-	2636
% Lights	95.1%	98.2%	100%	0%	97.6%	-	100%	98.4%	99.5%	0%	98.7%	-	100%	99.0%	99.5%	0%	99.4%	-	97.9%	99.3%	95.8%	0%	98.7%	-	98.5%
Single-Unit Trucks	7	3	0	0	10	-	0	6	1	0	7	-	0	1	1	0	2	-	1	3	1	0	5	-	24
% Single-Unit Trucks	3.4%	0.7%	0%	0%	1.3%	-	0%	1.1%	0.5%	0%	0.9%	-	0%	0.5%	0.5%	0%	0.4%	-	0.5%	0.7%	4.2%	0%	0.8%	-	0.9%
Articulated Trucks	2	2	0	0	4	-	0	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	2	0	0	0	2	-	7
% Articulated Trucks	1.0%	0.5%	0%	0%	0.5%	-	0%	0.2%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	1.1%	0%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0.3%
Buses	1	0	0	0	1	-	0	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	2
% Buses	0.5%	0%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0.2%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	0	-	1
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.5%	0%	0%	0.2%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
k																									

PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 4:45PM - 5:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)

All Movements

ID: 818582, Location: 42.189116, -70.986145



#### 217835 (11) Liberty Street @ Tedeschi Plaza ... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 Full Length (10 AM-2 PM, 7 AM-11 AM, 2 PM-6 PM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

All Movements ID: 818583, Location: 42.189834, -70.985985

Leg	Tedeschi Plaz	a Driveway				Liberty Street					Liberty Street					
Direction	Southbound					Eastbound					Westbound					
Time	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 7:00AM	20	22	0	42	2	12	374	0	386	0	255	40	0	295	1	723
8:00AM	33	26	0	59	0	20	397	0	417	0	303	40	0	343	0	819
9:00AM	35	43	0	78	0	26	260	0	286	0	194	38	0	232	0	596
10:00AM	40	49	0	89	3	24	229	0	253	1	209	50	0	259	0	601
2:00PM	46	56	0	102	0	30	298	0	328	0	438	56	0	494	0	924
3:00PM	56	72	0	128	0	30	347	0	377	0	487	77	0	564	0	1069
4:00PM	61	62	0	123	3	21	300	0	321	0	528	76	0	604	0	1048
5:00PM	66	65	0	131	0	24	326	0	350	0	526	79	0	605	0	1086
2021-04-10 10:00AM	45	45	0	90	5	25	282	0	307	2	263	55	0	318	0	715
11:00AM	57	70	0	127	0	24	321	0	345	3	302	75	0	377	0	849
12:00PM	63	66	0	129	2	22	321	0	343	0	361	88	0	449	0	921
1:00PM	55	71	0	126	0	25	351	0	376	1	337	76	0	413	0	915
Total	577	647	0	1224	15	283	3806	0	4089	7	4203	750	0	4953	1	10266
% Approach	47.1%	52.9%	0%	-	-	6.9%	93.1%	0%	-	-	84.9%	15.1%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	5.6%	6.3%	0%	11.9%	-	2.8%	37.1%	0%	39.8%	-	40.9%	7.3%	0%	48.2%	-	-
Motorcycles	0	0	0	0	-	0	13	0	13	-	13	2	0	15	-	28
% Motorcycles	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0.3%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0.3%
Lights	573	646	0	1219	-	279	3706	0	3985	-	4089	744	0	4833	-	10037
% Lights	99.3%	99.8%	0%	99.6%	-	98.6%	97.4%	0%	97.5%	-	97.3%	99.2%	0%	97.6%	-	97.8%
Single-Unit Trucks	4	1	0	5	-	2	58	0	60	-	59	2	0	61	-	126
% Single-Unit Trucks	0.7%	0.2%	0%	0.4%	-	0.7%	1.5%	0%	1.5%	-	1.4%	0.3%	0%	1.2%	-	1.2%
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	-	0	5	0	5	-	12	0	0	12	-	17
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0.3%	0%	0%	0.2%	-	0.2%
Buses	0	0	0	0	-	2	22	0	24	-	28	1	0	29	-	53
% Buses	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.7%	0.6%	0%	0.6%	-	0.7%	0.1%	0%	0.6%	-	0.5%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	-	0	2	0	2	-	2	1	0	3	-	5
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.1%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	13	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	86.7%	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	0%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	13.3%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	100%	-

# **217835 (11) Liberty Street @ Tedeschi Plaza ... - TMC** Thu Apr 8, 2021 AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 7:45AM - 8:45 AM)

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

12

ID: 818583, Location: 42.189834, -70.985985

													0	, ,		,
Leg	Tedeschi Plaz	a Driveway				Liberty Street					Liberty Street					
Direction	Southbound					Eastbound					Westbound					
Time	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Ínt
2021-04-08 7:45AM	9	5	0	14	0	2	104	0	106	0	106	12	0	118	1	238
8:00AM	6	8	0	14	0	4	109	0	113	0	66	10	0	76	0	203
8:15AM	6	8	0	14	0	8	104	0	112	0	108	8	0	116	0	242
8:30AM	11	5	0	16	0	3	109	0	112	0	76	13	0	89	0	217
Total	32	26	0	58	0	17	426	0	443	0	356	43	0	399	1	900
% Approach	55.2%	44.8%	0%	-	-	3.8%	96.2%	0%	-	-	89.2%	10.8%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	3.6%	2.9%	0%	6.4%	-	1.9%	47.3%	0%	49.2%	-	39.6%	4.8%	0%	44.3%	-	-
PHF	0.727	0.813	-	0.906	-	0.531	0.977	-	0.980	-	0.824	0.808	-	0.850	-	0.929
Motorcycles	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	1
% Motorcycles	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.2%	0%	0.2%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Lights	32	25	0	57	-	16	414	0	430	-	346	41	0	387	-	874
% Lights	100%	96.2%	0%	98.3%	-	94.1%	97.2%	0%	97.1%	-	97.2%	95.3%	0%	97.0%	-	97.1%
Single-Unit Trucks	0	1	0	1	-	1	4	0	5	-	5	0	0	5	-	11
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	3.8%	0%	1.7%	-	5.9%	0.9%	0%	1.1%	-	1.4%	0%	0%	1.3%	-	1.2%
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	1	0	0	1	-	1
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0.1%
Buses	0	0	0	0	-	0	7	0	7	-	4	1	0	5	-	12
% Buses	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	1.6%	0%	1.6%	-	1.1%	2.3%	0%	1.3%	-	1.3%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	1	-	1
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	2.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0.1%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-





# **217835 (11) Liberty Street @ Tedeschi Plaza ... - TMC** Thu Apr 8, 2021

PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 4:45PM - 5:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818583, Location: 42.189834, -70.985985

						1							0	, ,		,
Leg	Tedeschi Plaz	a Driveway				Liberty Street					Liberty Street					
Direction	Southbound					Eastbound					Westbound					
Time	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 4:45PM	22	16	0	38	2	5	77	0	82	0	139	20	0	159	0	279
5:00PM	7	14	0	21	0	9	85	0	94	0	157	20	0	177	0	292
5:15PM	15	13	0	28	0	5	82	0	87	0	151	23	0	174	0	289
5:30PM	22	20	0	42	0	4	76	0	80	0	121	20	0	141	0	263
Total	66	63	0	129	2	23	320	0	343	0	568	83	0	651	0	1123
% Approach	51.2%	48.8%	0%	-	-	6.7%	93.3%	0%	-	-	87.3%	12.7%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	5.9%	5.6%	0%	11.5%	-	2.0%	28.5%	0%	30.5%	-	50.6%	7.4%	0%	58.0%	-	-
PHF	0.750	0.788	-	0.768	-	0.639	0.938	-	0.910	-	0.904	0.902	-	0.919	-	0.961
Motorcycles	0	0	0	0	-	0	2	0	2	-	1	1	0	2	-	4
% Motorcycles	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.6%	0%	0.6%	-	0.2%	1.2%	0%	0.3%	-	0.4%
Lights	66	63	0	129	-	23	315	0	338	-	560	82	0	642	-	1109
% Lights	100%	100%	0%	100%	-	100%	98.4%	0%	98.5%	-	98.6%	98.8%	0%	98.6%	-	98.8%
Single-Unit Trucks	0	0	0	0	-	0	2	0	2	-	5	0	0	5	-	7
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.6%	0%	0.6%	-	0.9%	0%	0%	0.8%	-	0.6%
Articulated Trucks	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	2	0	0	2	-	2
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.4%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0.2%
Buses	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Buses	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	1
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



[W] Liberty Street Total: 974 In: 343 Out: 631

23

320



83

568

ln: 651

[E] Liberty Street

Total: 1037
### **217849 (12) Grove Street @ O'Toole Terrace - TMC** Thu Apr 8, 2021

Full Length (7 AM-11 AM, 2 PM-6 PM, 10 AM-2 PM)

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818584, Location: 42.187952, -70.981183

													-			
Leg	Grove Street					Grove Street					O'Toole Terrace					
Direction	Northbound					Southbound					Eastbound					
Time	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 7:00AM	0	567	0	567	0	469	1	0	470	0	0	0	0	0	1	1037
8:00AM	0	608	0	608	0	512	3	0	515	0	2	2	0	4	0	1127
9:00AM	0	679	0	679	0	459	2	0	461	2	3	1	0	4	2	1144
10:00AM	3	594	0	597	1	509	0	0	509	0	0	0	0	0	0	1106
2:00PM	2	620	0	622	0	732	1	0	733	0	2	2	0	4	0	1359
3:00PM	1	773	0	774	0	873	3	0	876	0	1	1	0	2	0	1652
4:00PM	2	728	0	730	0	903	3	0	906	0	1	0	0	1	0	1637
5:00PM	1	699	0	700	0	928	2	0	930	0	3	0	0	3	1	1633
2021-04-10 10:00AM	1	613	0	614	0	587	1	0	588	2	0	3	0	3	0	1205
11:00AM	2	657	0	659	0	736	1	0	737	2	0	4	0	4	0	1400
12:00PM	2	717	0	719	0	815	1	0	816	0	0	0	0	0	0	1535
1:00PM	0	736	0	736	0	742	3	0	745	0	1	2	0	3	1	1484
Total	14	7991	0	8005	1	8265	21	0	8286	6	13	15	0	28	5	16319
% Approach	0.2%	99.8%	0%	-	-	99.7%	0.3%	0%	-	-	46.4%	53.6%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	0.1%	49.0%	0%	49.1%	-	50.6%	0.1%	0%	50.8%	-	0.1%	0.1%	0%	0.2%	-	-
Motorcycles	0	17	0	17	-	29	0	0	29	-	0	0	0	0	-	46
% Motorcycles	0%	0.2%	0%	0.2%	-	0.4%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%
Lights	13	7748	0	7761	-	8041	21	0	8062	-	13	14	0	27	-	15850
% Lights	92.9%	97.0%	0%	97.0%	-	97.3%	100%	0%	97.3%	-	100%	93.3%	0%	96.4%	-	97.1%
Single-Unit Trucks	1	166	0	167	-	155	0	0	155	-	0	1	0	1	-	323
% Single-Unit Trucks	7.1%	2.1%	0%	2.1%	-	1.9%	0%	0%	1.9%	-	0%	6.7%	0%	3.6%	-	2.0%
Articulated Trucks	0	40	0	40	-	22	0	0	22	-	0	0	0	0	-	62
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0.5%	0%	0.5%	-	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.4%
Buses	0	15	0	15	-	15	0	0	15	-	0	0	0	0	-	30
% Buses	0%	0.2%	0%	0.2%	-	0.2%	0%	0%	0.2%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.2%
Bicycles on Road	0	5	0	5	-	3	0	0	3	-	0	0	0	0	-	8
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	5	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	100%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-
*	11 x x		1	an) x x												

## 217849 (12) Grove Street @ O'Toole Terrace - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021

AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 7:30AM - 8:30 AM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)

10. 10 Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

All Movements ID: 818584, Location: 42.187952, -70.981183

													· ·		
Grove St	reet				Grove Street					O'Toole Terrace					
Northbou	ınd				Southbound					Eastbound					
L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
0	148	0	148	0	139	1	0	140	0	0	0	0	0	0	288
0	181	0	181	0	135	0	0	135	0	0	0	0	0	1	316
0	162	0	162	0	141	0	0	141	0	1	1	0	2	0	305
0	149	0	149	0	126	1	0	127	0	1	1	0	2	0	278
0	640	0	640	0	541	2	0	543	0	2	2	0	4	1	1187
0%	100%	0%	-	-	99.6%	0.4%	0%	-	-	50.0%	50.0%	0%	-	-	-
0%	53.9%	0%	53.9%	-	45.6%	0.2%	0%	45.7%	-	0.2%	0.2%	0%	0.3%	-	-
-	0.884	-	0.884	-	0.959	0.500	-	0.963	-	0.500	0.500	-	0.500	-	0.939
0	0	0	0	-	2	0	0	2	-	0	0	0	0	-	2
0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.4%	0%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.2%
0	615	0	615	-	515	2	0	517	-	2	2	0	4	-	1136
0%	96.1%	0%	96.1%	-	95.2%	100%	0%	95.2%	-	100%	100%	0%	100%	-	95.7%
0	17	0	17	-	19	0	0	19	-	0	0	0	0	-	36
0%	2.7%	0%	2.7%	-	3.5%	0%	0%	3.5%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	3.0%
0	4	0	4	-	3	0	0	3	-	0	0	0	0	-	7
0%	0.6%	0%	0.6%	-	0.6%	0%	0%	0.6%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.6%
0	4	0	4	-	2	0	0	2	-	0	0	0	0	-	6
0%	0.6%	0%	0.6%	-	0.4%	0%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.5%
0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-
-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-
	Grove St Northboo L 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Grove Street           Northbound           L         T           0         148           0         181           0         162           0         149           0         640           0%         100%           0%         53.9%           -         0.884           0         0           0%         96.1%           0%         2.7%           0%         2.6%           0         4           0%         0.6%           0         4           0%         0.6%           0         4           0%         0.6%           0         0           0%         0.6%           0         0           0%         0.6%           0%         0.6%           0%         0.6%           0%         0.6%           0%         0.6%           0%         0.6%           0%         0.6%           0%         0.6%           0%         0.6%	Grove Street           Northbound           L         T         U           0         148         0           0         181         0           0         162         0           0         162         0           0         162         0           0         640         0           0         640         0           0%         53.9%         0%           0         0         615           0         0         615         0           0%         96.1%         0%         0           0%         2.7%         0%         0           0%         2.7%         0%         0           0%         0.6%         0         0           0%         0.6%         0         0           0%         0.6%         0         0           0%         0.6%         0         0           0%         0.6%         0         0           0%         0.6%         0         0           0%         0.6%         0         0           0%         0.6%         0	Grove Street           Northbound           L         T         U         App           0         148         0         148           0         181         0         181           0         162         0         162           0         149         0         149           0         149         0         149           0         640         0         640           0%         100%         0%         -           0%         53.9%         0%         53.9%           0         0         0         0           0%         0.884         -         0.884           0         0         0         0           0%         96.1%         0%         96.1%           0%         96.1%         0%         96.1%           0%         96.1%         0%         2.7%           0%         2.7%         0%         2.7%           0%         0.6%         0%         0.6%           0%         0.6%         0%         0.6%           0%         0.6%         0%         0.6%           0%	Grove Street           Northbound         T         U         App         Ped*           0         148         0         148         0           0         181         0         181         0           0         162         0         162         0           0         162         0         162         0           0         162         0         162         0           0         1640         640         0         0           0%         100%         0%         -         -           0%         53.9%         0%         53.9%         -           0%         0.884         -         0.884         -           0%         0%         0%         0%         -           0%         0%         0%         0%         -           0%         0%         0%         0%         -           0%         0%         0%         0%         -           0%         0%         0%         0%         -           0%         0%         0%         0%         -           0%         0.6%         0%	Grove Street Northbound         Grove Street Southbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T           0         148         0         148         0         139           0         181         0         181         0         135           0         162         0         162         0         141           0         149         0         181         0         141           0         162         0         162         0         141           0         149         0         149         0         126           0         100%         0%         640         0         541           0%         53.9%         0%         53.9%         -         99.6%           0%         53.9%         0%         53.9%         -         45.6%           0%         0.884         -         0.884         -         0.959           0         0         0         0         -         22           0%         96.1%         0%         96.1%         -         95.2%           0         17         0         17         19	Grove Street         Grove Street           Northbound         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R           0         148         0         148         0         139         1           0         181         0         162         0         139         1           0         181         0         162         0         141         0           0         162         0         162         0         141         0           0         162         0         162         0         141         0           0         1649         0         640         0         541         2           0%         100%         0%         53.9%         -         45.6%         0.2%           0         0         0         0         0         2         0           0%         53.9%         0%         53.9%         -         45.6%         0.2%           0         0         0         0         0         2         0           0%         0%         0%         0%         -         10.9         0           0 <td>Grove Street Southbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U           0         148         0         148         0         139         1         0           0         181         0         148         0         139         1         0           0         181         0         162         0         135         0         0           0         162         0         162         0         141         0         0           0         162         0         162         0         141         0         0           0         162         0         640         0         541         2         0           0%         100%         0%         53.9%         -         45.6%         0.2%         0%           0%         0.884         -         0.884         -         0.959         0.500            0         0         0         0         -         2         0         0%           0%         0%         96.1%         -         95.2%         100%         0%           &lt;</td> <td>Grove Street Southbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U         App           0         148         0         148         0         139         1         0         140           0         181         0         181         0         133         0         0         135           0         162         0         162         0         141         0         0         141           0         149         0         162         0         141         0         0         141           0         149         0         149         0         126         1         0         141           0         10%         0         640         0         541         2         0         543           0%         100%         0%         53.9%         -         445.6%         0.2%         0%         45.7%           -         0.884         -         0.884         -         0.959         0.500         -         0.963           0         0         0         0         17         19         0.0         17<td>Grove Street Southbound         Grove Street Southbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U         App         Ped*           0         148         0         148         0         139         1         0         140         0           0         181         0         181         0         135         0         0         135         0           0         162         0         162         0         141         0         0         141         0           0         149         0         126         1         0         127         0           0         640         0         640         0         541         2         0         543         0           0%         100%         0%         53.9%         -         45.6%         0.2%         0%         45.7%         -           0         0         0         0         0         2         0         0         2         -           0%         0.84         -         0.884         -         0.959         0.500         -         -      0</td><td>Grove Street Northbound         Grove Street Southbound         O'Toole Terrace Eastbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U         App         Ped*         L           0         148         0         148         0         139         1         0         140         0         0           0         181         0         181         0         135         0         0         141         0         0         141         0         141         0         10         0         10         11         0         12         0         11         0         12         0         12         0         0         0         0         0</td><td>Grove Street Northbound         Grove Street Southbound         Crove Street Southbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U         App         Ped*         L         R           0         148         0         148         0         139         1         0         140         0         0         0         0         0           0         181         0         181         0         133         0         0         135         0         100         100         0         110         0         111         0         114         0         10         11         10           0         149         0         162         0         121         2         0         135         0         10         1         11           0         640         0         640         0         126         0         127         0         11         11           0         640         0         53.9%         0%         53.9%         0.0         645.6%         0.2%         0.4%         0.4%         0.4%         0.4%         0.50         0.500         &lt;</td><td>Survey Street Southbound         OTOOL Terrace Eastbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U         App         Ped*         L         R         U           0         148         0         148         0         139         1         0         140         0         0         0         0         0           0         162         0         161         0         141         0         0         141</td><td>Grove Street Northbound         Grove Street Southbound         Grove Street Southbound         Carbon         O'Toole Terrace Eastbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U         App         Ped*         L         R         U         App         App         Image: Subband         Image: Subband</td><td>Southbound         OToole Terrace Eastbound           Northbound         OToole Terrace Eastbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U         App         Ped*         L         R         U         App         Ped*           0         148         0         148         0         139         1         0         140         0&lt;</td></td>	Grove Street Southbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U           0         148         0         148         0         139         1         0           0         181         0         148         0         139         1         0           0         181         0         162         0         135         0         0           0         162         0         162         0         141         0         0           0         162         0         162         0         141         0         0           0         162         0         640         0         541         2         0           0%         100%         0%         53.9%         -         45.6%         0.2%         0%           0%         0.884         -         0.884         -         0.959         0.500            0         0         0         0         -         2         0         0%           0%         0%         96.1%         -         95.2%         100%         0%           <	Grove Street Southbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U         App           0         148         0         148         0         139         1         0         140           0         181         0         181         0         133         0         0         135           0         162         0         162         0         141         0         0         141           0         149         0         162         0         141         0         0         141           0         149         0         149         0         126         1         0         141           0         10%         0         640         0         541         2         0         543           0%         100%         0%         53.9%         -         445.6%         0.2%         0%         45.7%           -         0.884         -         0.884         -         0.959         0.500         -         0.963           0         0         0         0         17         19         0.0         17 <td>Grove Street Southbound         Grove Street Southbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U         App         Ped*           0         148         0         148         0         139         1         0         140         0           0         181         0         181         0         135         0         0         135         0           0         162         0         162         0         141         0         0         141         0           0         149         0         126         1         0         127         0           0         640         0         640         0         541         2         0         543         0           0%         100%         0%         53.9%         -         45.6%         0.2%         0%         45.7%         -           0         0         0         0         0         2         0         0         2         -           0%         0.84         -         0.884         -         0.959         0.500         -         -      0</td> <td>Grove Street Northbound         Grove Street Southbound         O'Toole Terrace Eastbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U         App         Ped*         L           0         148         0         148         0         139         1         0         140         0         0           0         181         0         181         0         135         0         0         141         0         0         141         0         141         0         10         0         10         11         0         12         0         11         0         12         0         12         0         0         0         0         0</td> <td>Grove Street Northbound         Grove Street Southbound         Crove Street Southbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U         App         Ped*         L         R           0         148         0         148         0         139         1         0         140         0         0         0         0         0           0         181         0         181         0         133         0         0         135         0         100         100         0         110         0         111         0         114         0         10         11         10           0         149         0         162         0         121         2         0         135         0         10         1         11           0         640         0         640         0         126         0         127         0         11         11           0         640         0         53.9%         0%         53.9%         0.0         645.6%         0.2%         0.4%         0.4%         0.4%         0.4%         0.50         0.500         &lt;</td> <td>Survey Street Southbound         OTOOL Terrace Eastbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U         App         Ped*         L         R         U           0         148         0         148         0         139         1         0         140         0         0         0         0         0           0         162         0         161         0         141         0         0         141</td> <td>Grove Street Northbound         Grove Street Southbound         Grove Street Southbound         Carbon         O'Toole Terrace Eastbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U         App         Ped*         L         R         U         App         App         Image: Subband         Image: Subband</td> <td>Southbound         OToole Terrace Eastbound           Northbound         OToole Terrace Eastbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U         App         Ped*         L         R         U         App         Ped*           0         148         0         148         0         139         1         0         140         0&lt;</td>	Grove Street Southbound         Grove Street Southbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U         App         Ped*           0         148         0         148         0         139         1         0         140         0           0         181         0         181         0         135         0         0         135         0           0         162         0         162         0         141         0         0         141         0           0         149         0         126         1         0         127         0           0         640         0         640         0         541         2         0         543         0           0%         100%         0%         53.9%         -         45.6%         0.2%         0%         45.7%         -           0         0         0         0         0         2         0         0         2         -           0%         0.84         -         0.884         -         0.959         0.500         -         -      0	Grove Street Northbound         Grove Street Southbound         O'Toole Terrace Eastbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U         App         Ped*         L           0         148         0         148         0         139         1         0         140         0         0           0         181         0         181         0         135         0         0         141         0         0         141         0         141         0         10         0         10         11         0         12         0         11         0         12         0         12         0         0         0         0         0	Grove Street Northbound         Grove Street Southbound         Crove Street Southbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U         App         Ped*         L         R           0         148         0         148         0         139         1         0         140         0         0         0         0         0           0         181         0         181         0         133         0         0         135         0         100         100         0         110         0         111         0         114         0         10         11         10           0         149         0         162         0         121         2         0         135         0         10         1         11           0         640         0         640         0         126         0         127         0         11         11           0         640         0         53.9%         0%         53.9%         0.0         645.6%         0.2%         0.4%         0.4%         0.4%         0.4%         0.50         0.500         <	Survey Street Southbound         OTOOL Terrace Eastbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U         App         Ped*         L         R         U           0         148         0         148         0         139         1         0         140         0         0         0         0         0           0         162         0         161         0         141         0         0         141	Grove Street Northbound         Grove Street Southbound         Grove Street Southbound         Carbon         O'Toole Terrace Eastbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U         App         Ped*         L         R         U         App         App         Image: Subband         Image: Subband	Southbound         OToole Terrace Eastbound           Northbound         OToole Terrace Eastbound           L         T         U         App         Ped*         T         R         U         App         Ped*         L         R         U         App         Ped*           0         148         0         148         0         139         1         0         140         0<

## 217849 (12) Grove Street @ O'Toole Terrace - TMC

Thu Apr 8, 2021

AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 7:30AM - 8:30 AM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)

All Movements

ID: 818584, Location: 42.187952, -70.981183

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US



## 217849 (12) Grove Street @ O'Toole Terrace - TMC

Thu Apr 8, 2021

PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 3:30PM - 4:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

12 Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818584, Location: 42.187952, -70.981183

Leg	Grove Street					Grove Street					O'Toole Terr	ace				
Direction	Northbound					Southbound					Eastbound					
Time	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped* I	nt
2021-04-08 3:30PM	0	212	0	212	0	214	2	0	216	0	0	0	0	0	0	428
3:45PM	0	201	0	201	0	231	0	0	231	0	0	0	0	0	0	432
4:00PM	0	188	0	188	0	243	1	0	244	0	1	0	0	1	0	433
4:15PM	1	197	0	198	0	208	1	0	209	0	0	0	0	0	0	407
Total	1	798	0	799	0	896	4	0	900	0	1	0	0	1	0	1700
% Approach	0.1%	99.9%	0%	-	-	99.6%	0.4%	0%	-	-	100%	0%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	0.1%	46.9%	0%	47.0%	-	52.7%	0.2%	0%	52.9%	-	0.1%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	-
PHF	0.250	0.940	-	0.941	-	0.925	0.500	-	0.925	-	0.250	-	-	0.250	-	0.983
Motorcycles	0	0	0	0	-	3	0	0	3	-	0	0	0	0	-	3
% Motorcycles	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.2%
Lights	1	780	0	781	-	874	4	0	878	-	1	0	0	1	-	1660
% Lights	100%	97.7%	0%	97.7%	-	97.5%	100%	0%	97.6%	-	100%	0%	0%	100%	-	97.6%
Single-Unit Trucks	0	10	0	10	-	15	0	0	15	-	0	0	0	0	-	25
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	1.3%	0%	1.3%	-	1.7%	0%	0%	1.7%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	1.5%
Articulated Trucks	0	5	0	5	-	2	0	0	2	-	0	0	0	0	-	7
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0.6%	0%	0.6%	-	0.2%	0%	0%	0.2%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.4%
Buses	0	2	0	2	-	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	3
% Buses	0%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0.1%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.2%
Bicycles on Road	. 0	1	0	1	-	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	2
% Bicycles on Road	. 0%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0.1%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

### 217849 (12) Grove Street @ O'Toole Terrace - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021

PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 3:30PM - 4:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)

All Movements

ID: 818584, Location: 42.187952, -70.981183

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US



#### **217835 (13) Grove Street @ Birch Street - TMC** Thu Apr 8, 2021 Full Length (10 AM-2 PM, 7 AM-11 AM, 2 PM-6 PM)

Full Length (10 AM-2 PM, 7 AM-11 AM, 2 PM-6 PM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham MA MA 01702 US

												1	ranningi	iaiii, MA,	MA, U	1702, 05
leg	Grove Street					Grove Street					Birch Street					
Direction	Northbound					Southbound					Eastbound					
Гime	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 7:00AM	4	561	0	565	0	462	4	0	466	1	6	15	0	21	1	1052
8:00AM	12	606	0	618	0	513	1	0	514	0	2	16	0	18	0	1150
9:00AM	13	675	0	688	0	457	4	0	461	0	2	15	0	17	0	1166
10:00AM	15	594	0	609	0	509	4	0	513	0	2	12	1	15	0	1137
2:00PM	13	619	0	632	0	723	7	0	730	0	2	10	0	12	0	1374
3:00PM	1 22	769	0	791	0	868	7	0	875	0	7	21	0	28	0	1694
4:00PM	1 27	722	0	749	0	884	5	0	889	0	6	15	0	21	0	1659
5:00PM	1 20	704	0	724	0	913	7	0	920	0	1	17	0	18	1	1662
2021-04-10 10:00AM	18	609	0	627	0	583	4	0	587	0	3	32	0	35	0	1249
11:00AM	20	650	0	670	0	733	4	0	737	0	4	17	0	21	0	1428
12:00PM	1 21	716	0	737	0	810	1	0	811	0	2	26	0	28	0	1576
1:00PM	18	731	0	749	0	734	7	1	742	0	4	13	0	17	0	1508
Total	203	7956	0	8159	0	8189	55	1	8245	1	41	209	1	251	2	16655
% Approach	2.5%	97.5%	0%	-	-	99.3%	0.7%	0%	-	-	16.3%	83.3%	0.4%	-	-	-
% Total	1.2%	47.8%	0%	49.0%	-	49.2%	0.3%	0%	49.5%	-	0.2%	1.3%	0%	1.5%	-	-
Motorcycles	. 0	20	0	20	-	31	0	0	31	-	0	0	0	0	-	51
% Motorcycles	0%	0.3%	0%	0.2%	-	0.4%	0%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%
Lights	200	7712	0	7912	-	7976	51	1	8028	-	40	203	1	244	-	16184
% Lights	98.5%	96.9%	0%	97.0%	-	97.4%	92.7%	100%	97.4%	-	97.6%	97.1%	100%	97.2%	-	97.2%
Single-Unit Trucks	2	166	0	168	-	150	2	0	152	-	1	3	0	4	-	324
% Single-Unit Trucks	1.0%	2.1%	0%	2.1%	-	1.8%	3.6%	0%	1.8%	-	2.4%	1.4%	0%	1.6%	-	1.9%
Articulated Trucks	0	40	0	40	-	19	0	0	19	-	0	0	0	0	-	59
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0.5%	0%	0.5%	-	0.2%	0%	0%	0.2%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.4%
Buses	0	13	0	13	-	12	2	0	14	-	0	1	0	1	-	28
% Buses	0%	0.2%	0%	0.2%	-	0.1%	3.6%	0%	0.2%	-	0%	0.5%	0%	0.4%	-	0.2%
Bicycles on Road	1	5	0	6	-	1	0	0	1	-	0	2	0	2	-	9
% Bicycles on Road	0.5%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	1.0%	0%	0.8%	-	0.1%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	2	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	100%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-
Dedestriens and Discolar on Con-	11- T - T	-ft D. T	1:-1-+	T. Thur	TT. TT	т										

## **217835 (13) Grove Street @ Birch Street - TMC** Thu Apr 8, 2021

AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 7:30AM - 8:30 AM)

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

All Movements ID: 818585, Location: 42.187517, -70.979693

												Ff	aming	зпат, ма	, MA,	01/02, 05
Leg	Grove Street					Grove Street					Birch Street					
Direction	Northbound					Southbound					Eastbound					
Time	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 7:30AM	0	149	0	149	0	137	3	0	140	0	0	4	0	4	0	293
7:45AM	3	179	0	182	0	134	0	0	134	1	1	4	0	5	0	321
8:00AM	2	162	0	164	0	141	0	0	141	0	0	6	0	6	0	311
8:15AM	6	147	0	153	0	130	0	0	130	0	1	5	0	6	0	289
Total	. 11	637	0	648	0	542	3	0	545	1	2	19	0	21	0	1214
% Approach	1.7%	98.3%	0%	-	-	99.4%	0.6%	0%	-	-	9.5%	90.5%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	0.9%	52.5%	0%	53.4%	-	44.6%	0.2%	0%	44.9%	-	0.2%	1.6%	0%	1.7%	-	-
PHF	0.458	0.890	-	0.890	-	0.961	0.250	-	0.966	-	0.500	0.792	-	0.875	-	0.945
Motorcycles	0	0	0	0	-	2	0	0	2	-	0	0	0	0	-	2
% Motorcycles	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.4%	0%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.2%
Lights	11	612	0	623	-	518	3	0	521	-	2	18	0	20	-	1164
% Lights	100%	96.1%	0%	96.1%	-	95.6%	100%	0%	95.6%	-	100%	94.7%	0%	95.2%	-	95.9%
Single-Unit Trucks	0	19	0	19	-	17	0	0	17	-	0	0	0	0	-	36
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	3.0%	0%	2.9%	-	3.1%	0%	0%	3.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	3.0%
Articulated Trucks	0	3	0	3	-	3	0	0	3	-	0	0	0	0	-	6
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0.5%	0%	0.5%	-	0.6%	0%	0%	0.6%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.5%
Buses	0	3	0	3	-	2	0	0	2	-	0	1	0	1	-	6
% Buses	0%	0.5%	0%	0.5%	-	0.4%	0%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	5.3%	0%	4.8%	-	0.5%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-

217835 (13) Grove Street @ Birch Street - TMC
Thu Apr 8, 2021
AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 7:30AM - 8:30 AM)
All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles
on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 818585, Location: 42.187517, -70.979693

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US



## 217835 (13) Grove Street @ Birch Street - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021

PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 3:30PM - 4:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

12 Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818585, Location: 42.187517, -70.979693

													0			,
Leg	Grove Street					Grove Street					Birch Street					
Direction	Northbound					Southbound					Eastbound					
Time	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 3:30PM	6	215	0	221	0	212	3	0	215	0	2	4	0	6	0	442
3:45PM	5	197	0	202	0	226	1	0	227	0	2	10	0	12	0	441
4:00PM	3	188	0	191	0	239	5	0	244	0	0	4	0	4	0	439
4:15PM	10	193	0	203	0	202	0	0	202	0	3	5	0	8	0	413
Total	24	793	0	817	0	879	9	0	888	0	7	23	0	30	0	1735
% Approach	2.9%	97.1%	0%	-	-	99.0%	1.0%	0%	-	-	23.3%	76.7%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	1.4%	45.7%	0%	47.1%	-	50.7%	0.5%	0%	51.2%	-	0.4%	1.3%	0%	1.7%	-	-
PHF	0.600	0.922	-	0.924	-	0.922	0.450	-	0.913	-	0.583	0.575	-	0.625	-	0.981
Motorcycles	0	2	0	2	-	3	0	0	3	-	0	0	0	0	-	5
% Motorcycles	0%	0.3%	0%	0.2%	-	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%
Lights	24	773	0	797	-	859	9	0	868	-	7	23	0	30	-	1695
% Lights	100%	97.5%	0%	97.6%	-	97.7%	100%	0%	97.7%	-	100%	100%	0%	100%	-	97.7%
Single-Unit Trucks	0	10	0	10	-	14	0	0	14	-	0	0	0	0	-	24
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	1.3%	0%	1.2%	-	1.6%	0%	0%	1.6%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	1.4%
Articulated Trucks	0	6	0	6	-	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	7
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0.8%	0%	0.7%	-	0.1%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.4%
Buses	0	2	0	2	-	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	3
% Buses	0%	0.3%	0%	0.2%	-	0.1%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.2%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	-	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	1
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-																

## 217835 (13) Grove Street @ Birch Street - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021

PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 3:30PM - 4:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)

All Movements

ID: 818585, Location: 42.187517, -70.979693

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US



[S] Grove Street

## 217835 (14) Grove Street @ Birch Street - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 Full Length (7 AM-11 AM, 2 PM-6 PM, 10 AM-2 PM)

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

16 Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

12

ID: 818586, Location: 42.186663, -70.976334

Leg	Columbian Str	reet				Grove Street					Grove Street					
Direction	Northbound					Southbound					Westbound					
Time	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 7:00AM	339	227	0	566	0	234	248	0	482	0	182	225	0	407	0	1455
8:00AM	344	197	0	541	0	232	286	0	518	0	194	274	0	468	0	1527
9:00AM	396	190	0	586	0	214	269	0	483	0	183	286	0	469	0	1538
10:00AM	341	174	0	515	0	200	320	1	521	0	160	271	0	431	0	1467
2:00PM	353	235	0	588	0	302	437	1	740	0	222	280	0	502	0	1830
3:00PM	436	236	0	672	0	338	541	0	879	0	276	352	0	628	0	2179
4:00PM	412	254	0	666	0	335	571	0	906	0	305	338	0	643	0	2215
5:00PM	378	246	0	624	0	352	577	0	929	0	256	352	0	608	0	2161
2021-04-10 10:00AM	364	220	0	584	0	217	404	0	621	0	242	269	0	511	0	1716
11:00AM	363	231	0	594	0	246	506	0	752	0	226	314	0	540	0	1886
12:00PM	462	251	0	713	0	252	577	0	829	0	242	274	1	517	0	2059
1:00PM	419	224	0	643	0	254	498	0	752	0	267	328	0	595	0	1990
Total	4607	2685	0	7292	0	3176	5234	2	8412	0	2755	3563	1	6319	0	22023
% Approach	63.2%	36.8%	0%	-	-	37.8%	62.2%	0%	-	-	43.6%	56.4%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	20.9%	12.2%	0%	33.1%	-	14.4%	23.8%	0%	38.2%	-	12.5%	16.2%	0%	28.7%	-	-
Motorcycles	12	12	0	24	-	15	16	0	31	-	15	9	0	24	-	79
% Motorcycles	0.3%	0.4%	0%	0.3%	-	0.5%	0.3%	0%	0.4%	-	0.5%	0.3%	0%	0.4%	-	0.4%
Lights	4478	2620	0	7098	-	3078	5107	1	8186	-	2682	3449	1	6132	-	21416
% Lights	97.2%	97.6%	0%	97.3%	-	96.9%	97.6%	50.0%	97.3%	-	97.4%	96.8%	100%	97.0%	-	97.2%
Single-Unit Trucks	90	39	0	129	-	64	87	1	152	-	46	72	0	118	-	399
% Single-Unit Trucks	2.0%	1.5%	0%	1.8%	-	2.0%	1.7%	50.0%	1.8%	-	1.7%	2.0%	0%	1.9%	-	1.8%
Articulated Trucks	18	4	0	22	-	9	13	0	22	-	5	22	0	27	-	71
% Articulated Trucks	0.4%	0.1%	0%	0.3%	-	0.3%	0.2%	0%	0.3%	-	0.2%	0.6%	0%	0.4%	-	0.3%
Buses	6	8	0	14	-	9	6	0	15	-	7	9	0	16	-	45
% Buses	0.1%	0.3%	0%	0.2%	-	0.3%	0.1%	0%	0.2%	-	0.3%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0.2%
Bicycles on Road	3	2	0	5	-	1	5	0	6	-	0	2	0	2	-	13
% Bicycles on Road	0.1%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0.1%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	11 x x	(														

## **217835 (14) Grove Street @ Birch Street - TMC** Thu Apr 8, 2021

AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 7:30AM - 8:30 AM)

All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

12

All Movements

ID: 818586, Location: 42.186663, -70.976334

													0			
Leg	Columbian Stre	eet				Grove Street					Grove Street					
Direction	Northbound					Southbound					Westbound					
Time	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 7:30AM	95	58	0	153	0	76	68	0	144	0	38	56	0	94	0	391
7:45AM	110	77	0	187	0	73	65	0	138	0	57	69	0	126	0	451
8:00AM	105	56	0	161	0	66	75	0	141	0	55	58	0	113	0	415
8:15AM	71	49	0	120	0	59	83	0	142	0	52	81	0	133	0	395
Total	381	240	0	621	0	274	291	0	565	0	202	264	0	466	0	1652
% Approach	61.4%	38.6%	0%	-	-	48.5%	51.5%	0%	-	-	43.3%	56.7%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	23.1%	14.5%	0%	37.6%	-	16.6%	17.6%	0%	34.2%	-	12.2%	16.0%	0%	28.2%	-	-
PHF	0.866	0.779	-	0.830	-	0.901	0.877	-	0.981	-	0.886	0.815	-	0.876	-	0.916
Motorcycles	0	0	0	0	-	2	0	0	2	-	0	0	0	0	-	2
% Motorcycles	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.7%	0%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Lights	370	231	0	601	-	264	276	0	540	-	198	250	0	448	-	1589
% Lights	97.1%	96.3%	0%	96.8%	-	96.4%	94.8%	0%	95.6%	-	98.0%	94.7%	0%	96.1%	-	96.2%
Single-Unit Trucks	8	8	0	16	-	6	12	0	18	-	3	10	0	13	-	47
% Single-Unit Trucks	2.1%	3.3%	0%	2.6%	-	2.2%	4.1%	0%	3.2%	-	1.5%	3.8%	0%	2.8%	-	2.8%
Articulated Trucks	1	1	0	2	-	1	1	0	2	-	0	3	0	3	-	7
% Articulated Trucks	0.3%	0.4%	0%	0.3%	-	0.4%	0.3%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	1.1%	0%	0.6%	-	0.4%
Buses	2	0	0	2	-	1	2	0	3	-	1	1	0	2	-	7
% Buses	0.5%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0.4%	0.7%	0%	0.5%	-	0.5%	0.4%	0%	0.4%	-	0.4%
Bicycles on Road	. 0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	. 0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ala di seconda di s											•					

## 217835 (14) Grove Street @ Birch Street - TMC

Thu Apr 8, 2021

ID: 818586, Location: 42.186663, -70.976334

AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 7:30AM - 8:30 AM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

13 L Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US



Total: 1114 [S] Columbian Street

## 217835 (14) Grove Street @ Birch Street - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021

PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 3:30PM - 4:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

12 Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818586, Location: 42.186663, -70.976334

Leg	Columbian Str	reet				Grove Street					Grove Street					
Direction	Northbound					Southbound					Westbound					
Time	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 3:30P	M 114	59	0	173	0	82	125	0	207	0	73	99	0	172	0	552
3:45P	м 110	65	0	175	0	101	135	0	236	0	70	91	0	161	0	572
4:00P	M 97	69	0	166	0	89	159	0	248	0	82	99	0	181	0	595
4:15P	M 120	66	0	186	0	71	142	0	213	0	79	79	0	158	0	557
Tot	al 441	259	0	700	0	343	561	0	904	0	304	368	0	672	0	2276
% Approac	<b>h</b> 63.0%	37.0%	0%	-	-	37.9%	62.1%	0%	-	-	45.2%	54.8%	0%	-	-	-
% Tot	al 19.4%	11.4%	0%	30.8%	-	15.1%	24.6%	0%	39.7%	-	13.4%	16.2%	0%	29.5%	-	-
PH	F 0.919	0.938	-	0.941	-	0.849	0.886	-	0.914	-	0.927	0.929	-	0.928	-	0.957
Motorcycle	<b>s</b> 1	0	0	1	-	2	1	0	3	-	1	1	0	2	-	6
% Motorcycle	es 0.2%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0.6%	0.2%	0%	0.3%	-	0.3%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0.3%
Ligh	t <b>s</b> 430	254	0	684	-	333	546	0	879	-	296	363	0	659	-	2222
% Ligh	s 97.5%	98.1%	0%	97.7%	-	97.1%	97.3%	0%	97.2%	-	97.4%	98.6%	0%	98.1%	-	97.6%
Single-Unit Truck	<b>s</b> 5	2	0	7	-	7	11	0	18	-	3	2	0	5	-	30
% Single-Unit Truck	s 1.1%	0.8%	0%	1.0%	-	2.0%	2.0%	0%	2.0%	-	1.0%	0.5%	0%	0.7%	-	1.3%
Articulated Truck	s 4	0	0	4	-	0	2	0	2	-	1	1	0	2	-	8
% Articulated Truck	s 0.9%	0%	0%	0.6%	-	0%	0.4%	0%	0.2%	-	0.3%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0.4%
Buse	es 1	3	0	4	-	1	0	0	1	-	3	1	0	4	-	9
% Bus	<b>s</b> 0.2%	1.2%	0%	0.6%	-	0.3%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	1.0%	0.3%	0%	0.6%	-	0.4%
Bicycles on Roa	<b>d</b> 0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	1
% Bicycles on Roa	<b>d</b> 0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0.2%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestriar	IS -	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestriar	IS -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswa	k -	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswa	k -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

### 217835 (14) Grove Street @ Birch Street - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021

PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 3:30PM - 4:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)

All Movements

ID: 818586, Location: 42.186663, -70.976334

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US



Total: 1565 [S] Columbian Street

#### 217835 (15) Columbian Street @ #60 Columbian... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 Full Length (10 AM-2 PM, 7 AM-11 AM, 2 PM-6 PM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

ID: 818587, Location: 42.185501, -70.975085

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

														,,	,,.	,
Leg	Columbian St	reet				Columbian St	reet				Rantoule Stree	ŧ				
Direction	Northbound					Southbound					Eastbound					
Time	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 7:00AM	18	571	0	589	1	406	21	0	427	0	3	8	0	11	0	1027
8:00AM	8	537	0	545	0	450	33	0	483	0	2	5	0	7	1	1035
9:00AM	4	582	0	586	0	418	32	0	450	0	2	7	0	9	2	1045
10:00AM	1	516	1	518	0	475	5	0	480	0	5	2	0	7	1	1005
2:00PM	2	583	0	585	0	653	8	0	661	0	9	5	0	14	0	1260
3:00PM	6	655	0	661	4	809	8	0	817	0	17	14	0	31	0	1509
4:00PM	6	659	0	665	2	873	6	0	879	0	19	14	0	33	0	1577
5:00PM	2	614	0	616	0	823	5	0	828	0	16	9	0	25	0	1469
2021-04-10 10:00AM	0	582	0	582	0	646	1	0	647	0	5	1	0	6	1	1235
11:00AM	3	594	0	597	0	735	4	0	739	0	1	3	0	4	2	1340
12:00PM	2	710	0	712	0	814	3	1	818	0	5	2	0	7	1	1537
1:00PM	1	639	0	640	0	758	6	0	764	0	6	0	0	6	0	1410
Total	53	7242	1	7296	7	7860	132	1	7993	0	90	70	0	160	8	15449
% Approach	0.7%	99.3%	0%	-	-	98.3%	1.7%	0%	-	-	56.3%	43.8%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	0.3%	46.9%	0%	47.2%	-	50.9%	0.9%	0%	51.7%	-	0.6%	0.5%	0%	1.0%	-	-
Motorcycles	0	27	0	27	-	30	0	0	30	-	0	0	0	0	-	57
% Motorcycles	0%	0.4%	0%	0.4%	-	0.4%	0%	0%	0.4%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.4%
Lights	51	7048	1	7100	-	7670	126	1	7797	-	86	69	0	155	-	15052
% Lights	96.2%	97.3%	100%	97.3%	-	97.6%	95.5%	100%	97.5%	-	95.6%	98.6%	0%	96.9%	-	97.4%
Single-Unit Trucks	1	126	0	127	-	124	5	0	129	-	4	1	0	5	-	261
% Single-Unit Trucks	1.9%	1.7%	0%	1.7%	-	1.6%	3.8%	0%	1.6%	-	4.4%	1.4%	0%	3.1%	-	1.7%
Articulated Trucks	0	21	0	21	-	20	0	0	20	-	0	0	0	0	-	41
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%
Buses	0	13	0	13	-	12	1	0	13	-	0	0	0	0	-	26
% Buses	0%	0.2%	0%	0.2%	-	0.2%	0.8%	0%	0.2%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.2%
Bicycles on Road	1	7	0	8	-	4	0	0	4	-	0	0	0	0	-	12
% Bicycles on Road	1.9%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0.1%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	7	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	87.5%	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	1	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12.5%	-
*D 1	11 7 7	(														

## 217835 (15) Columbian Street @ #60 Columbian... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 7:30AM - 8:30 AM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

12 Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818587, Location: 42.185501, -70.975085

														, , , ,	,	,
Leg	Columbian Str	reet				Columbian Str	eet				Rantoule Street					
Direction	Northbound					Southbound					Eastbound					
Time	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 7:30AM	6	152	0	158	0	104	2	0	106	0	1	2	0	3	0	267
7:45AM	6	188	0	194	0	111	9	0	120	0	2	4	0	6	0	320
8:00AM	3	158	0	161	0	115	15	0	130	0	0	3	0	3	0	294
8:15AM	2	119	0	121	0	127	12	0	139	0	1	0	0	1	0	261
Total	. 17	617	0	634	0	457	38	0	495	0	4	9	0	13	0	1142
% Approach	2.7%	97.3%	0%	-	-	92.3%	7.7%	0%	-	-	30.8%	69.2%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	1.5%	54.0%	0%	55.5%	-	40.0%	3.3%	0%	43.3%	-	0.4%	0.8%	0%	1.1%	-	-
PHF	0.708	0.820	-	0.817	-	0.900	0.633	-	0.890	-	0.500	0.563	-	0.542	-	0.892
Motorcycles	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Motorcycles	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Lights	17	597	0	614	-	439	37	0	476	-	3	9	0	12	-	1102
% Lights	100%	96.8%	0%	96.8%	-	96.1%	97.4%	0%	96.2%	-	75.0%	100%	0%	92.3%	-	96.5%
Single-Unit Trucks	0	17	0	17	-	14	1	0	15	-	1	0	0	1	-	33
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	2.8%	0%	2.7%	-	3.1%	2.6%	0%	3.0%	-	25.0%	0%	0%	7.7%	-	2.9%
Articulated Trucks	0	2	0	2	-	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	3
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0.2%	0%	0%	0.2%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%
Buses	0	1	0	1	-	3	0	0	3	-	0	0	0	0	-	4
% Buses	0%	0.2%	0%	0.2%	-	0.7%	0%	0%	0.6%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.4%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	-	0
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

217835 (15) Columbian Street @ #60 Columbian... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 AM Peak (Apr 08 2021 7:30AM - 8:30 AM) All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

ID: 818587, Location: 42.185501, -70.975085

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US



Total: 1100 [S] Columbian Street

#### 217835 (15) Columbian Street @ #60 Columbian... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 3:45PM - 4:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Chesses (Maternucles Lights Single Unit Trucks Articulated T

PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 3:45PM - 4:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements

Provided by: Precision Data Industries, LLC (PDI) 46 Morton Street, Framingham, MA, MA, 01702, US

ID: 818587, Location: 42.185501, -70.975085

														,		-
Leg	Columbian St	reet				Columbian Str	eet				Rantoule Street					
Direction	Northbound					Southbound					Eastbound					
Time	L	Т	U	Арр	Ped*	Т	R	U	Арр	Ped*	L	R	U	Арр	Ped*	Int
2021-04-08 3:45PM	0	168	0	168	0	204	2	0	206	0	4	0	0	4	0	378
4:00PM	1	163	0	164	0	240	2	0	242	0	8	2	0	10	0	416
4:15PM	1	186	0	187	1	225	1	0	226	0	3	4	0	7	0	420
4:30PM	2	174	0	176	0	216	3	0	219	0	2	4	0	6	0	401
Total	4	691	0	695	1	885	8	0	893	0	17	10	0	27	0	1615
% Approach	0.6%	99.4%	0%	-	-	99.1%	0.9%	0%	-	-	63.0%	37.0%	0%	-	-	-
% Total	0.2%	42.8%	0%	43.0%	-	54.8%	0.5%	0%	55.3%	-	1.1%	0.6%	0%	1.7%	-	-
PHF	0.500	0.929	-	0.929	-	0.925	0.667	-	0.925	-	0.531	0.625	-	0.675	-	0.961
Motorcycles	0	2	0	2	-	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	3
% Motorcycles	0%	0.3%	0%	0.3%	-	0.1%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.2%
Lights	4	678	0	682	-	874	8	0	882	-	16	10	0	26	-	1590
% Lights	100%	98.1%	0%	98.1%	-	98.8%	100%	0%	98.8%	-	94.1%	100%	0%	96.3%	-	98.5%
Single-Unit Trucks	0	7	0	7	-	4	0	0	4	-	1	0	0	1	-	12
% Single-Unit Trucks	0%	1.0%	0%	1.0%	-	0.5%	0%	0%	0.4%	-	5.9%	0%	0%	3.7%	-	0.7%
Articulated Trucks	0	3	0	3	-	2	0	0	2	-	0	0	0	0	-	5
% Articulated Trucks	0%	0.4%	0%	0.4%	-	0.2%	0%	0%	0.2%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.3%
Buses	0	1	0	1	-	3	0	0	3	-	0	0	0	0	-	4
% Buses	0%	0.1%	0%	0.1%	-	0.3%	0%	0%	0.3%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.2%
Bicycles on Road	0	0	0	0	-	1	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	-	1
% Bicycles on Road	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%	0%	0%	0.1%	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0.1%
Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Pedestrians	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	0	
% Bicycles on Crosswalk	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

#### 217835 (15) Columbian Street @ #60 Columbian... - TMC Thu Apr 8, 2021 PM Peak (Apr 08 2021 3:45PM - 4:45 PM) - Overall Peak Hour All Classes (Motorcycles, Lights, Single-Unit Trucks, Articulated Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk) All Movements ID: 818587, Location: 42.185501, -70.975085 The state of t



## **APPENDIX J**

Turning Movement Counts Grove Street at Liberty Street March 21, 2019 Client: Adriana Santiago 352\_062\_VHB Project #: BTD #: Location 1 Location: Braintree, MA Street 1: Liberty Street Grove Street Street 2: 3/21/2019 Count Date: Day of Week: Thursday Weather: Mostly Cloudy, 40°F

## BOSTON TRAFFIC DATA PO BOX 1723, Framingham, MA 01701 Office: 978-746-1259 DataRequest@BostonTrafficData.com

www.BostonTrafficData.com

**PASSENGER CARS & HEAVY VEHICLES COMBINED** Liberty Street Liberty Street Grove Street Grove Street Northbound Southbound Eastbound Westbound Start Time U-Turn U-Turn Left Thru Right Left Thru Right U-Turn Left Thru Right U-Turn Left Thru Right 7:00 AM 7:15 AM 7:30 AM 7:45 AM 8:00 AM 8:15 AM 8:30 AM 8:45 AM Liberty Street Liberty Street Grove Street Grove Street

		North	bound			South	bound			Eastb	bound			West	bound	
Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right
4:00 PM	0	36	49	34	0	47	119	4	0	3	138	52	0	62	101	22
4:15 PM	0	33	52	37	0	49	126	3	0	3	142	55	0	58	122	26
4:30 PM	0	30	56	41	0	55	117	6	0	4	134	53	0	56	138	30
4:45 PM	0	32	54	48	0	60	109	8	0	5	123	49	0	49	135	27
5:00 PM	0	34	53	57	0	63	115	7	0	7	125	52	0	45	137	23
5:15 PM	0	36	55	51	0	67	122	5	0	10	124	54	0	48	125	25
5:30 PM	0	38	52	44	0	58	113	6	0	12	127	49	0	56	112	24
5:45 PM	0	35	50	42	0	45	95	7	0	17	131	45	0	53	108	23

AM PEAK HOUR		Liberty	Street			Liberty	Street			Grove	Street			Grove	Street	
7:30 AM		North	bound			South	bound			Eastb	oound			West	bound	
to	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right
8:30 AM	0	240	509	198	0	105	245	29	0	16	225	95	0	135	684	113
PHF		0.	89		0.85 0.94 0.96					96						
										0.94         0.96           0%         0.0%         10.2%         3.2%         0.0%         3.0%         2.5%         1.8%						

PM PEAK HOUR		Liberty	/ Street			Liberty	Street			Grove	Street			Grove	Street	
4:30 PM		North	bound			South	bound			East	oound			West	bound	
to	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right
5:30 PM	0	132	218	197	0	245	463	26	0	26	506	208	0	198	535	105
PHF		0.	95			0.	95			0.	97		0 198 535 0.94			
HV %	0.0%	0.8%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	0.4%	0.9%	3.8%	0.0%	3.8%	1.6%	1.0%	0.0%	1.0%	1.9%	0.0%

Client: Adriana Santiago Project #: 352\_062\_VHB BTD #: Location 1 Location: Braintree, MA Liberty Street Street 1: Grove Street Street 2: Count Date: 3/21/2019 Day of Week: Thursday Mostly Cloudy, 40°F Weather:

# **BOSTON TRAFFIC DATA** PO BOX 1723, Framingham, MA 01701

Office: 978-746-1259 DataRequest@BostonTrafficData.com www.BostonTrafficData.com

								HEAVY V	EHICLES	;						
		Liberty	Street			Liberty	Street			Grove	Street			Grove	Street	
		North	bound			South	bound			Eastb	ound			West	bound	
Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right
7:00 AM	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	4	0
7:15 AM	0	0	1	1	0	3	4	0	0	1	4	0	0	1	7	0
7:30 AM	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	5	1	0	1	6	1
7:45 AM	0	1	2	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	7	0	0	1	5	0
8:00 AM	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	3	1
8:15 AM	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	5	2	0	2	3	0
8:30 AM	0	0	4	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	6	1	0	0	4	0
8:45 AM	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	7	0	0	1	3	0
		Liberty	Street			Liberty	v Street			Grove	Street			Grove	Street	
		North	bound			South	bound			Eastb	ound			West	bound	
Start Time	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right
4:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3	0
4:15 PM	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	1
4:30 PM	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	0
4:45 PM	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	0
5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	2	0	1	2	0
5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3	0
5:30 PM	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1
5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
-																
AM PEAK HOUR		Liberty	Street			Liberty	Street			Grove	Street			Grove	Street	
7:15 AM		North	bound			South	bound			Eastb	ound			West	bound	
to	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right
8:15 AM	0	1	6	5	0	4	10	1	0	1	22	1	0	3	21	2
PHF		0.	50			0.	54			0.	86			0.	81	
PM PEAK HOUR		l ihertv	Street			Liberty	Street			Grove	Street			Grove	Street	

PM PEAK HOUR		Liberty	/ Street			Liberty	Street			Grove	Street			Grove	Street	
4:15 PM		North	bound			South	bound			East	oound			West	bound	
to	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right
5:15 PM	0	1	2	1	0	1	3	1	0	1	9	3	0	1	8	1
PHF		0.	50			0.	63			0.	65			0.	83	

Client:	Adriana Santiago
Project #:	352_062_VHB
BTD #:	Location 1
Location:	Braintree, MA
Street 1:	Liberty Street
Street 2:	Grove Street
Count Date:	3/21/2019
Day of Week:	Thursday
Weather:	Mostly Cloudy, 40°F



#### **PEDESTRIANS & BICYCLES**

		L	iberty Stree. Northbound	et I		I	Liberty Stree Southbound	et d		(	Grove Stree Eastbound	et			Grove Stree Westbound	et 1	
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	PED	Left	Thru	Right	PED	Left	Thru	Right	PED	Left	Thru	Right	PED	
7:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
7:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
7:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
7:45 AM	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	
8:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
8:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
8:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
8:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

		L	iberty Stree	et I		I	Liberty Stree	et d			Grove Stree Eastbound	et			Grove Stree Westbound	et 1	
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	PED	Left	Thru	Right	PED	Left	Thru	Right	PED	Left	Thru	Right	PED	
4:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5:00 PM	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	
5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
5:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5:45 PM	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	

AM PEAK HOUR <sup>1</sup>		L	iberty Stree	et		L	iberty Stree	et		(	Grove Stree	t		(	Grove Stree	t	
7:30 AM			Northbound				Southbound	i			Eastbound				Westbound	1	
to	Left	Thru	Right	PED	Left	Thru	Right	PED	Left	Thru	Right	PED	Left	Thru	Right	PED	
8:30 AM	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	

PM PEAK HOUR <sup>1</sup>		L	iberty Stree	et		L	iberty Stree	et		(	Grove Stree	t		(	Grove Stree	t	
4:30 PM			Northbound				Southbound	ł			Eastbound				Westbound		
to	Left	Thru	Right	PED	Left	Thru	Right	PED	Left	Thru	Right	PED	Left	Thru	Right	PED	
5:30 PM	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	

<sup>1</sup> Peak hours corresponds to vehicular peak hours.

\_\_\_\_

## APPENDIX K

Intersection Capacity Analyses Weekday AM/PM Peak Hour 2021 Observed Traffic Conditions

## Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Hancock St & Washington St/Plain St

12/31/2021

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	←	•	•	Ť	۲	1	ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		ર્સ	1	ሻ	ĥ		ሻ	•	1	5	<b>4</b> 16	
Traffic Volume (vph)	2	186	202	109	328	54	404	473	191	65	217	6
Future Volume (vph)	2	186	202	109	328	54	404	473	191	65	217	6
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	0		75	0		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	0		1	1		1	1		1	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1777	1538	1687	1772	0	1736	1863	1568	1770	3379	0
Flt Permitted		0.996		0.500			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1770	1538	888	1772	0	1731	1863	1568	1770	3379	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			240		6				153		2	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		152			279			332			259	
Travel Time (s)		3.5			6.3			7.5			5.9	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	3					3	2					2
Peak Hour Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.91	0.91	0.91
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	7%	5%	7%	5%	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%	6%	17%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	223	240	127	444	0	430	503	203	71	245	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA	pm+ov	pm+pt	NA		Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	
Protected Phases		9	5	10	9 10		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	9		9	9 10					2			
Detector Phase	9	9	5	10	9 10		5	2	2	1	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0			5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	10.0	11.0	9.0			11.0	10.0	10.0	11.0	10.0	
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0	42.0	15.0			42.0	35.0	35.0	22.0	15.0	
Total Split (%)	22.7%	22.7%	31.8%	11.4%			31.8%	26.5%	26.5%	16.7%	11.4%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0			3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0			2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		1.5	1.5	2.5			-1.0	-2.0	2.5	0.0	-1.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		6.5	6.5	6.5			4.0	3.0	7.5	5.0	4.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead	Lead	Lag			Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None			None	Min	Min	None	Min	
Act Effct Green (s)		24.1	51.1	31.1	39.3		29.5	35.1	30.5	8.6	11.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.24	0.52	0.32	0.40		0.30	0.36	0.31	0.09	0.12	
v/c Ratio		0.51	0.26	0.38	0.63		0.83	0.76	0.34	0.46	0.60	
Control Delay		40.9	2.0	30.9	31.3		47.8	39.5	11.6	56.2	50.6	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay		40.9	2.0	30.9	31.3		47.8	39.5	11.6	56.2	50.6	
LOS		D	А	С	С		D	D	В	E	D	
Approach Delay		20.8			31.2			37.6			51.8	
Approach LOS		С			С			D			D	
Queue Length 50th (ft)		111	0	47	193		223	257	21	40	71	
Queue Length 95th (ft)		252	24	132	456		#528	#672	106	108	#186	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		72			199			252			179	
Turn Bay Length (ft)												

AM Scenario 2021 Observed Traffic Conditions Synchro 11 Report

Lane Group	Ø3			
Lane Configurations				
Traffic Volume (vph)				
Future Volume (vph)				
Ideal Flow (vphpl)				
Storage Length (ft)				
Storage Lanes				
Taper Length (ft)				
Satd. Flow (prot)				
Flt Permitted				
Satd. Flow (perm)				
Right Turn on Red				
Satd. Flow (RTOR)				
Link Speed (mph)				
Link Distance (ft)				
Travel Time (s)				
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)				
Peak Hour Factor				
Heavy Vehicles (%)				
Shared Lane Traffic (%)				
Lane Group Flow (vph)				
Turn Type				
Protected Phases	3			
Permitted Phases				
Detector Phase				
Switch Phase				
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0			
Minimum Split (s)	30.0			
Total Split (s)	30.0			
Total Split (%)	23%			
Yellow Time (s)	2.0			
All-Red Time (s)	1.0			
Lost Time Adjust (s)				
Total Lost Time (s)				
Lead/Lag				
Lead-Lag Optimize?				
Recall Mode	None			
Act Effct Green (s)				
Actuated g/C Ratio				
v/c Ratio				
Control Delay				
Queue Delay				
Total Delay				
LOS				
Approach Delay				
Approach LOS				
Queue Length 50th (ft)				
Queue Length 95th (ft)				
Internal Link Dist (ft)				
Turn Bay Length (ft)				

Synchro 11 Report

## Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Hancock St & Washington St/Plain St

12/31/2021

	≯	+	*	4	t	*	•	†	~	1	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Base Capacity (vph)		434	1039	367	743		688	665	591	313	406	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.51	0.23	0.35	0.60		0.63	0.76	0.34	0.23	0.60	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 132												
Actuated Cycle Length: 98	.4											
Natural Cycle: 110												
Control Type: Actuated-Ur	ncoordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83												
Intersection Signal Delay:	34.8			In	itersectior	LOS: C						
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation 76.6%			IC	CU Level o	of Service	D					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
# 95th percentile volume	exceeds cap	bacity, qu	eue may	be longe	r.							
Queue shown is maxim	num after two	cycles.										

Splits and Phases: 1: Hancock St & Washington St/Plain St

Ø1	¶ø₂				<b>₩</b> Ø10
22 s	35 s		30 s	30 s	15 s
<b>\$</b> Ø5		↓ Ø6			
42 s		15 s			

## Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: Plain St & John Mahar Hwy

1	2/	3	1/	2	0	2	1
	- '	~	•••	_	~	-	•

Lane Croup         EBL         EBT         WBT         WBR         SBL         SBR         03           Lane Configurations         1         4         7         7         7         7           Traffic Volume (vph)         141         270         329         257         215         125           Ideal Flow (vphp)         1900         1900         1900         1900         1900         1900           Storage Length (th)         200         125         0         0         0         1           Storage Length (th)         200         127         1568         1719         1553         1         1         1           Taper Length (th)         070         1827         1568         1719         1553         1		٦		←	•	1	1		
Lane Configurations       A	Lane Group	FBI	FBT	WBT	WBR	SBI	SBR	Ø3	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Lane Configurations	100			1	<u> </u>	1		
Halle Volume (vph)         Hall         210         322         227         121         125           Iddael Flow (vphp)         1900         1900         1900         1900         1900         1900           Storage Length (ft)         200         125         0         0         0           Storage Length (ft)         205         25         25         25           Said Flow (port)         1770         1827         1827         1568         1719         1553           Fil Permitted         0.950         0.950         533         534         Flow (port)         1770         1827         1827         1568         1719         1553           Said Flow (prot)         1770         1827         1827         1568         1719         1553           Said Flow (prot)         1770         1827         1827         1568         1719         1553           Right Turn on Red         Yes         No         271         1145         261         232         232           Travel Time (s)         26         5.9         9.5.3         28         4%         3%         5%         4%           Lang Group Flow (vph)         162         310         346	Traffic Volume (vnh)	1/1	270	320	257	215	125		
Fund         Fund <th< td=""><td>Future Volume (vph)</td><td>1/1</td><td>270</td><td>327</td><td>257</td><td>215</td><td>125</td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Future Volume (vph)	1/1	270	327	257	215	125		
Index Trans         Tool	Idoal Flow (vphpl)	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000		
Storage Length (ft)       20       123       0       0         Taper Length (ft)       25       25         Stotk Flow (port)       1770       1827       1568       1719       1553         Fit Permitted       0.950       0.950       0.950       0.950         Satd. Flow (perm)       1770       1827       1568       1719       1553         Right Turn on Red       Yes       No       271       11th Distance (ft)       1145       261       232         Travel Time (s)       26.0       5.9       5.3       5       26       4%       4%       3%       5%       4%         Lane Group Flow (rph)       162       310       346       271       239       139         Turn Type       Prot       NA       NA       pt+ov       Prot       pt+ov         Protected Phases       5       2       6       6.4       4       5         Switch Phase       5       2       6       6.4       4       5         Minimum Split (s)       7.0       7.0       5.0       7.0       7.0         Total Split (s)       20.0       45.5       25.3       30.30       2.0 <t< td=""><td>Storago Longth (ft)</td><td>200</td><td>1700</td><td>1700</td><td>125</td><td>1700</td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Storago Longth (ft)	200	1700	1700	125	1700	0		
Shore provide Lattices         1 <th1< th="">         1         1         <th1< th=""></th1<></th1<>	Storago Lanos	200			125	1	1		
Table Target Length (10)       T70       1827       1827       1568       1719       1553         Satid. Flow (perm)       1770       1827       1827       1568       1719       1553         Stat. Flow (perm)       1770       1827       1827       1568       1719       1553         Satid. Flow (RTOR)       271       271       1568       1719       1553         Link Distance (II)       1145       261       232       232         Travel Time (I)       26.0       5.9       5.3       5         Peak Hour Factor       0.87       0.95       0.90       0.90         Heavy Vehicles (%)       2%       4%       4%       3%       5%       4%         Lane Group Flow (vph)       162       310       346       271       239       139       100         Turn Type       Prot       NA       NA       pit-ov       Prot       pi-ov       100       240         Protected Phases       5       2       6       6.4       4       4.5       3         Minimum Initial (S)       7.0       7.0       7.0       7.0       7.0       100       240         Total Split (S)       20.0	Tapor Longth (ft)	1 25			I	25	1		
Sata: Flow (pto)       1770       1627       1308       1719       1533         File Permitted       0,950       0,950       0,950         Sata: Flow (perm)       1770       1827       1827       1568       1719       1553         Righ Turn on Red       Yes       No       Sata: Flow (perm)       30       30       30         Link Distance (ft)       1145       261       232       Travel Time (s)       26.0       5.9       5.3         Peak Hour Factor       0.87       0.87       0.95       0.90       0.90       90         Heavy Vehicles (%)       2%       4%       4%       3%       5%       4%         Shared Lane Traffic (%)       2%       4%       4%       3%       5%       4%         Lane Group Flow (vph)       162       310       346       271       239       139         Turm Type       Prot       NA       pt+ov       Prot       pt+ov       Prot         Defector Phase       5       2       6       6.4       4       4.5       3         Switch Phase       5       2       6       6.4       4       4.5       3         Vellow Time (s)       1.	Satd Elow (prot)	1770	1007	1007	1540	1710	1552		
In Partimited       0.530       0.530         Right Turn on Red       1770       1827       1828         Right Turn on Red       271       No         Sald. Flow (RTOR)       271       1111         Link Speed (mph)       30       30       30         Travel Time (s)       26.0       5.9       5.3         Peak Hour Factor       0.87       0.95       0.90       0.90         Heavy Vehicles (%)       2%       4%       4%       3%       5%       4%         Lane Group Flow (vph)       162       310       346       271       239       139         Turn Type       Prot       NA       NA       pl+ov       Prot       pl+ov         Protected Phases       5       2       6       64       4       4.5       3         Permitted Phases       5       2       6       64       4       4.5       3         Velow Time (s)       1.0       12.0       12.0       10.0       24.0       12.0       12.0       10.0       24.0         Total Split (%)       20.02       8.5%       25.3%       30.30       24.0       12.0       12.0       12.0       12.0       12.0 <td>Salu. Flow (prot)</td> <td>0.050</td> <td>1027</td> <td>1027</td> <td>1000</td> <td>0.050</td> <td>1000</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Salu. Flow (prot)	0.050	1027	1027	1000	0.050	1000		
Satur Trow Qeffinition Red         Type         Type         Type         No           Satd. Flow (RTOR)         271         271           Link Speed (mph)         30         30         30           Link Distance (ft)         1145         261         232           Travel Time (s)         26.0         5.9         5.3           Peak Hour Factor         0.87         0.87         0.95         0.90         0.90           Heavy Vehicles (%)         2%         4%         3%         5%         4%           Shared Lane Traffic (%)         2         2         6         64         4         45         3           Permitted Phases         5         2         6         64         4         45         3           Permitted Phases         5         2         6         64         4         45         3           Permitted Phases         5         2         6         64         4         5         3           Ninimum Split (s)         12.0         12.0         10.0         24.0         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10	Satd Flow (porm)	1770	1007	1007	1540	1710	1552		
Kight Flow (RDR)         271           Link Speed (mph)         30         30           Travel Time (s)         260         5.9         5.3           Peak Hour Factor         0.87         0.87         0.95         0.90         0.90           Heavy Vehicles (%)         2%         4%         4%         3%         5%         4%           Shared Lane Traffic (%)         2%         4%         4%         3%         5%         4%           Shared Lane Traffic (%)         2%         4%         4%         3%         5%         4%           Shared Lane Traffic (%)         2%         4%         4%         3%         5%         4%           Lane Group Flow (vph)         162         310         346         271         239         139           Turn Type         Prot         NA         NA         pi+ov         Proteiget Phase         5         2         6         6.4         4         4.5         3           Permitted Phases         5         2         6         6.4         4         4.5         3           Minimum Initial (s)         7.0         7.0         7.0         7.0         7.0         10.0         10.0         10	Dight Turn on Dod	1770	1027	1027	Voc	1/19	1000 No		
Sature Trave (KTOK)       271         Link Speed (mph)       30       30         Link Distance (ft)       1145       261       232         Travel Time (s)       20.0       5.9       5.3         Peak Hour Factor       0.87       0.95       0.95       0.90         Heavy Vehicles (%)       2%       4%       4%       3%       5%       4%         Shared Lane Traffic (%)       Tum Type       Prot       140       145       3         Lane Group Flow (vph)       162       310       346       271       239       139         Tum Type       Prot       NA       NA       pt+ov       Prot       pt+ov         Protected Phases       5       2       6       6.4       4       4.5       3         Detector Phase       5       2       6       6.4       4       4.5       3         Switch Phase	RIGHT TUTT OF REU				105		INU		
Link Distance (II)       1145       261       232         Travel Time (s)       26.0       5.9       5.3         Peak Hour Factor       0.87       0.87       0.95       0.90       0.90         Heavy Vehicles (%)       2%       4%       3%       5%       4%         Shared Lane Traffic (%)       2%       4%       3%       5%       4%         Shared Lane Traffic (%)       2%       4%       144       45       3         Park Type       Prot       NA       NA       pi+ov       Protected Phases       5       2       6       64       4       45       3         Permitted Phases       5       2       6       64       4       45       3         Pretocted Phases       5       2       6       64       4       45       3         Protected Phases       5       2       6       64       4       45       3         Vehicles       12.0       12.0       12.0       10.0       24.0       7.0       7.0       7.0       7.0       7.0       7.0       7.0       7.0       7.0       7.0       7.0       7.0       7.0       7.0       7.0       7.0 <td>Jaiu. FIUW (KTUK)</td> <td></td> <td>20</td> <td>20</td> <td>2/1</td> <td>20</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Jaiu. FIUW (KTUK)		20	20	2/1	20			
Link Disklittle (t)       1143       201       232         Link Disklittle (t)       140       201       232         Peak Hour Factor       0.87       0.87       0.95       0.90       0.90         Heavy Vehicles (%)       2%       4%       4%       3%       5%       4%         Shared Lane Traffic (%)       Lane Group Flow (vph)       162       310       346       271       239       139         Turn Type       Prot       NA       NA       pi+ov       Prot       pi+ov         Protected Phases       5       2       6       6.4       4       4.5       3         Detector Phase       5       2       6       6.4       4       4.5       3         Minimum Initial (s)       7.0       7.0       7.0       5.0       7.0       7.0         Total Split (s)       12.0       12.0       10.0       24.0       24.0         Total Split (s)       20.0       45.5%       25.3%       30.3%       24%         Yellow Time (s)       4.0       4.0       4.0       2.0       2.0         All-Red Time (s)       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0         L	LINK Speed (Mpn)		3U	30		30			
Indvertmine (s)       20.0       5.9       5.3         Peak Hour Factor       0.87       0.95       0.95       0.90         Heavy Vehicles (%)       2%       4%       4%       3%       5%         Shared Lane Traffic (%)       2%       4%       4%       3%       5%       4%         Lane Group Flow (vph)       162       310       346       271       239       139         Turm Type       Prot       Prot       NA       NA       pt-ov       Protected Phases       5       2       6       64       4       45       3         Permitted Phases       5       2       6       64       4       45       3         Switch Phase       5       2       6       64       4       45       3         Minimum Initial (s)       7.0       7.0       7.0       5.0       7.0       7.0         Total Split (%)       20.2%       45.5%       25.3%       30.3%       24%       240         Total Split (%)       20.2%       45.5%       25.3%       30.3%       24%       24%         Yellow Time (s)       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0 <td></td> <td></td> <td>1145</td> <td>201</td> <td></td> <td>232</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			1145	201		232			
Peak Hour Pation       0.87       0.87       0.95       0.90       0.90       0.90         Heavy Vehicles (%)       2%       4%       4%       3%       5%       4%         Shared Lane Traffic (%)       Lane Group Flow (vph)       162       310       346       271       239       139         Turn Type       Prot       NA       NA       pH+ov       Prot       pt-ov         Protected Phases       5       2       6       64       4       45       3         Detector Phase       5       2       6       64       4       45       3         Minimum Initial (s)       7.0       7.0       7.0       5.0       7.0       7.0         Minimum Split (s)       12.0       12.0       10.0       24.0       24.0         Total Split (%)       20.0       45.0       25.3%       30.3%       24%         Yellow Time (s)       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0         Last Time Adjust (s)       3.0       -3.0       -3.0       3.0       3.2       2.0         All-Red Time (s)       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0 <td>Havel Time (S)</td> <td>0.07</td> <td>26.0</td> <td>5.9</td> <td>0.05</td> <td>5.3</td> <td>0.00</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Havel Time (S)	0.07	26.0	5.9	0.05	5.3	0.00		
reary ventures (%)       2%       4%       3%       3%       5%       4%         Shared Lane Traffic (%)       162       310       346       271       239       139         Turn Type       Prot       NA       NA       pt+ov       Prot       pt+ov         Protected Phases       5       2       6       6.4       4       4.5       3         Detector Phase       5       2       6       6.4       4       4.5       3         Switch Phase       5       2       6       6.4       4       4.5       3         Minimum Initial (s)       7.0       7.0       7.0       10.0       24.0         Total Split (s)       12.0       12.0       10.0       24.0         Total Split (s)       20.0       45.5%       25.3%       30.3%       24%         Yellow Time (s)       4.0       4.0       4.0       2.0       All-Red Time (s)       1.0       1.0         Lost Time Adjust (s)       3.0       -3.0       -3.0       3.0       -3.0       3.0       -3.0       3.0         Lead/Lag Dptimize?       Yes       Yes       Yes       Yes       Yes       Yes       Yes       <	Peak Hour Factor	0.87	0.87	0.95	0.95	0.90	0.90		
Shared Lane Traillic (%)       162       310       346       271       239       139         Lane Group Flow (vph)       162       310       346       271       239       139         Turm Type       Prot       NA       NA       pi+ov       Prot       pi+ov         Protected Phases       5       2       6       64       4       45       3         Detector Phase       5       2       6       64       4       45       3         Switch Phase       5       2       6       64       4       45       3         Switch Phase       5       2       6       64       4       45       3         Minimum Split (s)       12.0       12.0       12.0       10.0       24.0       7.0         Total Split (s)       20.0       45.0       25.0       30.3%       24%       740         Yellow Time (s)       4.0       4.0       4.0       4.0       2.0       2.0         All-Red Time (s)       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0         Lost Time Adjust (s)       3.0       -3.0       -3.0       3.0       -2.0         Lead/Lag O	Heavy venicles (%)	2%	4%	4%	3%	5%	4%		
Lane Group Flow (vpn) 162 310 346 2/1 239 139 Turn Type Prot NA NA pt+ov Prot pt+ov Protected Phases 5 2 6 64 4 45 3 Permitted Phases Detector Phase 5 2 6 64 4 45 Switch Phase Minimum Split (s) 7.0 7.0 7.0 5.0 7.0 Minimum Split (s) 12.0 12.0 10.0 24.0 Total Split (s) 20.0 45.0 25.0 30.0 24.0 Total Split (s) 20.2% 45.5% 25.3% 30.3% 24% Yellow Time (s) 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 2.0 All-Red Time (s) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 3.0 -3.0 -3.0 3.0 Total Lost Time (s) 8.0 2.0 2.0 8.0 Lead/Lag Detimize? Yes Yes Recall Mode None C-Max C-Max Min None Act Effc Green (s) 10.2 69.2 51.0 66.4 15.0 33.2 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.10 0.70 0.52 0.67 0.15 0.34 v/c Ratio 0.89 0.24 0.37 0.24 0.92 0.27 Control Delay 87.4 9.0 21.0 1.6 78.5 23.5 LOS F A C A E C Approach LoS T M A.4 9.0 21.0 1.6 78.5 23.5 LOS F A C A E C Approach LOS D B E Cueue Length 95th (ft) 1102 50 113 0 150 62 Queue Length 50th (ft) 102 50 113 0 150 62 Queue Length 95th (ft) 4186 189 #351 23 200 96 Internal Link Dist (ft) 102 0 125 Turn Bay Length (ft) 200 125 Turn Bay Length (ft) 200 126 2.3 82 2.4 123 382 514	Snared Lane Traffic (%)	4/0	040	0.17	074	000	100		
turn type         Prot         NA         NA         pi+ov         Prot         pi+ov           Protected Phases         5         2         6         6.4         4         4.5         3           Detector Phase         5         2         6         6.4         4         4.5         3           Switch Phase         5         2         6         6.4         4         4.5         3           Minimum Initial (s)         7.0         7.0         7.0         5.0         7.0         7.0           Minimum Split (s)         12.0         12.0         10.0         24.0         24.0         24.0           Total Split (s)         20.0         45.5%         25.3%         30.3%         24%         24%           Yellow Time (s)         4.0         4.0         4.0         4.0         2.0         All-Red Time (s)         1.0	Lane Group Flow (vph)	162	310	346	2/1	239	139		
Protected Phases       5       2       6       6 4       4       4 5       3         Permitted Phases       5       2       6       6 4       4       4 5         Switch Phase       5       2       6       6 4       4       4 5         Switch Phase       5       2       6       6 4       4       4 5         Minimum Split (s)       12.0       12.0       12.0       10.0       24.0         Total Split (s)       20.0       45.0       25.0       30.0       24.0         Total Split (s)       20.0       45.0       25.3%       30.3%       24%         Yellow Time (s)       4.0       4.0       4.0       2.0       2.0         All-Red Time (s)       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0         Lost Time Adjust (s)       3.0       -3.0       -3.0       3.0       -         Lead/Lag       Lead       Lag       Lead       Lead       Lag       Lead         Lead-Lag Optimize?       Yes       Yes       Yes       -       -         Recall Mode       None       C-Max       C-Max       Min       None         Act Effct Green (s)       <	Turn Type	Prot	NA	NA	pt+ov	Prot	pt+ov		
Permitted Phases       5       2       6       6       4       4       5         Detector Phase       5       2       6       6       4       4       5         Minimum Initial (s)       7.0       7.0       7.0       5.0       7.0         Minimum Initial (s)       7.0       12.0       12.0       10.0       24.0         Total Split (s)       20.0       45.0       25.0       30.0       24.0         Total Split (%)       20.2%       45.5%       25.3%       30.3%       24%         Vellow Time (s)       4.0       4.0       4.0       2.0         All-Red Time (s)       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0         Lead/Lag       Lead       Lag       Lead       Lag       Lead         Lead/Lag       Lead       Lag       Lead       Lag       Lead         Lead/Lag       Lead       Lag       Lead       Lag       Lead         Lead/Lag       None       C-Max       Min       None         Act Effct Green (s)       10.2       69.2       51.0       66.4       15.0       33.2         Actated g/C Ratio       0.10       0.70       0.52 <td>Protected Phases</td> <td>5</td> <td>2</td> <td>6</td> <td>64</td> <td>4</td> <td>45</td> <td>3</td> <td></td>	Protected Phases	5	2	6	64	4	45	3	
Detector Phase         5         2         6         6 4         4         4 5           Switch Phase	Permitted Phases	_							
Switch Phase         Minimum Initial (s)       7.0       7.0       5.0       7.0         Minimum Spilt (s)       12.0       12.0       12.0       10.0       24.0         Total Spilt (s)       20.0       45.0       25.0       30.0       24.0         Total Spilt (%)       20.2%       45.5%       25.3%       30.3%       24%         Yellow Time (s)       4.0       4.0       4.0       2.0         All-Red Time (s)       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0         Lost Time Adjust (s)       3.0       -3.0       -3.0       3.0         Total Lost Time (s)       8.0       2.0       2.0       8.0         Lead/Lag       Lead       Lag       Lead       Lead         Lead/Lag Optimize?       Yes       Yes       Yes         Recall Mode       None       C-Max       Min       None         Act Effet Green (s)       10.2       69.2       51.0       66.4       15.0       33.2         Actuated g/C Ratio       0.10       0.70       0.52       0.67       0.15       0.34         v/c Ratio       0.89       0.24       0.37       0.24       0.92       0.27 <t< td=""><td>Detector Phase</td><td>5</td><td>2</td><td>6</td><td>64</td><td>4</td><td>4 5</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Detector Phase	5	2	6	64	4	4 5		
Minimum Initial (s)       7.0       7.0       5.0       7.0         Minimum Split (s)       12.0       12.0       12.0       10.0       24.0         Total Split (s)       20.0       45.5%       25.3%       30.3%       24.0         Total Split (%)       20.2%       45.5%       25.3%       30.3%       24%         Yellow Time (s)       4.0       4.0       4.0       4.0       2.0         All-Red Time (s)       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0         Lost Time Adjust (s)       3.0       -3.0       -3.0       3.0         Total Lost Time (s)       8.0       2.0       2.0       8.0         Lead-Lag Optimize?       Yes       Yes         Recall Mode       None       C-Max       C-Max       Min       None         Act Effct Green (s)       10.2       69.2       51.0       66.4       15.0       33.2         Actuated g/C Ratio       0.10       0.70       0.52       0.67       0.15       0.34         v/c Ratio       0.89       0.24       0.37       0.24       0.92       0.27         Control Delay       87.4       9.0       21.0       1.6       78.5	Switch Phase								
Minimum Split (s)12.012.012.010.024.0Total Split (s)20.0 $45.0$ $25.0$ $30.0$ $24.0$ Total Split (%) $20.2\%$ $45.5\%$ $25.3\%$ $30.3\%$ $24\%$ Yellow Time (s) $4.0$ $4.0$ $4.0$ $4.0$ $2.0$ All-Red Time (s) $1.0$ $1.0$ $1.0$ $1.0$ $1.0$ Lost Time Adjust (s) $3.0$ $-3.0$ $3.0$ $-3.0$ $3.0$ Total Lost Time (s) $8.0$ $2.0$ $2.0$ $8.0$ Lead/LagLeadLagLagLeadLead-Lag Optimize?YesYesRecall ModeNoneC-MaxC-MaxMinAct Effct Green (s) $10.2$ $69.2$ $51.0$ $66.4$ $15.0$ $33.2$ Actuated g/C Ratio $0.10$ $0.70$ $0.52$ $0.67$ $0.15$ $0.34$ v/c Ratio $0.89$ $0.24$ $0.37$ $0.24$ $0.92$ $0.27$ Control Delay $87.4$ $9.0$ $21.0$ $1.6$ $78.5$ $23.5$ LocsFACAECApproach LOSDBECQueue Length 50th (ft) $102$ $50$ $113$ $0$ $150$ $62$ Queue Length 95th (ft) $#186$ $189$ $#351$ $23$ $220$ $96$ Internal Link Dist (ft) $1065$ $181$ $152$ $114$ Turn Bay Length (ft) $219$ $1276$ $940$ $1232$ $382$	Minimum Initial (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0		5.0		7.0	
Total Split (s)20.0 $45.0$ $25.0$ $30.0$ $24.0$ Total Split (%) $20.2\%$ $45.5\%$ $25.3\%$ $30.3\%$ $24\%$ Yellow Time (s) $4.0$ $4.0$ $4.0$ $2.0$ All-Red Time (s) $1.0$ $1.0$ $1.0$ $1.0$ Lost Time Adjust (s) $3.0$ $-3.0$ $3.0$ Total Lost Time (s) $8.0$ $2.0$ $8.0$ Lead/LagLeadLagLagLead-Lag Optimize?YesRecall ModeNoneC-MaxC-MaxAct Effct Green (s) $10.2$ $69.2$ $51.0$ 66.4 $15.0$ $33.2$ Actuated g/C Ratio $0.10$ $0.70$ $0.52$ $0.67$ $0.15$ $0.34$ v/c Ratio $0.89$ $0.24$ $0.37$ $0.24$ $0.9$ $0.27$ $C$ Control Delay $87.4$ $9.0$ $21.0$ $1.6$ $0.0$ </td <td>Minimum Split (s)</td> <td>12.0</td> <td>12.0</td> <td>12.0</td> <td></td> <td>10.0</td> <td></td> <td>24.0</td> <td></td>	Minimum Split (s)	12.0	12.0	12.0		10.0		24.0	
Total Split (%)20.2%45.5%25.3%30.3%24%Yellow Time (s)4.04.04.04.02.0All-Red Time (s)1.01.01.01.0Lost Time Adjust (s)3.0-3.0-3.03.0Total Lost Time (s)8.02.08.0Lead/LagLeadLagLagLead/LagLeadLagLeadLead/Lag Optimize?YesYesRecall ModeNoneC-MaxC-MaxMinAct Effct Green (s)10.269.251.066.415.0Actuated g/C Ratio0.100.700.520.670.150.34v/c Ratio0.890.240.370.240.920.27Control Delay87.49.021.01.678.523.5Queue Delay0.00.00.00.00.00.0Total Delay87.49.021.01.678.523.5LOSFACAECApproach LOSDBEQueue Length 95th (ft)10250113015062Queue Length 95th (ft)#186189#351232209696Internal Link Dist (ft)1065181152125125Turn Bay Length (ft)200125382514	Total Split (s)	20.0	45.0	25.0		30.0		24.0	
Yellow Time (s)4.04.04.04.02.0All-Red Time (s)1.01.01.01.01.0Lost Time Adjust (s)3.0-3.0-3.03.0Total Lost Time (s)8.02.02.08.0Lead/LagLeadLagLagLeadLead-Lag Optimize?YesYesRecall ModeNoneC-MaxC-MaxMinAct Effct Green (s)10.269.251.066.415.0Actuated g/C Ratio0.100.700.520.670.150.34v/c Ratio0.890.240.370.240.920.27Control Delay87.49.021.01.678.523.5Queue Delay0.00.00.00.00.00.0Total Delay87.49.021.01.678.523.5LOSFACAECApproach Delay35.912.558.258.2Approach LOSDBECQueue Length 50th (ft)102501130150Internal Link Dist (ft)1065181152152Turn Bay Length (ft)20012588.2514	Total Split (%)	20.2%	45.5%	25.3%		30.3%		24%	
All-Red Time (s)1.01.01.01.01.0Lost Time Adjust (s)3.0-3.0-3.03.0Total Lost Time (s)8.02.02.08.0Lead/LagLeadLagLagLeadLead-Lag Optimize?YesYesYesRecall ModeNoneC-MaxC-MaxMinNoneAct Effct Green (s)10.269.251.066.415.033.2Actuated g/C Ratio0.100.700.520.670.150.34v/c Ratio0.890.240.370.240.920.27Control Delay87.49.021.01.678.523.5Queue Delay0.00.00.00.00.00.0Total Delay87.49.021.01.678.523.5LOSFACAECApproach LOSDBE00.10150Queue Length 50th (ft)10250113015062Queue Length 95th (ft)#186189#3512322096Internal Link Dist (ft)1065181152151Turn Bay Length (ft)200125123514	Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0		2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s) $3.0$ $-3.0$ $-3.0$ $3.0$ Total Lost Time (s) $8.0$ $2.0$ $2.0$ $8.0$ Lead/LagLagLagLagLeadLead-Lag Optimize?YesYesRecall ModeNoneC-MaxC-MaxMinNoneAct Effct Green (s) $10.2$ $69.2$ $51.0$ $66.4$ $15.0$ $33.2$ Actuated g/C Ratio $0.10$ $0.70$ $0.52$ $0.67$ $0.15$ $0.34$ v/c Ratio $0.89$ $0.24$ $0.37$ $0.24$ $0.92$ $0.27$ Control Delay $87.4$ $9.0$ $21.0$ $1.6$ $78.5$ $23.5$ Queue Delay $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ Total Delay $87.4$ $9.0$ $21.0$ $1.6$ $78.5$ $23.5$ LOSFACAECApproach Delay $35.9$ $12.5$ $58.2$ $58.2$ Approach LOSDBEQueue Length 50th (ft) $102$ $50$ $113$ $0$ $150$ Internal Link Dist (ft) $1065$ $181$ $152$ Turn Bay Length (ft) $200$ $1232$ $382$ $514$	All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0		1.0	
Total Lost Time (s) $8.0$ $2.0$ $2.0$ $8.0$ Lead/LagLeadLagLagLeadLead-Lag Optimize?YesYesRecall ModeNoneC-MaxC-MaxMinNoneAct Effet Green (s) $10.2$ $69.2$ $51.0$ $66.4$ $15.0$ $33.2$ Actuated g/C Ratio $0.10$ $0.70$ $0.52$ $0.67$ $0.15$ $0.34$ v/c Ratio $0.89$ $0.24$ $0.37$ $0.24$ $0.92$ $0.27$ Control Delay $87.4$ $9.0$ $21.0$ $1.6$ $78.5$ $23.5$ Queue Delay $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ Total Delay $87.4$ $9.0$ $21.0$ $1.6$ $78.5$ $23.5$ LOSFACAECApproach Delay $35.9$ $12.5$ $58.2$ Approach LOSDBEQueue Length 50th (ft) $102$ $50$ $113$ $0$ $150$ $62$ Queue Length 95th (ft) $#186$ $189$ $#351$ $23$ $220$ $96$ Internal Link Dist (ft) $1065$ $181$ $152$ $125$ $514$ Turn Bay Length (ft) $200$ $1232$ $382$ $514$	Lost Time Adjust (s)	3.0	-3.0	-3.0		3.0			
Lead/LagLeadLagLagLeadLead-Lag Optimize?YesYesRecall ModeNoneC-MaxC-MaxMinNoneAct Effct Green (s)10.2 $69.2$ $51.0$ $66.4$ $15.0$ $33.2$ Actuated g/C Ratio0.100.700.520.670.15 $0.34$ v/c Ratio0.890.240.370.240.920.27Control Delay $87.4$ 9.021.01.6 $78.5$ 23.5Queue Delay0.00.00.00.00.01.6Total Delay $87.4$ 9.021.01.6 $78.5$ 23.5LOSFACAECApproach Delay35.912.5 $58.2$ 58.2Approach LOSDBE20.0Queue Length 50th (ft)102501130150Queue Length 95th (ft)#186189#3512322096Internal Link Dist (ft)1065181152125124Turn Bay Length (ft)200125123382514	Total Lost Time (s)	8.0	2.0	2.0		8.0			
Lead-Lag Optimize?YesYesRecall ModeNoneC-MaxC-MaxMinNoneAct Effct Green (s)10.269.251.066.415.033.2Actuated g/C Ratio0.100.700.520.670.150.34v/c Ratio0.890.240.370.240.920.27Control Delay87.49.021.01.678.523.5Queue Delay0.00.00.00.00.0100Total Delay87.49.021.01.678.523.5LOSFACAECApproach Delay35.912.558.258.2Approach LOSDBE0ueue Length 50th (ft)10250113015062Queue Length 95th (ft)#186189#351232209696Internal Link Dist (ft)1065181152514514Base Capacity (wph)21912769401232382514	Lead/Lag	Lead		Lag		Lag		Lead	
Recall ModeNoneC-MaxC-MaxMinNoneAct Effct Green (s)10.2 $69.2$ $51.0$ $66.4$ $15.0$ $33.2$ Actuated g/C Ratio0.100.700.520.670.150.34v/c Ratio0.890.240.370.240.920.27Control Delay $87.4$ 9.021.01.678.523.5Queue Delay0.00.00.00.00.0Total Delay $87.4$ 9.021.01.678.523.5LOSFACAECApproach Delay35.912.558.258.2Approach LOSDBECQueue Length 50th (ft)102501130150Queue Length 95th (ft)#186189#3512322096Internal Link Dist (ft)1065181152152Turn Bay Length (ft)200125382514	Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes		Yes					
Act Effct Green (s) $10.2$ $69.2$ $51.0$ $66.4$ $15.0$ $33.2$ Actuated g/C Ratio $0.10$ $0.70$ $0.52$ $0.67$ $0.15$ $0.34$ v/c Ratio $0.89$ $0.24$ $0.37$ $0.24$ $0.92$ $0.27$ Control Delay $87.4$ $9.0$ $21.0$ $1.6$ $78.5$ $23.5$ Queue Delay $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ Total Delay $87.4$ $9.0$ $21.0$ $1.6$ $78.5$ $23.5$ LOSFACAECApproach Delay $35.9$ $12.5$ $58.2$ Approach LOSDBEQueue Length 50th (ft) $102$ $50$ $113$ $0$ $150$ Queue Length 95th (ft) $#186$ $189$ $#351$ $23$ $220$ $96$ Internal Link Dist (ft) $1065$ $181$ $152$ $1125$ $514$ Base Canacity (wph) $219$ $1276$ $940$ $1232$ $382$ $514$	Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max		Min		None	
Actuated g/C Ratio $0.10$ $0.70$ $0.52$ $0.67$ $0.15$ $0.34$ v/c Ratio $0.89$ $0.24$ $0.37$ $0.24$ $0.92$ $0.27$ Control Delay $87.4$ $9.0$ $21.0$ $1.6$ $78.5$ $23.5$ Queue Delay $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ Total Delay $87.4$ $9.0$ $21.0$ $1.6$ $78.5$ $23.5$ LOSFACAECApproach Delay $35.9$ $12.5$ $58.2$ Approach LOSDBEQueue Length 50th (ft) $102$ $50$ $113$ $0$ $150$ $62$ Queue Length 95th (ft) $#186$ $189$ $#351$ $23$ $220$ $96$ Internal Link Dist (ft) $1065$ $181$ $152$ $514$ Turn Bay Length (ft) $200$ $1232$ $382$ $514$	Act Effct Green (s)	10.2	69.2	51.0	66.4	15.0	33.2		
v/c Ratio $0.89$ $0.24$ $0.37$ $0.24$ $0.92$ $0.27$ Control Delay $87.4$ $9.0$ $21.0$ $1.6$ $78.5$ $23.5$ Queue Delay $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ Total Delay $87.4$ $9.0$ $21.0$ $1.6$ $78.5$ $23.5$ LOSFACAECApproach Delay $35.9$ $12.5$ $58.2$ $58.2$ Approach LOSDBEQueue Length 50th (ft) $102$ $50$ $113$ $0$ $150$ Queue Length 95th (ft) $#186$ $189$ $#351$ $23$ $220$ $96$ Internal Link Dist (ft) $1065$ $181$ $152$ $1125$ Turn Bay Length (ft) $200$ $1225$ $514$	Actuated g/C Ratio	0.10	0.70	0.52	0.67	0.15	0.34		
Control Delay $87.4$ $9.0$ $21.0$ $1.6$ $78.5$ $23.5$ Queue Delay $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ Total Delay $87.4$ $9.0$ $21.0$ $1.6$ $78.5$ $23.5$ LOSFACAECApproach Delay $35.9$ $12.5$ $58.2$ Approach LOSDBEQueue Length 50th (ft) $102$ $50$ $113$ $0$ $150$ $62$ Queue Length 95th (ft) $#186$ $189$ $#351$ $23$ $220$ $96$ Internal Link Dist (ft) $1065$ $181$ $152$ $1125$ Turn Bay Length (ft) $200$ $125$ $514$	v/c Ratio	0.89	0.24	0.37	0.24	0.92	0.27		
Queue Delay0.00.00.00.00.00.0Total Delay $87.4$ $9.0$ $21.0$ $1.6$ $78.5$ $23.5$ LOSFACAECApproach Delay $35.9$ $12.5$ $58.2$ Approach LOSDBEQueue Length 50th (ft) $102$ $50$ $113$ $0$ $150$ $62$ Queue Length 95th (ft) $#186$ $189$ $#351$ $23$ $220$ $96$ Internal Link Dist (ft) $1065$ $181$ $152$ Turn Bay Length (ft) $200$ $125$ Base Canacity (wph) $219$ $1276$ $940$ $1232$ $382$ $514$	Control Delay	87.4	9.0	21.0	1.6	78.5	23.5		
Total Delay $87.4$ $9.0$ $21.0$ $1.6$ $78.5$ $23.5$ LOSFACAECApproach Delay $35.9$ $12.5$ $58.2$ Approach LOSDBEQueue Length 50th (ft) $102$ $50$ $113$ $0$ $150$ $62$ Queue Length 95th (ft)#186 $189$ #351 $23$ $220$ $96$ Internal Link Dist (ft) $1065$ $181$ $152$ Turn Bay Length (ft) $200$ $125$ Base Canacity (uph) $219$ $1276$ $940$ $1232$ $382$ $514$	Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
LOS       F       A       C       A       E       C         Approach Delay       35.9       12.5       58.2       58.2         Approach LOS       D       B       E       0         Queue Length 50th (ft)       102       50       113       0       150       62         Queue Length 95th (ft)       #186       189       #351       23       220       96         Internal Link Dist (ft)       1065       181       152       125         Turn Bay Length (ft)       200       125       514	Total Delay	87.4	9.0	21.0	1.6	78.5	23.5		
Approach Delay       35.9       12.5       58.2         Approach LOS       D       B       E         Queue Length 50th (ft)       102       50       113       0       150       62         Queue Length 95th (ft)       #186       189       #351       23       220       96         Internal Link Dist (ft)       1065       181       152         Turn Bay Length (ft)       200       125         Base Canacity (vph)       219       1276       940       1232       382       514	LOS	F	А	С	A	E	С		
Approach LOS       D       B       E         Queue Length 50th (ft)       102       50       113       0       150       62         Queue Length 95th (ft)       #186       189       #351       23       220       96         Internal Link Dist (ft)       1065       181       152         Turn Bay Length (ft)       200       125         Base Canacity (vph)       219       1276       940       1232       382       514	Approach Delav		35.9	12.5		58.2	-		
Queue Length 50th (ft)       102       50       113       0       150       62         Queue Length 95th (ft)       #186       189       #351       23       220       96         Internal Link Dist (ft)       1065       181       152         Turn Bay Length (ft)       200       125         Base Capacity (vph)       219       1276       940       1232       382       514	Approach LOS		D	. <u>_</u> .0		F			
Queue Length 95th (ft)       #186       189       #351       23       220       96         Internal Link Dist (ft)       1065       181       152         Turn Bay Length (ft)       200       125         Base Capacity (wph)       219       1276       940       1232       382       514	Oueue Length 50th (ff)	102	50	113	0	150	62		
Internal Link Dist (ft)         1065         181         152           Turn Bay Length (ft)         200         125           Base Canacity (vph)         219         1276         940         1232         382         514	Oueue Length 95th (ft)	#186	189	#351	23	220	96		
Turn Bay Length (ft)         200         125           Base Capacity (yph)         219         1276         940         1232         382         514	Internal Link Dist (ft)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1065	181	20	152			
Base Canacity (vnh) $210$ $1276$ $940$ $1232$ $382$ $514$	Turn Bay Length (ff)	200	1000	101	125	102			
	Base Capacity (vnh)	200	1276	940	1232	382	514		

AM Scenario 2021 Observed Traffic Conditions Synchro 11 Report

## Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: Plain St & John Mahar Hwy

	۶	-	+	*	1	∢		
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø3	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.74	0.24	0.37	0.22	0.63	0.27		
Intersection Summary								
Area Type:	Other							
Cycle Length: 99								
Actuated Cycle Length: 99								
Offset: 20 (20%), Reference	d to phase	2:EBT ar	nd 6:WBT	, Start of	Green			
Natural Cycle: 80								
Control Type: Actuated-Coo	rdinated							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.92								
Intersection Signal Delay: 37	1.8			Int	ersection	LOS: C		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	tion 53.7%			IC	U Level c	of Service	4	
Analysis Period (min) 15								
# 95th percentile volume e	exceeds cap	oacity, qu	eue may	be longer				
Queue shown is maximu	m after two	cycles.						
Splits and Phases: 2: Plai	n St & Johr	n Mahar I	łwy					

	L.	۶J	ه	$\mathbf{X}$	×	_ ★_
Movement	SBL	SBR	SEL	SET	NWT	NWR
Lane Configurations	M			្ឋ	1.	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	9	36	35	432	573	15
Future Volume (Veh/h)	9	36	35	432	573	15
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	0.93	0.80	0.80	0.93	0.93
Hourly flow rate (vph)	10	39	44	540	616	16
Pedestrians	4					
Lane Width (ft)	16.0					
Walking Speed (ft/s)	3.5					
Percent Blockage	1					
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type				None	None	
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)				261		
pX, platoon unblocked	0.93			201		
vC, conflicting volume	1256	628	636			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol	1200	020				
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	1239	628	636			
tC. single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1			
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2			
p0 queue free %	94	92	95			
cM capacity (veh/h)	173	479	952			
		0.5.4				
Direction, Lane #	SB 1	SE 1	NW 1			
Volume Total	49	584	632			
Volume Left	10	44	0			
Volume Right	39	0	16			
cSH	352	952	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.14	0.05	0.37			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	12	4	0			
Control Delay (s)	16.9	1.2	0.0			
Lane LOS	С	А				
Approach Delay (s)	16.9	1.2	0.0			
Approach LOS	С					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			1.2			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		61.6%	IC	CU Level (	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	$\mathbf{X}$	2	*	×	3	~
Movement	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NEL	NER
Lane Configurations	1.			្ឋ	¥	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	376	0	0	613	27	19
Future Volume (Veh/h)	376	0	0	613	27	19
Sian Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	0.93	0.95	0.95	0.89	0.89
Hourly flow rate (vph)	404	0.70	0.70	645	30	21
Pedestrians	101	Ū	Ŭ	010	5	- 1
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					35	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)					U	
Median type	None			None		
Median storage vob	NULLE			NULL		
Unstroam signal (ft)	115/					
nX platoon upblocked	1104					
VC conflicting volume			100		1054	100
vC, connicting volume			409		1034	409
vC1, stage 2 confive						
VCZ, Stage Z COTIL VOL			100		1051	400
vCu, unbiocked voi			409		1054	409
IC, Single (S)			4.1		0.4	0.2
IC, 2 stage (s)			0.0		2 5	2.2
tF (S)			2.2		3.5	3.3
pu queue free %			100		88	97
civi capacity (ven/n)			1155		251	633
Direction, Lane #	SE 1	NW 1	NE 1			
Volume Total	404	645	51			
Volume Left	0	0	30			
Volume Right	0	0	21			
cSH	1700	1155	334			
Volume to Capacity	0.24	0.00	0.15			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	13			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	17.7			
Lane LOS			С			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	17.7			
Approach LOS			С			
Intersection Summary						
			0.0			
Intersection Canacity Litili-	ration		0.0			of Sonvice
Analysis Doriod (min)			42.370	iC	O Level (	JI JEI VILE
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	$\mathbf{x}$	2	1	×	3	~
Movement	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NEL	NER
Lane Configurations	1,	-		ۍ ۲	¥.	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	396	3	3	608	6	5
Future Volume (Veh/h)	396	3	3	608	6	5
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.89	0.89	0.96	0.96	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	445	3	3	633	7	5
Pedestrians				1	4	
Lane Width (ft)				12.0	12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)				3.5	3.5	
Percent Blockage				0	0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			452		1090	452
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			452		1090	452
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.4
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.5
p0 queue free %			100		97	99
cM capacity (veh/h)			1115		239	569
Direction, Lane #	SE 1	NW 1	NE 1			
Volume Total	448	636	12			
Volume Left	0	3	7			
Volume Right	3	0	5			
cSH	1700	1115	315			
Volume to Capacity	0.26	0.00	0.04			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	3			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.1	16.9			
Lane LOS		А	С			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.1	16.9			
Approach LOS			С			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.2			
Intersection Capacity Util	ization		44.7%	IC	U Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	4	$\mathbf{x}$	×	ť	í,	*
Movement	SEL	SET	NWT	NWR	SWL	SWR
Lane Configurations		स्	₽.		Y	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	49	344	568	9	12	38
Future Volume (Veh/h)	49	344	568	9	12	38
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.87	0.87	0.97	0.97	0.89	0.89
Hourly flow rate (vph)	56	395	586	9	13	43
Pedestrians					1	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)			1027			
pX, platoon unblocked	0.87				0.87	0.87
vC, conflicting volume	596				1098	592
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	461				1038	456
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.5	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.6	3.3
p0 queue free %	94				94	92
cM capacity (veh/h)	947				204	524
Direction, Lane #	SE 1	NW 1	SW 1			
Volume Total	451	595	56			
Volume Left	56	0	13			
Volume Right	0	9	43			
cSH	947	1700	384			
Volume to Capacity	0.06	0.35	0.15			
Oueue Length 95th (ft)	5	0	13			
Control Delay (s)	1.7	0.0	16.0			
Lane LOS	A		С			
Approach Delay (s)	1.7	0.0	16.0			
Approach LOS			С			
Intersection Summary						
			15			
Intersection Conscitu Litilization	on		1.J			of Sorulas
Analysis Period (min)			15	IC.	O Level (	I SELVICE

## Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 8: Hemlock St/Plaza M.Driveway & Grove St

	-	$\mathbf{X}$	2	F	▼	۲.	3	×	~	í,	¥	*~
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			ર્સ	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	50	340	2	5	445	52	5	1	2	44	3	78
Future Volume (Veh/h)	50	340	2	5	445	52	5	1	2	44	3	78
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.87	0.87	0.87
Hourly flow rate (vph)	53	362	2	6	511	60	10	2	4	51	3	90
Pedestrians					1			4				
Lane Width (ft)					12.0			12.0				
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5			3.5				
Percent Blockage					0			0				
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)					799							
pX, platoon unblocked	0.84						0.84	0.84		0.84	0.84	0.84
vC, conflicting volume	571			368			1118	1056	368	1028	1027	541
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	390			368			1043	969	368	936	935	354
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.1			7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	95			99			93	99	99	73	99	85
cM capacity (veh/h)	987			1197			139	200	679	191	210	581
Direction, Lane #	SE 1	NW 1	NE 1	SW 1	SW 2							
Volume Total	417	577	16	54	90							
Volume Left	53	6	10	51	0							
Volume Right	2	60	4	0	90							
cSH	987	1197	182	192	581							
Volume to Capacity	0.05	0.01	0.09	0.28	0.15							
Queue Length 95th (ft)	4	0	7	28	14							
Control Delay (s)	1.7	0.1	26.7	30.9	12.3							
Lane LOS	А	А	D	D	В							
Approach Delay (s)	1.7	0.1	26.7	19.3								
Approach LOS			D	С								
Intersection Summarv												
Average Delay			3.4									
Intersection Canacity Utilizat	tion		62 7%	10		of Service			R			
Analysis Period (min)			15						5			

	<b>A</b>	$\mathbf{X}$	×	₹.	L.	*
Movement	SEL	SET	NWT	NWR	SWL	SWR
Lane Configurations		Ata	ĥ		5	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	17	329	544	69	31	25
Future Volume (Veh/h)	17	329	544	69	31	25
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.87	0.87	0.97	0.97	0.88	0.88
Hourly flow rate (vph)	20	378	561	71	35	28
Pedestrians			1			
Lane Width (ft)			12.0			
Walking Speed (ft/s)			3.5			
Percent Blockage			0			
Right turn flare (veh)			-			
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)			1.0110			
Upstream signal (ft)			437			
pX, platoon unblocked	0.82		107		0.82	0.82
vC, conflicting volume	632				826	596
vC1, stage 1 conf vol	001				020	070
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	443				680	400
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.9	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %	98				89	94
cM capacity (veh/h)	926				307	497
Direction. Lane #	SF 1	SF 2	NW 1	SW 1	SW 2	
Volume Total	146	252	632	35	28	
Volume Left	20	0	0	35	0	
Volume Right	0	0	71	0	28	
cSH	926	1700	1700	307	497	
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.15	0.37	0.11	0.06	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	2	0.10	0.07	10	4	
Control Delay (s)	14	0.0	0.0	18.2	12 7	
LaneLOS	Α	0.0	0.0	C	B	
Approach Delay (s)	0.5		0.0	15.8		
Approach LOS	010		010	С		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			11			
Intersection Canacity Litili	zation		42.8%	10	evel (	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
#### Intersection Capacity Analysis 10: Liberty St & Grove St

1	21	12.	1/	12	n	2	1
I	21	J	1/	2	υ	2	

	۶	-	$\mathbf{r}$	4	+	×	1	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		ፈቤ			416			416			đ î.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	14	229	110	143	400	96	186	330	190	111	238	37
Future Volume (vph)	14	229	110	143	400	96	186	330	190	111	238	37
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	0		0	125		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Taper Length (ft)	25		-	25		-	25		-	25		-
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3283	0	0	3336	0	0	3338	0	0	3388	0
Flt Permitted	Ū	0.912		Ū	0.689	Ū	Ū	0.712	0		0.532	U
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	3000	0	0	2324	0	0	2408	0	0	1828	0
Right Turn on Red	Ū	0000	Yes	Ū	2021	Yes	Ū	2100	Yes		.020	Yes
Satd Flow (RTOR)		49	100		15	100		32	100		8	105
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ff)		437			335			367			287	
Travel Time (s)		9.9			7.6			83			65	
Confl Peds (#/hr)	1	7.7	2	2	7.0	1		0.0			0.0	
Peak Hour Factor	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.85	0.85	0.85
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0.74	4%	4%	4%	5%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	8%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	070	770	770	770	070	270	270	370	270	370	370	070
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	376	0	0	694	0	0	793	0	0	455	0
	Perm	NΔ	0	nm+nt	NΔ	0	Perm	NΔ	0	nm∔nt	NΔ	U
Protected Phases	T CITI	1		2	1.2		T CITI	0		10	9.10	
Permitted Phases	1	1		1 2	12		Q	,		9 10	710	
Detector Phase	1	1		2	12		9	9		10	9 10	
Switch Phase	•			2	12		,	,		10	710	
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0		6.0			10.0	10.0		60		
Minimum Split (s)	15.0	15.0		11.0			15.0	15.0		15.0		
Total Split (s)	60.0	60.0		15.0			50.0	50.0		15.0		
Total Split (%)	37.3%	37.3%		9.3%			31.1%	30.0		9.3%		
Vellow Time (s)	10	10		1.0			10	10		1.0		
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	4.0 1.0		1.0			1.0	4.0 1.0		4.0 1 0		
Lost Time Adjust (s)	1.0	0.0		1.0			1.0	0.0		1.0		
Total Lost Time (s)		5.0						5.0				
	Lan	l an		Lead			l an	l an		Lead		
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Ves	Ves		Ves			Ves	Ves		Ves		
	Nono	None		None			None	Nono		None		
Act Effet Green (s)	NOTIC	21.5		NONC	/17		NULL	16.1		NOTIC	56.3	
Actuated a/C Ratio		0.26			0.3/			0.1			0.46	
v/c Ratio		0.20			0.34			0.50			0.40	
Control Delay		3/1 0			38.0			<i>4</i> 5 1			22.3	
		0.0			0.0			0.0			20.0	
Total Delay		3/1.0			38.0			/5 1			23.3	
		04.0 C			50.0 D						23.5	
Approach Delay		34.0			38.0			15 1			22.3	
Approach LOS		54.0 C			- 30.0 N			40.1 D			23.5	
Oueue Length 50th (ft)		106			200			265			02	
Queue Length 95th (ft)		100			200			#624			7Z 212	
Internal Link Dist (ff)		257			255			#0∠4 297			213	
Turn Bay Length (ft)					200			207			207	

AM Scenario 2021 Observed Traffic Conditions

Lane Group	Ø3
Lane Configurations	
Traffic Volume (vph)	
Future Volume (vph)	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	
Storage Length (ft)	
Storage Lanes	
Taper Length (ft)	
Satd. Flow (prot)	
Flt Permitted	
Satd. Flow (perm)	
Right Turn on Red	
Satd. Flow (RTOR)	
Link Speed (mph)	
Link Distance (ft)	
Travel Time (s)	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	
Peak Hour Factor	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	
Turn Type	
Protected Phases	3
Permitted Phases	
Detector Phase	
Switch Phase	
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	21.0
Total Split (s)	21.0
Total Split (%)	13%
Yellow Time (s)	2.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	
Total Lost Time (s)	
Lead/Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	
Recall Mode	None
Act Effct Green (s)	
Actuated g/C Ratio	
v/c Ratio	
Control Delay	
Queue Delay	
Total Delay	
LOS	
Approach Delay	
Approach LOS	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	
Internal Link Dist (ft)	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	

#### Intersection Capacity Analysis 10: Liberty St & Grove St

TO. LIDCITY OF & O											12/0	112021
	۶	-	$\mathbf{F}$	•	-	•	•	1	1	*	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Base Capacity (vph)		1415			1364			932			982	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.27			0.51			0.85			0.46	
Intersection Summary	Intersection Summary											
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 161												
Actuated Cycle Length: 12	21.7											
Natural Cycle: 120												
Control Type: Actuated-Ur	ncoordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85												
Intersection Signal Delay:	36.9			In	itersection	n LOS: D						
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation 76.9%			IC	CU Level	of Service	D					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
# 95th percentile volume	e exceeds ca	bacity, qu	eue may	be longe	r.							
Queue shown is maxim	num after two	cycles.										

Splits and Phases: 10: Liberty St & Grove St

<b>★</b> Ø2		₩ø <sub>Ø3</sub>	↓ Ø10	<b>₽</b> Ø9
15 s	60 s	21 s	15 s	50 s

#### 12/31/2021

	٦	$\mathbf{i}$	1	<b>†</b>	ŧ	-
Movement	FBI	FBR	NBI	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥	2011		4	1	02.1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	32	26	17	426	356	43
Future Volume (Veh/h)	32	26	17	426	356	43
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.91	0.91	0.98	0.98	0.85	0.85
Hourly flow rate (vph)	35	29	17	435	419	51
Pedestrians	2					
Lane Width (ft)	12.0					
Walking Speed (ft/s)	3.5					
Percent Blockage	0					
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type				None	None	
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)				287		
pX, platoon unblocked	0.82					
vC, conflicting volume	916	446	472			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	785	446	472			
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.2			
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.3			
p0 queue free %	88	95	98			
cM capacity (veh/h)	292	607	1067			
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	64	452	470			
Volume Left	35	17	0			
Volume Right	29	0	51			
cSH	382	1067	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.17	0.02	0.28			
Oueue Length 95th (ft)	15	1	0			
Control Delay (s)	16.3	0.5	0.0			
Lane LOS	С	A	010			
Approach Delay (s)	16.3	0.5	0.0			
Approach LOS	С					
Intersection Summarv						
Average Delav			1.3			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		46.2%	10	CU Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)	-		15			

	-	$\mathbf{r}$	1	-	1	1
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	f,			र्स	¥	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	541	2	0	640	2	2
Future Volume (Veh/h)	541	2	0	640	2	2
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.88	0.88	0.50	0.50
Hourly flow rate (vph)	564	2	0	727	4	4
Pedestrians	2				2	
Lane Width (ft)	12.0				12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)	3.5				3.5	
Percent Blockage	0				0	
Right turn flare (veh)	<u> </u>					
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)				110110		
Upstream signal (ft)						
nX platoon unblocked						
vC conflicting volume			568		1296	567
vC1_stage 1 conf vol			500		1270	307
vC2_stage 2 conf vol						
			568		1296	567
tC single (s)			<u> </u>		6.4	62
tC, 2 stage (s)			T. I		0.7	0.2
tF (s)			2.2		35	2 2
n0 queue free %			100		98	90
cM canacity (veh/h)			1012		180	526
					100	520
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1			
Volume Total	566	727	8			
Volume Left	0	0	4			
Volume Right	2	0	4			
cSH	1700	1012	268			
Volume to Capacity	0.33	0.00	0.03			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	2			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	18.8			
Lane LOS			С			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	18.8			
Approach LOS			С			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.1			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		43.7%	IC	U Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15	10	2 201010	

	-	$\mathbf{r}$	1	+	1	1
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	1,			ដ	¥.	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	542	3	11	637	2	19
Future Volume (Veh/h)	542	3	11	637	2	19
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.97	0.97	0.89	0.89	0.88	0.88
Hourly flow rate (vph)	559	3	12	716	2	22
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)				968		
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			562		1300	560
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			562		1300	560
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %			99		99	96
cM capacity (veh/h)			1019		177	522
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1			
Volume Total	562	728	24			
Volume Left	0	12	2			
Volume Right	3	0	22			
cSH	1700	1019	449			
Volume to Capacity	0.33	0.01	0.05			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	1	4			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.3	13.5			
Lane LOS		A	В			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.3	13.5			
Approach LOS			В			
Intersection Summarv						
Average Delay			0.4			
Intersection Canacity Utili	zation		52.3%	IC	Ulevelo	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15	10	2 201010	

#### Intersection Capacity Analysis 14: Columbian St & Grove St

	4	•	<b>†</b>	1	1	Ŧ
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	*	1	**	1		<b>4</b> ۵,
Traffic Volume (vph)	202	264	381	240	274	291
Future Volume (vph)	202	264	381	240	274	291
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0	50	1700	250	150	1700
Storage Lanes	1	1		200	1.00	
Tapor Longth (ft)	י 25	1			25	
Satd Flow (prot)	1770	1520	3202	1552	25	2207
Elt Dormittod	0.050	1000	2000	1000	0	0.625
Fit Permitteu	0.900	1520	2505	1550	0	0.030
Dight Turn on Dod	1770	1000	2000	1005	0	2204
		Yes		res		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		201		282		
Link Speed (mph)	30		30			30
Link Distance (ft)	637		577			356
Travel Time (s)	14.5		13.1			8.1
Peak Hour Factor	0.88	0.88	0.85	0.85	0.98	0.98
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	5%	3%	4%	3%	5%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	230	300	448	282	0	577
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+nt	NA
Protected Phases	4	1 3111	6	1 0111	5	2
Permitted Phases		Λ	0	6	2	2
Dotoctor Dhaso	1	4	6	6	5	2
Switch Dhase	4	4	0	0	5	Z
Minimum Initial (c)	0.0	0.0	12.0	12.0	0.0	12.0
Minimum Initial (S)	8.0	8.0	12.0	12.0	8.0	12.0
Minimum Split (s)	13.0	13.0	17.0	17.0	13.0	17.0
Total Split (s)	25.0	25.0	45.0	45.0	20.0	65.0
Total Split (%)	27.8%	27.8%	50.0%	50.0%	22.2%	72.2%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	1.5	0.0	-1.5	0.0		-1.5
Total Lost Time (s)	6.5	5.0	3.5	5.0		3.5
Lead/Lag			Lead	Lead	Laq	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max
Act Effet Green (s)	1/1 7	16.2	65.3	63.8	None	65.3
Actuated a/C Datio	0.14	0.10	0.72	03.0		0.72
Actualed y/C Ratio	0.10	0.18	0.73	0.71		0.73
	0.80	0.08	0.18	0.24		0.30
Control Delay	55.6	19.8	3.5	1.8		5.8
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
Total Delay	55.6	19.8	3.5	1.8		5.8
LOS	E	В	А	А		А
Approach Delay	35.3		2.8			5.8
Approach LOS	D		А			А
Queue Length 50th (ft)	126	49	36	0		55
Queue Lenath 95th (ft)	192	124	58	56		92
Internal Link Dist (ft)	557	121	497			276
Turn Bay Length (ft)	007	50	177	250		210
Rase Canacity (uph)	262	/09	25/1	1100		1500
Dase Capacity (VPII)	202	470	Z041	1102		1040

AM Scenario 2021 Observed Traffic Conditions

#### Intersection Capacity Analysis 14: Columbian St & Grove St

#### 

	•		-	•		•	
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.63	0.60	0.18	0.24		0.36	
Intersection Summary							

#### Intersection Summary

Area Type:	Other							
Cycle Length: 90								
Actuated Cycle Length: 90								
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:SBTL and 6:NBT, Start of Green, Master Intersection								
Natural Cycle: 45								
Control Type: Actuated-Co	Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.80								
Intersection Signal Delay:	13.1	Intersection LOS: B						
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation 49.8%	ICU Level of Service A						
Analysis Period (min) 15								

Splits and Phases: 14: Columbian St & Grove St

Ø2 (R)		₹ <u>Ø</u> 4
65 s		25 s
Ø6 (R)	Ø5	
45 s	20 s	

#### Intersection Capacity Analysis 15: Columbian St & #60 Columbian Driveway

	≯	$\mathbf{r}$	1	1	Ŧ	-
Lane Group	FBI	FBR	NBI	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	100	1	NDC	<u>_</u>	<b>A</b> 12	ODR
Traffic Volume (vnh)	Δ	9	17	<b>*1 1</b> 617	457	38
Future Volume (vph)		9	17	617	457	30
Ideal Flow (vnhnl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Satd Flow (vpripi)	1700	1615	1700	3504	3/20	0
Elt Dormittod	0.050	1015	0	0.034	J4Z 7	0
Satd Flow (norm)	1///	1615	0	2076	3420	0
Dight Turn on Pod	1444	Voc	0	3270	3429	Voc
Sata Flow (DTOD)		17			10	162
Jalu. FIUW (RTUR)	20	17		20	12	
Link Speeu (IIIpil)	30			30	3U 57	
LINK DISTANCE (IT)	212			367	5//	
Travel Time (S)	6.2			8.3	13.1	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			2	0.00		2
Peak Hour Factor	0.54	0.54	0.82	0.82	0.89	0.89
Heavy Vehicles (%)	25%	0%	0%	3%	4%	3%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	7	17	0	773	556	0
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	pm+pt	NA	NA	
Protected Phases	8		1	6	2	
Permitted Phases		8	6			
Detector Phase	8	8	1	6	2	
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	12.0	12.0	
Minimum Split (s)	13.0	13.0	13.0	17.0	17.0	
Total Split (s)	25.0	25.0	20.0	65.0	45.0	
Total Split (%)	27.8%	27.8%	22.2%	72.2%	50.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
	5.0	0.0	ne l	5.0	0.0	
Load Lag Optimizo?			Lay		Voc	
	None	None	None	C May	C May	
Act Effet Croop (c)	NOTE		NOTE	C-IVIAX	U-IVIAX	
Actuated a/C Datia	0.0	0.0		02.0	02.0 0.00	
Actualeu y/C Kallo	0.09	0.09		0.92	0.92	
V/C Rallo	0.05	0.11		0.26	0.18	
Control Delay	38.8	19.0		1.4	0.7	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
I otal Delay	38.8	19.0		1.4	0.7	
LOS	D	В		A	A	
Approach Delay	24.8			1.4	0.7	
Approach LOS	С			A	А	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	4	0		0	0	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	10	8		52	20	
Internal Link Dist (ft)	192			287	497	
Turn Bay Length (ft)						
Base Capacity (vph)	320	372		3014	3155	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	

AM Scenario 2021 Observed Traffic Conditions

#### Intersection Capacity Analysis 15: Columbian St & Driveway #60 Columbian

	۶	$\mathbf{\hat{v}}$	1	1	Ŧ	∢		
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR		
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0			
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.02	0.05		0.26	0.18			
Intersection Summary								
Area Type:	Other							
Cycle Length: 90								
Actuated Cycle Length: 90								
Offset: 77 (86%), Reference	ed to phase	2:SBT ar	d 6:NBTI	_, Start of	Green			
Natural Cycle: 45								
Control Type: Actuated-Coo	rdinated							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.26								
Intersection Signal Delay: 1.	.5			Int	tersection	LOS: A		
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion 44.3%			IC	U Level c	f Service A		
Analysis Period (min) 15								



#### Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Hancock St & Washington St/Plain St

12/31/2021

	۶	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	←	*	1	Ť	1	1	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		ર્સ	1	5	ĥ		ሻ	•	1	ሻ	<b>≜</b> 16	
Traffic Volume (vph)	5	389	530	224	301	50	243	328	163	76	426	5
Future Volume (vph)	5	389	530	224	301	50	243	328	163	76	426	5
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	0		75	0		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	0		1	1		1	1		1	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1880	1599	1787	1818	0	1770	1881	1615	1805	3532	0
Flt Permitted		0.995		0.225			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1872	1599	423	1818	0	1760	1881	1615	1805	3532	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			552		8				175		1	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		152			279			332			259	
Travel Time (s)		3.5			6.3			7.5			5.9	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	1					1	3					3
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.87	0.87	0.87
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	410	552	243	381	0	261	353	175	87	496	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA	pm+ov	pm+pt	NA		Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	
Protected Phases		9	5	10	9 10		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	9		9	9 10					2			
Detector Phase	9	9	5	10	9 10		5	2	2	1	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0			5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	10.0	11.0	9.0			11.0	10.0	10.0	11.0	10.0	
Total Split (s)	39.0	39.0	20.0	12.0			20.0	19.0	19.0	20.0	19.0	
Total Split (%)	32.5%	32.5%	16.7%	10.0%			16.7%	15.8%	15.8%	16.7%	15.8%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0			3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0			2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		1.5	1.5	2.5			-1.0	-2.0	2.5	0.0	-1.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		6.5	6.5	6.5			4.0	3.0	7.5	5.0	4.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead	Lead	Lag			Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None			None	Min	Min	None	Min	
Act Effct Green (s)		24.1	38.0	29.8	38.0		16.5	25.5	20.9	8.9	15.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.27	0.43	0.34	0.43		0.19	0.29	0.24	0.10	0.18	
v/c Ratio		0.80	0.55	1.05	0.48		0.79	0.65	0.34	0.48	0.80	
Control Delay		43.3	3.4	105.9	21.3		54.7	39.2	9.0	49.3	47.5	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay		43.3	3.4	105.9	21.3		54.7	39.2	9.0	49.3	47.5	
LOS		D	A	F	С		D	D	A	D	D	
Approach Delay		20.4			54.2			37.6			47.8	
Approach LOS		С	_		D			D	_		D	
Queue Length 50th (ft)		188	0	77	124		127	161	0	43	127	
Queue Length 95th (ft)		#451	51	#303	321		#400	#548	68	112	#328	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		72			199			252			179	
Turn Bay Length (ft)												

PM Scenario 2021 Observed Traffic Conditions

Lane Group	Ø3			
Lane Configurations				
Traffic Volume (vph)				
Future Volume (vph)				
Ideal Flow (vphpl)				
Storage Length (ft)				
Storage Lanes				
Taper Length (ft)				
Satd. Flow (prot)				
Flt Permitted				
Satd. Flow (perm)				
Right Turn on Red				
Satd. Flow (RTOR)				
Link Speed (mph)				
Link Distance (ft)				
Travel Time (s)				
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)				
Peak Hour Factor				
Heavy Vehicles (%)				
Shared Lane Traffic (%)				
Lane Group Flow (vph)				
Turn Type				
Protected Phases	3			
Permitted Phases				
Detector Phase				
Switch Phase				
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0			
Minimum Split (s)	30.0			
Total Split (s)	30.0			
Total Split (%)	25%			
Yellow Time (s)	2.0			
All-Red Time (s)	1.0			
Lost Time Adjust (s)				
Total Lost Time (s)				
Lead/Lag				
Lead-Lag Optimize?				
Recall Mode	None			
Act Effct Green (s)				
Actuated g/C Ratio				
v/c Ratio				
Control Delay				
Queue Delay				
Total Delay				
LOS				
Approach Delay				
Approach LOS				
Queue Length 50th (ft)				
Queue Length 95th (ft)				
Internal Link Dist (ft)				
Turn Bay Length (ft)				

# Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Hancock St & Washington St/Plain St

12/31/2021

	٦	<b>→</b>	$\mathbf{r}$	4	+	•	1	1	1	1	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Base Capacity (vph)		714	1005	231	812		332	547	518	317	622	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.57	0.55	1.05	0.47		0.79	0.65	0.34	0.27	0.80	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 120												
Actuated Cycle Length: 87.	7											
Natural Cycle: 120												
Control Type: Actuated-Une	coordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.05												
Intersection Signal Delay: 3	57.5			In	tersectior	n LOS: D						
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation 81.3%			IC	CU Level o	of Service	D					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
# 95th percentile volume	exceeds ca	oacity, qu	ieue may	be longe	r.							
Queue shown is maximi	um after two	cycles.										

Splits and Phases: 1: Hancock St & Washington St/Plain St

Ø1	Ø2	₩ <b>1</b> <sub>Ø3</sub>		<b>₩</b> Ø10
20 s	19 s	30 s	39 s	12 s
<b>\$</b> Ø5	<b>↓</b> Ø6			
20 s	19 s			

#### Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: Plain St & John Mahar Hwy

12/31/2021	1	21	3	1/	2	0	2	1
------------	---	----	---	----	---	---	---	---

	٦	<b>→</b>	+	•	1	~		
Lane Groun	FRI	FRT	WBT	WBR	SBI	SBR	Ø3	
Lane Configurations	<u> </u>			1		<b>3</b>	00	
Traffic Volume (vnh)	170	117	3/17	281	3/13	222		
Future Volume (vph)	170	447	2/7	201	2/13	222		
Ideal Flow (vnhnl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Storage Length (ft)	200	1700	1700	125	0	0		
Storage Lanes	1			120	1	1		
Taper Length (ft)	25				25	•		
Satd Flow (prot)	1736	1845	1810	1568	1770	1568		
Flt Permitted	0.950	1010	1010	1000	0.950	1000		
Satd Flow (perm)	1736	1845	1810	1568	1770	1568		
Right Turn on Red	1700	1010	1010	Yes	1770	No		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)				283		110		
Link Speed (mph)		30	30	200	30			
Link Distance (ft)		1145	261		232			
Travel Time (s)		26.0	5.9		5.3			
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)		20.0	0.7		0.0	1		
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.84	0.84	0.99	0.99		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	3%	5%	3%	2%	3%		
Shared Lane Traffic (%)		0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	185	486	413	335	346	224		
Turn Type	Prot	NA	NA	pt+ov	Prot	pt+ov		
Protected Phases	5	2	6	6 4	4	4 5	3	
Permitted Phases								
Detector Phase	5	2	6	64	4	45		
Switch Phase								
Minimum Initial (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0		5.0		7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	12.0	12.0	12.0		10.0		24.0	
Total Split (s)	22.0	51.0	29.0		45.0		24.0	
Total Split (%)	18.3%	42.5%	24.2%		37.5%		20%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0		2.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0		1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	3.0	-3.0	-3.0		3.0			
Total Lost Time (s)	8.0	2.0	2.0		8.0			
Lead/Lag	Lead		Lag		Lag		Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes		Yes					
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max		Min		None	
Act Effct Green (s)	15.1	79.8	56.7	82.5	25.4	48.5		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.66	0.47	0.69	0.21	0.40		
v/c Ratio	0.85	0.40	0.48	0.29	0.93	0.35		
Control Delay	82.7	13.8	28.6	2.2	76.4	25.0		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	82.7	13.8	28.6	2.2	76.4	25.0		
LOS	F	В	С	А	E	С		
Approach Delay		32.8	16.8		56.2			
Approach LOS		С	В		E			
Queue Length 50th (ft)	140	134	199	10	265	117		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#253	402	#479	28	347	156		
Internal Link Dist (ft)		1065	181		152			
Turn Bay Length (ft)	200			125				

PM Scenario 2021 Observed Traffic Conditions

### Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: Plain St & John Mahar Hwy

# メ チ チ キ ト イ

Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø3
Base Capacity (vph)	229	1227	855	1290	545	628	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.81	0.40	0.48	0.26	0.63	0.36	
Intersection Summary							
Area Type:	Other						
Cycle Length: 120							
Actuated Cycle Length: 120	C						
Offset: 20 (17%), Reference	ed to phase	2:EBT ar	nd 6:WBT	, Start of	Green		
Natural Cycle: 90							
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93							
Intersection Signal Delay: 3	33.5			Int	tersection	LOS: C	
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation 63.4%			IC	U Level o	of Service	В
Analysis Period (min) 15							
# 95th percentile volume	exceeds cap	pacity, qu	eue may	be longer	•		
Queue shown is maximi	um after two	cycles.					

Splits and Phases: 2: Plain St & John Mahar Hwy

→ø2 (R)		A Aga	<b>√</b> <sub>Ø4</sub>
51 s		24 s	45 s
<b>₽</b> Ø5	Ø6 (R) Ø6 (		
22 s	29 s		

	L.	۶J	ه	$\mathbf{X}$	×	_ ★_
Movement	SBL	SBR	SEL	SET	NWT	NWR
Lane Configurations	M	0.011	011	<u>្</u> រ	1.	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	5	29	27	828	574	10
Future Volume (Veh/h)	5	29	27	828	574	10
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.93	0.93	0.91	0.91
Hourly flow rate (vph)	6	34	29	890	631	11
Pedestrians	1					
Lane Width (ft)	16.0					
Walking Speed (ft/s)	3.5					
Percent Blockage	0					
Right turn flare (veh)	-					
Median type				None	None	
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)				261		
pX, platoon unblocked	0.87					
vC, conflicting volume	1586	638	643			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol	1000		0.10			
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	1598	638	643			
tC. single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1			
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2			
p0 queue free %	94	93	97			
cM capacity (veh/h)	100	475	950			
	00.4	05.4				
Direction, Lane #	SB 1	SE 1	NW 1			
Volume Total	40	919	642			
Volume Left	6	29	0			
Volume Right	34	0	11			
cSH	303	950	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.13	0.03	0.38			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	11	2	0			
Control Delay (s)	18.7	0.8	0.0			
Lane LOS	С	A				
Approach Delay (s)	18.7	0.8	0.0			
Approach LOS	С					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.9			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		75.4%	IC	CU Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	$\mathbf{x}$	2	1	×	3	~	
Movement	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NEL	NER	
Lane Configurations	ţ,			થ	¥		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	787	7	6	568	2	4	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	787	7	6	568	2	4	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.50	0.50	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	855	8	6	604	4	8	
Pedestrians					3		
Lane Width (ft)					12.0		
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5		
Percent Blockage					0		
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type	None			None			
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume			866		1478	862	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol			866		1478	862	
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %			99		97	98	
cM capacity (veh/h)			784		139	357	
Direction, Lane #	SE 1	NW 1	NE 1				
Volume Total	863	610	12				Ī
Volume Left	0	6	4				
Volume Right	8	0	8				
cSH	1700	784	234				
Volume to Capacity	0.51	0.01	0.05				
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	1	4				
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.2	21.2				
Lane LOS		А	С				
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.2	21.2				
Approach LOS			С				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delav			0.3				
Intersection Capacity Util	ization		51.8%	IC	U Level o	of Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15	10			

	*	$\mathbf{x}$	×	ť	í,	*
Movement	SEL	SET	NWT	NWR	SWL	SWR
Lane Configurations		स्	f,		Y	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	61	741	534	9	15	35
Future Volume (Veh/h)	61	741	534	9	15	35
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Hourly flow rate (vph)	66	805	556	9	16	36
Pedestrians					1	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)			1027			
pX, platoon unblocked	0.87				0.87	0.87
vC, conflicting volume	566				1498	562
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	432				1498	427
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.4	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %	93				86	93
cM capacity (veh/h)	995				111	552
Direction, Lane #	SE 1	NW 1	SW 1			
Volume Total	871	565	52			
Volume Left	66	0	16			
Volume Right	0	9	36			
cSH	995	1700	249			
Volume to Capacity	0.07	0.33	0.21			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	5	0	19			
Control Delay (s)	1.7	0.0	23.3			
Lane LOS	А		С			
Approach Delay (s)	1.7	0.0	23.3			
Approach LOS			С			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			1.8			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		84.4%	IC	U Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

#### Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 8: Hemlock St/Plaza M.Driveway & Grove St

	4	$\mathbf{X}$	2	F	▼	۲.	3	×	~	í,	*	*~
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			र्स	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	70	661	17	1	486	45	6	4	4	46	3	76
Future Volume (Veh/h)	70	661	17	1	486	45	6	4	4	46	3	76
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.89	0.89	0.89
Hourly flow rate (vph)	74	703	18	1	512	47	7	5	5	52	3	85
Pedestrians					2			2			2	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0			12.0			12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5			3.5			3.5	
Percent Blockage					0			0			0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)					799							
pX, platoon unblocked	0.84						0.84	0.84		0.84	0.84	0.84
vC, conflicting volume	561			723			1486	1425	716	1409	1410	538
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	383			723			1483	1411	716	1392	1394	355
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.1			7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	93			100			90	95	99	42	97	85
cM capacity (veh/h)	996			887			69	108	432	90	111	580
Direction, Lane #	SE 1	NW 1	NE 1	SW 1	SW 2							
Volume Total	795	560	17	55	85							
Volume Left	74	1	7	52	0							
Volume Right	18	47	5	0	85							
cSH	996	887	106	91	580							
Volume to Capacity	0.07	0.00	0.16	0.61	0.15							
Oueue Length 95th (ft)	6	0	14	71	13							
Control Delay (s)	1.9	0.0	45.2	93.1	12.3							
Lane LOS	A	A	F	F	B							
Approach Delay (s)	1.9	0.0	45.2	44.0	2							
Approach LOS	,	010	E	E								
Intersection Summary												
			5.6									
Intersection Capacity Litilizati	ion		91.6%	10		of Sorvico			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15	IC					L			

	4	$\mathbf{x}$	×	ť	í,	►
Movement	SEL	SET	NWT	NWR	SWL	SWR
Lane Configurations		-۠	ţ,		5	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	20	706	491	102	58	26
Future Volume (Veh/h)	20	706	491	102	58	26
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.95	0.95	0.81	0.81
Hourly flow rate (vph)	21	735	517	107	72	32
Pedestrians					1	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)			437			
pX, platoon unblocked	0.82				0.82	0.82
vC, conflicting volume	625				981	572
vC1. stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	434				868	369
tC. single (s)	4.1				6.8	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)					0.0	517
tF (s)	22				3.5	3.3
n0 queue free %	98				70	94
cM capacity (veh/h)	932				237	520
	752	05.0		01114	201	520
Direction, Lane #	SE 1	SE 2	INW 1	SW 1	SW 2	
Volume Lotal	266	490	624	/2	32	
Volume Left	21	0	0	72	0	
Volume Right	0	0	107	0	32	
cSH	932	1700	1700	237	520	
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.29	0.37	0.30	0.06	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	2	0	0	31	5	
Control Delay (s)	0.9	0.0	0.0	26.7	12.4	
Lane LOS	А			D	В	
Approach Delay (s)	0.3		0.0	22.3		
Approach LOS				С		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			1.7			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on		43.9%	IC	Ulevelo	of Service
Analysis Period (min)	~		15.775			

#### Intersection Capacity Analysis 10: Liberty St & Grove St

1	21	3	1/	12	n	2	1
	~	U	• /	~	v	~	

	۶	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	1	+	*	1	Ť	1	1	ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		eî îr			ર્ની કે			सीके			et îr	
Traffic Volume (vph)	31	556	184	204	442	97	140	209	191	190	407	24
Future Volume (vph)	31	556	184	204	442	97	140	209	191	190	407	24
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	0		0	125		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3416	0	0	3401	0	0	3349	0	0	3482	0
Flt Permitted		0.878			0.527			0.649			0.560	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	3005	0	0	1818	0	0	2201	0	0	1979	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		28			12			63			3	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		437			335			367			287	
Travel Time (s)		9.9			7.6			8.3			6.5	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)							4					4
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.95	0.95	0.95
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	2%	1%	5%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	4%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	812	0	0	817	0	0	600	0	0	653	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases		1		2	12			9		10	9 10	
Permitted Phases	1			12			9			9 10		
Detector Phase	1	1		2	12		9	9		10	9 10	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0		6.0			10.0	10.0		6.0		
Minimum Split (s)	15.0	15.0		11.0			15.0	15.0		15.0		
Total Split (s)	60.0	60.0		15.0			50.0	50.0		15.0		
Total Split (%)	37.3%	37.3%		9.3%			31.1%	31.1%		9.3%		
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0		4.0			4.0	4.0		4.0		
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0			1.0	1.0		1.0		
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0						0.0				
Total Lost Time (s)		5.0						5.0				
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag		Lead			Lag	Lag		Lead		
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes			Yes	Yes		Yes		
Recall Mode	None	None		None			None	None		None		
Act Effct Green (s)		55.2			65.2			43.9			53. <b>9</b>	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.39			0.46			0.31			0.38	
v/c Ratio		0.69			0.86			0.83			0.77	
Control Delay		40.2			41.2			52.8			41.9	
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Delay		40.2			41.2			52.8			41.9	
LOS		D			D			D			D	
Approach Delay		40.2			41.2			52.8			41.9	
Approach LOS		D			D			D			D	
Queue Length 50th (ft)		309			247			238			216	
Queue Length 95th (ft)		477			#472			#412			340	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		357			255			287			207	
Turn Bay Length (ft)												

PM Scenario 2021 Observed Traffic Conditions

Lane Group	Ø3
Lane Configurations	
Traffic Volume (vph)	
Future Volume (vph)	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	
Storage Length (ft)	
Storage Lanes	
Taper Length (ft)	
Satd. Flow (prot)	
Flt Permitted	
Satd. Flow (perm)	
Right Turn on Red	
Satd. Flow (RTOR)	
Link Speed (mph)	
Link Distance (ft)	
Travel Time (s)	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	
Peak Hour Factor	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	
Turn Type	
Protected Phases	3
Permitted Phases	
Detector Phase	
Switch Phase	
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	21.0
Total Split (s)	21.0
Total Split (%)	13%
Yellow Time (s)	2.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	
Total Lost Time (s)	
Lead/Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	
Recall Mode	None
Act Effct Green (s)	
Actuated g/C Ratio	
v/c Ratio	
Control Delay	
Queue Delay	
Total Delay	
LOS	
Approach Delay	
Approach LOS	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	
Internal Link Dist (ft)	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	

## Intersection Capacity Analysis 10: Liberty St & Grove St

	≯	+	*	4	Ļ	•	•	Ť	*	1	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Base Capacity (vph)		1177			947			738			871	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.69			0.86			0.81			0.75	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 161												
Actuated Cycle Length: 14	3											
Natural Cycle: 130												
Control Type: Actuated-Un	coordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86												
Intersection Signal Delay:	43.5			In	ntersection	n LOS: D						
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation 93.6%			IC	CU Level (	of Service	e F					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
# 95th percentile volume	exceeds cap	pacity, qu	eue may	be longe	r.							
Queue shown is maxim	um after two	cycles.										
Splits and Phases: 10 <sup>,</sup> I	iberty St & G	Grove St										

~	spins and r	$a_{3}c_{3}$ . To, Liberty of a Grove of			
	₩ Ø2	₩ø1	₩a	Ø10	<b>\$</b> <sup>1</sup> ø9
	15 s	60 s	21 s	15 s	50 s

12/31/2021

	≯	$\mathbf{i}$	1	<b>†</b>	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥			4	1.	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	66	63	23	320	568	83
Future Volume (Veh/h)	66	63	23	320	568	83
Sian Control	Stop			Free	Free	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.77	0.77	0.91	0.91	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	86	82	25	352	617	90
Pedestrians	2					
Lane Width (ft)	12.0					
Walking Speed (ft/s)	3.5					
Percent Blockage	0.0					
Right turn flare (veh)	v					
Median type				None	None	
Median storage veh)				10110	10110	
Upstream signal (ff)				287		
pX, platoon unblocked	0.87			207		
vC. conflicting volume	1066	664	709			
vC1_stage 1 conf vol	1000	001	107			
vC2_stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	999	664	709			
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4 1			
tC, 2 stage (s)	0.1	0.2				
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	22			
n0 queue free %	62	82	97			
cM capacity (veh/h)	229	463	898			
		100	0,0			
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	168	377	707			
Volume Left	86	25	0			
Volume Right	82	0	90			
cSH	304	898	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.55	0.03	0.42			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	78	2	0			
Control Delay (s)	30.6	0.9	0.0			
Lane LOS	D	А				
Approach Delay (s)	30.6	0.9	0.0			
Approach LOS	D					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			4.4			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		49.9%	IC	CU Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	-	$\rightarrow$	1	-	1	1
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	f,			र्स	¥	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	896	4	1	798	1	0
Future Volume (Veh/h)	896	4	1	798	1	0
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	0.93	0.94	0.94	0.25	0.25
Hourly flow rate (vph)	963	4	1	849	4	0
Pedestrians					1	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)					v	
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)	NOTIC					
Unstream signal (ft)						
nX platoon upblocked						
			968		1817	966
vC1_stage 1 conf vol			700		1017	700
vC2_stage 2 conf vol						
			968		1817	966
tC single (s)			/ 1		6.4	62
$tC_2 \text{ stane}(s)$			т. 1		т.,	0.2
tF (s)			2.2		25	2.2
n (3)			100		9.5 Q5	100
cM canacity (veh/h)			710		95 86	211
			/ 17		00	JII
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1			
Volume Total	967	850	4			
Volume Left	0	1	4			
Volume Right	4	0	0			
cSH	1700	719	86			
Volume to Capacity	0.57	0.00	0.05			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	4			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	48.6			
Lane LOS		А	E			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	48.6			
Approach LOS			E			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.1			
Intersection Canacity Litiliza	ation		57 /%			of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	-	$\rightarrow$	1	-	1	1
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	4Î			र्स	¥	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	879	9	24	793	7	23
Future Volume (Veh/h)	879	9	24	793	7	23
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.91	0.91	0.92	0.92	0.63	0.63
Hourly flow rate (vph)	966	10	26	862	11	37
Pedestrians					1	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)					-	
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ff)				968		
pX, platoon unblocked					0.95	
vC. conflicting volume			977		1886	972
vC1_stage 1 conf vol			,,,,		1000	,,,_
vC2_stage 2 conf vol						
			977		1907	972
tC single (s)			4 1		6.4	62
tC 2 stage (s)					5.1	5.2
tF (s)			2.2		35	2.2
n0 queue free %			96		84	88
cM capacity (veh/h)			714		70	309
			7 1 7		10	507
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1			
Volume Total	976	888	48			
Volume Left	0	26	11			
Volume Right	10	0	37			
cSH	1700	714	173			
Volume to Capacity	0.57	0.04	0.28			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	3	27			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	1.0	33.6			
Lane LOS		А	D			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	1.0	33.6			
Approach LOS			D			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delav			1.3			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ition		71.1%	IC	U Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			2 2

#### Intersection Capacity Analysis 14: Columbian St & Grove St

	-	•	1	1	1	Ŧ
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	*	#	**	1	002	<u>_</u>
Traffic Volume (vnh)	304	368	441	259	343	561
Future Volume (vph)	304	368	//1	257	2/12	561
Ideal Flow (vph)	1004	1000	1000	1900	1000	1000
Storage Length (ft)	۰، ۱	50	1700	250	150	1700
Storage Lanes	1	1		200	150	
Juldye Lalles	1 25	1		1	1 25	
Taper Length (It)	20	1500	2520	1500	20	2172
Salu. FIUW (prul)		1044	3039	1003	0	3472
Fil Permilieu	0.950	1500	2520	1500	0	0.000
Sald. Flow (perm)	1770	1599	3539	1583	0	2318
Right Turn on Red		Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		187		2/6		
Link Speed (mph)	30		30			30
Link Distance (ft)	637		577			356
Travel Time (s)	14.5		13.1			8.1
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	0.93	0.94	0.94	0.91	0.91
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	327	396	469	276	0	993
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+nt	NA
Protected Phases	4	1 3111	6	1 0111	5	2
Pormitted Phases	т	1	0	6	3 2	2
Detector Dhase	1	4	6	6	۲ ۲	2
Switch Dhace	4	4	0	0	5	Z
Switch Phase	0.0	0.0	12.0	12.0	0.0	12.0
Minimum Initial (S)	8.0	8.0	12.0	12.0	8.0	12.0
Minimum Split (s)	13.0	13.0	17.0	17.0	13.0	17.0
Total Split (s)	25.0	25.0	45.0	45.0	20.0	65.0
Total Split (%)	27.8%	27.8%	50.0%	50.0%	22.2%	72.2%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	1.5	0.0	-1.5	0.0		-1.5
Total Lost Time (s)	6.5	5.0	3.5	5.0		3.5
Lead/Lag			Lead	Lead	Laq	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max
Act Effet Green (s)	17.0	10/1	62.1	60.6	None	62.1
Actuated a/C Patio	0.20	0.22	0 60	0.67		0 60
Actualed g/C Ratio	0.20	0.22	0.09	0.07		0.09
	0.93	0.81	0.19	0.24		0.62
Control Delay	/0.6	31.6	4.6	2.3		9.8
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
Total Delay	70.6	31.6	4.6	2.3		9.8
LOS	E	С	А	А		А
Approach Delay	49.2		3.7			9.8
Approach LOS	D		А			А
Queue Length 50th (ft)	182	113	51	0		143
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#337	#260	71	59		201
Internal Link Dist (ft)	557		497			276
Turn Bay Length (ft)	007	50	177	250		210
Rase Canacity (yph)	343	500	2112	1156		1500
Dase Capacity (VPII)	303	200	Z44Z	1120		1044

PM Scenario 2021 Observed Traffic Conditions

#### Intersection Capacity Analysis 14: Columbian St & Grove St

	4	•	1	1	1	Ŧ	
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.90	0.79	0.19	0.24		0.62	
Intersection Summary							
Area Type: O	ther						
Cycle Length: 90							
Actuated Cycle Length: 90							
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to	phase 2:	SBTL and	6:NBT, S	Start of Gi	reen, Mas	ster Interse	ection
Natural Cycle: 50							
Control Type: Actuated-Coord	dinated						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93							
Intersection Signal Delay: 19.	6			Int	ersection	n LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on 66.6%			IC	U Level o	of Service C	C
Analysis Period (min) 15							
# 95th percentile volume ex	ceeds ca	pacity, qu	eue may	be longer			
Queue shown is maximum	n after two	cycles.					
Splits and Phases: 14: Col	umbian S <sup>-</sup>	t & Grove	St				
Ø2 (R)							<b>√</b> Ø4
65 s							25 s

Ø5

Ø6 (R)

	≯	$\mathbf{i}$	-	<b>†</b>	Ŧ	1
l ane Group	FBL	FBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	*	7		<u></u>		ODR
Traffic Volume (vnh)	17	10	Λ	<b>4</b> 1 601	885	8
Future Volume (vph)	17	10	4	601	885	8
Ideal Flow (vnhnl)	1900	1900	1000	1900	1900	1900
Satd Flow (vpripi)	1703	1615	1700	3540	2571	0
Elt Dormittod	0.050	1015	0	0.052	3371	0
Satd Flow (norm)	1703	1501	0	2270	2571	0
Dight Turn on Pod	1705	1371 Vos	0	3370	3371	Vos
Sold Flow (DTOD)		165			1	162
Link Spood (mph)	20	10		20	20	
Link Speed (IIIpII)				267	50	
Travol Timo (c)	۲۱Z ۲۲			307 0 2	12.1	
Confl Dods (#/br)	0.2	n		0.3	13.1	
Cullil, Peus. (#/IIf)	0.40	2	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.08	0.68	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93
Heavy venicles (%)	6%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	05	4 -	0	747	0/1	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	25	15	0	/4/	961	U
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	pm+pt	NA	NA	
Protected Phases	8		1	6	2	
Permitted Phases		8	6			
Detector Phase	8	8	1	6	2	
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	12.0	12.0	
Minimum Split (s)	13.0	13.0	13.0	17.0	17.0	
Total Split (s)	25.0	25.0	20.0	65.0	45.0	
Total Split (%)	27.8%	27.8%	22.2%	72.2%	50.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lead/Lag			Lag		Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes		Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	8.2	8.2		79.0	79.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.09	0.09		0.88	0.88	
v/c Ratio	0.16	0.09		0.25	0.31	
Control Delav	40.3	19.3		1.9	1.2	
Oueue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	40.3	19.3		19	1 2	
105	D	B		Α	A	
Approach Delay	32 4	J		19	12	
Approach LOS	JZ.7			Δ	Δ	
Oueue Lenath 50th (ft)	12	Ο		12	22	
Queue Length Ofth (II)	15 20	12		42	m/2	
Internal Link Diet (ft)	102	12		200 207	/07	
Turn Bay Longth (ft)	172			207	47/	
Paso Capacity (uph)	270	24E		2040	2124	
Dase Capacity (VPII)	3/8	300		2900	3130	
	0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	

PM Scenario 2021 Observed Traffic Conditions

	≯	$\mathbf{F}$	1	1	Ļ	∢
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.07	0.04		0.25	0.31	
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					
Cycle Length: 90						
Actuated Cycle Length: 90	)					
Offset: 77 (86%), Referen	ced to phase 2	2:SBT ar	nd 6:NBTI	L, Start of	Green	
Natural Cycle: 45						
Control Type: Actuated-C	oordinated					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.31						
Intersection Signal Delay:	2.2			Int	tersection	LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Utili	zation 39.7%			IC	U Level o	f Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15						
m Volumo for 05th porce	ontilo quouo ic	motoro	h hu unctr	oom clan	<u>a</u>	

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Splits and Phases: 15: Columbian St



#### APPENDIX L

Intersection Capacity Analyses Weekday AM/PM Peak Hour 2021 Estimated Traffic Conditions

#### Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Hancock St & Washington St/Plain St

12/31/2021

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	•	•	1	1	1	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		<del>ب</del> ا ا	1	۲.	f,		٦	•	1	<u>۲</u>	<b>≜1</b> ≽	
Traffic Volume (vph)	2	208	226	129	387	64	477	558	225	73	243	7
Future Volume (vph)	2	208	226	129	387	64	477	558	225	73	243	7
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	0		75	0		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	0		1	1		1	1		1	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1777	1538	1687	1772	0	1736	1863	1568	1770	3379	0
Flt Permitted		0.645		0.402			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1146	1538	714	1772	0	1731	1863	1568	1770	3379	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd, Flow (RTOR)			269		6				152		2	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ff)		152			279			332			259	
Travel Time (s)		3.5			6.3			7.5			5.9	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	3	010			010	3	2	110			017	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.91	0.91	0.91
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	7%	5%	7%	5%	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%	6%	17%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	0,0		0,0		0,0	270		270	0,0	270	0,0	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	250	269	150	524	0	507	594	239	80	275	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA	pm+ov	pm+pt	NA	U	Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	Ū
Protected Phases	1 01111	9	5	10	9 10		5	2	1 01111	1	6	
Permitted Phases	9	,	9	9 10	710		U	2	2	•	U	
Detector Phase	9	9	5	10	9 10		5	2	2	1	6	
Switch Phase	,	,	U	10	, 10		Ū	-	-	•	Ū	
Minimum Initial (s)	50	50	50	50			5.0	50	5.0	50	50	
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	10.0	11.0	9.0			11.0	10.0	10.0	11.0	10.0	
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0	42.0	15.0			42.0	35.0	35.0	22.0	15.0	
Total Split (%)	22.7%	22.7%	31.8%	11.4%			31.8%	26.5%	26.5%	16.7%	11.4%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0			3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0			2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	2.0	1.5	1.5	2.5			-1.0	-2.0	2.5	0.0	-1.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		6.5	6.5	6.5			4.0	3.0	7.5	5.0	4.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead	Lead	Lag			Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None			None	Min	Min	None	Min	
Act Effct Green (s)	110110	23.8	59.6	32.3	40.4		38.4	42.5	38.0	9.3	11 1	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.22	0.55	0.30	0.37		0.36	0.39	0.35	0.09	0.10	
v/c Ratio		0.99	0.28	0.52	0.79		0.82	0.81	0.37	0.53	0.79	
Control Delay		98.5	2.0	39.1	40.8		45.5	42.0	14.3	61.3	64.5	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay		98.5	2.0	39.1	40.8		45.5	42.0	14.3	61.3	64 5	
LOS		, U.U	Δ.0	D	.0.0 D		.0.0 D	.2.0 D	B	F	F	
Approach Delay		48.4			40.4			38.4	5	L	63.8	
Approach LOS		D			D			D				
Queue Length 50th (ft)		161	0	66	286		289	338	30	51	92	
Queue Length 95th (ft)		#395	25	153	#620		#676	#857	148	118	#218	
Internal Link Dist (ft)			20	100	199			252		110	179	
Turn Bay Length (ft)								-95			,	

AM Scenario 2021 Estimated Traffic Conditions

Lane Group	Ø3			
Lane Configurations				
Traffic Volume (vph)				
Future Volume (vph)				
Ideal Flow (vphpl)				
Storage Length (ft)				
Storage Lanes				
Taper Length (ft)				
Satd. Flow (prot)				
Flt Permitted				
Satd. Flow (perm)				
Right Turn on Red				
Satd. Flow (RTOR)				
Link Speed (mph)				
Link Distance (ft)				
Travel Time (s)				
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)				
Peak Hour Factor				
Heavy Vehicles (%)				
Shared Lane Traffic (%)				
Lane Group Flow (vph)				
Turn Type				
Protected Phases	3			
Permitted Phases				
Detector Phase				
Switch Phase				
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0			
Minimum Split (s)	30.0			
Total Split (s)	30.0			
Total Split (%)	23%			
Yellow Time (s)	2.0			
All-Red Time (s)	1.0			
Lost Time Adjust (s)				
Total Lost Time (s)				
Lead/Lag				
Lead-Lag Optimize?				
Recall Mode	None			
Act Effct Green (s)				
Actuated g/C Ratio				
v/c Ratio				
Control Delay				
Queue Delay				
Total Delay				
LOS				
Approach Delay				
Approach LOS				
Queue Length 50th (ft)				
Queue Length 95th (ft)				
Internal Link Dist (ft)				
Turn Bay Length (ft)				

#### Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Hancock St & Washington St/Plain St

12/31/2021

	٦	+	*	4	ł	•	•	1	~	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Base Capacity (vph)		252	970	291	667		617	733	649	281	349	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.99	0.28	0.52	0.79		0.82	0.81	0.37	0.28	0.79	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 132												
Actuated Cycle Length: 10	)8											
Natural Cycle: 130												
Control Type: Actuated-Ur	ncoordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.99												
Intersection Signal Delay:	43.8			In	tersectior	LOS: D						
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation 86.0%			IC	CU Level o	of Service	E					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
# 95th percentile volume	e exceeds cap	bacity, qu	eue may	be longe	r.							
Oueue shown is maxim	num after two	cycles.										

Splits and Phases: 1: Hancock St & Washington St/Plain St

Ø1	¶ø₂		A Aga	# Ø9	<b>▼</b> ø10
22 s	35 s		30 s	30 s	15 s
<b>\$</b> Ø5		↓ Ø6			
42 s		15 s			

#### Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: Plain St & John Mahar Hwy

	≯	-	←	•	1	-		
Lane Group	FRI	FRT	W/RT	WRR	SBI	SBR	Ø3	
Lano Configurations							05	
	151	<b>T</b>	<b>T</b>	201	225	127		
Futuro Volume (vph)	151	274	500	201	220	127		
Idoal Elow (vphpl)	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000		
Storago Longth (ft)	200	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Storage Lange	200			125	1	1		
Tapor Longth (ft)	25			I	۱ ک۲	I		
Satd Elow (prot)	1770	1007	1007	1540	1710	1552		
Elt Dormittod	0.050	1027	1027	1500	0.050	1000		
Satd Flow (porm)	1770	1007	1007	1560	1710	1552		
Dight Turn on Pod	1770	1027	1027	1000 Vos	1/17	No		
Satd Flow (DTOD)				2/10		NO		
Link Snood (mph)		20	30	240	30			
Link Speed (IIIpII)		30 1175	30 261		20			
Travel Time (s)		26.0	5.0		Z3Z 5 2			
Poak Hour Factor	ሰ	20.0 0.97	0.9	0.05	0.0	0.00		
Hoavy Vohiclos (%)	0.07	0.07	10/	20/	U.90	0.90 //0/		
Sharod Lano Traffic (%)	Ζ 70	4 70	4 70	370	J 70	4 70		
Lano Group Flow (upb)	17/	215	E00	/12	250	1/1		
Lane Group Flow (vpri)	174 Drot	210 NIV	090	41Z	200 Drot	141 nt ov		
Protected Dhases		NA 2		μι+0V 6 Λ	100	μι+0v 1 Γ	2	
Protected Phases	5	Z	0	04	4	4 0	3	
Permilleu Pridses	F	C	6	6.4	1	4 5		
Delector Pridse	5	Z	0	04	4	4 0		
Minimum Initial (c)	7.0	7.0	7.0		ΕO		7.0	
Minimum Chlit (s)	12.0	12.0	7.U 12.0		0.U 10.0		7.0	
Total Split (s)	12.0	12.0	12.0 25.0		20.0		24.0	
Total Split (S)	20.0	40.U	20.U		30.0		24.U 240/	
Vollow Time (c)	20.2%	40.5%	20.3%		30.3%		2470	
	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0		2.0	
All-Reu Time (S)	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0		1.0	
LUST TIME AUJUST (S)	3.0	-3.0	-3.0		3.0			
Total Lost Time (S)	U.V	2.0	2.0		0.U		Lood	
Lead Lag Ontimize?	Lead		Lag		Lag		Lead	
	Yes	C May	res C Merr		N /lim		None	
Keudii Moue	NONE					24/	None	
Act Elici Green (S)	0.11	0.60	49.6	65.6	15.0	34.6		
Actualed g/C Ratio	0.11	0.69	0.50	0.66	0.16	0.35		
V/C Ratio	0.89	0.25	0.65	0.37	0.93	0.26		
Control Delay	84.5	9.3	27.1	4.0	/8.8	22.6		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
I otal Delay	84.5	9.3	27.1	4.0	78.8	22.6		
LOS	F	A	С	A	E	С		
Approach Delay		36.0	17.7		58.6			
Approach LOS		D	В		E			
Queue Length 50th (ft)	108	52	246	28	157	62		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#208	194	#719	69	229	97		
Internal Link Dist (ft)		1065	181		152			
Turn Bay Length (ft)	200			125				
Base Capacity (vph)	222	1266	916	1208	382	545		

AM Scenario 2021 Estimated Traffic Conditions
# Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: Plain St & John Mahar Hwy

	۶	-	-	*	1	∢_		
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø3	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.78	0.25	0.65	0.34	0.65	0.26		
Intersection Summary								
Area Type:	Other							
Cycle Length: 99								
Actuated Cycle Length: 99								
Offset: 20 (20%), Reference	ed to phase	2:EBT ar	nd 6:WBT	, Start of	Green			
Natural Cycle: 90								
Control Type: Actuated-Coc	ordinated							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93								
Intersection Signal Delay: 3	0.9			Int	tersection	LOS: C		
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 67.4%			IC	U Level c	of Service	С	
Analysis Period (min) 15								
# 95th percentile volume e	exceeds cap	bacity, qu	eue may	be longer	•			
Queue shown is maximu	um after two	cycles.						
Calita and Dhasson 2. Dia	in Ct 0 John	Maharl	h.n.					

Splits and Phases: 2: Plain St & John Mahar Hwy →Ø2 (R) 45 s 24 s 30 s 25 s 24 s 30 s

Ø6 (R)

Ø5

	L.	۶J	٠	$\mathbf{X}$	×	•
Movement	SBL	SBR	SEL	SET	NWT	NWR
Lane Configurations	M			ជ	1.	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	6	39	32	394	925	17
Future Volume (Veh/h)	6	39	32	394	925	17
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	0.93	0.80	0.80	0.93	0.93
Hourly flow rate (vph)	6	42	40	492	995	18
Pedestrians	4					
Lane Width (ft)	16.0					
Walking Speed (ft/s)	3.5					
Percent Blockage	1					
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type				None	None	
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)				261		
pX, platoon unblocked	0.94			10.		
vC, conflicting volume	1580	1008	1017			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol	1000					
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	1586	1008	1017			
tC. single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1			
tC. 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2			
p0 queue free %	94	85	94			
cM capacity (veh/h)	106	289	686			
Direction Lane #	CD 1	CE 1	NI\\/ 1			
Direction, Lane #			1012			
Volume Lotal	48	532	1013			
Volume Left	6	40	0			
Volume Right	42	0	18			
CSH	238	686	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.20	0.06	0.60			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	18	5	0			
Control Delay (s)	24.0	1.6	0.0			
Lane LOS	C	A				
Approach Delay (s)	24.0	1.6	0.0			
Approach LOS	С					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			1.3			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		59.7%	IC	CU Level	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	$\mathbf{x}$	2	1	×	3	~
Movement	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NEL	NER
Lane Configurations	1.			វ	W.	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	376	0	0	943	27	19
Future Volume (Veh/h)	376	0	0	943	27	19
Sian Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	0.93	0.95	0.95	0.89	0.89
Hourly flow rate (vph)	404	0.70	0.70	993	30	21
Pedestrians	101	Ŭ	Ű	770	5	- 1
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5	
Percent Blockage					0.0	
Right turn flare (veh)					U	
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)	NUTIC			NOTIC		
Unstream signal (ff)	1154					
nX platoon unblocked	1104					
vC. conflicting volume			409		1402	409
vC1_stage 1 conf vol			107		1402	107
vC2_stage 2 conf vol						
			409		1402	409
tC single (s)			4 1		6.4	62
tC 2 stage (s)			1.1		5.1	0.2
tF (s)			22		35	33
n0 queue free %			100		81	97
cM canacity (veh/h)			1155		155	633
					100	000
Direction, Lane #	SE I					
Volume Loft	404	993	51			
Volume Lett	0	0	30			
	0	0	21			
CSH	1700	1155	225			
Volume to Capacity	0.24	0.00	0.23			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	21			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	25.6			
Lane LOS			D			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	25.6			
Approach LOS			D			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.9			
Intersection Capacity Utili	zation		59.6%	IC	U Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	$\mathbf{X}$	2	1	×	5	~
Movement	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NEL	NER
Lane Configurations	î,			ۍ ۲	¥.	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	396	3	3	938	6	5
Future Volume (Veh/h)	396	3	3	938	6	5
Sian Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.89	0.89	0.96	0.96	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	445	3	3	977	7	5
Pedestrians					4	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)					Ŭ	
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			452		1434	450
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			452		1434	450
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.4
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.5
p0 queue free %			100		95	99
cM capacity (veh/h)			1115		148	571
Direction Lane #	SF 1	NW/ 1	NF 1			
Volume Total	//2	980	12			
Volume Left	440	700 2	7			
Volume Right	2	0	5			
	1700	1115	21/			
Volume to Canacity	0.26	0.00	0.06			
Ouque Length 95th (ff)	0.20	0.00	0.00			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	01	22 g			
	0.0	0.1	22.0			
Annroach Delay (s)	0.0	Λ 0 1	22.8			
Approach LOS	0.0	0.1	22.0			
πρριυατίι 203			C			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.2			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		61.7%	IC	U Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	-	$\mathbf{x}$	×	₹	í,	*
Movement	SEL	SET	NWT	NWR	SWL	SWR
Lane Configurations		र्स	4		Y	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	49	344	898	9	12	38
Future Volume (Veh/h)	49	344	898	9	12	38
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.87	0.87	0.97	0.97	0.89	0.89
Hourly flow rate (vph)	56	395	926	9	13	43
Pedestrians					1	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)			1027			
pX, platoon unblocked	0.75		,		0.75	0.75
vC, conflicting volume	936				1438	932
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	745				1418	739
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.5	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.6	3.3
p0 queue free %	91				87	86
cM capacity (veh/h)	637				100	310
Direction Lane #	SE 1	NI\\/ 1	S\\\/ 1			
Volume Total	/51	025	54			
	- 56	733	12			
Volume Lett	0	0	13			
	627	1700	200			
Volumo to Canacity	0.00	0.55	0.27			
Ouque Longth 95th (ft)	0.09	0.55	0.27			
Control Dolay (s)	7	0.0	20			
	Z.J	0.0	20.0 D			
Approach Dolay (s)	7 F	0.0	28.6			
Approach LOS	2.5	0.0	20.0 D			
			U			
Intersection Summary			1.0			
Average Delay			1.9			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		69.4%	IC	CU Level (	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 8: Hemlock St/Plaza M.Driveway & Grove St

	4	$\mathbf{X}$	2	*	×	۲.	3	*	4	í,	¥	*~
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			र्स	7
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	51	301	1	3	842	48	4	0	5	39	3	59
Future Volume (Veh/h)	51	301	1	3	842	48	4	0	5	39	3	59
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.87	0.87	0.87
Hourly flow rate (vph)	54	320	1	3	968	55	8	0	10	45	3	68
Pedestrians					1			4				
Lane Width (ft)					12.0			12.0				
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5			3.5				
Percent Blockage					0			0				
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)					799							
pX, platoon unblocked	0.72						0.72	0.72		0.72	0.72	0.72
vC, conflicting volume	1023			325			1504	1462	326	1441	1434	996
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	841			325			1505	1447	326	1419	1410	803
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.1			7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	91			100			84	100	99	40	97	76
cM capacity (veh/h)	581			1241			50	87	717	75	91	280
Direction, Lane #	SE 1	NW 1	NE 1	SW 1	SW 2							
Volume Total	375	1026	18	48	68							
Volume Left	54	3	8	45	0							
Volume Right	1	55	10	0	68							
cSH	581	1241	103	76	280							
Volume to Capacity	0.09	0.00	0.18	0.64	0.24							
Queue Length 95th (ft)	8	0	15	71	23							
Control Delay (s)	2.9	0.1	47.4	113.3	21.9							
Lane LOS	А	А	E	F	С							
Approach Delay (s)	2.9	0.1	47.4	59.7								
Approach LOS			E	F								
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			5.8									
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		66.9%	IC	CU Level	of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	<b>A</b>	$\mathbf{X}$	×	۲.	С,	*~
Movement	SEL	SET	NWT	NWR	SWL	SWR
Lane Configurations		41	1.		5	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	17	329	874	69	31	25
Future Volume (Veh/h)	17	329	874	69	31	25
Sian Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.87	0.87	0.97	0.97	0.88	0.88
Hourly flow rate (vph)	20	378	901	71	35	28
Pedestrians			1			
Lane Width (ft)			12.0			
Walking Speed (ft/s)			3.5			
Percent Blockage			0			
Right turn flare (veh)			-			
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)			437			
pX, platoon unblocked	0.73				0.73	0.73
vC, conflicting volume	972				1166	936
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	777				1044	729
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.9	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %	97				78	90
cM capacity (veh/h)	620				158	270
Direction, Lane #	SE 1	SE 2	NW 1	SW 1	SW 2	
Volume Total	146	252	972	35	28	
Volume Left	20	0	0	35	0	
Volume Right	0	0	71	0	28	
cSH	620	1700	1700	158	270	
Volume to Capacity	0.03	0.15	0.57	0.22	0.10	
Oueue Length 95th (ft)	2	0	0	20	9	
Control Delay (s)	1.8	0.0	0.0	34.3	19.9	
Lane LOS	A	010	010	D	С	
Approach Delay (s)	0.7		0.0	27.9		
Approach LOS				D		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			1.4			
Intersection Capacity Utili	zation		60.2%	IC	CU Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

1	21	2	1/	12	n	2	1
I	21	J	1/	2	υ	2	

	۶	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	×	1	Ť	1	1	Ļ	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		đ î ja			đĥ			đ î ja			đЪ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	18	227	86	140	717	122	264	526	191	104	270	24
Future Volume (vph)	18	227	86	140	717	122	264	526	191	104	270	24
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	0		0	125		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		-
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3313	0	0	3357	0	0	3374	0	0	3418	0
Flt Permitted		0.864			0.769			0.706			0.534	-
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	2871	0	0	2599	0	0	2414	0	0	1849	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		33			12			18			4	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		437			335			367			287	
Travel Time (s)		9.9			7.6			8.3			6.5	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	1		2	2	,10	1		010			010	
Peak Hour Factor	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.85	0.85	0.85
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	4%	4%	4%	5%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	8%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	0,0	170	170	170	0,0	270	270	0,0	270	0,0	0,0	0,0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	351	0	0	1020	0	0	1103	0	0	468	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA	0	nm+nt	NA	Ū	Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA	Ŭ
Protected Phases	1 onn	1		2	12		1 01111	9		10	9 10	
Permitted Phases	1			12			9			9 10	,	
Detector Phase	1	1		2	12		9	9		10	9 10	
Switch Phase	•			-							,	
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0		6.0			10.0	10.0		6.0		
Minimum Split (s)	15.0	15.0		11.0			15.0	15.0		15.0		
Total Split (s)	60.0	60.0		15.0			50.0	50.0		15.0		
Total Split (%)	37.3%	37.3%		9.3%			31.1%	31.1%		9.3%		
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0		4.0			4.0	4.0		4.0		
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0			1.0	1.0		1.0		
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0						0.0				
Total Lost Time (s)		5.0						5.0				
Lead/Lag	Laq	Lag		Lead			Laq	Lag		Lead		
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes			Yes	Yes		Yes		
Recall Mode	None	None		None			None	None		None		
Act Effct Green (s)		51.5			61.5			45.3			55.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.37			0.44			0.32			0.39	
v/c Ratio		0.33			0.85			1.40			0.56	
Control Delay		30.4			41.2			222.6			32.6	
Oueue Delay		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Delay		30.4			41.2			222.6			32.6	
LOS		С			D			F			С	
Approach Delay		30.4			41.2			222.6			32.6	
Approach LOS		С			D			F			С	
Queue Lenath 50th (ft)		103			338			~703			145	
Oueue Length 95th (ft)		178			#564			#995			221	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		357			255			287			207	
Turn Bay Length (ft)		50.			200			207				

AM Scenario 2021 Estimated Traffic Conditions

Lane Group	Ø3
Lane Configurations	
Traffic Volume (vph)	
Future Volume (vph)	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	
Storage Length (ft)	
Storage Lanes	
Taper Length (ft)	
Satd. Flow (prot)	
Flt Permitted	
Satd. Flow (perm)	
Right Turn on Red	
Satd. Flow (RTOR)	
Link Speed (mph)	
Link Distance (ft)	
Travel Time (s)	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	
Peak Hour Factor	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	
Turn Type	
Protected Phases	3
Permitted Phases	
Detector Phase	
Switch Phase	
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	21.0
Total Split (s)	21.0
Total Split (%)	13%
Yellow Time (s)	2.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	
Total Lost Time (s)	
Lead/Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	
Recall Mode	None
Act Effct Green (s)	
Actuated g/C Ratio	
v/c Ratio	
Control Delay	
Queue Delay	
Total Delay	
LOS	
Approach Delay	
Approach LOS	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	
Internal Link Dist (ft)	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	

	≯	-	1		Ļ	*	•	Ť	/	1	Ţ	~
	FRI	FRT	FRD	▼ M/RI	\//RT	\\/RD	NRI	NRT	r NRD	CBI	▼ SB1	SBD
Pase Canacity (unh)	LDL	11/0	LDI	VVDL	1240	WDI	NDL	700	NDI	JDL	0/1	JUK
Stanuation Can Doducto		0			1209			109			041	
Stal Valion Cap Reductin		0			0			0			0	
Spillback Cap Reductin		0			0			0			0	
Storage Cap Reducin		0			0			0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.31			0.80			1.40			0.56	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 161												
Actuated Cycle Length: 140	.7											
Natural Cycle: 150												
Control Type: Actuated-Unc	oordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.40												
Intersection Signal Delay: 1	06.6			In	tersectior	1 LOS: F						
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion 93.6%			IC	CU Level o	of Service	F					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
<ul> <li>Volume exceeds capaci</li> </ul>	ty, queue is	s theoretic	ally infini	te.								
Queue shown is maximu	m after two	cycles.	Ĵ									
# 95th percentile volume e	exceeds ca	pacity, qu	eue may	be longe	r.							
Queue shown is maximu	m after two	n cycles	J									

#### Splits and Phases: 10: Liberty St & Grove St

<b>7</b> Ø2	<b>₩</b> <sub>Ø1</sub>	₽₽ <sub>Ø3</sub>	Ø10	<b>₩</b> ø9
15 s	60 s	21 s	15 s	50 s

12/31/2021

	٦	$\mathbf{i}$	1	<b>†</b>	Ŧ	-
Movement	FBI	FBR	NBI	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥	2011		4	1	02.1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	32	26	17	646	356	43
Future Volume (Veh/h)	32	26	17	646	356	43
Sign Control	Ston	20	17	Free	Free	10
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.91	0.91	0.98	0.98	0.85	0.85
Hourly flow rate (yph)	35	20	17	659	/10	51
Podostrians	33	27	17	037	417	51
Lano Width (ft)	12.0					
Malking Spood (ft/c)	12.0					
Walking Speed (IVS)	3.0					
Percerit Diuckaye	U					
Right turn liare (ven)				Mana	News	
iviedian type				None	None	
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)				287		
pX, platoon unblocked	0.69					
vC, conflicting volume	1140	446	472			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	977	446	472			
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.2			
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.3			
p0 queue free %	82	95	98			
cM capacity (veh/h)	190	607	1067			
Direction, Lane #	EB_1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	64	676	470			
Volume Left	35	17	0			
Volume Right	29	0	51			
rSH	276	1067	1700			
Volume to Canacity	0.23	0.02	0.28			
Ouque Length 95th (ft)	0.23	0.02	0.20			
Control Dolay (s)	22	0.4	0.0			
Lang LOS	22.0	U.4 A	0.0			
Approach Doloy (c)	22.0	A 0.4	0.0			
Approach LOS	22.0	0.4	0.0			
Approach LUS	C					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			1.4			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		57.7%	IC	CU Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	-	$\rightarrow$	1	-	1	1
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	ţ,			र्स	¥	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	541	2	0	970	2	2
Future Volume (Veh/h)	541	2	0	970	2	2
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.88	0.88	0.50	0.50
Hourly flow rate (vph)	564	2	0	1102	4	4
Pedestrians	2				2	
Lane Width (ft)	12.0				12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)	3.5				3.5	
Percent Blockage	0				0	
Right turn flare (veh)					5	
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)				110110		
Upstream signal (ff)						
nX platoon upblocked						
vC conflicting volume			568		1671	567
vC1_stage 1 conf vol			500		1071	507
vC2 stage 2 conf vol						
			568		1671	567
tC single (s)			/ 1		6.4	62
$tC_2$ stage (s)			-T. I		0.4	0.2
tF (s)			2.2		35	2.2
n0 queue free %			100		96	90
cM capacity (veh/h)			1012		106	526
			1012		100	520
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1			
Volume Total	566	1102	8			
Volume Left	0	0	4			
Volume Right	2	0	4			
cSH	1700	1012	177			
Volume to Capacity	0.33	0.00	0.05			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	4			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	26.3			
Lane LOS			D			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	26.3			
Approach LOS			D			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.1			
Intersection Canacity Utilizat	ion		61 1%	IC	Ulevelo	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15	10		

	-	$\mathbf{r}$	1	+	1	1
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	ţ,			<del>ب</del> ا	¥.	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	542	3	11	967	2	19
Future Volume (Veh/h)	542	3	11	967	2	19
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.97	0.97	0.89	0.89	0.88	0.88
Hourly flow rate (vph)	559	3	12	1087	2	22
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)				968		
pX, platoon unblocked					0.82	
vC, conflicting volume			562		1672	560
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			562		1708	560
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %			99		98	96
cM capacity (veh/h)			1019		82	522
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1			
Volume Total	562	1099	24			
Volume Left	0	12	2			
Volume Right	3	0	22			
cSH	1700	1019	361			
Volume to Capacity	0.33	0.01	0.07			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	1	5			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.4	15.7			
Lane LOS		А	С			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.4	15.7			
Approach LOS			С			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.5			
Intersection Capacity Util	ization		69.7%	IC	U Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	4	•	1	1	1	↓
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	5	*	**	1		<b>.</b> ↑ <b>♦</b>
Traffic Volume (vph)	237	315	672	266	288	247
Future Volume (vph)	237	315	672	266	288	247
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0	50	1700	250	150	1700
Storage Lanes	1	1		200	100	
Tapor Longth (ft)	25	1			25	
Satd Elow (prot)	1750	1560	2505	1500	25	2202
Salu. Flow (prol)	0.050	1000	3000	1099	0	0 5503
Fil Permilleu	1750	15/0	2505	100	0	0.002
Salu. Flow (perm)	1/52	1508	3505	1599	0	18/2
Right Turn on Red		Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		205		289		
Link Speed (mph)	30		30			30
Link Distance (ft)	637		577			356
Travel Time (s)	14.5		13.1			8.1
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.88	0.88
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	3%	3%	1%	6%	7%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	258	342	730	289	0	608
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	NΔ	Perm	nm+nt	NΔ
Protected Phases	/		6	1 6111	ритрі Б	2
Dormittod Dhasos	4	1	0	6	ງ ງ	2
Permilled Fildses	1	4	6	0	۲ ۲	C
Delector Pridse	4	4	0	0	5	Z
Switch Phase	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	10.0
Minimum Initial (S)	8.0	8.0	12.0	12.0	8.0	12.0
Minimum Split (s)	13.0	13.0	17.0	17.0	13.0	17.0
Total Split (s)	25.0	25.0	45.0	45.0	20.0	65.0
Total Split (%)	27.8%	27.8%	50.0%	50.0%	22.2%	72.2%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	1.5	0.0	-1.5	0.0		-1.5
Total Lost Time (s)	6.5	5.0	3.5	5.0		3.5
Lead/Lag			Lead	Lead	Laq	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max
Act Effet Groop (s)	15.0	17 /	6/ 1	62.6	NOTIC	6/1
Actuated a/C Datia	0.10	0.10	04.1	02.0		04.1
	0.18	0.19	0.71	0.70		0.71
V/C Ratio	0.83	0.73	0.29	0.24		0.46
Control Delay	58.4	23.1	4.5	1.7		7.3
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
Total Delay	58.4	23.1	4.5	1.7		7.3
LOS	E	С	А	А		А
Approach Delay	38.3		3.7			7.3
Approach LOS	D		А			А
Queue Length 50th (ft)	140	69	74	2		70
Queue Lenath 95th (ft)	#243	165	110	62		105
Internal Link Dist (ft)	557	100	497	02		276
Turn Ray Length (ft)	007	50	т//	250		270
Rase Canacity (unh)	260	50	2/04	1200		1222
Dase Capacity (VpH)	300	5U/	2490	1200		1333

AM Scenario 2021 Estimated Traffic Conditions

	∢	•	Ť	1	1	.↓						
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT						
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0						
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0						
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0						
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.72	0.67	0.29	0.24		0.46						
Intersection Summary												
Area Type: Ot	her											
Cycle Length: 90												
Actuated Cycle Length: 90												
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:SBTL and 6:NBT, Start of Green, Master Intersection												
Natural Cycle: 50												
Control Type: Actuated-Coord	inated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83												
Intersection Signal Delay: 14.0	)			Int	ersection	n LOS: B						
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n 59.7%			IC	U Level (	of Service B	5					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
# 95th percentile volume exc	ceeds ca	oacity, qu	eue may	be longer								
Queue shown is maximum	after two	cycles.										
Splits and Phases: 14: Colu	imbian Si	& Grove	St									
Ø2 (R)							<b>↓</b> Ø4					
65 s							25 s					

Ø5

Ø6 (R)

# Intersection Capacity Analysis 15: Columbian St & Driveway #60 Columbian

12/01/2021	1	2/	3	1/	2	0	21	l
------------	---	----	---	----	---	---	----	---

	٦	$\mathbf{i}$	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	5	1			<b>4</b> 15	
Traffic Volume (vph)	7	3	17	931	463	21
Future Volume (vph)	7	3	17	931	463	21
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Satd. Flow (prot)	1583	1615	0	3537	3412	0
Flt Permitted	0.950		-	0.943		-
Satd Flow (perm)	1583	1615	0	3339	3412	0
Right Turn on Red		Yes	5	2007	511 <u>2</u>	Yes
Satd, Flow (RTOR)		. 55			7	.00
Link Speed (mph)	30	0		30	30	
Link Distance (ft)	272			367	577	
Travel Time (s)	62			83	13.1	
Confl Peds (#/hr)	0.2		2	0.0	13.1	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.65	0.65	∠ 0 0 2	0 0 2	0.07	∠ 0.07
	0.00	0.00	0.92	0.92	U.97	0.97 E0/
Sharod Lano Troffic (0/)	1470	070	0%	Ζ 70	J 70	370
Sindley Lane Trailie (%)	11	Г	0	1020	400	0
	 Drot	Dorm	U	1030	499	U
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	pm+pt	NA	NA	
Protected Phases	8	-		6	2	
Permitted Phases		8	6		^	
Detector Phase	8	8	1	6	2	
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	12.0	12.0	
Minimum Split (s)	13.0	13.0	13.0	17.0	17.0	
Total Split (s)	25.0	25.0	20.0	65.0	45.0	
Total Split (%)	27.8%	27.8%	22.2%	72.2%	50.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lead/Lag			Lag		Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes		Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	8.0	8.0		82.8	82.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.09	0.09		0.92	0.92	
v/c Ratio	0.08	0.03		0.34	0.16	
Control Delay	39.1	24.0		1.6	0.6	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	39.1	24.0		1.6	0.6	
LOS	D	2 n.0		Δ	Δ	
Approach Delay	34.4	5		16	0.6	
Approach LOS	J+			Δ	Δ	
Oueue Length 50th (ff)	6	Λ		0	0	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	16	7		0 	16	
Internal Link Dist (ff)	10	1		0J 207	/07	
Turn Ray Longth (ft)	172			207	47/	
Paso Canacity (unh)	251	240		2072	2140	
Dase Capacity (VPII)	301	302		3072	5140	
Starvation Cap Reducth	0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	

AM Scenario 2021 Estimated Traffic Conditions

## Intersection Capacity Analysis 15: Columbian St & Driveway #60 Columbian

		~		•	1	1
		•	٦		¥	•
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.03	0.01		0.34	0.16	
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					
Cycle Length: 90						
Actuated Cycle Length: 9	0					
Offset: 77 (86%), Referer	nced to phase	2:SBT ar	nd 6:NBTI	L, Start of	Green	
Natural Cycle: 45						
Control Type: Actuated-C	Coordinated					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.34						
Intersection Signal Delay	: 1.6			In	tersection	LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Util	ization 52.8%			IC	U Level c	of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15						

Splits and Phases: 15: Columbian St & Driveway #60 Columbian



# Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Hancock St & Washington St/Plain St

12/31/2021

	۶	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	•	•	1	۲	1	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		ર્સ	1	۲	ĥ		ሻ	•	1	5	A12	
Traffic Volume (vph)	5	412	562	237	319	53	258	348	173	81	452	5
Future Volume (vph)	5	412	562	237	319	53	258	348	173	81	452	5
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	0		75	0		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	0		1	1		1	1		1	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1880	1599	1787	1818	0	1770	1881	1615	1805	3532	0
Flt Permitted		0.995		0.207			0.950			0.950		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1872	1599	389	1818	0	1760	1881	1615	1805	3532	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			585		8				182		1	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		152			279			332			259	
Travel Time (s)		3.5			6.3			7.5			5.9	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	1					1	3					3
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.87	0.87	0.87
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	434	585	258	405	0	277	374	186	93	526	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA	pm+ov	pm+pt	NA		Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	
Protected Phases		9	5	10	9 10		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	9		9	9 10					2			
Detector Phase	9	9	5	10	9 10		5	2	2	1	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0			5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	10.0	11.0	9.0			11.0	10.0	10.0	11.0	10.0	
Total Split (s)	39.0	39.0	20.0	12.0			20.0	19.0	19.0	20.0	19.0	
Total Split (%)	32.5%	32.5%	16.7%	10.0%			16.7%	15.8%	15.8%	16.7%	15.8%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0			3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0			2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		1.5	1.5	2.5			-1.0	-2.0	2.5	0.0	-1.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		6.5	6.5	6.5			4.0	3.0	7.5	5.0	4.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead	Lead	Lag			Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None			None	Min	Min	None	Min	
Act Effct Green (s)		25.7	39.5	31.3	39.5		16.4	25.2	20.5	9.2	15.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.29	0.44	0.35	0.44		0.18	0.28	0.23	0.10	0.17	
v/c Ratio		0.81	0.57	1.15	0.50		0.85	0.71	0.36	0.50	0.86	
Control Delay		43.3	3.4	137.3	21.5		62.3	42.3	9.6	50.3	53.1	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay		43.3	3.4	137.3	21.5		62.3	42.3	9.6	50.3	53.1	
LOS		D	А	F	С		E	D	А	D	D	
Approach Delay		20.4			66.5			41.6			52.7	
Approach LOS		С			E			D			D	
Queue Length 50th (ft)		202	0	~94	134		141	181	2	47	141	
Queue Length 95th (ft)		#494	52	#345	345		#429	#593	73	118	#355	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		72			199			252			179	
Turn Bay Length (ft)												

PM Scenario 2021 Estimated Traffic Conditions

Lane Group	Ø3			
Lane Configurations				
Traffic Volume (vph)				
Future Volume (vph)				
Ideal Flow (vphpl)				
Storage Length (ft)				
Storage Lanes				
Taper Length (ft)				
Satd. Flow (prot)				
Flt Permitted				
Satd. Flow (perm)				
Right Turn on Red				
Satd. Flow (RTOR)				
Link Speed (mph)				
Link Distance (ft)				
Travel Time (s)				
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)				
Peak Hour Factor				
Heavy Vehicles (%)				
Shared Lane Traffic (%)				
Lane Group Flow (vph)				
Turn Type				
Protected Phases	3			
Permitted Phases				
Detector Phase				
Switch Phase				
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0			
Minimum Split (s)	30.0			
Total Split (s)	30.0			
Total Split (%)	25%			
Yellow Time (s)	2.0			
All-Red Time (s)	1.0			
Lost Time Adjust (s)				
Total Lost Time (s)				
Lead/Lag				
Lead-Lag Optimize?				
Recall Mode	None			
Act Effct Green (s)				
Actuated g/C Ratio				
v/c Ratio				
Control Delay				
Queue Delay				
Total Delay				
LOS				
Approach Delay				
Approach LOS				
Queue Length 50th (ft)				
Queue Length 95th (ft)				
Internal Link Dist (ft)				
Turn Bay Length (ft)				

#### Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Hancock St & Washington St/Plain St

12/31/2021

	٨	+	*	1	+	*	•	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Base Capacity (vph)		700	1034	225	814		326	530	512	311	610	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.62	0.57	1.15	0.50		0.85	0.71	0.36	0.30	0.86	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 120												
Actuated Cycle Length: 89.	2											
Natural Cycle: 140												
Control Type: Actuated-Une	coordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.15												
Intersection Signal Delay: 4	2.2			In	tersectior	n LOS: D						
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 85.2%			IC	U Level o	of Service	E					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
<ul> <li>Volume exceeds capac</li> </ul>	ity, queue is	theoretic	ally infini	te.								
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.												
# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.												
Queue shown is maximu	um after two	cycles.										

Splits and Phases: 1: Hancock St & Washington St/Plain St

Ø1	₽ø2	₩ <b>1</b> <sub>Ø3</sub>		₹ <sub>Ø10</sub>
20 s	19 s	30 s	39 s	12 s
<b>\$</b> Ø5	<b>↓</b> Ø6			
20 s	19 s			

# Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: Plain St & John Mahar Hwy

1	2/	3	1/	2	0	2	1
	- '	~	•••	_	~	-	•

	≯	-	+	•	5	~	
Lane Group	FRI	FBT	WRT	WBR	SBI	SBR	Ø3
Lane Configurations	<u> </u>			1		<b>3</b>	00
Traffic Volume (vnh)	150	/03	126	297	302	181	
Future Volume (vph)	150	473	420	277	302	101	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	
Storage Length (ft)	200	1700	1700	125	1700	0	
Storage Langer (II)	200			125	1	1	
Taper Length (ft)	25			1	25	1	
Satd Flow (prot)	1736	18/15	1810	1568	1770	1568	
Elt Permitted	0.950	1045	1010	1000	0.950	1000	
Satd Flow (perm)	1736	1845	1810	1568	1770	1568	
Right Turn on Red	1700	1010	1010	Yes	1770	No	
Satd, Flow (RTOR)				243			
Link Speed (mph)		30	30	1.5	30		
Link Distance (ft)		1145	261		232		
Travel Time (s)		26.0	5.9		5.3		
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)		20.0	0.7		0.0	1	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.84	0.84	0.99	0.99	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	3%	5%	3%	2%	3%	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)							
Lane Group Flow (vph)	173	536	507	354	396	183	
Turn Type	Prot	NA	NA	pt+ov	Prot	pt+ov	
Protected Phases	5	2	6	64	4	4 5	3
Permitted Phases							
Detector Phase	5	2	6	64	4	45	
Switch Phase							
Minimum Initial (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0		5.0		7.0
Minimum Split (s)	12.0	12.0	12.0		10.0		24.0
Total Split (s)	22.0	51.0	29.0		45.0		24.0
Total Split (%)	18.3%	42.5%	24.2%		37.5%		20%
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0		2.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0		1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	3.0	-3.0	-3.0		3.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	8.0	2.0	2.0		8.0		
Lead/Lag	Lead		Lag		Lag		Lead
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes		Yes		Ŭ		
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max		Min		None
Act Effct Green (s)	13.3	76.7	55.4	84.3	28.5	49.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.11	0.64	0.46	0.70	0.24	0.42	
v/c Ratio	0.90	0.45	0.61	0.30	0.94	0.28	
Control Delay	95.6	16.2	31.9	2.9	76.1	22.8	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	95.6	16.2	31.9	2.9	76.1	22.8	
LOS	F	В	С	А	E	С	
Approach Delay		35.6	20.0		59.2		
Approach LOS		D	В		E		
Queue Length 50th (ft)	132	171	272	21	303	90	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#261	476	#632	41	392	127	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		1065	181		152		
Turn Bay Length (ft)	200			125			

PM Scenario 2021 Estimated Traffic Conditions

# Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: Plain St & John Mahar Hwy

# メ チ チ キ ト イ

Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø3	
Base Capacity (vph)	213	1179	835	1267	545	658		
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.81	0.45	0.61	0.28	0.73	0.28		
Intersection Summary								
Area Type:	Other							
Cycle Length: 120								
Actuated Cycle Length: 12	20							
Offset: 20 (17%), Referen	ced to phase	2:EBT ar	nd 6:WBT	, Start of	Green			
Natural Cycle: 100								
Control Type: Actuated-Co	pordinated							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.94								
Intersection Signal Delay:	35.7			In	tersection	LOS: D		
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation 69.6%			IC	U Level o	of Service	С	
Analysis Period (min) 15								
# 95th percentile volume	e exceeds ca	pacity, qu	eue may	be longer				
Queue shown is maxin	num after two	cycles.						

Splits and Phases: 2: Plain St & John Mahar Hwy

→ø2 (R)	•	₽∎ø3	<b>√</b> <sub>Ø4</sub>
51 s		24 s	45 s
<b>₽</b> Ø5	● Ø6 (R)		
22 s	29 s		

	L.	۶J	ه	$\mathbf{X}$	×	_ ₹_
Movement	SBL	SBR	SEL	SET	NWT	NWR
Lane Configurations	M	0.011	011		1	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	5	29	32	848	689	15
Future Volume (Veh/h)	5	29	32	848	689	15
Sign Control	Stop	27	02	Free	Free	10
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0 03	0.03	0.01	0.01
Hourly flow rate (yph)	0.03	0.05	2/	0.75	757	16
Dodostrians	1	54	54	712	757	10
Lano Width (ft)	14.0					
	10.0					
waiking Speed (II/S)	3.5					
Percent Blockage	0					
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type				None	None	
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)				261		
pX, platoon unblocked	0.84					
vC, conflicting volume	1746	766	774			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	1793	766	774			
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1			
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2			
p0 queue free %	92	92	96			
cM capacity (veh/h)	72	401	850			
	00.4	05.4				
Direction, Lane #	SB 1	SE 1	NW 1			
Volume Total	40	946	773			
Volume Left	6	34	0			
Volume Right	34	0	16			
cSH	238	850	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.17	0.04	0.45			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	15	3	0			
Control Delay (s)	23.1	1.1	0.0			
Lane LOS	С	А				
Approach Delay (s)	23.1	1.1	0.0			
Approach LOS	С					
Interception Commence						
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			1.1			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		80.5%	10	U Level (	ot Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	$\mathbf{x}$	2	*	×	3	~
Movement	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NEL	NER
Lane Configurations	1	02.11		4	¥	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	802	0	0	671	26	19
Future Volume (Veh/h)	802	0	0	671	26	19
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.91	0.91	0.94	0.94	0.59	0.59
Hourly flow rate (vph)	881	0	0	714	44	32
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)	1154					
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			881		1595	881
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			881		1595	881
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %			100		62	91
cM capacity (veh/h)			776		116	349
Direction. Lane #	SF 1	NW 1	NF 1			
Volume Total	881	714	76			
Volume Left	0	0	44			
Volume Right	0	0	32			
rSH	1700	776	162			
Volume to Canacity	0.52	0.00	0.47			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0.02	0.00	55			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	45.7			
	0.0	0.0	+0.7			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	45.7			
Approach LOS	0.0	0.0	10.7			
Intersection Summary						
Intersection Summary			0.1			
Average Delay			2.1			f Carala
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		52.2%	IC	U Level o	DI SERVICE
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	$\mathbf{x}$	2	1	×	5	~	
Movement	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NEL	NER	
Lane Configurations	14			ដ	M		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	807	7	6	688	2	4	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	807	7	6	688	2	4	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.50	0.50	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	877	8	6	732	4	8	
Pedestrians					3		
Lane Width (ft)					12.0		
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5		
Percent Blockage					0		
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type	None			None			
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume			888		1628	884	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol			888		1628	884	
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %			99		96	98	
cM capacity (veh/h)			769		112	346	
Direction, Lane #	SE 1	NW 1	NE 1				
Volume Total	885	738	12				
Volume Left	0	6	4				
Volume Right	8	0	8				
cSH	1700	769	204				
Volume to Capacity	0.52	0.01	0.06				
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	1	5				
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.2	23.7				
Lane LOS		А	С				
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.2	23.7				
Approach LOS			С				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.3				
Intersection Capacity Util	ization		52.9%	IC	U Level o	of Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15				

	-	$\mathbf{x}$	×	ť	í,	*
Movement	SEL	SET	NWT	NWR	SWL	SWR
Lane Configurations		र्भ	đ,		Y	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	61	761	654	9	15	35
Future Volume (Veh/h)	61	761	654	9	15	35
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
Hourly flow rate (vph)	66	827	681	9	16	36
Pedestrians					1	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)			1027			
pX, platoon unblocked	0.80				0.80	0.80
vC, conflicting volume	691				1646	686
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	491				1681	486
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.4	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %	92				79	92
cM capacity (veh/h)	867				78	469
Direction, Lane #	SE 1	NW 1	SW 1			
Volume Total	893	690	52			
Volume Left	66	0	16			
Volume Right	0	9	36			
cSH	867	1700	184			
Volume to Capacity	0.08	0.41	0.28			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	6	0	28			
Control Delay (s)	2.0	0.0	32.1			
Lane LOS	А		D			
Approach Delay (s)	2.0	0.0	32.1			
Approach LOS			D			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delav			2.1			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		91.7%	IC	Ulevelo	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15	10	5 201010	

## Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 8: Hemlock St/Plaza M.Driveway & Grove St

	4	$\mathbf{x}$	2	F	×	۲.	5	*	~	í,	¥	*~
Movement	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations		4			\$			\$			र्स	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	79	683	13	3	575	47	7	0	3	55	1	71
Future Volume (Veh/h)	79	683	13	3	575	47	7	0	3	55	1	71
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.89	0.89	0.89
Hourly flow rate (vph)	84	727	14	3	605	49	8	0	3	62	1	80
Pedestrians					2			2			2	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0			12.0			12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5			3.5			3.5	
Percent Blockage					0			0			0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)					799							
pX, platoon unblocked	0.79						0.79	0.79		0.79	0.79	0.79
vC, conflicting volume	656			743			1620	1566	738	1544	1548	632
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	430			743			1652	1584	738	1556	1561	399
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.1			7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	91			100			83	100	99	6	99	84
cM capacity (veh/h)	898			872			48	78	420	66	80	514
Direction, Lane #	SE 1	NW 1	NE 1	SW 1	SW 2							
Volume Total	825	657	11	63	80							
Volume Left	84	3	8	62	0							
Volume Right	14	49	3	0	80							
cSH	898	872	64	66	514							
Volume to Capacity	0.09	0.00	0.17	0.95	0.16							
Queue Length 95th (ft)	8	0	14	117	14							
Control Delay (s)	2.4	0.1	73.0	201.3	13.3							
Lane LOS	А	А	F	F	В							
Approach Delay (s)	2.4	0.1	73.0	96.1								
Approach LOS			F	F								
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			10.1									
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation		91.5%	IC	CU Level	of Service			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	<b>A</b>	$\mathbf{X}$	×	₹.	L.	*
Movement	SEL	SET	NWT	NWR	SWL	SWR
Lane Configurations		-۠	£		5	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	23	721	603	96	56	23
Future Volume (Veh/h)	23	721	603	96	56	23
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.95	0.95	0.81	0.81
Hourly flow rate (vph)	24	751	635	101	69	28
Pedestrians					1	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)			437			
pX, platoon unblocked	0.78				0.78	0.78
vC, conflicting volume	737				1110	686
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	522				1000	457
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.8	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %	97				63	94
cM capacity (veh/h)	822				184	433
Direction Lano #	CE 1	SE 0	NI\// 1	S\\// 1	SIM 2	
		501	726	04 04	200 2	
Volume Loft	2/4	001	/ 30	07 60	20	
Volume Dight	24	0	101	09		
	0	1700	1700	0 10 <i>1</i>	20 122	
Volume to Conscitu	022	0.20	0 42	104	433	
Oucue Longth 05th (ft)	0.03	0.29	0.43	0.37	0.00 F	
Control Dolay (c)	Z	0	0	4U 2⊑ 0	ງ 120	
	1.1	0.0	0.0	30.0 E	13.9	
Lane LUS	A		0.0	20 E	В	
Approach LOS	0.4		0.0	29.5 D		
Approach LOS				U		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			2.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		47.6%	IC	CU Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

1	21	2	1/	12	n	2	1	
I	21	J	1/	2	υ	Z	1	

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	×	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		ብቴ			416			វាង			a î î a	
Traffic Volume (vph)	27	531	218	208	562	110	139	229	207	257	486	27
Future Volume (vph)	27	531	218	208	562	110	139	229	207	257	486	27
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	0		0	125		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		-
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3391	0	0	3447	0	0	3341	0	0	3493	0
Flt Permitted		0.881			0.538			0.586			0.554	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	2993	0	0	1877	0	0	1980	0	0	1966	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		39	100		12			68	100		2	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ff)		437			335			367			287	
Travel Time (s)		99			7.6			8.3			6.5	
Confl Peds (#/hr)		,.,			7.0		4	0.0			0.0	4
Peak Hour Factor	0 97	0 97	0.97	0 94	0 94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	170	270	170	170	270	070	170	170	170	170	170	170
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	800	0	0	936	0	0	605	0	0	811	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA	0	nm+nt	NA	Ū	Perm	NA	Ū	nm+nt	NA	U
Protected Phases	T OIIII	1		2	12		T OIIII	9		10	9 10	
Permitted Phases	1	•		12	12		9	,		9 10	710	
Detector Phase	1	1		2	12		9	9		10	9 10	
Switch Phase	•	•		2	12		,	,		10	710	
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0		6.0			10.0	10.0		60		
Minimum Split (s)	15.0	15.0		11.0			15.0	15.0		15.0		
Total Split (s)	60.0	60.0		15.0			50.0	50.0		15.0		
Total Split (%)	37.3%	37.3%		9.3%			31.1%	31.1%		9.3%		
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4 0		4.0			4.0	4.0		4.0		
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0			1.0	1.0		1.0		
Lost Time Adjust (s)	1.0	0.0		1.0			1.0	0.0		1.0		
Total Lost Time (s)		5.0						5.0				
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag		Lead			Lag	Lag		Lead		
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes			Yes	Yes		Yes		
Recall Mode	None	None		None			None	None		None		
Act Effct Green (s)		55.2		110110	65.2		110110	45.1			55.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.38			0.45			0.31			0.38	
v/c Ratio		0.68			0.97			0.91			0.94	
Control Delay		39.8			56.6			60.9			58.7	
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Delay		39.8			56.6			60.9			58.7	
		D			F			F			F	
Approach Delay		39.8			56.6			60.9			58 7	
Approach LOS		07.0 D			- 00.0 F			- 00.7 F			50.7 F	
Queue Length 50th (ft)		298			296			249			285	
Queue Length 95th (ft)		462			#633			#448			#569	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		357			255			287			207	
Turn Bay Length (ft)		007			200			237			207	

PM Scenario 2021 Estimated Traffic Conditions

Lane Group	Ø3
Lane Configurations	
Traffic Volume (vph)	
Future Volume (vph)	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	
Storage Length (ft)	
Storage Lanes	
Taper Length (ff)	
Satd Flow (prot)	
Elt Dormittod	
Satd Flow (norm)	
Dight Turn on Dod	
Sata Flow (DTOD)	
Jalu. FIUW (RTUR)	
Link Speeu (mpn)	
Travel Time (a)	
Confl Dode (#/br)	
Conii. Peas. (#/nr)	
Peak Hour Factor	
Heavy venicles (%)	
Snared Lane Traffic (%)	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	
Turn Type	-
Protected Phases	3
Permitted Phases	
Detector Phase	
Switch Phase	
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	21.0
Total Split (s)	21.0
Total Split (%)	13%
Yellow Time (s)	2.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	
Total Lost Time (s)	
Lead/Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	
Recall Mode	None
Act Effct Green (s)	
Actuated g/C Ratio	
v/c Ratio	
Control Delay	
Oueue Delay	
Total Delay	
Approach Dolay	
Approach LOS	
Appiuduli LUS	
Queue Length 50th (II)	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	
Internal Link Dist (ft)	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	

	≯	+	*	4	Ļ	•	•	Ť	*	*	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Base Capacity (vph)		1169			964			666			859	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.68			0.97			0.91			0.94	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 161												
Actuated Cycle Length: 144	4.2											
Natural Cycle: 150												
Control Type: Actuated-Un	coordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.97												
Intersection Signal Delay: 5	53.7			In	itersection	n LOS: D						
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation 103.0%	, )		IC	CU Level	of Service	G					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
# 95th percentile volume	exceeds cap	bacity, qu	eue may	be longe	r.							
Queue shown is maximi	um after two	cycles.										
Splits and Phases: 10 <sup>,</sup> I	ihertv St & G	Grove St										

Splits and F	TIASES. TO. LIDEITY ST & GIOVE ST			
₩ Ø2		₽∎ø3	↓ Ø10	₩ <sub>Ø9</sub>
15 c	60 s	21 c	15 c	50 e

12/31/2021

	٭	$\mathbf{r}$	1	<b>†</b>	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	M	2011		្ន	1.	0011
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	66	63	23	320	708	83
Future Volume (Veh/h)	66	63	23	320	708	83
Sign Control	Stop		20	Free	Free	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.77	0 77	0.91	0.91	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (yph)	86	82	25	352	770	90
Pedestrians	2	02	20	552	110	70
Lane Width (ft)	12.0					
Walking Spood (ft/s)	12.0					
Walking Speed (II/S)	3.0					
Percerit blockage	0					
Right lurn liare (ven)				News	News	
Median type				None	None	
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)				287		
pX, platoon unblocked	0.86					
vC, conflicting volume	1219	817	862			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	1175	817	862			
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1			
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2			
p0 queue free %	52	78	97			
cM capacity (veh/h)	179	379	787			
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	168	377	860			
Volume Left	86	25	0			
Volume Right	82	0	90			
cSH	241	787	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.70	0.03	0.51			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	115	2	0			
Control Delay (s)	48.5	1.0	0.0			
Lane LOS	E	А				
Approach Delay (s)	48.5	1.0	0.0			
Approach LOS	E					
	_					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			6.1			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		56.5%	10	CU Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	-	$\mathbf{r}$	1	-	1	1
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	4Î			स्	- ¥	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	1002	2	2	832	1	0
Future Volume (Veh/h)	1002	2	2	832	1	0
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	0.93	0.94	0.94	0.25	0.25
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1077	2	2	885	4	0
Pedestrians					1	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ff/s)					3.5	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)					U	
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)	None					
Unstream signal (ff)						
nX platoon unblocked						
vC. conflicting volume			1080		1968	1079
vC1_stage 1 conf vol			1000		1700	1077
vC2_stage 2 conf vol						
			1080		1968	1079
tC. single (s)			<u>4</u> 1		6.4	62
tC. 2 stane (s)			T. I		UT	0.2
tF (s)			2.2		25	2 2
nO queue free %			100		94	100
cM capacity (veh/h)			653		70	268
			000		70	200
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1			
Volume Total	1079	887	4			
Volume Left	0	2	4			
Volume Right	2	0	0			
cSH	1700	653	70			
Volume to Capacity	0.63	0.00	0.06			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	4			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.1	59.9			
Lane LOS		А	F			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.1	59.9			
Approach LOS			F			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.2			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		62.9%	IC	Ulevelo	of Service
Analysis Period (min)	· · · •		15	.0		2 2 30

	-	$\rightarrow$	1	-	1	1	
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	4Î			र्स	¥		Ī
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	991	6	24	837	3	16	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	991	6	24	837	3	16	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.91	0.91	0.92	0.92	0.63	0.63	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1089	7	26	910	5	25	
Pedestrians					1		
Lane Width (ft)					12.0		
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5		
Percent Blockage					0		
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type	None			None			
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)				968			
pX, platoon unblocked					0.93		
vC, conflicting volume			1097		2056	1094	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol			1097		2099	1094	
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %			96		90	90	
cM capacity (veh/h)			643		51	262	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1				
Volume Total	1096	936	30				1
Volume Left	0,01	26	5				
Volume Right	7	0	25				
c.SH	1700	643	156				
Volume to Capacity	0.64	0.04	0.19				
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0.01	3	17				
Control Delay (s)	0.0	12	33.6				
Lane LOS	0.0	A	00.0 D				
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	12	33.6				
Approach LOS	010		D				
Intersection Summary							j
Average Delay			10				
Intersection Canacity Litiliza	ation		73.4%			of Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15				

	4	•	1	1	1	Ŧ
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	*	1	**	#		<u>۸</u> ۴
Traffic Volume (vnh)	35/	371	/107	202	205	<b>*1</b> 1 677
Future Volume (vph)	254	271	477	273	205 205	677
	1000	1000	47/ 1000	27J	1000	1000
Storago Longth (ft)	0061	1700 E0	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (It)	1	50		250	150	
Storage Lanes		I		I		
	25	4500	0/40	1500	25	0540
Satd. Flow (prot)	1/8/	1599	3610	1599	0	3510
Fit Permitted	0.950	1500	0(10	4500	0	0.647
Satd. Flow (perm)	1/8/	1599	3610	1599	0	2313
Right Turn on Red		Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		161		296		
Link Speed (mph)	30		30			30
Link Distance (ft)	637		577			356
Travel Time (s)	14.5		13.1			8.1
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.99	0.99	0.91	0.91
Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)			0.0			
Lane Group Flow (vph)	369	386	502	296	0	1178
	Prot	Perm	NΔ	Perm	nm⊥nt	NΔ
Protected Phases	/		4	- i citil	рптрі Б	- TV/
Protected Phases	4	1	0	6	<u> </u>	Z
Permilleu Phases	4	4	/	0	Z F	n
Delector Phase	4	4	0	0	C	Z
Switch Phase	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	10.0
Minimum Initial (s)	0.8	8.0	12.0	12.0	8.0	12.0
Minimum Split (s)	13.0	13.0	17.0	17.0	13.0	17.0
Total Split (s)	25.0	25.0	45.0	45.0	20.0	65.0
Total Split (%)	27.8%	27.8%	50.0%	50.0%	22.2%	72.2%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	1.5	0.0	-1.5	0.0		-1.5
Total Lost Time (s)	6.5	5.0	3.5	5.0		3.5
Lead/Lag			Lead	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Ontimize?			Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max
Act Effet Groon (c)	1016	20.0	61 F		NOTE	61 5
Actuated a/C Datio	0.01	20.0	01.0	00.0		01.0
Actualeu y/C Rallo	0.21	0.22	0.08	0.07		0.00
	1.01	0.80	0.20	0.25		0.75
Control Delay	86.2	33.6	4.9	2.3		13.0
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
Total Delay	86.2	33.6	4.9	2.3		13.0
LOS	F	С	А	A		В
Approach Delay	59.3		4.0			13.0
Approach LOS	E		А			В
Queue Length 50th (ft)	~213	123	60	0		197
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#392	#271	74	44		283
Internal Link Dist (ft)	557		497			276
Turn Bay Length (ft)	507	50	. , ,	250		270
Base Canacity (vnh)	367	480	2466	116/		1580
Dase Capacity (vpH)	201	4ŏU	∠400	1104		IDQO

PM Scenario 2021 Estimated Traffic Conditions

	•	•	1	1	1	Ŧ	
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	1.01	0.80	0.20	0.25		0.75	
Intersection Summary							
Area Type: O	ther						
Cycle Length: 90							
Actuated Cycle Length: 90							
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to	phase 2:	SBTL and	6:NBT, 3	Start of Gi	reen, Mas	ster Interse	ection
Natural Cycle: 50							
Control Type: Actuated-Coord	dinated						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.01							
Intersection Signal Delay: 23.	1			Int	ersection	LOS: C	
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on 75.6%			IC	U Level o	of Service [	D
Analysis Period (min) 15							
~ Volume exceeds capacity	, queue is	s theoretic	ally infini	te.			
Queue shown is maximum	after two	cycles.					
# 95th percentile volume ex	ceeds ca	pacity, qu	eue may	be longer			
Queue shown is maximum	after two	cycles.					
Splits and Phases: 14: Colu	umbian S	t & Grove	St				


12/31/2021	1	2/	31	1/2	021
------------	---	----	----	-----	-----

	٦	$\mathbf{i}$	1	Ť	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	5	1		<b>≜</b> 1,	<b>4</b> 15	
Traffic Volume (vph)	40	19	2	750	1026	5
Future Volume (vph)	40	19	2	750	1026	5
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Satd. Flow (prot)	1752	1615	0	3570	3567	0
Flt Permitted	0.950	1010	0	0 953	0007	U
Satd Flow (nerm)	1752	1501	0	3402	3567	0
Right Turn on Red	1752	Vas	0	5402	5507	Ves
Satd Flow (RTOR)		20			1	163
Link Snood (mnh)	30	21		30	30	
Link Opeen (hiph)				267	50	
Travel Time (s)	21Z 4 0			ر o	ວ// 101	
Confl Dode (#/br)	0.2	2		8.3	13.1	
Conii. Peas. (#/nr)	0.45	2	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.00
Peak Hour Factor	0.65	0.65	0.96	0.96	0.90	0.90
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	0%	50%	1%	1%	20%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	62	29	0	783	1146	0
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	pm+pt	NA	NA	
Protected Phases	8		1	6	2	
Permitted Phases		8	6			
Detector Phase	8	8	1	6	2	
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	12.0	12.0	
Minimum Split (s)	13.0	13.0	13.0	17.0	17.0	
Total Split (s)	25.0	25.0	20.0	65.0	45.0	
Total Split (%)	27.8%	27.8%	22.2%	72.2%	50.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Δdiust (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
	0.0	5.0	Log	5.0	U.C	
Lead Lag Optimize?			Lay		Leau	
Leau-Lay Optimize?	Nette	Nerr	Yes	<u> </u>	Yes	
	ivone	ivone	ivone	C-IVIAX	C-IVIAX	
Act Effect Green (s)	9.2	9.2		/4.4	/4.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.10	0.10		0.83	0.83	
v/c Ratio	0.35	0.15		0.28	0.39	
Control Delay	42.6	15.4		2.8	2.3	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	42.6	15.4		2.8	2.3	
LOS	D	В		А	А	
Approach Delay	33.9			2.8	2.3	
Approach LOS	С			А	А	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	34	0		46	45	
Queue Lenath 95th (ft)	50	13		78	m100	
Internal Link Dist (ft)	192	10		287	497	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	172			201	Т//	
Rase Canacity (uph)	200	276		2010	20/7	
Starvation Can Doducto	07	370		2010	274/	
Starvation Cap Reductin	0	0		0	0	
Spiliback Cap Reducth	U	U		0	0	

PM Scenario 2021 Estimated Traffic Conditions

	≯	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	1	1	Ļ	<		
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR		
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0			
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.16	0.08		0.28	0.39			
ntersection Summary								
Area Type:	Other							
Cycle Length: 90	Cycle Length: 90							
Actuated Cycle Length: 90								
Offset: 77 (86%), Reference	ced to phase 2	2:SBT ar	nd 6:NBT	L, Start of	Green			
Natural Cycle: 50								
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.39								
Intersection Signal Delay:	3.9			Int	ersection	LOS: A		
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation 43.5%			IC	U Level c	of Service A		
Analysis Period (min) 15								
m Volumo for 05th porce	ntilo auquo is	motoro	hy unctr	nnia meor	al			

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Splits and Phases: 15: Columbian St & Driveway #60 Columbian



#### **APPENDIX M**

Intersection Capacity Analyses Weekday AM/PM Peak Hour Signal Retiming Scenarios under 2021 Estimated Traffic Conditions

1	21	12.	1/	12	n	2	1
I	21	J	1/	2	υ	2	

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	×	1	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		đ î ji			đ þ			đ b			đ þ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	18	227	86	140	717	122	264	526	191	104	270	24
Future Volume (vph)	18	227	86	140	717	122	264	526	191	104	270	24
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	0		0	125		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3312	0	0	3357	0	0	3374	0	0	3418	0
Flt Permitted		0.846			0.760			0.704			0.510	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	2810	0	0	2568	0	0	2407	0	0	1766	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		31			11			21			5	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		437			335			367			287	
Travel Time (s)		9.9			7.6			8.3			6.5	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	1		2	2		1						
Peak Hour Factor	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.85	0.85	0.85
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	4%	4%	4%	5%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	8%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	351	0	0	1020	0	0	1103	0	0	468	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA	0	pm+pt	NA	Ū	Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA	U
Protected Phases	1 01111	1		2	12		1 01111	9		10	9 10	
Permitted Phases	1			12			9			9 10	,	
Detector Phase	1	1		2	12		9	9		10	9 10	
Switch Phase	-			_				-				
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0		6.0			10.0	10.0		5.0		
Minimum Split (s)	15.0	15.0		11.0			15.0	15.0		10.0		
Total Split (s)	44.0	44.0		13.0			56.0	56.0		10.0		
Total Split (%)	29.3%	29.3%		8.7%			37.3%	37.3%		6.7%		
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0		4.0			4.0	4.0		4.0		
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0			1.0	1.0		1.0		
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0						0.0				
Total Lost Time (s)		5.0						5.0				
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag		Lead			Lag	Lag		Lead		
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes			Yes	Yes		Yes		
Recall Mode	None	None		None			None	None		None		
Act Effct Green (s)		39.2			47.2			51.2			56.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.31			0.37			0.40			0.44	
v/c Ratio		0.40			1.01			1.12			0.86dl	
Control Delay		34.0			68.6			104.5			26.7	
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Delay		34.0			68.6			104.5			26.7	
LOS		С			E			F			С	
Approach Delay		34.0			68.6			104.5			26.7	
Approach LOS		С			E			F			С	
Queue Length 50th (ft)		103			344			~516			110	
Queue Length 95th (ft)		182			#727			#808			182	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		357			255			287			207	
Turn Bay Length (ft)												

AM Scenario Signal Retiming under 2021 Estimated Trffic Conditions

Lane Group	Ø3	
Lane Configurations		
Traffic Volume (vph)		
Future Volume (vph)		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)		
Storage Length (ft)		
Storage Lanes		
Taper Length (ft)		
Satd. Flow (prot)		
Flt Permitted		
Satd. Flow (perm)		
Right Turn on Red		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		
Link Speed (mph)		
Link Distance (ft)		
Travel Time (s)		
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)		
Peak Hour Factor		
Heavy Vehicles (%)		
Shared Lane Traffic (%)		
Lane Group Flow (vph)		
Turn Type		
Protected Phases	3	
Permitted Phases		
Detector Phase		
Switch Phase		
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	27.0	
Total Split (s)	27.0	
Total Split (%)	18%	
Yellow Time (s)	2.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		
Total Lost Time (s)		
Lead/Lag		
Lead-Lag Optimize?		
Recall Mode	None	
Act Effct Green (s)		
Actuated g/C Ratio		
V/C Ratio		
Control Delay		
Queue Delay		
Total Delay		
LUS		
Approach Delay		
Approach LUS		
Queue Length 50th (tt)		
Queue Length 95th (ft)		
Internal Link Dist (ft)		
Turn Bay Length (ft)		

	≯	-	$\mathbf{\hat{v}}$	∢	-	*	1	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
oup	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
pacity (vph)		886			1009			981			848	
n Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
v/c Ratio		0.40			1.01			1.12			0.55	
ion Summary												
oe: Ot	her											
ngth: 150												
Cycle Length: 127.2												
Cycle: 150												
ype: Actuated-Uncoo	rdinated											
n v/c Ratio: 1.12												
ion Signal Delay: 71.2	2			In	tersectior	LOS: E						
ion Capacity Utilizatio	n 93.6%			IC	CU Level o	of Service	F					
Period (min) 15												
me exceeds capacity,	queue is	theoretic	ally infinit	te.								
e shown is maximum	after two	cycles.										
percentile volume exc	ceeds cap	acity, qu	eue may	be longe	r.							
e shown is maximum	after two	cycles.										
	pacity (vph) n Cap Reductn Cap Reductn Cap Reductn d v/c Ratio ion Summary De: Ot ngth: 150 I Cycle Length: 127.2 Cycle: 150 I Cycle Length: 127.2 Cycle: 150 I Cycle Length: 127.2 Cycle: 150 I Cycle Length: 127.2 Dype: Actuated-Uncoo n v/c Ratio: 1.12 ion Signal Delay: 71.2 ion Capacity Utilizatio Period (min) 15 me exceeds capacity, e shown is maximum percentile volume exc e shown is maximum	Dup EBL   pacity (vph) In Cap Reductn   Cap Reductn Cap Reductn   Cap Reductn In Cap Reductn   Cap Reductn In Cap Reductn   d v/c Ratio In Composition   ion Summary In Cycle Length: 127.2   Dycle: 150   I Cycle Length: 1.27.2   Cycle: 150   I Cycle: 150   I Cycle: 1.12   ion Signal Delay: 71.2   ion Capacity Utilization 93.6%   Period (min) 15   me exceeds capacity, queue is e shown is maximum after two   percentile volume exceeds cap cap   e shown is maximum after two percentile volume exceeds cap	DupEBLEBTpacity (vph)886n Cap Reductn0c Cap Reductn0Cap Reductn0d v/c Ratio0.40ion Summary0be:Otherngth: 15012/22I Cycle Length: 127.212/22Cycle: 15012/22Fype: Actuated-Uncoordinatednn v/c Ratio: 1.1212/22ion Signal Delay: 71.212/22ion Capacity Utilization 93.6%Period (min) 15me exceeds capacity, queue is theoretic15/22e shown is maximum after two cycles.15/22percentile volume exceeds capacity, quee e shown is maximum after two cycles.	DupEBLEBTEBRpacity (vph)886n Cap Reductn0Cap Reductn0Cap Reductn0dv/c Ratio0.40ion Summaryve:Otherngth: 150I Cycle Length: 127.2Cycle: 150I Cycle Length: 127.2Cycle: 150I Cycle Length: 127.2Cycle: 150I Signal Delay: 71.2ion Signal Delay: 71.2ion Capacity Utilization 93.6%Period (min) 15me exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinitee shown is maximum after two cycles.percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue maye shown is maximum after two cycles.	DupEBLEBTEBRWBLpacity (vph)886in Cap Reductin0ic Cap Reductin0ic Cap Reductin0ion Summary0be:Otheringth: 150I Cycle Length: 127.2Cycle: 150I Cycle: 150I Signal Delay: 71.2ion Signal Delay: 71.2ion Capacity Utilization 93.6%Period (min) 15me exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.e shown is maximum after two cycles.percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longele shown is maximum after two cycles.	bupEBLEBTEBRWBLWBTpacity (vph)8861009n Cap Reductn00cap Reductn00Cap Reductn00Cap Reductn00dv/c Ratio0.401.01ion Summarybe:Otherngth: 15012.2Cycle Length: 127.21Cycle: 1507/pe: Actuated-Uncoordinatedn v/c Ratio: 1.12Intersectionion Signal Delay: 71.2Intersectionion Signal Delay: 71.2Intersectionion Capacity Utilization 93.6%ICU Level ofPeriod (min) 15me exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.e shown is maximum after two cycles.percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.e shown is maximum after two cycles.ueue may be longer.	bupEBLEBTEBRWBLWBTWBRpacity (vph)8861009on Cap Reductn00cap Reductn00Cap Reductn00d v/c Ratio0.401.01ion SummaryDe:OtherOtheringth: 150I Cycle Length: 127.2Cycle: 150Iype: Actuated-Uncoordinatedin v/c Ratio: 1.12ion Signal Delay: 71.2Intersection LOS: Eion Capacity Utilization 93.6%ICU Level of ServicePeriod (min) 15me exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.e shown is maximum after two cycles.procentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.e shown is maximum after two cycles.	bupEBLEBTEBRWBLWBTWBRNBLpacity (vph)886100900 <td< td=""><td>EBLEBTEBRWBLWBTWBRNBLNBTpacity (vph)8861009981on Cap Reductn000cap Reductn000cap Reductn000Cap Reductn000dv C Ratio0.401.011.12OtherIntersection LOS: EIntersection LOS: E<t< td=""><td>bupEBLEBTEBRWBLWBTWBRNBLNBTNBRpacity (vph)8861009981on Cap Reductn0000cap Reductn01.011.12consummary</td><td>LeftEBLEBTEBRWBLWBTWBRNBLNBTNBRSBLpacity (vph)8861009981</td><td>EBLEBTEBRWBLWBTWBRNBLNBTNBRSBLSBTpacity (vph)8861009981848in Cap Reductin00000Cap Reductin00000Cap Reductin00000Cap Reductin00000Cap Reductin00000Cap Reductin00000Cap Reductin0.401.011.120.55ion Summary</td></t<></td></td<>	EBLEBTEBRWBLWBTWBRNBLNBTpacity (vph)8861009981on Cap Reductn000cap Reductn000cap Reductn000Cap Reductn000dv C Ratio0.401.011.12OtherIntersection LOS: EIntersection LOS: E <t< td=""><td>bupEBLEBTEBRWBLWBTWBRNBLNBTNBRpacity (vph)8861009981on Cap Reductn0000cap Reductn01.011.12consummary</td><td>LeftEBLEBTEBRWBLWBTWBRNBLNBTNBRSBLpacity (vph)8861009981</td><td>EBLEBTEBRWBLWBTWBRNBLNBTNBRSBLSBTpacity (vph)8861009981848in Cap Reductin00000Cap Reductin00000Cap Reductin00000Cap Reductin00000Cap Reductin00000Cap Reductin00000Cap Reductin0.401.011.120.55ion Summary</td></t<>	bupEBLEBTEBRWBLWBTWBRNBLNBTNBRpacity (vph)8861009981on Cap Reductn0000cap Reductn01.011.12consummary	LeftEBLEBTEBRWBLWBTWBRNBLNBTNBRSBLpacity (vph)8861009981	EBLEBTEBRWBLWBTWBRNBLNBTNBRSBLSBTpacity (vph)8861009981848in Cap Reductin00000Cap Reductin00000Cap Reductin00000Cap Reductin00000Cap Reductin00000Cap Reductin00000Cap Reductin0.401.011.120.55ion Summary

dl Defacto Left Lane. Recode with 1 though lane as a left lane.

#### Splits and Phases: 10: Liberty St & Grove St

<b>★</b> <sub>Ø2</sub>	\$ø1		1 Ø3	▶ <sub>Ø10</sub> ₩ <sub>Ø9</sub>
13 s	44 s	2	27 s	10 s 56 s

#### 12/31/2021

### Intersection Capacity Analysis 14: Columbian St & Grove St

	-	•	1	1	1	Ŧ
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	*	*	**	1	202	41
Traffic Volume (vph)	237	315	672	266	288	247
Future Volume (vph)	237	315	672	266	288	247
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0	50	1700	250	150	1700
Storage Lange	1	1		200	150	
Juldye Lalles	۱ ک۲	1		1	1 25	
Taper Lengin (ii)	20 1750	1540	2505	1500	20	າງດາ
Salu. FIOW (PIOL)	1/02	1008	3000	1099	0	3303
Fil Permileo	0.950	15/0	2505	1500	0	0.551
Sato. Flow (perm)	1/52	1568	3505	1599	0	1808
Right Turn on Red		Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		239		289		
Link Speed (mph)	30		30			30
Link Distance (ft)	637		577			356
Travel Time (s)	14.5		13.1			8.1
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.88	0.88
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	3%	3%	1%	6%	7%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	258	342	730	289	0	608
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	NΔ	Perm	nm+nt	NΔ
Protected Phases	/	1 0111	6	1 0111	ритрі Б	2
Dormittod Dhasos	4	1	0	6	ງ ງ	2
Peterter Phases	1	4	6	6	۲ ۲	2
	4	4	0	0	5	Z
Switch Phase	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	10.0
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	0.8	12.0	12.0	0.8	12.0
Minimum Split (s)	13.0	13.0	17.0	17.0	13.0	17.0
Total Split (s)	35.0	35.0	42.0	42.0	13.0	55.0
Total Split (%)	38.9%	38.9%	46.7%	46.7%	14.4%	61.1%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	1.5	0.0	-1.5	0.0		-1.5
Total Lost Time (s)	6.5	5.0	3.5	5.0		3.5
Lead/Lag			Lag	Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	Nono	None	C-May	C-May	None	C-Max
Act Effet Croop (c)		10.0	60 K	6-IVIAA 41 1	NULLE	6-1VIAA
Actuated a/C Datia	17.4	10.9	02.0	01.1		02.0
	0.19	0.21	0.70	0.68		0.70
V/C Ratio	0.76	0.66	0.30	0.25		0.47
Control Delay	48.5	16.1	5.0	0.8		8.6
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
Total Delay	48.5	16.1	5.0	0.8		8.6
LOS	D	В	А	А		А
Approach Delay	30.1		3.8			8.6
Approach LOS	С		А			А
Queue Length 50th (ft)	141	50	74	2		69
Queue Length 95th (ft)	203	127	63	9		133
Internal Link Dist (ff)	557	121	<u>⊿</u> 07	/		276
Turn Ray Longth (ft)	557	50	777	250		210
Pasa Canacity (upb)	654	200	2420	200		1200
base capacity (vpn)	554	687	2438	11/8		1299

AM Scenario Signal Retiming under 2021 Estimated Trffic Conditions

### Intersection Capacity Analysis 14: Columbian St & Grove St

# x \* + x \* +

			-	-		-
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.47	0.50	0.30	0.25		0.47
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					
Cycle Length: 90						
Actuated Cycle Length: 90	)					

neturicu Oyere	Longin. 70				
Offset: 0 (0%),	Referenced to	phase 2:SBTL and	6:NBT, Start of	Green, Master	Intersection

Natural	Cycle:	50	
Control	T	المملم بالم ٨	<u> </u>

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.76

Intersection Signal Delay: 12.2

```
Intersection Capacity Utilization 59.7%
```

Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection LOS: B ICU Level of Service B

Splits and Phases: 14: Columbian St & Grove St



	٦	$\rightarrow$	1	1	Ŧ	1
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	*	1		<b>≜</b> ∿	<b>≜1</b> ⊾	
Traffic Volume (vph)	7	3	17	931	463	21
Future Volume (vph)	7	3	17	931	463	21
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Satd Flow (prot)	1583	1615	0	3537	3412	0
Flt Permitted	0.950	1015	0	0.9/13	3412	0
Satd Flow (norm)	1583	1615	0	2220	3/12	0
Pight Turn on Pod	1000	Voc	U	5554	J+12	Vos
Satd Flow (RTOP)		162 E			Т	162
Link Speed (mph)	20	0		20	20	
Link Speed (IIIpII)	3U 272			3U 247	50	
	212			30/	5// 12.1	
Traver Time (S)	6.2		0	8.3	13.1	-
Contil. Peds. (#/hr)	0.45	0.15	2	0.00	0.07	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.65	0.65	0.92	0.92	0.97	0.97
Heavy Vehicles (%)	14%	0%	0%	2%	5%	5%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	11	5	0	1030	499	0
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	pm+pt	NA	NA	
Protected Phases	8		1	6	2	
Permitted Phases		8	6			
Detector Phase	8	8	1	6	2	
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	12.0	12.0	
Minimum Split (s)	13.0	13.0	13.0	17.0	17.0	
Total Split (s)	25.0	25.0	20.0	65.0	45.0	
Total Split (%)	27.8%	27.8%	22.2%	72.2%	50.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	27.070	27.070	22.270	2.270 2 N	20.070 2 N	
All-Rod Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Timo Adjust (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
LUSE TIME AUJUSE (S)	U.U	0.0		0.0	U.U	
Total Lost Time (S)	5.0	5.0	1	5.0	5.0	
Lead/Lag			Lag		Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes		Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	8.0	8.0		82.8	82.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.09	0.09		0.92	0.92	
v/c Ratio	0.08	0.03		0.34	0.16	
Control Delay	39.1	24.0		1.6	0.6	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	39.1	24.0		1.6	0.6	
LOS	D	С		A	Α	
Approach Delay	34.4			1.6	0.6	
Approach LOS	C.			Α	Α	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	6	0		0	0	
Oueue Length 05th (ft)	16	7		85	Q	
Internal Link Dict (ft)	10	1		0J 207	107	
Turn David onath (ft)	192			207	47/	
Turri Day Leriyiri (il)	251	2/2		2072	21.40	
Base Capacity (Vpn)	351	362		3072	3140	
Starvation Cap Reductin	0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	

AM Scenario Signal Retiming under 2021 Estimated Trffic Conditions

	≯	$\mathbf{r}$	•	1	Ŧ	∢			
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR			
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0				
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.03	0.01		0.34	0.16				
Intersection Summary									
Area Type: 0	Other								
Cycle Length: 90									
Actuated Cycle Length: 90									
Offset: 69 (77%), Referenced	d to phase	2:SBT ar	nd 6:NBTL	_, Start of	Green				
Natural Cycle: 45									
Control Type: Actuated-Coor	dinated								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.34									
Intersection Signal Delay: 1.3	7			Int	ersection	LOS: A			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion 52.8%			IC	U Level o	f Service A			
Analysis Period (min) 15									

Splits and Phases: 15: Columbian St

Ø2 (R)	<b>N</b> Ø1	
45 s	20 s	
		A 08
65 s		25 s

1	2	13'	1/	2	0	2	1	
	~	0	• •	-	0	-		

	۶	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	←	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		ፈጉ			đĥ			đĥ			đ î ji	
Traffic Volume (vph)	27	531	218	208	562	110	139	229	207	257	486	27
Future Volume (vph)	27	531	218	208	562	110	139	229	207	257	486	27
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	0		0	125		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	0		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3391	0	0	3447	0	0	3341	0	0	3493	0
Flt Permitted		0.885			0.543			0.573			0.565	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	3007	0	0	1894	0	0	1937	0	0	2005	0
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		41			12			73			2	
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		437			335			367			287	
Travel Time (s)		9.9			7.6			8.3			6.5	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)							4					4
Peak Hour Factor	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	800	0	0	936	0	0	605	0	0	811	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases		1		2	12			9		10	9 10	
Permitted Phases	1			12			9			9 10		
Detector Phase	1	1		2	12		9	9		10	9 10	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0		6.0			10.0	10.0		5.0		
Minimum Split (s)	15.0	15.0		11.0			15.0	15.0		10.0		
Total Split (s)	54.0	54.0		12.0			47.0	47.0		10.0		
Total Split (%)	36.0%	36.0%		8.0%			31.3%	31.3%		6.7%		
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0		4.0			4.0	4.0		4.0		
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0			1.0	1.0		1.0		
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0						0.0				
Total Lost Time (s)		5.0						5.0				
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag		Lead			Lag	Lag		Lead		
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes			Yes	Yes		Yes		
Recall Mode	None	None		None			None	None		None		
Act Effct Green (s)		49.2			56.2			42.2			47.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.39			0.44			0.33			0.37	
v/c Ratio		0.67			1.01			0.88			1.09dl	
Control Delay		34.9			63.4			50.6			71.8	
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Delay		34.9			63.4			50.6			71.8	
LOS		С			E			D			E	
Approach Delay		34.9			63.4			50.6			71.8	
Approach LOS		С			E			D			Е	
Queue Length 50th (ft)		255			259			210			250	
Queue Length 95th (ft)		417			#621			#402			#572	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		357			255			287			207	
Turn Bay Length (ft)												

PM Scenario Signal Retiming under 2021 Estimated Trffic Conditions

Lane Group	Ø3	
Lane Configurations		
Traffic Volume (vph)		
Future Volume (vph)		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)		
Storage Length (ft)		
Storage Lanes		
Taper Length (ft)		
Satd. Flow (prot)		
Flt Permitted		
Satd. Flow (perm)		
Right Turn on Red		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		
Link Speed (mph)		
Link Distance (ft)		
Travel Time (s)		
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)		
Peak Hour Factor		
Heavy Vehicles (%)		
Shared Lane Traffic (%)		
Lane Group Flow (vph)		
Turn Type		
Protected Phases	3	
Permitted Phases		
Detector Phase		
Switch Phase		
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	27.0	
Total Split (s)	27.0	
Total Split (%)	18%	
Yellow Time (s)	2.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		
Total Lost Time (s)		
Lead/Lag		
Lead-Lag Optimize?		
Recall Mode	None	
Act Effct Green (s)		
Actuated g/C Ratio		
V/C Ratio		
Control Delay		
Queue Delay		
Total Delay		
LUS		
Approach Delay		
Approach LUS		
Queue Length 50th (tt)		
Queue Length 95th (ft)		
Internal Link Dist (ft)		
Turn Bay Length (ft)		

	≯	+	$\mathbf{F}$	•	+	*	≺	Ť	*	*	ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Base Capacity (vph)		1188			929			690			803	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0			0			0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.67			1.01			0.88			1.01	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 150												
Actuated Cycle Length: 12	7.2											
Natural Cycle: 150												
Control Type: Actuated-Un	coordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.01												
Intersection Signal Delay: 5	5.9			In	tersectior	LOS: E						
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation 103.0%	, )		IC	U Level o	of Service	G					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
# 95th percentile volume	95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.											
Queue shown is maxim	um after two	cycles.										
dl Defacto Left Lane. Re	code with 1	though la	ne as a le	eft lane.								

Splits and Phases: 10: Liberty St & Grove St

*	Ø2		. <b>#i</b> <sub>Ø3</sub>	▶ø10 \$ <b>1</b> ø9
12 s		54 s	27 s	10 s 47 s

12/31/2021

# Intersection Capacity Analysis 14: Columbian St & Grove St

	-	•	1	1	1	Ŧ
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	*	1	**	1		41
Traffic Volume (vph)	354	371	497	293	395	677
Future Volume (vph)	354	371	497	293	395	677
Ideal Flow (vnhnl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0	50	1700	250	150	1700
Storage Length (II)	1	1		230	130	
Tapor Longth (ff)	ן 25	1		1	ן גר	
Taper Lengin (ii)	20 1707	1500	2610	1500	20	2510
Salu. FIOW (PIOL)		1244	3010	1099	U	3010
	0.950	1500	2/10	1500	0	0.045
Sato. Flow (perm)	1/8/	1599	3610	1599	0	2305
Right Turn on Red		Yes		Yes		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		179		296		
Link Speed (mph)	30		30			30
Link Distance (ft)	637		577			356
Travel Time (s)	14.5		13.1			8.1
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.99	0.99	0.91	0.91
Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	369	386	502	296	0	1178
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	NΔ	Perm	nm₊nt	NΔ
Protected Phases	/		6	1 CHI	Б	2
Pormitted Dhases	4	Λ	0	6	ງ ງ	2
Detector Diago	1	4	6	0	Z F	n
Delector Phase	4	4	0	0	5	2
Switch Phase			40.0	10.0		10.0
Minimum Initial (s)	0.8	8.0	12.0	12.0	8.0	12.0
Minimum Split (s)	13.0	13.0	17.0	17.0	13.0	17.0
Total Split (s)	32.0	32.0	45.0	45.0	13.0	58.0
Total Split (%)	35.6%	35.6%	50.0%	50.0%	14.4%	64.4%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	1.5	0.0	-1.5	0.0		-1.5
Total Lost Time (s)	6.5	5.0	3.5	5.0		3.5
Lead/Lag			Lag	Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag			Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	C-May	C-May	None	C-Max
Act Effet Croop (c)	21.6	22.1		56 0	NULLE	
Actuated a/C Datia	21.0	23.1	0.4	0(2		0.4
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.24	0.26	0.65	0.63		0.65
V/C Ratio	0.86	0.71	0.21	0.26		0.79
Control Delay	52.1	23.0	6.7	1.3		17.5
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
Total Delay	52.1	23.0	6.7	1.3		17.5
LOS	D	С	А	А		В
Approach Delay	37.3		4.7			17.5
Approach LOS	D		А			В
Oueue Length 50th (ft)	197	103	53	0		238
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#296	198	80	23		373
Internal Link Dist (ft)	557	170	/07	23		276
Turn Ray Longth (ft)	557	FO	777	250		210
Pace Canadity (unb)	EQ/	205	11/1	200		1404
Base Capacity (Vpn)	506	605	2341	1119		1494

PM Scenario Signal Retiming under 2021 Estimated Trffic Conditions

# Intersection Capacity Analysis 14: Columbian St & Grove St

	1	•	1	1	1	ţ	
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.73	0.64	0.21	0.26		0.79	
Intersection Summary							
Area Type: C	Other						
Cycle Length: 90							
Actuated Cycle Length: 90							
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to	phase 2:	SBTL and	6:NBT, S	Start of G	reen, Ma	ster Interse	ection
Natural Cycle: 55							
Control Type: Actuated-Coor	dinated						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86							
Intersection Signal Delay: 19	.2			Int	ersectior	n LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on 75.6%			IC	U Level o	of Service [	)
Analysis Period (min) 15							
# 95th percentile volume ex	kceeds ca	oacity, qu	eue may	be longer			
Queue shown is maximun	n after two	cycles.					
Splits and Phases: 14: Col	umbian S	& Grove	St				
Ø2 (R)							<b>√</b> Ø4
58 s							32 s

Ø2 (R)	,	✓ Ø4
8 s		32 s
Ø5	Ø6 (R)	

12/31/2021	1	2/	31	1/2	021
------------	---	----	----	-----	-----

	٦	$\mathbf{r}$	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	*	1		<b>≜</b> 12	<b>A</b> 12	
Traffic Volume (vnh)	40	19	2	750	1026	5
Future Volume (vph)	40	19	2	750	1026	5
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Satd Flow (prot)	1752	1615	0	3570	3567	0
Flt Permitted	0.950	1015	0	0.953	5507	U
Satd Flow (perm)	1752	1501	0	3/02	3567	0
Right Turn on Pod	1752	Vac	0	5402	5507	Vas
Satd Flow (RTOP)		20			1	103
Link Snood (mnh)	30	27		30	30	
Link Distance (ff)	20			267	577	
	212			307	5// 10.1	
Confl Doda (#/br)	0.2	2		8.3	13.1	
Confi. Peas. (#/hr)	0.45	2	0.04	0.07	0.00	0.00
Peak Hour Factor	0.65	0.65	0.96	0.96	0.90	0.90
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	0%	50%	1%	1%	20%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)						
Lane Group Flow (vph)	62	29	0	783	1146	0
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	pm+pt	NA	NA	
Protected Phases	8		1	6	2	
Permitted Phases		8	6			
Detector Phase	8	8	1	6	2	
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	8.0	12.0	12.0	
Minimum Split (s)	13.0	13.0	13.0	17.0	17.0	
Total Split (s)	25.0	25.0	20.0	65.0	45.0	
Total Split (%)	27.8%	27.8%	22.2%	72.2%	50.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	0.0 5.0		5.0	0.0 Б.О	
	5.0	5.0	Log	5.0	U.C	
Lead Lag Optimized			Lay		Leau	
Leau-Lay Optimize?	Name	Mere	Yes	C Mar	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	
Act Effect Green (s)	9.2	9.2		/4.4	/4.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.10	0.10		0.83	0.83	
v/c Ratio	0.35	0.15		0.28	0.39	
Control Delay	42.6	15.4		2.8	2.0	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	42.6	15.4		2.8	2.0	
LOS	D	В		А	А	
Approach Delay	33.9			2.8	2.0	
Approach LOS	С			А	А	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	34	0		46	22	
Queue Lenath 95th (ft)	50	13		78	91	
Internal Link Dist (ft)	192			287	497	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	. / 2			207	. , ,	
Base Canacity (vnh)	220	276		2810	20/17	
Starvation Can Doducto	J07 	570		2010	<u>کرج</u>	
Snillback Can Doducto	0	0		0	0	
Spinback Cap Reductin	0	U		U	U	

PM Scenario Signal Retiming under 2021 Estimated Trffic Conditions

	٠	$\mathbf{x}$	•	+	1	1
		•	)		•	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.16	0.08		0.28	0.39	
Intersection Summary						
Area Type:	Other					
Cycle Length: 90						
Actuated Cycle Length: 9	90					
Offset: 16 (18%), Refere	nced to phase	2:SBT ar	nd 6:NBTI	L, Start of	Green	
Natural Cycle: 50						
Control Type: Actuated-0	Coordinated					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.39						
Intersection Signal Delay	r: 3.7			In	tersection	LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Uti	lization 43.5%			IC	U Level c	of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15						

Splits and Phases: 15: Columbian St & Driveway #60 Columbian



#### **APPENDIX N**

Intersection Capacity Analyses Weekday AM/PM Peak Hour 2030 Projected Traffic Conditions with the Proposed Improvements

# Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Hancock St & Washington St/Plain St

12/31/2021

	٦	-	$\mathbf{r}$	4	←	•	1	t	۲	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		र्च	1	1	el el		1	•	1	ľ	<b>∱î</b> ≽	
Traffic Volume (vph)	2	208	226	129	387	64	477	558	225	73	243	7
Future Volume (vph)	2	208	226	129	387	64	477	558	225	73	243	7
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	0		75	0		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	0		1	1		1	1		1	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		152			279			332			259	
Travel Time (s)		3.5			6.3			7.5			5.9	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	3					3	2					2
Peak Hour Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.91	0.91	0.91
Growth Factor	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	7%	5%	7%	5%	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%	6%	17%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	257	277	155	541	0	523	611	247	83	283	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA	pm+ov	pm+pt	NA		Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	
Protected Phases		9	5	10	9 10		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	9		9	9 10					2			
Detector Phase	9	9	5	10	9 10		5	2	2	1	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0			5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	10.0	11.0	9.0			11.0	10.0	10.0	11.0	10.0	
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0	42.0	15.0			42.0	35.0	35.0	22.0	15.0	
Total Split (%)	22.7%	22.7%	31.8%	11.4%			31.8%	26.5%	26.5%	16.7%	11.4%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0			3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0			2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		1.5	1.5	2.5			-1.0	-2.0	2.5	0.0	-1.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		6.5	6.5	6.5			4.0	3.0	7.5	5.0	4.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead	Lead	Lag			Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None			None	Min	Min	None	Min	
Act Effct Green (s)		23.8	59.6	32.3	40.4		38.4	42.3	37.8	9.4	11.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.22	0.55	0.30	0.37		0.36	0.39	0.35	0.09	0.10	
v/c Ratio		1.03	0.28	0.54	0.81		0.85	0.84	0.38	0.54	0.81	
Control Delay		107.0	2.0	40.6	42.4		47.6	43.9	14.8	61.4	66.4	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay		107.0	2.0	40.6	42.4		47.6	43.9	14.8	61.4	66.4	
LOS		F	A	D	D		D	D	В	E	E	
Approach Delay		52.5			42.0			40.1			65.3	
Approach LOS		D	6	( )	D		6.6.1	D	16	50	E	
Queue Length 50th (ft)		167	0	68	299		301	355	42	53	95	
Queue Length 95th (ft)		#410	25	158	#651		#706	#891	156	122	#228	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		72			199			252			1/9	
Turn Bay Length (tt)		050	070	005	( / 7		/ 4 7	700	( 10	004	0.40	
Base Capacity (vph)		250	9/3	285	667		617	/30	648	281	349	
Starvation Cap Reductin		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	

AM Scenario 2030 Projected Traffic Conditions with Proposed Improvements

Lane Group	Ø3
Lane Configurations	
Traffic Volume (vph)	
Future Volume (vph)	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	
Storage Length (ft)	
Storage Lanes	
Taper Length (ft)	
Right Turn on Red	
Link Speed (mph)	
Link Distance (ft)	
Travel Time (s)	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	
Peak Hour Factor	
Growth Factor	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	
Turn Type	
Protected Phases	3
Permitted Phases	
Detector Phase	
Switch Phase	
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	30.0
Total Split (s)	30.0
Total Split (%)	23%
Yellow Time (s)	2.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	
Total Lost Time (s)	
Lead/Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	
Recall Mode	None
Act Effct Green (s)	
Actuated g/C Ratio	
v/c Ratio	
Control Delay	
Queue Delay	
Total Delay	
LOS	
Approach Delay	
Approach LOS	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	
Internal Link Dist (ft)	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	
Base Capacity (vph)	
Starvation Cap Reductn	
Spillback Cap Reductn	

## Intersection Capacity Analysis

1: Hancock St & Washington St/Plain St 12/31/2021 1 t \$ ٭ ₹ Î ۴ ┛ € ┢ Lane Group EBR WBL WBT NBL NBT NBR EBL EBT WBR SBL SBT SBR Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 1.03 0.28 0.54 0.85 0.84 0.38 0.30 0.81 0.81 Intersection Summary Area Type: Other Cycle Length: 132 Actuated Cycle Length: 108 Natural Cycle: 130 Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.03 Intersection Signal Delay: 45.9 Intersection LOS: D Intersection Capacity Utilization 87.9% ICU Level of Service E Analysis Period (min) 15 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. #

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Hancock St & Washington St/Plain St

Ø1	Ø2		<b>∦1</b> <sub>Ø3</sub>	29 29	<b>★</b> ø10
22 s	35 s	3	30 s	30 s	15 s
<b>\$</b> Ø5	<del> </del>	Ø6			
42 s	15 s				

# Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: Plain St & John Mahar Hwy

1	2/3	31/	20	21

	≯	-	←	*	1	-		
Lane Group	FBI	FBT	WBT	WBR	SBI	SBR	Ø3	
Lane Configurations	T CDC	<u> </u>		1		7	00	
Traffic Volume (vnh)	151	254	568	391	225	127		
Future Volume (vph)	151	254	568	301	225	127		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Storage Length (ft)	200	1700	1700	125	0	0		
Storage Lanes	1			120	1	1		
Taper Length (ft)	75			•	25	•		
Right Turn on Red				Yes	20	No		
Link Speed (mph)		30	30		30			
Link Distance (ft)		1145	260		232			
Travel Time (s)		26.0	5.9		5.3			
Peak Hour Factor	0.87	0.87	0.95	0.95	0.90	0.90		
Growth Factor	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	2%	4%	4%	3%	5%	4%		
Shared Lane Traffic (%)								
Lane Group Flow (vph)	179	301	616	424	258	145		
Turn Type	Prot	NA	NA	pt+ov	Prot	pt+ov		
Protected Phases	5	2	6	64	4	4 5	3	
Permitted Phases								
Detector Phase	5	2	6	64	4	45		
Switch Phase								
Minimum Initial (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0		5.0		7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	12.0	12.0	12.0		10.0		24.0	
Total Split (s)	20.0	45.0	25.0		30.0		24.0	
Total Split (%)	20.2%	45.5%	25.3%		30.3%		24%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0		2.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0		1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	3.0	-3.0	-3.0		3.0			
Total Lost Time (s)	8.0	2.0	2.0		8.0			
Lead/Lag	Lag		Lead		Lag		Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes		Yes					
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max		Min		None	
Act Effct Green (s)	12.0	68.3	48.3	66.2	15.9	34.3		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.12	0.69	0.49	0.67	0.16	0.35		
v/c Ratio	0.84	0.24	0.69	0.37	0.93	0.27		
Control Delay	74.5	9.3	28.5	4.3	80.1	20.2		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	74.5	9.3	28.5	4.3	80.1	20.2		
LOS	E	А	С	А	F	С		
Approach Delay		33.6	18.6		58.5			
Approach LOS		С	В		E			
Queue Length 50th (ft)	112	50	260	23	162	63		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#216	185	#744	121	236	53		
Internal Link Dist (ft)		1065	180		152			
Turn Bay Length (ft)	200			125				
Base Capacity (vph)	214	1260	890	1124	382	633		
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0		

AM Scenario 2030 Projected Traffic Conditions with Proposed Improvements

#### ٩. 5 1 ٭ Lane Group SBR Ø3 EBL EBT WBT WBR SBL Reduced v/c Ratio 0.84 0.24 0.69 0.38 0.68 0.23 Intersection Summary Other Area Type: Cycle Length: 99 Actuated Cycle Length: 99 Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBT and 6:WBT, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 90 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93 Intersection Signal Delay: 30.7 Intersection LOS: C Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.9% ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min) 15 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. #

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 2: Plain St & John Mahar Hwy

→Ø2 (R)		₩A <sub>Ø3</sub>	Ø4
45 s		24 s	30 s
4	<b>1</b> /25		
25 s	20 s		

	≯	-	L.	∢	•	*	
Movement	EBL	EBR	SBL	SBR	NWL	NWR	 
Lane Configurations	ሻ	1	- Y		- M		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	32	394	6	39	925	17	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	32	394	6	39	925	17	
Sign Control	Free		Stop		Free		
Grade	0%		0%		0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.80	0.80	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	41	507	7	43	1024	19	
Pedestrians			4				
Lane Width (ft)			16.0				
Walking Speed (ft/s)			3.5				
Percent Blockage			1				
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type	None				None		
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)	260						
pX, platoon unblocked			0.94				
vC, conflicting volume	1047		1626	1038			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	1047		1634	1038			
tC, single (s)	4.1		6.4	6.2			
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	2.2		3.5	3.3			
p0 queue free %	94		93	85			
cM capacity (veh/h)	669		99	278			
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	SB 1	NW 1			
Volume Total	41	507	50	1043			
Volume Left	41	0	7	0			
Volume Right	0	0	43	19			
cSH	669	1700	222	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.06	0.30	0.23	0.61			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	5	0	21	0			
Control Delay (s)	10.7	0.0	25.9	0.0			
Lane LOS	В		D				
Approach Delay (s)	0.8		25.9	0.0			
Approach LOS			D				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			11				
Intersection Canacity Litilization	n		70 5%			of Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15				

	$\mathbf{x}$	2	1	×	3	~
Movement	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NEL	NER
Lane Configurations	1.			្ឋ	¥	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	376	0	0	943	27	19
Future Volume (Veh/h)	376	0	0	943	27	19
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	0.93	0.95	0.95	0.89	0.89
Hourly flow rate (vph)	416	0	0	1022	31	22
Pedestrians					5	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume			421		1443	421
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			421		1443	421
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %			100		79	96
cM capacity (veh/h)			1144		146	623
Direction, Lane #	SE 1	NW 1	NE 1			
Volume Total	416	1022	53			
Volume Left	0	0	31			
Volume Right	0	0	22			
cSH	1700	1144	215			
Volume to Capacity	0.24	0.00	0.25			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	24			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	27.2			
Lane LOS			D			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	27.2			
Approach LOS			D			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			1.0			
Intersection Capacity Util	ization		61.1%	IC	U Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	$\mathbf{x}$	2	1	×	3	~
Movement	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NEL	NER
Lane Configurations	1.			្ឋ	¥	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	396	3	3	938	6	5
Future Volume (Veh/h)	396	3	3	938	6	5
Sian Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.89	0.89	0.96	0.96	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	458	3	3	1006	7	6
Pedestrians				1	4	
Lane Width (ft)				12.0	12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)				3.5	3.5	
Percent Blockage				0	0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)				700		
pX, platoon unblocked					0.50	
vC, conflicting volume			465		1476	464
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			465		1451	464
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.4
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.5
p0 queue free %			100		90	99
cM capacity (veh/h)			1103		72	560
Direction, Lane #	SE 1	NW 1	NE 1			
Volume Total	461	1009	13			
Volume Left	0	3	7			
Volume Right	3	0	6			
cSH	1700	1103	120			
Volume to Capacity	0.27	0.00	0.11			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	9			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.1	38.7			
Lane LOS		А	E			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.1	38.7			
Approach LOS			E			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.4			
Intersection Capacity Utili	zation		63.6%	IC	U Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	4	$\mathbf{x}$	×	ť	í,	*-		
Movement	SEL	SET	NWT	NWR	SWL	SWR		
Lane Configurations		र्स	1⇒			1		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	442	898	9	0	38		
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	442	898	9	0	38		
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop			
Grade		0%	0%		0%			
Peak Hour Factor	0.87	0.87	0.97	0.97	0.89	0.89		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	523	954	10	0	44		
Pedestrians					1			
Lane Width (ft)					12.0			
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5			
Percent Blockage					0			
Right turn flare (veh)								
Median type		None	None					
Median storage veh)								
Upstream signal (ft)			228					
pX, platoon unblocked	0.50				0.50	0.50		
vC, conflicting volume	965				1483	960		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol								
vC2, stage 2 conf vol								
vCu, unblocked vol	439				1466	429		
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.5	6.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)								
tF (s)	2.2				3.6	3.3		
p0 queue free %	100				100	86		
cM capacity (veh/h)	559				69	314		
Direction Lane #	SF 1	NW 1	SW 1				ŗ	
Volume Total	572	96/	11				1	
	0	704 0	- 0					
Volume Right	0	10	11					
rSH	550	1700	21/					
Volumo to Canacity	0.00	0.57	0.14					
Ouque Longth 95th (ft)	0.00	0.57	12					
Control Dolay (s)	0	0.0	10.2					
Lang LOS	0.0	0.0	10.3					
Approach Dolay (s)	0.0	0.0	18.2					
Approach LOS	0.0	0.0	10.5					
			U					
Intersection Summary								
Average Delay			0.5					
Intersection Capacity Utili	zation		59.2%	IC	U Level (	of Service		
Analysis Period (min)			15					

Intersection Capacity Analysis 8: Hemlock St/Plaza M.Driveway & Grove St

12/31/2021

	4	×	2	*	×	ť	3	×	~	í,	*	*
Lane Group	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	4			4			4			र्भ	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	100	301	1	3	842	48	4	0	5	82	3	59
Future Volume (vph)	100	301	1	3	842	48	4	0	5	82	3	59
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	75		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	0		0	0		0	0		1
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		228			362			214			162	
Travel Time (s)		5.2			8.2			4.9			3.7	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			4	4					1	1		
Peak Hour Factor	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.87	0.87	0.87
Growth Factor	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	5%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	110	331	0	0	1058	0	0	18	0	0	101	70
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	1	6			2			4			4	
Permitted Phases	6			2			4			4		4
Detector Phase	1	6		2	2		4	4		4	4	4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	3.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	7.0	15.0		15.0	15.0		15.0	15.0		15.0	15.0	15.0
Total Split (s)	7.0	82.0		75.0	75.0		15.0	15.0		15.0	15.0	15.0
Total Split (%)	5.8%	68.3%		62.5%	62.5%		12.5%	12.5%		12.5%	12.5%	12.5%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	5.0			5.0			5.0			5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag		Lag	Lag		Lag	Lag	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes			Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	C-Min		C-Min	C-Min		None	None		None	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	91.9	90.9			80.9			14.5			14.5	14.5
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.77	0.76			0.67			0.12			0.12	0.12
v/c Ratio	0.27	0.24			0.87			0.07			0.64	0.26
Control Delay	6.6	5.8			26.0			0.6			69.2	10.9
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0			6.7			0.0			0.0	0.0
Total Delay	6.6	5.8			32.7			0.6			69.2	10.9
LOS	А	А			С			A			E	В
Approach Delay		6.0			32.7			0.6			45.3	
Approach LOS		A			С			A			D	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	16	59			562			0			74	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	51	152			#1042			0			#181	33
Internal Link Dist (ft)		148			282			134			82	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	75											
Base Capacity (vph)	402	1371			1223			255			158	266
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0			132			0			0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0			0			0			0	0

AM Scenario 2030 Projected Traffic Conditions with Proposed Improvements

Lane Group	Ø3
LaneConfigurations	
Traffic Volume (vph)	
Future Volume (vph)	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	
Storage Length (ft)	
Storage Lanes	
Taper Length (ft)	
Right Turn on Red	
Link Speed (mph)	
Link Distance (ft)	
Travel Time (s)	
Confl Peds (#/hr)	
Peak Hour Factor	
Growth Eactor	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	
Lane Group Flow (uph)	
Drotacted Dhases	2
Dermitted Dhases	3
Dotoctor Dhases	
Switch Dhaco	
Switch Phase	ΕQ
Minimum Colit (S)	5.0
IVIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	23.0
Total Spill (S)	23.0
Total Spill (%)	19%
Yellow Time (S)	2.0
All-Red Time (S)	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	
Total Lost Time (s)	
Lead/Lag	Lead
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes
Recall Mode	None
Act Effct Green (s)	
Actuated g/C Ratio	
v/c Ratio	
Control Delay	
Queue Delay	
Total Delay	
LOS	
Approach Delay	
Approach LOS	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	
Internal Link Dist (ft)	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	
Base Capacity (vph)	
Starvation Cap Reductn	
Spillback Cap Reductn	
Spinouon Oup neudoin	

#### Intersection Capacity Analysis 8: Hemlock St/Plaza M.Driveway & Grove St

3 ٣ ٢ ٤ ¥ ي ا ٦ ×  $\mathbf{\lambda}$ š ≯ ~ Lane Group SER NWL NWT NWR NEL NET NER SWL SEL SET SWT SWR Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.27 0.24 0.97 0.07 0.64 0.26 Intersection Summary Area Type: Other Cycle Length: 120 Actuated Cycle Length: 120 Offset: 7 (6%), Referenced to phase 2:NWTL and 6:SETL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 110 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.87 Intersection LOS: C Intersection Signal Delay: 26.6 Intersection Capacity Utilization 87.0% ICU Level of Service E Analysis Period (min) 15 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. # Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 8: Hemlock St/Plaza M.Driveway & Grove St

Ø 2 (R)	A Aga	<b>X</b> <sub>Ø4</sub>
7 s 75 s	23 s	15 s
X 00 (R)		
82 s		

12/31/2021

		$\mathbf{X}$	×	₹.	<u></u>	*	
Movement	SEL	SET	NWT	NWR	SWL	SWR	
Lane Configurations	-	41	1.		5	1	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	17	329	874	69	0	25	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	17	329	874	69	0	25	
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop		
Grade		0%	0%		0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.87	0.87	0.97	0.97	0.88	0.88	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	20	390	928	73	0	29	
Pedestrians			1				
Lane Width (ft)			12.0				
Walking Speed (ft/s)			3.5				
Percent Blockage			0				
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type		None	None				
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)		362	437				
pX, platoon unblocked	0.47				0.47	0.47	
vC, conflicting volume	1001				1200	964	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	437				862	360	
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.9	6.9	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %	96				100	90	
cM capacity (veh/h)	532				132	302	
Direction, Lane #	SE 1	SE 2	NW 1	SW 1	SW 2		
Volume Total	150	260	1001	0	29		Ī
Volume Left	20	0	0	0	0		
Volume Right	0	0	73	0	29		
cSH	532	1700	1700	1700	302		
Volume to Capacity	0.04	0.15	0.59	0.00	0.10		
Oueue Length 95th (ft)	3	0	0	0	8		
Control Delay (s)	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.2		
Lane LOS	A	5.0	0.0	A	C		
Approach Delay (s)	0.7		0.0	18.2	Ū		
Approach LOS			0.0	С			
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.6				
Intersection Capacity Util	lization		61.7%	IC	U Level	of Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15				

1	21	3	1/	12	n	2	1
	~	U	• /	~	v	~	

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{v}}$	4	+	•	1	1	۲	1	ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲.	<b>≜</b> 1,		<u>ک</u>	•	1	٦	•	1	<u>۲</u>	¢Î	
Traffic Volume (vph)	18	227	86	140	717	122	264	526	191	104	270	24
Future Volume (vph)	18	227	86	140	717	122	264	526	191	104	270	24
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	0		50	175		50	0		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	1		1	1		1	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			50			50			50		
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		437			335			367			287	
Travel Time (s)		9.9			7.6			8.3			6.5	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	1		2	2		1						
Peak Hour Factor	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.85	0.85	0.85
Growth Factor	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	4%	4%	4%	5%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	8%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	20	343	0	150	769	131	306	609	221	126	356	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA	pm+ov	pm+pt	NA	pm+ov	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases		2		1	6	7	3	8	1	7	4	
Permitted Phases	2			6		6	8		8	4		
Detector Phase	2	2		1	6	7	3	8	1	7	4	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0		5.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	15.0	15.0		9.0	15.0	9.0	9.0	15.0	9.0	9.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	58.0	58.0		11.0	69.0	10.0	24.0	44.0	11.0	10.0	30.0	
Total Split (%)	38.7%	38.7%		7.3%	46.0%	6.7%	16.0%	29.3%	7.3%	6.7%	20.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0		3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0		4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag		Lead		Lead	Lead	Lag	Lead	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	C-Max	C-Max		None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	53.0	53.0		65.0	64.0	78.1	71.6	53.5	65.5	52.7	38.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.35	0.35		0.43	0.43	0.52	0.48	0.36	0.44	0.35	0.26	
v/c Ratio	0.38	0.29		0.38	1.00	0.16	0.69	0.93	0.30	0.62	0.76	
Control Delay	60.7	31.4		29.9	74.0	7.6	36.5	66.8	21.5	45.5	61.8	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	60.7	31.4		29.9	74.0	7.6	36.5	66.8	21.5	45.5	61.8	
LOS	E	С		С	E	А	D	E	С	D	E	
Approach Delay		33.0			59.4			49.9			57.5	
Approach LOS		С			E			D			E	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	15	111		90	743	29	172	546	91	63	307	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	47	153		141	#1028	43	#411	#972	187	#232	#568	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		357			255			287			207	
Turn Bay Length (ft)						50	175		50			
Base Capacity (vph)	53	1194		394	772	841	442	658	728	203	469	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

AM Scenario 2030 Projected Traffic Conditions with Proposed Improvements

Lane Group	Ø9
Lane Configurations	
Traffic Volume (vph)	
Future Volume (vph)	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	
Storage Length (ft)	
Storage Lanes	
Taper Length (ft)	
Right Turn on Red	
Link Speed (mph)	
Link Distance (ft)	
Travel Time (s)	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	
Peak Hour Factor	
Growth Factor	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	
Turn Type	
Protected Phases	9
Permitted Phases	
Detector Phase	
Switch Phase	
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	27.0
Total Split (s)	27.0
Total Split (%)	18%
Yellow Time (s)	2.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	
Total Lost Time (s)	
Lead/Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	
Recall Mode	None
Act Effct Green (s)	
Actuated g/C Ratio	
v/c Ratio	
Control Delay	
Queue Delay	
Total Delay	
LOS	
Approach Delav	
Approach LOS	
Queue Lenath 50th (ft)	
Oueue Length 95th (ft)	
Internal Link Dist (ft)	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	
Base Capacity (vph)	
Starvation Can Reductn	
Spillback Cap Reductn	

10: Liberty St & Gr	ove St										12/3	1/2021
	٦	+	*	4	+	*	•	1	1	1	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.38	0.29		0.38	1.00	0.16	0.69	0.93	0.30	0.62	0.76	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 150												
Actuated Cycle Length: 150	C											
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 2:	EBTL and	d 6:WBTL	, Start of	Green, M	aster Inte	rsection					
Natural Cycle: 150	•											
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.00												
Intersection Signal Delay: 5	52.4			In	tersectior	LOS: D						
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 97.5%			IC	U Level o	of Service	F					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
# 95th percentile volume	exceeds car	bacity, qu	eue may	be longer	r.							
Queue shown is maximi	um after two	cycles.	j	Ū								

### Splits and Phases: 10: Liberty St & Grove St

€ Ø1 • → Ø2 (R)	1 1 <sub>09</sub>	<b>▲</b> Ø3	Ø4
11 s 58 s	27 s	24 s	30 s
		Ø7 MØ8	
69 s		10 s 44 s	

	≯	$\mathbf{r}$	1	<b>†</b>	Ŧ	-
Movement	FBI	FBR	NBI	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥	2011		4	1	0.0.1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	32	26	17	646	356	43
Future Volume (Veh/h)	32	26	17	646	356	43
Sign Control	Stop	20	.,	Free	Free	10
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.91	0.91	0.98	0.98	0.85	0.85
Hourly flow rate (yph)	36	29	18	679	//31	52
Podostrians	20	27	10	077	101	JZ
Lano Width (ft)	12.0					
Lane Wiuli (II) Walking Spood (ft/s)	12.0					
Valking Speed (II/S)	3.0					
Percerit Diuckaye	U					
Right turn hare (ven)				Mono	None	
Median type				None	None	
Median storage ven)				007		
Upstream signal (ft)	0.40			287		
pX, platoon unblocked	0.68					
vC, conflicting volume	1174	459	485			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	1022	459	485			
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.2			
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.3			
p0 queue free %	80	95	98			
cM capacity (veh/h)	177	597	1055			
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	65	697	483			
Volume Left	36	18	0			
Volume Right	29	0	52			
cSH	257	1055	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.25	0.02	0.28			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	24	1	0			
Control Delay (s)	23.6	0.5	0.0			
Lane LOS	С	А				
Approach Delay (s)	23.6	0.5	0.0			
Approach LOS	С					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			1.5			
Intersection Capacity Utili	zation		59.2%	IC	CU Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	-	$\mathbf{r}$	1	-	1	1
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	f,			र्स	- ¥	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	541	2	0	970	2	2
Future Volume (Veh/h)	541	2	0	970	2	2
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.88	0.88	0.50	0.50
Hourly flow rate (vph)	580	2	0	1135	4	4
Pedestrians	2				2	
Lane Width (ft)	12.0				12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)	3.5				3.5	
Percent Blockage	0				0	
Right turn flare (veh)	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i				0	
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ff)						
pX_platoon unblocked						
vC. conflicting volume			584		1720	583
vC1_stage 1 conf vol			001		1720	000
vC2_stage 2 conf vol						
			584		1720	583
tC single (s)			4 1		6.4	6.2
tC 2 stage (s)					0.1	0.2
tF (s)			22		35	33
n0 queue free %			100		96	99
cM capacity (veh/h)			999		99	515
					,,,	010
Direction, Lane #	EB I	WB I	INR I			
Volume I otal	582	1135	8			
Volume Left	0	0	4			
Volume Right	2	0	4			
cSH	1700	999	166			
Volume to Capacity	0.34	0.00	0.05			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	4			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	27.7			
Lane LOS			D			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	27.7			
Approach LOS			D			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.1			
Intersection Capacity Utili	zation		62.6%	IC	U Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
	-	$\mathbf{r}$	1	-	1	1
------------------------------	---------	--------------	--------	------	-------------	------------
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	4î			र्स	¥	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	542	3	11	967	2	19
Future Volume (Veh/h)	542	3	11	967	2	19
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.97	0.97	0.89	0.89	0.88	0.88
Hourly flow rate (vph)	576	3	13	1119	2	22
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)				968		
pX, platoon unblocked					0.74	
vC, conflicting volume			579		1722	578
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			579		1799	578
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %			99		97	96
cM capacity (veh/h)			1005		65	510
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1			
Volume Total	579	1132	24			
Volume Left	0	13	2			
Volume Right	3	0	22			
cSH	1700	1005	325			
Volume to Capacity	0.34	0.01	0.07			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0.01	1	6			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	04	16.9			
Lane LOS	0.0	Δ	С			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.4	16.9			
Approach LOS	0.0	0.1	, С			
Intersection Summary			5			
Average Delev			0.5			
Average Delay	ation					f Conda-
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	2011011		/1.5%	IC	, o revel (	DI SEIVICE
Analysis Period (min)			15			

# Intersection Capacity Analysis 14: Columbian St & Grove St

1	2	3	1/	2	0	2	1

	1	•	1	1	1	Ŧ	
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	Ø9
Lane Configurations	*	1	٠	1		<b>∆</b> \$	
Traffic Volume (vph)	237	315	672	266	288	247	
Future Volume (vph)	237	315	672	266	288	247	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Storage Length (ft)	0	50	1700	250	150	1700	
Storage Lanes	1	1		1	0		
Taper Length (ft)	25	•		•	25		
Right Turn on Red	20	Yes		Yes	20		
Link Speed (mph)	30	105	30	105		30	
Link Distance (ft)	637		577			356	
Travel Time (s)	14.5		13.1			81	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.88	0.88	
Growth Factor	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	.00%	.00%	1%	6%	7%	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	0,0	0,0	0,0	175	070	110	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	265	353	752	298	0	626	
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases	4	1 5111	6	1 0111	5	2	9
Permitted Phases		4		6	2	2	,
Detector Phase	4	4	6	6	5	2	
Switch Phase			Ū	Ū	U	~ ~	
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	12.0	12.0	4 0	12 0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	13.0	13.0	17.0	17.0	9.0	17.0	22.0
Total Split (s)	27.0	27.0	51.0	51.0	12.0	63.0	22.0
Total Split (%)	24.1%	24.1%	45.5%	45.5%	10.7%	56.3%	20%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	1.5	0.0	-1.5	0.0	2.0	-1.5	1.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.5	5.0	35	5.0		3.5	
Lead/Lag	0.0	0.0	Lan	Lan	Lead	0.0	
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes	Yes	Yes		
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	None
Act Effct Green (s)	18.9	20 4	78.7	77.2	None	78.7	NONC
Actuated a/C Ratio	0.7	0.18	0.7	0.60		0.70	
v/c Ratio	0.17	0.10	0.70	0.07		0.70	
Control Delay	0.70	13.2	0.50	1.2		11.6	
	0.0	43.2	0.4	0.0		0.0	
Total Dolay	0.0 ר רר	13.2	7.6	1.2		11.6	
		43.Z	7.0 A	1.Ζ		11.0 D	
Approach Dolay	۲ ۲۵ ۵	U	F O	А		D 11 4	
Approach LOS	38.U E		۵.۵ ۸			11.0 D	
Appilacii LOS Quouo Longth 50th (ft)	105	107	A 222	10		D 04	
Queue Length OEth (ft)	100 #200	137	223	13		00 00E	
Queue Lengin 95in (II)	#322	#280	294	22		225	
	557	50	497	250		276	
Turn Bay Length (II)	220	50	100/	250		100/	
Base Capacity (vph)	320	436	1296	11/6		1236	
Starvation Cap Reductin	U	U	16/	0		0	
Spiliback Cap Reductin	0	0	0	0		0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0	

AM Scenario 2030 Projected Traffic Conditions with Proposed Improvements

#### ×, t \$ ŧ 1 Lane Group WBR NBT SBL SBT Ø9 WBL NBR Reduced v/c Ratio 0.83 0.81 0.67 0.25 0.51 Intersection Summary Other Area Type: Cycle Length: 112 Actuated Cycle Length: 112 Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:SBTL and 6:NBT, Start of Green, Master Intersection Natural Cycle: 90 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.90 Intersection Signal Delay: 21.5 Intersection LOS: C Intersection Capacity Utilization 78.5% ICU Level of Service D Analysis Period (min) 15 # 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. dl Defacto Left Lane. Recode with 1 though lane as a left lane.

#### Splits and Phases: 14: Columbian St & Grove St

👽 Ø2 (R) 📮	<b>₹</b> Ø4	₩ <b>k</b> ø9
63 s	27 s	22 s
▶ø5 🖡 ¶ø6 (R)		
12 s 51 s		

# Intersection Capacity Analysis 15: Columbian St & Driveway #60 Columbian

12/31/2021	1	2/	31	1/2	021
------------	---	----	----	-----	-----

	٦	$\rightarrow$	1	1	Ŧ	-	
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	Ø9
Lane Configurations	<b>N</b>	1	8	*	<b>4</b> 12		
Traffic Volume (vph)	7	3	17	931	463	21	
Future Volume (vph)	7	3	17	931	463	21	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Storage Length (ft)	0	0	50	1700	1700	0	
Storage Lanes	1	1	1			0	
Taper Length (ft)	25	•	50			Ū	
Right Turn on Red	20	Yes				Yes	
Link Speed (mph)	30			30	30		
Link Distance (ft)	272			367	577		
Travel Time (s)	6.2			8.3	13.1		
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)			2			2	
Peak Hour Factor	0.65	0.65	0.92	0.92	0.97	0.97	
Growth Factor	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	14%	0%	0%	2%	5%	5%	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	11/5	0,0	0,0	2,3	070	570	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	11	5	19	1042	514	0	
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	pm+nt	NA	NA	J	
Protected Phases	8		1	6	2		9
Permitted Phases		8	6	3			
Detector Phase	8	8	1	6	2		
Switch Phase	0	Ű		Ŭ	_		
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	4.0	12.0	12.0		5.0
Minimum Split (s)	13.0	13.0	9.0	17.0	17.0		22.0
Total Split (s)	25.0	25.0	10.0	65.0	55.0		22.0
Total Split (%)	22.3%	22.3%	8.9%	58.0%	49.1%		20%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		2.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		
Lead/Lag			Lao		Lead		
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes		Yes		
Recall Mode	None	None	None	C-Max	C-Max		None
Act Effct Green (s)	6.4	6.4	97.6	100.6	96.6		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.06	0.06	0.87	0.90	0.86		
v/c. Ratio	0.12	0.05	0.02	0.62	0.17		
Control Delay	52.6	30.7	4.0	8.0	4.8		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	52.6	30.7	4.0	8.0	4.8		
LOS	D	C.	Α	Α	A		
Approach Delay	45.7	0		79	4 8		
Approach LOS	D			Δ	Δ		
Queue Length 50th (ft)	8	0	1	0	0		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	19	8	13	#830	m197		
Internal Link Dist (ft)	102	0	13	287	<u>1</u> 97		
Turn Bay Length (ft)	172		50	207	-1/1		
Base Canacity (vnh)	282	202	806	167/	20/18		
Starvation Can Reductn	202	272	000	0,4	2740		
Snillhack Cap Reductn	0	0	0	20	0		
Shinnary Cab IVEnnetin	0	0	0	20	U		

AM Scenario 2030 Projected Traffic Conditions with Proposed Improvements

## Intersection Capacity Analysis 15: Columbian St & Driveway #60 Columbia

15: Columbian St		12/31/202						
	٦	$\mathbf{F}$	1	1	ţ	∢		
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	Ø9	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0			
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.63	0.17			
Intersection Summary								
Area Type:	Other							
Cycle Length: 112								
Actuated Cycle Length: 11	2							
Offset: 87 (78%), Reference	ed to phase	2:SBT ar	nd 6:NBTI	_, Start of	Green			
Natural Cycle: 90								
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.62								
Intersection Signal Delay:	7.3			Int	tersectior	n LOS: A		
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation 63.0%			IC	U Level o	of Service E	3	
Analysis Period (min) 15								
# OEth perceptile volume	ovcoods car	ancity au	ouo mov	ho longor				

# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Splits and Phases: 15: Columbian St & Driveway #60 Columbian

Ø2 (R)	<b>1</b> Ø1		₩ <b>k</b> ø9
55 s	10 s		22 s
<1 Ø6 (R)		A 08	
65 s		25 s	

# Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Hancock St & Washington St/Plain St

12/31/2021

	۶	-	$\mathbf{r}$	4	+	•	•	t	1	1	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		ર્સ	1	ሻ	f,		ሻ	•	1	۲	<b>4</b> 16	
Traffic Volume (vph)	5	412	562	237	319	53	258	348	173	81	452	5
Future Volume (vph)	5	412	562	237	319	53	258	348	173	81	452	5
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	0		75	0		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	0		1	1		1	1		1	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		152			279			332			259	
Travel Time (s)		3.5			6.3			7.5			5.9	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	1					1	3					3
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.87	0.87	0.87
Growth Factor	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	443	597	263	413	0	283	382	190	95	536	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA	pm+ov	pm+pt	NA		Prot	NA	Perm	Prot	NA	
Protected Phases		9	5	10	9 10		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	9		9	9 10					2			
Detector Phase	9	9	5	10	9 10		5	2	2	1	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0			5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	10.0	11.0	9.0			11.0	10.0	10.0	11.0	10.0	
Total Split (s)	39.0	39.0	20.0	12.0			20.0	19.0	19.0	20.0	19.0	
Total Split (%)	32.5%	32.5%	16.7%	10.0%			16.7%	15.8%	15.8%	16.7%	15.8%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0			3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0			2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		1.5	1.5	2.5			-1.0	-2.0	2.5	0.0	-1.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		6.5	6.5	6.5			4.0	3.0	7.5	5.0	4.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead	Lead	Lag			Lead	Lag	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None			None	Min	Min	None	Min	
Act Effct Green (s)		26.4	40.3	32.1	40.3		16.4	25.1	20.4	9.3	15.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.29	0.45	0.36	0.45		0.18	0.28	0.23	0.10	0.17	
v/c Ratio		0.81	0.57	1.17	0.50		0.88	0.73	0.37	0.51	0.89	
Control Delay		43.0	3.4	145.3	21.5		66.3	43.8	10.2	50.9	56.2	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay		43.0	3.4	145.3	21.5		66.3	43.8	10.2	50.9	56.2	
LOS		D	А	F	С		E	D	В	D	E	
Approach Delay		20.3			69.7			43.8			55.4	
Approach LOS		С			E			D			E	
Queue Length 50th (ft)		208	0	~99	138		147	190	3	49	147	
Queue Length 95th (ft)		#510	52	#356	353		#442	#607	78	120	#364	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		72			199			252			179	
Turn Bay Length (ft)												
Base Capacity (vph)		694	1046	224	815		323	524	507	308	605	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	

PM Scenario 2030 Projected Traffic Conditions with Proposed Improvements

Lane Group	Ø3
Lane Configurations	
Traffic Volume (vph)	
Future Volume (vph)	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	
Storage Length (ft)	
Storage Lanes	
Taper Length (ft)	
Right Turn on Red	
Link Speed (mph)	
Link Distance (ft)	
Travel Time (s)	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	
Peak Hour Factor	
Growth Factor	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	
Turn Type	
Protected Phases	3
Permitted Phases	
Detector Phase	
Switch Phase	
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	30.0
Total Split (s)	30.0
Total Split (%)	25%
Yellow Time (s)	2.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	
Total Lost Time (s)	
Lead/Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	
Recall Mode	None
Act Effct Green (s)	
Actuated g/C Ratio	
v/c Ratio	
Control Delay	
Queue Delay	
Total Delay	
LOS	
Approach Delay	
Approach LOS	
Oueue Length 50th (ft)	
Oueue Length 95th (ft)	
Internal Link Dist (ft)	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	
Base Capacity (vph)	
Starvation Can Reducto	

# Intersection Capacity Analysis

1: Hancock St & Washington St/Plain St 12/31/2021 ۶ ₹ t 6 ٩ ۲ T ┛ € ┢ Lane Group EBR WBL WBT NBL NBT NBR EBL EBT WBR SBL SBT SBR Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.64 0.57 0.88 0.73 0.37 0.31 0.89 1.17 0.51 Intersection Summary Area Type: Other Cycle Length: 120 Actuated Cycle Length: 89.9 Natural Cycle: 150 Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.17 Intersection Signal Delay: 43.9 Intersection LOS: D Intersection Capacity Utilization 86.6% ICU Level of Service E Analysis Period (min) 15 Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. # 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Hancock St & Washington St/Plain St

Ø1	Ø2	A kos		₩ Ø10
20 s	19 s	30 s	39 s	12 s
<b>\$</b> Ø5	↓ Ø6			
20 s	19 s			

# Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: Plain St & John Mahar Hwy

	≯	-	+	•	×	1		
Lane Groun	FRI	FBT	WBT	WBR	SBI	SBR	Ø3	
Lane Configurations	100		*	1		7	20	
Traffic Volume (vph)	159	493	426	297	392	181		
Future Volume (vph)	159	473	426	297	392	181		
Ideal Flow (vnhnl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Storage Length (ft)	200	1700	1700	125	0	0		
Storage Lanes	1			120	1	1		
Taper Length (ft)	75			•	25	•		
Right Turn on Red	70			Yes	20	No		
Link Speed (mph)		30	30	105	30	110		
Link Distance (ff)		1145	260		232			
Travel Time (s)		26.0	59		53			
Confl Peds (#/hr)		20.0	0.7		0.0	1		
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.84	0.84	0.99	0.99		
Growth Factor	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%		
Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	3%	5%	3%	2%	3%		
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	175	0,0	0,0	0,0	2,0	570		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	176	547	517	361	404	186		
Turn Type	Prot	NA	NA	pt+ov	Prot	pt+ov		
Protected Phases	5	2	6	64	4	4 5	3	
Permitted Phases		_	-	- /			-	
Detector Phase	5	2	6	64	4	4 5		
Switch Phase	-	_	-					
Minimum Initial (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0		5.0		7.0	
Minimum Split (s)	12.0	12.0	12.0		10.0		24.0	
Total Split (s)	22.0	51.0	29.0		45.0		24.0	
Total Split (%)	18.3%	42.5%	24.2%		37.5%		20%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0		2.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0		1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	3.0	-3.0	-3.0		3.0			
Total Lost Time (s)	8.0	2.0	2.0		8.0			
Lead/Lag	Lag		Lead		Lag		Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes		Yes		Ŭ			
Recall Mode	None	C-Max	C-Max		Min		None	
Act Effct Green (s)	14.0	76.3	54.3	85.2	28.9	49.3		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.12	0.64	0.45	0.71	0.24	0.41		
v/c Ratio	0.87	0.47	0.63	0.30	0.95	0.29		
Control Delay	89.6	16.6	32.6	2.9	76.6	20.7		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	89.6	16.6	32.6	2.9	76.6	20.7		
LOS	F	В	С	А	E	С		
Approach Delay		34.3	20.4		59.0			
Approach LOS		С	С		E			
Queue Length 50th (ft)	136	179	277	14	308	92		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	#266	489	#649	63	401	73		
Internal Link Dist (ft)		1065	180		152			
Turn Bay Length (ft)	200			125				
Base Capacity (vph)	202	1173	819	1183	545	749		
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0		

PM Scenario 2030 Projected Traffic Conditions with Proposed Improvements

# Intersection Capacity Analysis 2: Plain St & John Mahar Hwy

	٠		+	•	5	1		
	-	-			-	-		
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	Ø3	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.87	0.47	0.63	0.31	0.74	0.25		
Intersection Summary								
Area Type:	Other							
Cycle Length: 120								
Actuated Cycle Length: 120								
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced t	o phase 2:1	EBT and	6:WBT, S	Start of Gr	een			
Natural Cycle: 100								
Control Type: Actuated-Coo	rdinated							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.95								
Intersection Signal Delay: 35	5.4			In	tersection	LOS: D		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	tion 70.7%			IC	U Level o	of Service	С	
Analysis Period (min) 15								
# 95th percentile volume e	exceeds cap	bacity, qu	eue may	be longer				
Queue shown is maximu	m after two	cycles.	5	Ū				

Splits and Phases: 2: Plain St & John Mahar Hwy

→ø2 (R)		A Aga	<b>₩</b> <sub>04</sub>
51 s		24 s	45 s
▲▲ Ø6 (R)	₽ <b>₽</b> Ø5		
29 s	22 s		

	٦	-	L.	~	•	*
Movement	EBL	EBR	SBL	SBR	NWL	NWR
Lane Configurations	5	*	- M		- M	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	32	848	5	29	689	15
Future Volume (Veh/h)	32	848	5	29	689	15
Sign Control	Free		Stop		Free	
Grade	0%		0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	0.93	0.85	0.85	0.91	0.91
Hourly flow rate (vph)	35	930	6	35	772	17
Pedestrians			1			
Lane Width (ft)			16.0			
Walking Speed (ft/s)			3.5			
Percent Blockage			0			
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None				None	
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)	260					
pX, platoon unblocked			0.84			
vC, conflicting volume	790		1782	782		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	790		1837	782		
tC, single (s)	4.1		6.4	6.2		
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2		3.5	3.3		
p0 queue free %	96		91	91		
cM capacity (veh/h)	838		67	392		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	SB 1	NW 1		
Volume Total	35	930	41	789		
Volume Left	35	0	6	0		
Volume Right	0	0	35	17		
cSH	838	1700	230	1700		
Volume to Capacity	0.04	0.55	0.18	0.46		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	3	0	16	0		
Control Delay (s)	9.5	0.0	24.0	0.0		
Lane LOS	А		С			
Approach Delay (s)	0.3		24.0	0.0		
Approach LOS			С			
Intersection Summarv						
Average Delay			0.7			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		56.9%	IC	Ulevelo	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15		2 201010	

	$\mathbf{x}$	2	1	×	3	~	
Movement	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NEL	NER	
Lane Configurations	1,	-		र्स	۷		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	802	0	0	671	26	19	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	802	0	0	671	26	19	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.91	0.91	0.94	0.94	0.59	0.59	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	899	0	0	728	45	33	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type	None			None			
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume			899		1627	899	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol			899		1627	899	
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %			100		59	90	
cM capacity (veh/h)			764		111	340	
Direction, Lane #	SE 1	NW 1	NE 1				
Volume Total	899	728	78				
Volume Left	0	0	45				
Volume Right	0	0	33				
cSH	1700	764	155				
Volume to Capacity	0.53	0.00	0.50				
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	61				
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	49.5				
Lane LOS			E				
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	49.5				
Approach LOS			E				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			2.3				
Intersection Capacity Util	ization		53.1%	IC	CU Level o	of Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15				

	$\mathbf{X}$	2	1	×	5	~
Movement	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NEL	NER
Lane Configurations	t,			<del>ب</del> ا	¥	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	807	7	6	688	2	4
Future Volume (Veh/h)	807	7	6	688	2	4
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.50	0.50
Hourly flow rate (vph)	895	8	7	747	4	8
Pedestrians					3	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5	
Percent Blockage					0.0	
Right turn flare (veh)					U	
Median type	None			None		
Median storade veh)	NULLE			NULL		
Linstream signal (ft)				700		
nX nlatoon unblocked				700	0 70	
			004		1662	000
vC, connicting volume			900		1003	70Z
vC1, stage 1 continuo						
VCZ, Staye Z CUTIT VUI			004		1700	000
			900		1709	902
IC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2
IC, 2 stage (s)			0.0		2 5	2.2
tF (S)			2.2		3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %			99		95 70	98
civi capacity (ven/h)			/5/		/8	338
Direction, Lane #	SE 1	NW 1	NE 1			
Volume Total	903	754	12			
Volume Left	0	7	4			
Volume Right	8	0	8			
cSH	1700	757	160			
Volume to Capacity	0.53	0.01	0.08			
Oueue Length 95th (ft)	0	1	6			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.3	29.3			
Lane LOS	010	A	D			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.3	29.3			
Approach LOS	0.0	0.0	2710 D			
Intersection Summary			0.0			
Average Delay			0.3			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		53.8%	IC	U Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	4	$\mathbf{x}$	×	ť	í,	*	
Movement	SEL	SET	NWT	NWR	SWL	SWR	
Lane Configurations		र्स	ţ,			1	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	822	654	9	0	35	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	822	654	9	0	35	
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop		
Grade		0%	0%		0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	911	695	10	0	37	
Pedestrians					1		
Lane Width (ft)					12.0		
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5		
Percent Blockage					0		
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type		None	None				
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)			228				
pX, platoon unblocked	0.78				0.78	0.78	
vC, conflicting volume	706				1612	701	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	483				1644	476	
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.4	6.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %	100				100	92	
cM capacity (veh/h)	850				86	462	
Direction, Lane #	SE 1	NW 1	SW 1				
Volume Total	911	705	37				
Volume Left	0	0	0				
Volume Right	0	10	37				
cSH	850	1700	462				
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.41	0.08				
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	6				
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	13.5				
Lane LOS			В				
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	13.5				
Approach LOS			В				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delav			0.3				
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		47.5%	IC	U Level o	of Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15		,		

Intersection Capacity Analysis 8: Hemlock St/Plaza M.Driveway & Grove St

12/31/2021

	-	×	2	1	×	ť	3	×	~	í,	*	×
Lane Group	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Lane Configurations	۲,	î,			4			44			4	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	138	683	13	3	575	47	7	0	3	126	1	71
Future Volume (vph)	138	683	13	3	575	47	7	0	3	126	1	71
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	75		0	0		0	0		0	0		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	0		0	0		0	0		1
Taper Length (ft)	25			25			25			25		
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		228			362			214			162	
Travel Time (s)		5.2			8.2			4.9			3.7	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2		2	2		2			2	2		
Peak Hour Factor	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.88	0.88	0.88	0.89	0.89	0.89
Growth Factor	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	150	755	0	0	670	0	0	11	0	0	145	81
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	Perm
Protected Phases	1	6			2			4			4	
Permitted Phases	6			2			4			4		4
Detector Phase	1	6		2	2		4	4		4	4	4
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	3.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	7.0	15.0		15.0	15.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0	10.0
Total Split (s)	7.0	67.0		60.0	60.0		30.0	30.0		30.0	30.0	30.0
Total Split (%)	5.8%	55.8%		50.0%	50.0%		25.0%	25.0%		25.0%	25.0%	25.0%
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0			0.0			0.0			0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	5.0			5.0			5.0			5.0	5.0
Lead/Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag		Lag	Lag		Lag	Lag	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes			Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	None	C-Min		C-Min	C-Min		None	None		None	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	88.3	87.3			76.7			18.1			18.1	18.1
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.74	0.73			0.64			0.15			0.15	0.15
v/c Ratio	0.28	0.56			0.57			0.04			0.72	0.26
Control Delay	9.2	12.7			17.6			0.2			67.6	10.6
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0			0.4			0.0			0.0	0.0
Total Delay	9.2	12.7			18.0			0.2			67.6	10.6
LOS	А	В			В			А			E	В
Approach Delay		12.1			18.0			0.2			47.2	
Approach LOS		В			В			А			D	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	24	196			248			0			108	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	95	635			594			0			167	40
Internal Link Dist (ft)		148			282			134			82	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	75											
Base Capacity (vph)	541	1351			1177			376			278	398
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0			164			0			0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0			0			0			0	0

PM Scenario 2030 Projected Traffic Conditions with Proposed Improvements

Lane Group	Ø3
LaneConfigurations	
Traffic Volume (vph)	
Future Volume (vph)	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	
Storage Length (ft)	
Storage Lanes	
Taper Length (ft)	
Right Turn on Red	
Link Speed (mph)	
Link Distance (ft)	
Travel Time (s)	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	
Peak Hour Factor	
Growth Factor	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	
Turn Type	
Protected Phases	3
Permitted Phases	0
Detector Phase	
Switch Phase	
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	23.0
Total Solit (s)	23.0
Total Split (%)	19%
Yellow Time (s)	20
All-Red Time (s)	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	1.0
Total Lost Time (c)	
	lead
Lead Lag Optimizo?	Voc
	Nono
	NULLE
Actuated a/C Datio	
Actualeu y/C Kallu	
V/C KallU	
Cueue Delay	
LUS	
Approach Delay	
Approach LUS	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	
Internal Link Dist (ft)	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	
Base Capacity (vph)	
Starvation Cap Reductn	
Spillback Cap Reductn	

## Intersection Capacity Analysis 8: Hemlock St/Plaza M.Driveway & Grove St

		]										
	¥	×	2	Ť	×	۲	3	*	7	í,	*	ĸ
Lane Group	SEL	SET	SER	NWL	NWT	NWR	NEL	NET	NER	SWL	SWT	SWR
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0			0			0			0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.28	0.56			0.66			0.03			0.52	0.20
Intersection Summary												
Area Type:	Other											
Cycle Length: 120												
Actuated Cycle Length: 12	20											
Offset: 7 (6%), Reference	d to phase 2:	NWTL an	d 6:SETL	., Start of	Green							
Natural Cycle: 80												
Control Type: Actuated-C	oordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72												
Intersection Signal Delay:	18.6			In	tersection	n LOS: B						
Intersection Capacity Utili	zation 93.3%			IC	U Level	of Service	F					
Analysis Period (min) 15												

Splits and Phases: 8: Hemlock St/Plaza M.Driveway & Grove St



12/31/2021

	4	$\mathbf{x}$	×	ť	í,	*
Movement	SEL	SET	NWT	NWR	SWL	SWR
Lane Configurations		41	f,		5	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	23	721	603	96	0	23
Future Volume (Veh/h)	23	721	603	96	0	23
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.95	0.95	0.81	0.81
Hourly flow rate (vph)	24	766	647	103	0	29
Pedestrians					1	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)		362	437			
pX, platoon unblocked	0.63				0.63	0.63
vC, conflicting volume	751				1130	700
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	307				912	225
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.8	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %	97				100	94
cM capacity (veh/h)	794				169	492
Direction. Lane #	SF 1	SE 2	NW 1	SW 1	SW 2	
Volume Total	279	511	750	0	29	
Volume Left	217	0	, 30	0	0	
Volume Right	<u>ح</u> 1	0	103	0	20	
rSH	794	1700	1700	1700	492	
Volume to Canacity	0 03	0.30	0 4 4	0.00	0.06	
Oueue Length 95th (ft)	0.03	0.30	0.++ 0	0.00	0.00 5	
Control Delay (s)	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.8	
	Δ	0.0	0.0	Δ	12.0 R	
Approach Delay (s)	0 /		0.0	12.8	D	
Approach LOS	0.4		0.0	12.0 R		
				U		
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.4			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		48.3%	IC	CU Level of	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

# Intersection Capacity Analysis 10: Liberty St & Grove St

1	21	3	1/	12	n	2	1
	~	U	• /	~	v	~	

	۶	-	$\mathbf{\hat{v}}$	4	+	•	1	1	۲	1	ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	<b>≜</b> 16-		<u>ک</u>	•	1	٦	•	1	<u>۲</u>	el el	
Traffic Volume (vph)	27	531	218	208	562	110	139	229	207	257	486	27
Future Volume (vph)	27	531	218	208	562	110	139	229	207	257	486	27
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Storage Length (ft)	0		0	0		50	175		50	0		0
Storage Lanes	1		0	1		1	1		1	1		0
Taper Length (ft)	25			50			50			50		
Right Turn on Red			Yes			Yes			Yes			Yes
Link Speed (mph)		30			30			30			30	
Link Distance (ft)		437			335			367			287	
Travel Time (s)		9.9			7.6			8.3			6.5	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)							4					4
Peak Hour Factor	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Growth Factor	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%
Heavy Vehicles (%)	4%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%
Shared Lane Traffic (%)												
Lane Group Flow (vph)	28	787	0	226	610	119	149	246	222	276	551	0
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA	pm+ov	pm+pt	NA	pm+ov	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6	. 7	3	8	. 1	7	4	
Permitted Phases	2			6		6	8		8	4		
Detector Phase	5	2		1	6	7	3	8	1	7	4	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	4.0	10.0		5.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	8.0	15.0		9.0	15.0	9.0	9.0	15.0	9.0	9.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	8.0	44.0		19.0	55.0	23.0	13.0	37.0	19.0	23.0	47.0	
Total Split (%)	5.3%	29.3%		12.7%	36.7%	15.3%	8.7%	24.7%	12.7%	15.3%	31.3%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.0	4.0		3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	5.0		4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lag		Lead	Lag	Lead	Lead	Lag	Lead	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	None	C-Max		None	C-Max	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	46.6	39.6		64.5	57.4	79.7	62.8	49.0	73.9	71.5	54.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.31	0.26		0.43	0.38	0.53	0.42	0.33	0.49	0.48	0.36	
v/c Ratio	0.23	0.85		0.78	0.86	0.13	0.59	0.40	0.26	0.55	0.82	
Control Delay	31.7	59.6		54.7	56.3	7.6	36.7	43.7	14.8	30.2	54.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	31.7	59.6		54.7	56.3	7.6	36.7	43.7	14.8	30.2	54.2	
LOS	С	E		D	E	А	D	D	В	С	D	
Approach Delay		58.7			49.8			31.6			46.2	
Approach LOS		E			D			С			D	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	15	372		156	566	26	77	181	60	154	473	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	39	#456		#344	#847	39	#204	317	160	284	#823	
Internal Link Dist (ft)		357			255			287			207	
Turn Bay Length (ft)						50	175		50			
Base Capacity (vph)	122	925		290	713	903	252	614	838	519	675	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

PM Scenario 2030 Projected Traffic Conditions with Proposed Improvements

Lane Group	Ø9
Lane Configurations	
Traffic Volume (vph)	
Future Volume (vph)	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	
Storage Length (ft)	
Storage Lanes	
Taper Length (ft)	
Right Turn on Red	
Link Speed (mph)	
Link Distance (ft)	
Travel Time (s)	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	
Peak Hour Factor	
Growth Factor	
Heavy Vehicles (%)	
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	
Turn Type	
Protected Phases	9
Permitted Phases	
Detector Phase	
Switch Phase	
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	27.0
Total Split (s)	27.0
Total Split (%)	18%
Yellow Time (s)	2.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	
Total Lost Time (s)	
Lead/Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	
Recall Mode	None
Act Effct Green (s)	
Actuated g/C Ratio	
v/c Ratio	
Control Delay	
Queue Delay	
Total Delay	
LOS	
Approach Delay	
Approach LOS	
Queue Length 50th (ft)	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	
Internal Link Dist (ft)	
Turn Bay Length (ft)	
Base Capacity (vph)	
Starvation Cap Reductn	
Spillback Cap Reductn	

## Intersection Capacity Analysis 10: Liberty St & Grove St

10: Liberty St & Gro	ove St										12/3	1/2021
	۶	-	$\mathbf{F}$	4	-	*	1	Ť	1	1	Ļ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.23	0.85		0.78	0.86	0.13	0.59	0.40	0.26	0.53	0.82	
Intersection Summary												
Area Type: (	Other											
Cycle Length: 150												
Actuated Cycle Length: 150												
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced t	o phase 2:1	EBTL and	6:WBTL	, Start of	Green, M	laster Inte	ersection					
Natural Cycle: 150												
Control Type: Actuated-Cool	rdinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86												
Intersection Signal Delay: 47	7.6			In	tersectior	n LOS: D						
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion 84.5%			IC	U Level o	of Service	E					
Analysis Period (min) 15												
# 95th percentile volume e	xceeds cap	bacity, qu	eue may	be longer	r.							
Queue shown is maximu	m after two	cycles.										

## Splits and Phases: 10: Liberty St & Grove St

<b>1</b> Ø1	● <sup>▲</sup> Ø2 (R)	₩ø9	<b>▲</b> ø3	₽ Ø4	
19 s	44 s	27 s	13 s	47 s	
∕ <sub>Ø5</sub> ₹ø6	<b>(R</b> )		Ø7		1 <sub>08</sub>
8 s 55 s			23 s		37 s

	٦	$\mathbf{r}$	1	<b>†</b>	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥	2011		4	1	0011
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	66	63	23	320	708	83
Future Volume (Veh/h)	66	63	23	320	708	83
Sian Control	Stop			Free	Free	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.77	0.77	0.91	0.91	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	87	83	26	359	785	92
Pedestrians	2					
Lane Width (ft)	12.0					
Walking Speed (ft/s)	3.5					
Percent Blockage	0					
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type				None	None	
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)				287		
pX, platoon unblocked	0.90					
vC, conflicting volume	1244	833	879			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	1215	833	879			
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1			
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2			
p0 queue free %	50	78	97			
cM capacity (veh/h)	175	371	776			
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	170	385	877			
Volume Left	87	26	0			
Volume Right	83	0	92			
cSH	236	776	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.72	0.03	0.52			
Oueue Length 95th (ft)	121	3	0			
Control Delay (s)	51.6	1.1	0.0			
Lane LOS	- F	A	0.0			
Approach Delay (s)	51.6	1.1	0.0			
Approach LOS	F					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			6.4			
Intersection Capacity Utili	zation		57.5%	IC	CU Level d	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	-	$\rightarrow$	1	-	1	1
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	4			स्	Y	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	1002	2	2	832	1	0
Future Volume (Veh/h)	1002	2	2	832	1	0
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.93	0.93	0.94	0.94	0.25	0.25
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1099	2	2	903	4	0
Pedestrians		_	_	,	1	Ű
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)					5	
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)	NUTIC			NOTIC		
Linstream signal (ft)						
nX platoon unblocked						
vC conflicting volume			1102		2008	1101
vC1_stage 1 conf vol			1102		2000	1101
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
			1102		2008	1101
tC single (s)			/ 1		6.4	62
$tC_2 \text{ stane}(s)$			7.1		0.4	0.2
tF (s)			2.2		35	33
nn queue free %			100		9.0 9/	100
cM canacity (veh/h)			640		66	260
			040		00	200
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1			
Volume Total	1101	905	4			
Volume Left	0	2	4			
Volume Right	2	0	0			
cSH	1700	640	66			
Volume to Capacity	0.65	0.00	0.06			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	5			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.1	63.4			
Lane LOS		А	F			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.1	63.4			
Approach LOS			F			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delav			0.2			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		63.9%	IC	U Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	-	$\mathbf{r}$	1	-	1	1
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	4î			र्स	Ý	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	991	6	24	837	3	16
Future Volume (Veh/h)	991	6	24	837	3	16
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.91	0.91	0.92	0.92	0.63	0.63
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1111	7	27	928	5	26
Pedestrians					1	
Lane Width (ft)					12.0	
Walking Speed (ft/s)					3.5	
Percent Blockage					0	
Right turn flare (veh)					-	
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)				968		
pX, platoon unblocked					0.88	
vC, conflicting volume			1119		2098	1116
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			1119		2178	1116
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %			96		89	90
cM capacity (veh/h)			631		43	255
Direction Lane #	FR 1	W/R 1	NR 1			
Volume Total	1118	055	21			
Volume Loft	0	700 27	5			
Volume Lett	0	27	26			
	1700	621	1/2			
Volumo to Canacity	0.66	0.04	0.22			
Ouque Longth 05th (ft)	0.00	0.04	0.22			
Control Dolay (s)	0.0	12	20			
Lano LOS	0.0	۱.S ۸	37.U E			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	1 2	27 O			
Approach LOS	0.0	1.5	37.0 E			
Approach 203			Ľ			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			1.1			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		74.7%	IC	U Level o	ot Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

# Intersection Capacity Analysis 14: Columbian St & Grove St

1	2	3	1/	2	0	2	1

Lane Group     WBL     WBR     NBT     NBR     SBL     SBT     09       Lane Configurations     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     0     1     1     1     0     1     1     0     1     1     1     0     1     1     1     0     1     1     0     1     1     1     0     1     1     1     0     1     1     0     1     1     1     0     1     1     1     0     1     1     1     0     1     1     1     0     1     1     1     0     1     1     1     0     1     1     1     0     1     1     1     0     1     1     1     1     1     0     1     1     1     1     0     1     1     1     1     1     1		1	•	1	1	1	Ŧ		
Lane Configurations     Image of the second system     Image of the second system     Image of the second system       Traffic Volume (vph)     354     371     497     293     395     677       Future Volume (vph)     354     371     497     293     395     677       Ideal Flow (vph)     1000     1900     1900     1900     1900     1900       Storage Length (ft)     0     50     250     150     150       Storage Lanes     1     1     0     730     30     30       Link Distance (ft)     637     577     356     56     777     356       Travel Time (s)     14.5     13.1     8.1     92%     102%	Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	Ø9	
Traffic Volume (vph)   354   371   497   293   395   677     Future Volume (vph)   354   371   497   293   395   677     Ideal Flow (vph)   1900   1900   1900   1900   1900   1900     Storage Length (ft)   0   50   250   150   50     Storage Length (ft)   25   25   25   25     Right Turn on Red   Yes   Yes   Yes   11   8.1     Peak Hour Factor   0.96   0.96   0.99   0.91   0.91   0.91     Growth Factor   10.2%   10.2%   10.2%   10.2%   10.2%   10.2%   10.2%     Heavy Vehicles (%)   1%   1%   0%   1%   1%   5%   14.5   13.1   8.1     Peak Hour Factor   10.2% <td>Lane Configurations</td> <td>8</td> <td>1</td> <td>*</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td><b>4</b>12</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Lane Configurations	8	1	*	1		<b>4</b> 12		
Future Volume (vph)     354     371     497     293     395     677       Ideal Flow (vphp)     1900     1900     1900     1900     1900     1900       Storage Length (ft)     0     50     250     150     Storage Length (ft)     25     25       Right Turn on Red     Yes     Yes     Yes     Yes     Yes     Yes       Link Speed (mph)     30     30     30     30     102%     102	Traffic Volume (vph)	354	371	497	293	395	677		
ideal Flow (vphpl)   1900   1900   1900   1900   1900   1900     Storage Length (ft)   0   50   250   150   1   1   0     Storage Lanes   1   1   1   0   25   150   100     Storage Lanes   1   1   1   0   30   30   100   110	Future Volume (vph)	354	371	497	293	395	677		
Storage Length (ft)   0   50   250   150     Storage Length (ft)   25   25   Right Turn on Red   Yes   Yes     Link Speed (mph)   30   30   30   30   1     Ink Speed (mph)   30   30   30   30   30     Link Speed (mph)   30   30   30   30   30     Travel Time (s)   14.5   13.1   8.1   8.1     Peak Hour Factor   0.96   0.99   0.99   0.91   0.91     Growth Factor   102%   102%   102%   102%   102%     Lane Group Flow (vph)   376   394   512   302   0   1202     Turn Type   Prot   Perm   NA   Perm   pm-pt   NA     Pertited Phases   4   6   5   2   9     Permitted Phases   4   6   5   2   9     Winimum Initial (s)   8.0   8.0   12.0   12.0   5.0     Minimum Split (s)   13.0   13.0   13.0   3.0   3.0	Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Storage Length (ft)   2   1   1   1   0     Taper Length (ft)   25   25     Right Turn on Red   Yes   Yes     Link Speed (mph)   30   30     Link Distance (ft)   637   577   356     Travel Time (s)   14.5   13.1   8.1     Peak Hour Factor   0.96   0.99   0.99   0.91   0.2%     Growth Factor   102%   102%   102%   102%   102%     Lane Group Flow (vph)   376   394   512   302   0   1202     Turn Type   Prot   Perm   NA   Perm   pm+pt   NA     Protected Phases   4   6   6   5   2   9     Permitted Phases   4   6   6   5   2   9     Detector Phase   4   6   6   5   2   9     Velick Phase   30   30   30   30   20.0   20.0   20.0   20.0   20.0   20.0   20.0   20.0   20.0   20.0   20.0<	Storage Length (ft)	0	50	.,	250	150	.,		
Taper Length (ft)     25     25       Right Turn on Red     Yes     Yes     Yes       Link Speed (mph)     30     30     30       Link Distance (ft)     637     577     356       Travel Time (s)     14.5     13.1     8.1       Peak Hour Factor     102%     102%     102%     102%     102%       Growth Factor     102%     102%     102%     102%     102%     102%       Heavy Vehicles (%)     1%     1%     0%     1%     1%     1%       Shared Lane Traffic (%)     Lane Group Flow (vph)     376     394     512     302     0     1202       Turn Type     Prot     Perm     NA     Perm pm+pt     NA       Protected Phases     4     6     6     5     2     9       Permitted Phases     4     12.0     12.0     8.0     12.0     5.0       Minimun Initial (s)     8.0     12.0     12.0     5.0     13.0     17.0     13.0     17.0     22.0	Storage Lanes	1	1		1	0			
Type Description on Red     Yes     Yes     Yes       Link Speed (mph)     30     30     30       Link Speed (mph)     30     30     30       Link Speed (mph)     30     30     30       Travel Time (s)     14.5     13.1     8.1       Peak Hour Factor     0.96     0.99     0.99     0.91     0.91       Growth Factor     102%     102%     102%     102%     102%     102%       Heavy Vehicles (%)     1%     1%     0%     1%     1%     1%       Shared Lane Traffic (%)      20     0     1202     1202       Turn Type     Prot     Perm     NA     Perm     pm+pt     NA       Protected Phases     4     6     6     5     2     9       Permitted Phases     4     12.0     8.0     12.0     5.0       Minimum Initial (s)     8.0     8.0     12.0     13.0     17.0     13.0     17.0     22.0     20.0     20.0     20.0 <td>Taper Length (ft)</td> <td>25</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>25</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Taper Length (ft)	25	•		•	25			
Ink Speed (mph)     30     30     30     30       Link Distance (ft)     637     577     356       Travel Time (s)     14.5     13.1     8.1       Peak Hour Factor     0.96     0.99     0.91     0.02%       Growth Factor     102%     102%     102%     102%     102%       Lane Group Flow (vph)     376     394     512     302     0     1202       Lane Group Flow (vph)     376     394     512     302     0     1202       Turn Type     Prot     Perm     NA     Perm     pm+pt     NA       Protected Phases     4     6     5     2     9       Permited Phases     4     6     6     5     2       Minimum Spilt (s)     13.0     13.0     17.0     13.0     17.0     20.50       Minimum Spilt (s)     3.0     3.0     3.0     3.0     3.0     3.0     2.0     2.0     1.0       Lotal Spilt (%)     2.6.6%     2.6.8%     2.6.8%<	Right Turn on Red	20	Yes		Yes	20			
Link Distance (ft)     637     577     356       Travel Time (s)     14.5     13.1     8.1       Peak Hour Factor     0.96     0.96     0.99     0.91     0.91       Growth Factor     102%     102%     102%     102%     102%     102%       Heavy Vehicles (%)     1%     1%     0%     1%     1%     1%       Shared Lane Traffic (%)     Lane Group Flow (vph)     376     394     512     302     0     1202       Turn Type     Prot     Perm     NA     Permetroted Phases     4     6     5     2     9       Permitted Phases     4     4     6     6     5     2     9       Permitted Phases     4     4     6     6     5     2     9       Permitted Phases     4     4     6     6     5     2     9       Permitted Phases     4     4     6     6     5     2     9       Petexited Phase     4     4	Link Speed (mph)	30		30			30		
Travel Time (s)   14.5   13.1   8.1     Peak Hour Factor   0.96   0.96   0.99   0.91   0.91   0.91     Growth Factor   102%   102%   102%   102%   102%   102%   102%     Growth Factor   102%   102%   102%   102%   102%   102%     Growth Factor   102%   102%   102%   102%   102%   102%     Lane Group Flow (vph)   376   394   512   302   0   1202     Turn Type   Prot   Perm   NA   Perm   pm+pt   NA     Protected Phases   4   6   6   5   2   9     Permitted Phases   4   6   6   5   2   9     Nointum Split (s)   13.0   13.0   17.0   17.0   13.0   17.0   22.0     Total Split (s)   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0	Link Distance (ft)	637		577			356		
Peak Hour Factor     0.96     0.97     0.97     0.91     0.91       Growth Factor     102%     102%     102%     102%     102%     102%     102%       Heavy Vehicles (%)     1%     1%     0%     1%     1%     1%     1%       Shared Lane Traffic (%)     Lane Group Flow (vph)     376     394     512     302     0     1202       Turn Type     Prot     Perm     NA     Perm     pm+pt     NA       Protected Phases     4     6     5     2     9       Permitted Phases     4     6     6     5     2       Switch Phase     4     6     6     5     2       Minimum Initial (\$)     8.0     8.0     12.0     8.0     12.0     5.0       Minimum Split (\$)     13.0     13.0     17.0     17.0     13.0     17.0     22.0     2.0     2.0     2.0     2.0     2.0     2.0     2.0     2.0     2.0     2.0     2.0     2.0     <	Travel Time (s)	14.5		13.1			8.1		
Growth Factor   102%   102%   102%   102%   102%   102%   102%     Heavy Vehicles (%)   1%   1%   0%   1%   1%   1%     Shared Lane Traffic (%)   2302   0   1202   1202     Lane Group Flow (vph)   376   394   512   302   0   1202     Turn Type   Prot   Perm   NA   Perm pm+pt   NA     Protected Phases   4   6   5   2   9     Permited Phases   4   6   6   5   2   9     Minimum Initial (s)   8.0   8.0   12.0   12.0   8.0   12.0   5.0     Minimum Initial (s)   8.0   8.0   12.0   13.0   17.0   17.0   22.0     Total Split (%)   28.6%   26.8%   26.8%   25.0%   51.8%   20%     Vellow Time (s)   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0     All-Red Time (s)   2.0   2.0   2.0   2.0   1.0   1.5   1.0   1.5<	Peak Hour Factor	0.96	0.96	0.99	0.99	0.91	0.91		
Heavy Vehicles (%)   1%   1%   1%   1%   1%   1%   1%     Shared Lane Traffic (%)   2   302   0   1202   1202     Turn Type   Prot Perm   NA   Perm   pm+pt   NA     Protected Phases   4   6   5   2   9     Permitted Phases   4   6   6   5   2   9     Permitted Phases   4   4   6   6   5   2   9     Permitted Phases   4   4   6   6   5   2   9     Detector Phase   4   4   6   6   5   2   9     Minimus Nitil (s)   13.0   17.0   17.0   13.0   17.0   22.0   100   20.0   20.0   20.0   20.0   20.0   20.0   20.0   20.0   20.0   20.0   20.0   1.0   100   100   100   100   100   100   1.0   100   1.5   100   1.5   100   1.5   100   1.5   100   1.5   100	Growth Factor	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%		
Shared Lane Traffic (%)     Image: Shared Lane Traffic (%)       Lane Group Flow (vph)     376     394     512     302     0     1202       Turn Type     Prot     Perm     NA     Perm     pm+pt     NA       Protected Phases     4     6     5     2     9       Permitted Phases     4     4     6     5     2       Switch Phase     4     4     6     5     2       Switch Phase     4     4     6     5     2       Switch Phase	Heavy Vehicles (%)	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%		
Lane Group Flow (vph)37639451230201202Turn TypeProtPermNAPerm $pm+pt$ NAProtected Phases46529Permitted Phases446652Detector Phase446652Switch Phase446652Minimum Initial (s)8.08.012.012.08.012.05.0Minimum Split (s)13.013.017.017.013.017.022.0Total Split (%)28.6%28.6%26.8%25.0%51.8%20%Yellow Time (s)3.03.03.03.03.03.02.0All-Red Time (s)2.02.02.02.02.01.01.0Lost Time (s)6.55.03.55.03.51.51.5Cotal Lost Time (s)24.826.372.871.372.8Actuated g/C Ratio0.220.230.650.640.651.0V/c Ratio0.950.830.410.270.94dlControl Delay78.742.38.81.324.6LostEDAACQueue Delay0.00.00.00.00.0Total Delay78.742.38.81.324.6LostEDACCQueue Length	Shared Lane Traffic (%)								
Turn Type   Prot   Perm   NA   Perm   pm+pt   NA     Protected Phases   4   6   5   2   9     Permitted Phases   4   6   6   2   9     Detector Phase   4   4   6   6   2   9     Minimum Initial (s)   8.0   8.0   12.0   12.0   8.0   12.0   5.0     Minimum Split (s)   13.0   17.0   17.0   13.0   17.0   22.0     Total Split (s)   32.0   32.0   30.0   3.0   28.0   58.0   22.0     Total Split (s)   28.6%   28.6%   26.8%   25.0%   51.8%   20%     Yellow Time (s)   2.0   2.0   2.0   2.0   2.0   1.0   1.0     Lost Time Adjust (s)   1.5   0.0   -1.5   0.0   -1.5   1.5   1.0   1.5     Total Lost Time (s)   6.5   5.0   3.5   5.0   3.5   1.6   1.6   1.2.8   None   Actaated Jace Alego Optimize?   Yes   Yes   Yes   Ye	Lane Group Flow (vph)	376	394	512	302	0	1202		
Protected Phases     4     6     5     2     9       Permitted Phases     4     6     2     2       Detector Phase     4     4     6     5     2       Switch Phase     8.0     12.0     12.0     8.0     12.0     5.0       Minimum Split (s)     13.0     13.0     17.0     17.0     13.0     17.0     22.0       Total Split (s)     32.0     32.0     30.0     3.0     28.0     58.0     22.0       Total Split (%)     28.6%     28.6%     26.8%     25.0%     51.8%     20%       Yellow Time (s)     3.0     3.0     3.0     3.0     3.0     3.0     2.0     2.0     1.0     Lost Time (s)     6.5     5.0     3.5     Lead/Lag     Lead/Lag     Lead/Lag     Lead/Lag     Lead/Lag     Lead/Lag     Lead/Lag     None     C-Max     None     C-Max     None     Actated g/C Ratio     0.22     0.23     0.65     0.64     0.65     v/c Ratio     0.95     0.83	Turn Type	Prot	Perm	NA	Perm	pm+pt	NA		
Permitted Phases     4     6     2       Detector Phase     4     4     6     6     5     2       Switch Phase	Protected Phases	4		6		5	2	9	
Detector Phase     4     4     6     6     5     2       Switch Phase     Minimum Initial (s)     8.0     8.0     12.0     12.0     8.0     12.0     5.0       Minimum Split (s)     13.0     13.0     17.0     13.0     17.0     22.0     5.0       Total Split (s)     32.0     32.0     30.0     30.0     28.0     58.0     22.0       Total Split (s)     28.6%     28.6%     26.8%     26.8%     25.0%     51.8%     20%       Yellow Time (s)     2.0     2.0     2.0     2.0     2.0     2.0     1.0       Lost Time (s)     2.0     2.0     2.0     2.0     2.0     1.0       Lost Time (s)     6.5     5.0     3.5     5.0     3.5     1.5       Lead/Lag     Uptimize?     Yes     Yes     Yes     Yes     Yes       Recall Mode     None     None     C-Max     C-Max     None     C-Max       Actuated g/C Ratio     0.22     0.23     0.65 <td>Permitted Phases</td> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td>6</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Permitted Phases		4		6	2			
Switch Phase       Minimum Initial (s)     8.0     8.0     12.0     12.0     8.0     12.0     5.0       Minimum Split (s)     13.0     13.0     17.0     17.0     13.0     17.0     22.0       Total Split (s)     32.0     32.0     30.0     30.0     28.0     58.0     22.0       Total Split (s)     28.6%     26.8%     26.8%     25.0%     51.8%     20%       Yellow Time (s)     3.0     3.0     3.0     3.0     3.0     3.0     3.0     2.0     2.0     2.0     2.0     2.0     1.0       Lost Time (s)     6.5     5.0     3.5     5.0     3.5     1.5     1.5     1.0     1.5     1.0     1.5     1.0	Detector Phase	4	4	6	6	5	2		
Minimum Initial (s)   8.0   8.0   12.0   12.0   8.0   12.0   5.0     Minimum Split (s)   13.0   13.0   17.0   17.0   13.0   17.0   22.0     Total Split (s)   32.0   32.0   30.0   30.0   28.0   58.0   22.0     Total Split (%)   28.6%   28.6%   26.8%   26.8%   25.0%   51.8%   20%     Yellow Time (s)   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   2.0   2.0   2.0   2.0   2.0   2.0   1.0   Lost Time (s)   6.5   5.0   3.5   5.0   3.5   Lead/Lag   Lead   Lead   Lead   Lead   Lead   Lead   Lead   Lead   Ves   Yes	Switch Phase								
Minimum Split (s)   13.0   17.0   17.0   13.0   17.0   17.0   12.0     Total Split (s)   32.0   32.0   30.0   30.0   28.0   58.0   22.0     Total Split (%)   28.6%   28.6%   26.8%   25.0%   51.8%   20%     Yellow Time (s)   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   2.0     All-Red Time (s)   2.0   2.0   2.0   2.0   2.0   2.0   1.0     Lost Time Adjust (s)   1.5   0.0   -1.5   0.0   -1.5   1.0     Lead/Lag   Lag   Lag   Lead   Lead   Lead   Lead     Lead-Lag Optimize?   Yes   Yes   Yes   Yes   Yes   None     Actuated g/C Ratio   0.22   0.23   0.65   0.64   0.65   0.4     Control Delay   78.7   42.3   8.8   1.3   24.6     Queue Delay   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0     Total Delay   78.7   42.3   8.8   1.3	Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	12.0	12.0	8.0	12.0	5.0	
Total Split (s)   32.0   32.0   30.0   30.0   28.0   58.0   22.0     Total Split (%)   28.6%   28.6%   26.8%   26.8%   25.0%   51.8%   20%     Yellow Time (s)   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   2.0   2.0     All-Red Time (s)   2.0   2.0   2.0   2.0   2.0   2.0   1.0     Lost Time Adjust (s)   1.5   0.0   -1.5   0.0   -1.5     Total Lost Time (s)   6.5   5.0   3.5   5.0   3.5     Lead/Lag   Lag   Lag   Lead   Lead     Lead-Lag Optimize?   Yes   Yes   Yes   Yes     Recall Mode   None   None   C-Max   C-Max   None     Actuated g/C Ratio   0.22   0.23   0.65   0.64   0.65   .0/41     Control Delay   78.7   42.3   8.8   1.3   24.6     Queue Delay   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0     Total Delay   78.7 <td< td=""><td>Minimum Split (s)</td><td>13.0</td><td>13.0</td><td>17.0</td><td>17.0</td><td>13.0</td><td>17.0</td><td>22.0</td><td></td></td<>	Minimum Split (s)	13.0	13.0	17.0	17.0	13.0	17.0	22.0	
Total Split (%)28.6%28.6%26.8%25.0%51.8%20%Yellow Time (s)3.03.03.03.03.03.03.02.0All-Red Time (s)2.02.02.02.02.02.01.0Lost Time Adjust (s)1.50.0-1.50.0-1.5Total Lost Time (s)6.55.03.55.03.5Lead/LagLagLagLeadLeadLead-Lag Optimize?YesYesYesRecall ModeNoneNoneC-MaxC-MaxNoneAct Effct Green (s)24.826.372.871.372.8Actuated g/C Ratio0.220.230.650.640.65v/c Ratio0.950.830.410.270.94dlControl Delay78.742.38.81.324.6Queue Delay0.00.00.00.00.0Total Delay78.742.38.81.324.6LOSEDAACApproach Delay60.16.024.62.90Queue Length 50th (ft)2661831042290Queue Length 95th (ft)55749727676Turn Bay Length (ft)50250250250Base Capacity (vph)406486123511151411Starvation Cap Reductn00000Spillback Cap Reductn0	Total Split (s)	32.0	32.0	30.0	30.0	28.0	58.0	22.0	
Yellow Time (s)   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   3.0   2.0     All-Red Time (s)   2.0   2.0   2.0   2.0   2.0   2.0   2.0   1.0     Lost Time Adjust (s)   1.5   0.0   -1.5   0.0   -1.5   1.0     Total Lost Time (s)   6.5   5.0   3.5   5.0   3.5   1.5     Lead/Lag   Lag   Lag   Lag   Lead   Lead   Lead     Lead-Lag Optimize?   Yes   Yes   Yes   Yes   Yes   None     Act Effet Green (s)   24.8   26.3   72.8   71.3   72.8   72.8     Actuated g/C Ratio   0.22   0.23   0.65   0.64   0.65   0.94     Control Delay   78.7   42.3   8.8   1.3   24.6   24.6     Queue Delay   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   1.0   1.0     Total Delay   78.7   42.3   8.8   1.3   24.6   24.6   200   200   200   200   200   200	Total Split (%)	28.6%	28.6%	26.8%	26.8%	25.0%	51.8%	20%	
All-Red Time (s)   2.0   2.0   2.0   2.0   2.0   1.0     Lost Time Adjust (s)   1.5   0.0   -1.5   0.0   -1.5     Total Lost Time (s)   6.5   5.0   3.5   5.0   3.5     Lead/Lag   Lag   Lag   Lead   Lead     Lead-Lag Optimize?   Yes   Yes   Yes   Yes     Recall Mode   None   None   C-Max   C-Max   None   C-Max     Act Effct Green (s)   24.8   26.3   72.8   71.3   72.8     Actuated g/C Ratio   0.22   0.23   0.65   0.64   0.65     v/c Ratio   0.95   0.83   0.41   0.27   0.94dl     Control Delay   78.7   42.3   8.8   1.3   24.6     Queue Delay   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   1.0     Total Delay   78.7   42.3   8.8   1.3   24.6     LOS   E   D   A   C   Queue Length 50th (ft)   266   183   104   2   290     Queue Le	Yellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)1.50.0-1.50.0-1.5Total Lost Time (s)6.55.03.55.03.5Lead/LagLagLagLagLeadLead-Lag Optimize?YesYesYesRecall ModeNoneNoneC-MaxC-MaxNoneAct Effct Green (s)24.826.372.871.372.8Actuated g/C Ratio0.220.230.650.640.65v/c Ratio0.950.830.410.270.94dlControl Delay78.742.38.81.324.6Queue Delay0.00.00.00.00.0Total Delay78.742.38.81.324.6LOSEDAACApproach Delay60.16.024.6290Queue Length 50th (ft)2661831042290Queue Length 50th (ft)557497276Internal Link Dist (ft)557497276Turn Bay Length (ft)502501115Base Capacity (vph)40648612351115Starvation Cap Reductn0000Spillback Cap Reductn0000Storage Cap Reductn0000	All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	
Total Lost Time (s)   6.5   5.0   3.5   5.0   3.5     Lead/Lag   Lag   Lag   Lag   Lead     Lead-Lag Optimize?   Yes   Yes   Yes   Yes     Recall Mode   None   None   C-Max   C-Max   None   C-Max   None     Act Effct Green (s)   24.8   26.3   72.8   71.3   72.8   72.8     Actuated g/C Ratio   0.22   0.23   0.65   0.64   0.65   0.94dl     Control Delay   78.7   42.3   8.8   1.3   24.6   24.6     Queue Delay   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0     Total Delay   78.7   42.3   8.8   1.3   24.6   24.6     LOS   E   D   A   C   24.6   24.	Lost Time Adjust (s)	1.5	0.0	-1.5	0.0		-1.5		
Lead/Lag     Lag     Lag     Lag     Lead       Lead-Lag Optimize?     Yes     Yes     Yes     Yes       Recall Mode     None     None     C-Max     C-Max     None       Act Effct Green (s)     24.8     26.3     72.8     71.3     72.8       Actuated g/C Ratio     0.22     0.23     0.65     0.64     0.65       v/c Ratio     0.95     0.83     0.41     0.27     0.94dl       Control Delay     78.7     42.3     8.8     1.3     24.6       Queue Delay     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0       Total Delay     78.7     42.3     8.8     1.3     24.6       LOS     E     D     A     C     A       Approach Delay     60.1     6.0     24.6     A       Queue Length 50th (ft)     266     183     104     2     290       Queue Length 95th (ft)     557     497     276     Turn Bay Length (ft)     50     250       Base	Total Lost Time (s)	6.5	5.0	3.5	5.0		3.5		
Lead-Lag Optimize?YesYesYesYesRecall ModeNoneNoneC-MaxC-MaxNoneC-MaxNoneAct Effct Green (s)24.826.372.871.372.8Actuated g/C Ratio0.220.230.650.640.65v/c Ratio0.950.830.410.270.94dlControl Delay78.742.38.81.324.6Queue Delay0.00.00.00.00.0Total Delay78.742.38.81.324.6LOSEDAACApproach Delay60.16.024.6Approach LOSEACQueue Length 50th (ft)2661831042Queue Length 95th (ft)#447#33913216Internal Link Dist (ft)557497276Turn Bay Length (ft)50250Base Capacity (vph)40648612351115Starvation Cap Reductn0000Storage Cap Reductn0000	Lead/Lag			Lag	Lag	Lead			
Recall Mode     None     None     C-Max     C-Max     None     C-Max     None       Act Effct Green (s)     24.8     26.3     72.8     71.3     72.8       Actuated g/C Ratio     0.22     0.23     0.65     0.64     0.65       v/c Ratio     0.95     0.83     0.41     0.27     0.94dl       Control Delay     78.7     42.3     8.8     1.3     24.6       Queue Delay     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0       Total Delay     78.7     42.3     8.8     1.3     24.6       LOS     E     D     A     A     C       Approach Delay     60.1     6.0     24.6     Approach LOS     E     A     C       Queue Length 50th (ft)     266     183     104     2     290     Queue Length 95th (ft)     #447     #339     132     16     #667       Internal Link Dist (ft)     557     497     276     76     76       Turn Bay Length (ft)     50	Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes	Yes	Yes			
Act Effct Green (s) $24.8$ $26.3$ $72.8$ $71.3$ $72.8$ Actuated g/C Ratio $0.22$ $0.23$ $0.65$ $0.64$ $0.65$ v/c Ratio $0.95$ $0.83$ $0.41$ $0.27$ $0.94dl$ Control Delay $78.7$ $42.3$ $8.8$ $1.3$ $24.6$ Queue Delay $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ Total Delay $78.7$ $42.3$ $8.8$ $1.3$ $24.6$ LOSEDAACApproach Delay $60.1$ $6.0$ $24.6$ Approach LOSEACQueue Length 50th (ft) $266$ $183$ $104$ $2$ Queue Length 95th (ft) $#447$ $#339$ $132$ $16$ Internal Link Dist (ft) $557$ $497$ $276$ Turn Bay Length (ft) $50$ $250$ $250$ Base Capacity (vph) $406$ $486$ $1235$ $1115$ $1411$ Starvation Cap Reductn $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ Spillback Cap Reductn $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$	Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	C-Max	None	C-Max	None	
Actuated g/C Ratio $0.22$ $0.23$ $0.65$ $0.64$ $0.65$ v/c Ratio $0.95$ $0.83$ $0.41$ $0.27$ $0.94dl$ Control Delay $78.7$ $42.3$ $8.8$ $1.3$ $24.6$ Queue Delay $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ $0.0$ Total Delay $78.7$ $42.3$ $8.8$ $1.3$ $24.6$ LOSEDAACApproach Delay $60.1$ $6.0$ $24.6$ Approach LOSEACQueue Length 50th (ft) $266$ $183$ $104$ $2$ Queue Length 95th (ft)#447#339 $132$ $16$ #667Internal Link Dist (ft) $557$ $497$ $276$ Turn Bay Length (ft) $50$ $250$ $250$ Base Capacity (vph) $406$ $486$ $1235$ $1115$ $1411$ Starvation Cap Reductin $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ Spillback Cap Reductin $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$	Act Effct Green (s)	24.8	26.3	72.8	71.3		72.8		
v/c Ratio   0.95   0.83   0.41   0.27   0.94dl     Control Delay   78.7   42.3   8.8   1.3   24.6     Queue Delay   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0     Total Delay   78.7   42.3   8.8   1.3   24.6     LOS   E   D   A   C   A     Approach Delay   60.1   6.0   24.6     Approach LOS   E   A   C     Queue Length 50th (ft)   266   183   104   2   290     Queue Length 95th (ft)   #447   #339   132   16   #667     Internal Link Dist (ft)   557   497   276   276     Turn Bay Length (ft)   50   250   250   325     Base Capacity (vph)   406   486   1235   1115   1411     Starvation Cap Reductn   0   0   0   0   0     Spillback Cap Reductn   0   0   0   0   0	Actuated g/C Ratio	0.22	0.23	0.65	0.64		0.65		
Control Delay   78.7   42.3   8.8   1.3   24.6     Queue Delay   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0     Total Delay   78.7   42.3   8.8   1.3   24.6     LOS   E   D   A   A   C     Approach Delay   60.1   6.0   24.6     Approach Delay   60.1   6.0   24.6     Approach LOS   E   A   C     Queue Length 50th (ft)   266   183   104   2   290     Queue Length 95th (ft)   #447   #339   132   16   #667     Internal Link Dist (ft)   557   497   276   276     Turn Bay Length (ft)   50   250   250   388   1235   1115   1411     Starvation Cap Reductn   0   0   0   0   0   0   0     Spillback Cap Reductn   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	v/c Ratio	0.95	0.83	0.41	0.27		0.94dl		
Queue Delay     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0     0.0       Total Delay     78.7     42.3     8.8     1.3     24.6       LOS     E     D     A     A     C       Approach Delay     60.1     6.0     24.6       Approach LOS     E     A     C       Queue Length 50th (ft)     266     183     104     2     290       Queue Length 95th (ft)     #447     #339     132     16     #667       Internal Link Dist (ft)     557     497     276     276       Turn Bay Length (ft)     50     250     250     38se Capacity (vph)     406     486     1235     1115     1411       Starvation Cap Reductn     0     0     0     0     0     0       Spillback Cap Reductn     0     0     0     0     0     0	Control Delay	78.7	42.3	8.8	1.3		24.6		
Total Delay   78.7   42.3   8.8   1.3   24.6     LOS   E   D   A   A   C     Approach Delay   60.1   6.0   24.6     Approach Delay   60.1   6.0   24.6     Approach LOS   E   A   C     Queue Length 50th (ft)   266   183   104   2   290     Queue Length 95th (ft)   #447   #339   132   16   #667     Internal Link Dist (ft)   557   497   276     Turn Bay Length (ft)   50   250   250     Base Capacity (vph)   406   486   1235   1115   1411     Starvation Cap Reductn   0   0   0   0   0     Spillback Cap Reductn   0   0   0   0   0     Storage Cap Reductn   0   0   0   0   0	Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		
LOS     E     D     A     A     C       Approach Delay     60.1     6.0     24.6       Approach LOS     E     A     C       Queue Length 50th (ft)     266     183     104     2     290       Queue Length 95th (ft)     #447     #339     132     16     #667       Internal Link Dist (ft)     557     497     276       Turn Bay Length (ft)     50     250       Base Capacity (vph)     406     486     1235     1115     1411       Starvation Cap Reductn     0     0     0     0     0     0       Spillback Cap Reductn     0     0     0     0     0     0     0	Total Delay	78.7	42.3	8.8	1.3		24.6		
Approach Delay   60.1   6.0   24.6     Approach LOS   E   A   C     Queue Length 50th (ft)   266   183   104   2   290     Queue Length 95th (ft)   #447   #339   132   16   #667     Internal Link Dist (ft)   557   497   276     Turn Bay Length (ft)   50   250     Base Capacity (vph)   406   486   1235   1115   1411     Starvation Cap Reductn   0   0   0   0   0     Spillback Cap Reductn   0   0   0   0   0	LOS	E	D	A	A		С		
Approach LOS   E   A   C     Queue Length 50th (ft)   266   183   104   2   290     Queue Length 95th (ft)   #447   #339   132   16   #667     Internal Link Dist (ft)   557   497   276     Turn Bay Length (ft)   50   250     Base Capacity (vph)   406   486   1235   1115   1411     Starvation Cap Reductn   0   0   0   0   0     Spillback Cap Reductn   0   0   0   0   0	Approach Delay	60.1		6.0			24.6		
Queue Length 50th (ft)   266   183   104   2   290     Queue Length 95th (ft)   #447   #339   132   16   #667     Internal Link Dist (ft)   557   497   276     Turn Bay Length (ft)   50   250     Base Capacity (vph)   406   486   1235   1115   1411     Starvation Cap Reductn   0   0   0   0   0   0     Spillback Cap Reductn   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	Approach LOS	F		A			С		
Queue Length 95th (ft)   #447   #339   132   16   #667     Internal Link Dist (ft)   557   497   276     Turn Bay Length (ft)   50   250     Base Capacity (vph)   406   486   1235   1115   1411     Starvation Cap Reductn   0   0   0   0   0     Spillback Cap Reductn   0   0   0   0   0     Storage Cap Reductn   0   0   0   0	Queue Lenath 50th (ft)	266	183	104	2		290		
Internal Link Dist (ft)     557     497     276       Turn Bay Length (ft)     50     250     1115     1411       Base Capacity (vph)     406     486     1235     1115     1411       Starvation Cap Reductn     0     0     0     0     0     0       Spillback Cap Reductn     0     0     0     0     0     0       Storage Cap Reductn     0     0     0     0     0     0	Queue Length 95th (ft)	#447	#339	132	16		#667		
Turn Bay Length (ft) 50 250   Base Capacity (vph) 406 486 1235 1115 1411   Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0   Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0   Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0	Internal Link Dist (ft)	557		497	10		276		
Base Capacity (vph)     406     486     1235     1115     1411       Starvation Cap Reductn     0	Turn Bay Length (ft)	507	50	177	250		270		
Starvation Cap Reductin     0	Base Capacity (vnh)	406	486	1235	1115		1411		
Spillback Cap Reductin0000Storage Cap Reductin0000	Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0		
Storage Cap Reductin 0 0 0 0 0 0	Spillback Can Reductn	0	0	0	0		0		
	Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0		0		

PM Scenario 2030 Projected Traffic Conditions with Proposed Improvements

#### 

	•					•			
Lane Group	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	Ø9		
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.93	0.81	0.41	0.27		0.85			
Intersection Summary									
Area Type:	Other								
Cycle Length: 112									
Actuated Cycle Length: 112	)								
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced	to phase 2:	SBTL and	6:NBT, 1	Start of Gi	reen, Mas	ter Inters	ection		
Natural Cycle: 130									
Control Type: Actuated-Coc	ordinated								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.95									
Intersection Signal Delay: 2	9.0			Int	ersection	LOS: C			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation 89.6%			IC	U Level o	f Service	E		
Analysis Period (min) 15									
# 95th percentile volume	exceeds ca	pacity, qu	eue may	be longer					
Queue shown is maximu	im after two	cycles.							
dl Defacto Left Lane. Rec	code with 1	though la	ne as a le	eft lane.					

#### Splits and Phases: 14: Columbian St & Grove St

Ø2 (R)		<b>₹</b> Ø4	
58 s		32 s	22 s
Ø5	🖡 🗖 ø6 (R)		
28 s	30 s		

# Intersection Capacity Analysis 15: Columbian St & Driveway #60 Columbian

	≯	$\rightarrow$	1	<b>†</b>	Ŧ	1			
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	Ø9		
Lane Configurations	۲	1	ሻ	<b>†</b>	At≱				
Traffic Volume (vph)	40	19	2	750	1026	5			
Future Volume (vph)	40	19	2	750	1026	5			
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900			
Storage Length (ft)	0	0	50			0			
Storage Lanes	1	1	1			0			
Taper Length (ft)	25		50						
Right Turn on Red		Yes				Yes			
Link Speed (mph)	30			30	30				
Link Distance (ft)	272			367	577				
Travel Time (s)	6.2			8.3	13.1				
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)		2							
Peak Hour Factor	0.65	0.65	0.96	0.96	0.90	0.90			
Growth Factor	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%			
Heavy Vehicles (%)	3%	0%	50%	1%	1%	20%			
Shared Lane Traffic (%)	0,0	0,0	5070	175	170	2070			
Lane Group Flow (vph)	63	30	2	797	1169	0			
Turn Type	Prot	Perm	nm+nt	NA	NA	Ū			
Protected Phases	8	1 Onn	1	6	2		9		
Permitted Phases	0	8	6	0	2		,		
Detector Phase	8	8	1	6	2				
Switch Phase	0	0		0	2				
Minimum Initial (s)	8.0	8.0	4.0	12.0	12.0		5.0		
Minimum Snlit (s)	13.0	13.0	9.0	17.0	17.0		22.0		
Total Solit (s)	13.0	13.0	9.0	77.0	68.0		22.0		
Total Split (%)	11.6%	11.6%	8.0%	68.8%	60.7%		22.0		
Vellow Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		2070		
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0		
Lost Time Adjust (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		1.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0				
	5.0	5.0	U.C	5.0	0.C				
Lead-Lag Ontimizo?			Vos		Vos				
	Nono	Nono	Nono	C.May	CMay		Nono		
Act Effet Green (c)		20	02 /		01 A		NULL		
Actuated a/C Ratio	0.0	0.0	72.4 በ	0 Q2	0.82				
v/c Ratio	0.07	0.07	0.02	0.03	0.02				
Control Delay	61.6	20.21	5.01	6.2	0.40 6 0				
	04.0	20.0	0.0	0.3	0.0				
Total Delay	61.6	20.0	5.0	6.2	6.2				
	04.0 E	20.0	0.0	0.5	0.2				
Annroach Delay	50 F	C	A	A 2	4 C				
Approach LOS	00.0 D			0.3	0.2				
Approach LOS Augus Longth Eath (ft)		0	0	11F	A 00				
Queue Length DEth (II)	40	14	0	CTT 4 4 4	90 m242				
Lueue Leng(1 95(1) (II)	100	10	3	444	111202				
Turn Day Longth (ft)	192		EO	287	497				
Pace Capacity (uph)	105	140	00 057	1540	2017				
Dase Capacity (Vpn)	125	140	257	1508	2917				
Starvation Cap Reductin	0	0	0	0	8/9				
Spiliback Cap Reductin	0	0	U	0	0				

PM Scenario 2030 Projected Traffic Conditions with Proposed Improvements

# Intersection Capacity Analysis 15: Columbian St & Driveway #60 Columbian

	٦	$\mathbf{i}$	•	1	Ŧ	∢		
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	Ø9	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0			
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.50	0.21	0.01	0.51	0.57			
Intersection Summary								
Area Type:	Other							
Cycle Length: 112								
Actuated Cycle Length: 11	2							
Offset: 76 (68%), Reference	ced to phase	2:SBT ar	d 6:NBTI	_, Start of	Green			
Natural Cycle: 75	-							
Control Type: Actuated-Co	ordinated							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.51								
Intersection Signal Delay:	8.3			In	tersection	LOS: A		
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation 55.3%			IC	U Level o	of Service	В	
Analysis Period (min) 15								
m Volumo for 05th porce	ntilo auquo i	motoror	l by unctr	oom sign	<u>al</u>			

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Splits and Phases: 15: Columbian St & Driveway #60 Columbian

Ø2 (R)	<b>1</b> Ø1		A Age
68 s	9 s		22 s
Ø6 (R)		A 08	
77 s		13 s	

# **APPENDIX O**

# MassDOT Project Development Process

# **Overview of the Project Development Process**

Transportation decision-making is complex and can be influenced by legislative mandates, environmental regulations, financial limitations, agency programmatic commitments, and partnering opportunities. Decision-makers and reviewing agencies, when consulted early and often throughout the project development process, can ensure that all participants understand the potential impact these factors can have on project implementation. Project development is the process that takes a transportation improvement from concept through construction.

The MassDOT Highway Division has developed a comprehensive project development process which is contained in Chapter 2 of the *MassDOT Highway Division's Project Development and Design Guide*. The eight-step process covers a range of activities extending from identification of a project need, through completion of a set of finished contract plans, to construction of the project. The sequence of decisions made through the project development process progressively narrows the project focus and, ultimately, leads to a project that addresses the identified needs. The descriptions provided below are focused on the process for a highway project, but the same basic process will need to be followed for non-highway projects as well.

#### 1. Needs Identification

For each of the locations at which an improvement is to be implemented, MassDOT leads an effort to define the problem, establishes project goals and objectives, and defines the scope of the planning needed for implementation. To that end, it has to complete a Project Need Form (PNF), which states in general terms the deficiencies or needs related to the transportation facility or location. The PNF documents the problems and explains why corrective action is needed. For this study, the information defining the need for the project will be drawn primarily, perhaps exclusively, from the present report. Also, at this point in the process, MassDOT meets with potential participants, such as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and community members, to allow for an informal review of the project.

The PNF is reviewed by the MassDOT Highway Division district office whose jurisdiction includes the location of the proposed project. MassDOT also sends the PNF to the MPO, for informational purposes. The outcome of this step determines whether the project requires further planning, whether it is already well supported by prior planning studies, and, therefore, whether it is ready to move forward into the design phase, or whether it should be dismissed from further consideration.

#### 2. Planning

This phase will likely not be required for the implementation of the improvements proposed in this planning study, as this planning report should constitute the outcome of this step. However, in general, the purpose of this implementation step is for the project proponent to identify issues, impacts, and approvals that may need to be obtained, so that the subsequent design and permitting processes are understood.

The level of planning needed will vary widely, based on the complexity of the project. Typical tasks include: define the existing context, confirm project need, establish goals and objectives, initiate public outreach, define the project, collect data, develop and analyze alternatives, make

recommendations, and provide documentation. Likely outcomes include consensus on the project definition to enable it to move forward into environmental documentation (if needed) and design, or a recommendation to delay the project or dismiss it from further consideration.

### 3. Project Initiation

At this point in the process, the proponent, MassDOT Highway Division, fills out a Project Initiation Form (PIF) for each improvement, which is reviewed by its Project Review Committee (PRC) and the MPO. The PRC is composed of the Chief Engineer, each District Highway Director, and representatives of the Project Management, Environmental, Planning, Right-of-Way, Traffic, and Bridge departments, and the MassDOT Federal Aid Program Office (FAPO). The PIF documents the project type and description, summarizes the project planning process, identifies likely funding and project management responsibility, and defines a plan for interagency and public participation. First the PRC reviews and evaluates the proposed project based on the MassDOT's statewide priorities and criteria. If the result is positive, MassDOT Highway Division moves the project forward to the design phase, and to programming review by the MPO. The PRC may provide a Project Management Plan to define roles and responsibilities for subsequent steps. The MPO review includes project evaluation based on the MPO's regional priorities and criteria. The MPO may assign project evaluation criteria score, a Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) year, a tentative project category, and a tentative funding category.

### 4. Environmental Permitting, Design, and Right-of-Way Process

This step has four distinct but closely integrated elements: public outreach, environmental documentation and permitting (if required), design, and right-of-way acquisition (if required). The outcome of this step is a fully designed and permitted project ready for construction. However, a project does not have to be fully designed in order for the MPO to program it in the TIP. The sections below provide more detailed information on the four elements of this step of the project development process.

### Public Outreach

Continued public outreach in the design and environmental process is essential to maintain public support for the project and to seek meaningful input on the design elements. The public outreach is often in the form of required public hearings, but can also include less formal dialogues with those interested in and affected by a proposed project.

### Environmental Documentation and Permitting

The project proponent, in coordination with the Environmental Services section of the MassDOT Highway Division, will be responsible for identifying and complying with all applicable federal, state, and local environmental laws and requirements. This includes determining the appropriate project category for both the Massachusetts Environmental Protection Act (MEPA) and the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA). Environmental documentation and permitting is often completed in conjunction with the **Preliminary Design** phase described below.

#### Design

There are three major phases of design. The first is **Preliminary Design**, which is also referred to as the 25-percent submission. The major components of this phase include full survey of the project area, preparation of base plans, development of basic geometric layout, development of preliminary cost estimates, and submission of a functional design report. Preliminary Design, although not required to, is often completed in conjunction with the Environmental Documentation and Permitting. The next phase is **Final Design**, which is also referred to as the 75-percent and 100-percent submission. The major components of this phase include preparation of a subsurface exploratory plan (if required), coordination of utility relocations, development of traffic management plans through construction zones, development of final cost estimates, and refinement and finalization of the construction plans. Once Final Design is complete, a full set of **Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E)** is developed for the project.

### Right-of-Way Acquisition

A separate set of Right-of-Way plans are required for any project that requires land acquisition or easements. The plans must identify the existing and proposed layout lines, easements, property lines, names of property owners, and the dimensions and areas of estimated takings and easements.

### 5. Programming (Identification of Funding)

Programming, which typically begins during the design phase, can actually occur at any time during the process, from planning to design. In this step, which is distinct from project initiation, the proponent requests that the MPO place the project in the region's Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). The proponent requesting the project's listing on the TIP can be the community or it can be one of the MPO member agencies (the Regional Planning Agency, MassDOT, and the Regional Transit Authority). The MPO then considers the project in terms of state and regional needs, evaluation criteria, and compliance with the regional Transportation Plan and decides whether to place it in the draft TIP for public review and then in the final TIP.

### 6. Procurement

Following project design and programming of a highway project, the MassDOT Highway Division publishes a request for proposals. It then reviews the bids and awards the contract to the qualified bidder with the lowest bid.

### 7. Construction

After a construction contract is awarded, MassDOT Highway Division and the contractor develop a public participation plan and a management plan for the construction process.

#### 8. Project Assessment

The purpose of this step is to receive constituents' comments on the project development process and the project's design elements. MassDOT Highway Division can apply what is learned in this process to future projects.

# **Project Development Schematic Timetable**

Description	Schedule Influence	Typical Duration
Step I: Problem/Need/Opportunity Identification	The Project Need Form has been developed so	1 to 3 months
The proponent completes a Project Need Form (PNF).	that it can be prepared quickly by the	
This form is then reviewed by the MassDOT Highway	proponent, including any supporting data that	
District office which provides guidance to the	is readily available. The District office shall	
proponent on the subsequent steps of the process.	return comments to the proponent within one	
	month of PNF submission.	D I DI I
Step II: Planning	For some projects, no planning beyond	Project Planning
Project planning can range from agreement that the	preparation of the Project Need Form is	Report: 3 to 24+
problem should be addressed through a clear solution to	required. Some projects require a planning	months
a detailed analysis of alternatives and their impacts.	study centered on specific project issues	
	associated with the proposed solution of a	
	projects will likely require a detailed	
	alternatives analysis	
Ston III. Dusiest Initiation	The PIE includes refinement of the	1 to 1 months
The propert propert and submits a Draiget Initiation	preliminary information contained in the PNF	i to 4 montiis
Form (PIE) and a Transportation Evaluation Criteria	Additional information summarizing the	
(TEC) form in this step. The PIE and TEC are	results of the planning process, such as the	
informally reviewed by the Metropolitan Planning	Project Planning Report, are included with the	
Organization (MPO) and MassDOT Highway District	PIF and TEC. The schedule is determined by	
office, and formally reviewed by the PRC.	PRC staff review (dependent on project	
	complexity) and meeting schedule.	
Step IV: Design, Environmental, and Right of Way	The schedule for this step is dependent upon	3 to 48+ months
The proponent completes the project design.	the size of the project and the complexity of	
Concurrently, the proponent completes necessary	the design, permitting, and right-of-way	
environmental permitting analyses and files	issues. Design review by the MassDOT	
applications for permits. Any right of way needed for	Highway district and appropriate sections is	
the project is identified and the acquisition process	completed in this step.	
begins.		
Step V: Programming	The schedule for this step is subject to each	3 to $12+$ months
The MPO considers the project in terms of its regional	MPO's programming cycle and meeting	
priorities and determines whether or not to include the	schedule. It is also possible that the MPO will	
project in the draft Regional Transportation	not include a project in its Draft TIP based on	
Improvement Program (TIP) which is then made	its review and approval procedures.	
available for public comment. The TIP includes a		
Step VL Drammer The gradient is advertised for		1 4 12
construction and a contract awarded	influence the advertising schedule	1 to 12 monuis
Step VII: Construction The construction process is	The duration for this step is entirely dependent	3  to  60+  months
initiated including public notification and any	upon project complexity and phasing.	
anticipated public involvement. Construction continues	-Ferr Frederic Construction and Ferroria.	
to project completion.		
Step VIII: Project Assessment The construction	The duration for this step is dependent upon	1 month
period is complete and project elements and processes	the proponent's approach to this step and any	
are evaluated on a voluntary basis.	follow-up required.	

Source: MassDOT Highway Division Project Development and Design Guide