OBJECTIVES	CRITERIA	SUBCRITERIA/SCORING
SAFETY: Transportation by all n	nodes will be safe.	
Reduce the number and severity of crashes and safety incidents for all modes Reduce serious injuries and fatalities from transportation	Crash Severity Value: Equivalent Property Damage Only (EPDO) index (up to 5 points)	 +5 EPDO value of 300 or more +4 EPDO value between 200-299 +3 EPDO value between 100-199 +2 EPDO value between 50-99 +1 EPDO value less than 50 +0 No EPDO value
Make investments and support initiatives that help protect transportation customers, employees, and the public from safety and security threats	Crash Rate (either intersection or corridor): (up to 5 points)	Intersection:Evaluation ScoreSignalizedUn-signaled $+5$ >= 1.69>= 1.36 $+4$ $1.31 - < 1.69$ $1.03 - < 1.36$ $+3$ $0.70 - < 1.31$ $0.70 - < 1.03$ $+2$ $0.55 - < 0.93$ $0.37 - < 0.70$ $+1$ $0.36 - < 0.55$ $0.21 - < 0.37$ $+0$ < 0.36 < 0.21
SAFETY (30 possible points)		Corridor: 1-Interstate 3- Principal Arterials-Other, Evaluation 2-Other Freeways, 4-Minor Arterials, Score Expressways 5,6-Major-Minor Collectors $+5$ > = 1.81 >= 6.45 $+4$ 1.40 - < 1.81
	Improves truck-related safety issue (up to 5 points)	 +3 High total effectiveness of truck safety countermeasures +2 Medium total effectiveness of truck safety countermeasures +1 Low total effectiveness of truck safety countermeasures +0 Does not implement truck safety countermeasures If project scores points above, then it is eligible for additional points below: +2 Improves truck safety at HSIP Cluster
	Improves bicycle safety (up to 5 points)	 +3 High total effectiveness of bicycle safety countermeasures +2 Medium total effectiveness of bicycle safety countermeasures +1 Low total effectiveness of bicycle safety countermeasures +0 Does not implement bicycle safety countermeasures If project scores points above, then it is eligible for additional points below: +2 Improves bicycle safety at HSIP Bicycle Cluster +1 Improves bicycle safety at HSIP Cluster
	Improves pedestrian safety (up to 5 points)	 +3 High total effectiveness of pedestrian safety countermeasures +2 Medium total effectiveness of pedestrian safety countermeasures +1 Low total effectiveness of pedestrian safety countermeasures +0 Does not implement pedestrian safety countermeasures If project scores points above, then it is eligible for additional points below: +2 Improves pedestrian safety at HSIP Pedestrian Cluster +1 Improves pedestrian safety at HSIP Cluster
	Improves safety or removes an at-grade railroad crossing (up to 5 points)	 +5 Removes an at-grade railroad crossing +3 Significantly improves safety at an at-grade railroad crossing +1 Improves safety at an at-grade railroad crossing +0 Does not include a railroad crossing

Equivalent Property Damage Only (EPDO)

Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)

Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)

OBJECTIVES	CRITERIA	SUBCRITERIA/SCORING
SYSTEM PRESERVATION AND N	IODERNIZATION: Maintain and	modernize the transportation system and plan for its resiliency.
Maintain the transportation system, including roadway, transit, and active transportation infrastructure, in a state of good repair	Improves substandard roadway bridge(s) (up to 3 points)	 +3 Condition is structurally deficient and improvements are included in the project +1 Condition is functionally obsolete and improvements are included in the project +0 Does not improve substandard bridge or does not include a bridge
Modernize transportation infrastructure across all modes Prioritize projects that support planned response capability to existing or future extreme conditions (sea level rise, flooding, and other natural and security-related man-made impacts)	Improves substandard pavement (up to 6 points)	 +6 IRI rating greater than 320: Poor and pavement improvements are included in the project +4 IRI rating between 320 and 191: Fair and pavement improvements are included in the project +0 IRI rating less than 190: Good or better
	Improves substandard traffic signal equipment (up to 6 points)	 +6 Poor condition, improvements are included in the project +4 Fair condition, improvements are included in the project +0 Does not meet or address criteria
	Improves transit asset(s) (up to 3 points)	 +2 Brings transit asset into State of Good Repair +1 Meets an identified-need in an Asset Management Plan +0 Does not meet or address criteria
	Improves substandard sidewalk(s) (up to 3 points)	 +3 Poor condition and sidewalk improvements are included in the project +2 Fair condition and sidewalk improvements are included in the project +0 Sidewalk condition is good or better
	Improves emergency response (up to 2 points)	 Project improves an evacuation route, diversion route, or alternate diversion route Project improves an access route to or in proximity to an emergency support location
	Improves ability to respond to extreme conditions (up to 6 points)	 +2 Addresses flooding problem and/or sea level rise and enables facility to function in such a condition +1 Brings facility up to current seismic design standards
		 +1 Addresses critical transportation infrastructure +1 Protects freight network elements
SYSTEM PRESERVATION AND M	ODERNIZATION (29 possible po	+1 Implements hazard mitigation or climate adaptation plans

OBJECTIVES	CRITERIA	SUBCRITERIA/SCORING
CAPACITY MANAGEMENT AND	MOBILITY: Use existing facility of	capacity more efficiently and increase transportation options.
Improve access to and accessibility of all modes, especially transit and active transportation	Reduces transit vehicle delay (up to 4 points)	 +3 5 hours or more of daily transit vehicle delay reduced +2 1-5 hours of daily transit vehicle delay reduced +1 Less than one hour of daily transit vehicle delay reduced +0 Does not reduce transit delay
Support roadway management and operations strategies to improve travel reliability, mitigate congestion, and support non-single occupant vehicle travel	Improves pedestrian network and ADA accessibility	If project scores points above, then it is eligible for additional points below: +1 Improves one or more key bus route(s) +2 Adds new sidewalk(s) (including shared-use paths) +2 Improves ADA accessibility
Emphasize capacity management through low-cost investments; prioritize projects that focus on lower-cost	(up to 5 points)	 +1 Closes a gap in the pedestrian network +0 Does not improve pedestrian network
operations/ management-type improvements such as intersection improvements, transit priority, and Complete Streets solutions Improve reliability of transit	Improves bicycle network (up to 4 points)	 +3 Adds new physically separated bicycle facility (including shared-use paths) +2 Adds new buffered bicycle facility +1 Adds new standard bicycle facility +1 Closes a gap in the bicycle network +0 Does not improve bicycle network
Increase percentage of population and employment within one-quarter mile of transit stations and stops	Improves intermodal accommodations/connections to transit (up to 6 points)	 +6 Meets or addresses criteria to a high degree +4 Meets or addresses criteria to a medium degree +2 Meets or addresses criteria to a low degree +0 Does not meet or address criteria
Support community-based and private-initiative services to meet first-/last-mile, reverse commute, and other non- traditional transportation needs, including those of people 75 years old or older and people with disabilities	Improves truck movement (up to 4 points)	 +3 Meets or addresses criteria to a high degree +2 Meets or addresses criteria to a medium degree +1 Meets or addresses criteria to a low degree +0 Does not meet or address criteria
Support strategies to better manage automobile and bicycle parking capacity and		If project scores points above, then it is eligible for additional points below: +1 Addresses MPO-identified bottleneck location
usage at transit stations Fund improvements to bicycle/pedestrian networks aimed at creating a connected network of bicycle and accessible sidewalk facilities by expanding existing facilities and closing gaps	Reduces vehicle congestion (up to 6 points)	 400 hours or more of daily vehicle delay reduced 100-400 hours of daily vehicle delay reduced Less than 100 hours of daily vehicle delay reduced Does not meet or address criteria
Increase percentage of population and places of employment with access to facilities on the bicycle network		
Eliminate bottlenecks on freight network/ improve freight reliability and enhance freight intermodal connections		
CAPACITY MANAGEMENT AND Americans with Disabilities Act (

OBJECTIVES	CRITERIA	SUBCRITERIA/SCORING
CLEAN AIR/SUSTAINABLE COM	MUNITIES: Create an environme	entally friendly transportation system.
Reduce greenhouse gases	Reduces CO ₂	+5 1,000 or more annual tons of CO ₂ reduced
generated in the Boston region	(up to 5 points)	+4 500-999 annual tons of CO ₂ reduced
by all transportation modes		+3 250-499 annual tons of CO ₂ reduced
		+2 100-249 annual tons of CO ₂ reduced
Reduce other transportation-		+1 Less than 100 annual tons of CO ₂ reduced
related pollutants		0 No impact
		-1 Less than 100 annual tons of CO ₂ increased
Minimize negative		-2 100-249 annual tons of CO ₂ increased
environmental impacts of the		-3 250-499 annual tons of CO ₂ increased
transportation system		-4 500-999 annual tons of CO ₂ increased
Support land use policies		-5 1,000 or more annual tons of CO ₂ increased
Support land use policies consistent with smart, healthy,		
and resilient growth		
	Reduces other transportation-	+5 2,000 or more total kilograms of VOC, NOx, CO reduced
	related emissions (VOC, NOx,	+4 1,000-1999 total kilograms of VOC, NOx, CO reduced
	CO) (up to 5 points)	 +3 500-999 total kilograms of VOC, NOx, CO reduced +2 250-499 total kilograms of VOC, NOx, CO reduced
	(up to 5 points)	+1 Less than 250 total kilograms of VOC, NOX, CO reduced
		0 No impact
		-1 Less than 250 total kilograms of VOC, NOx, CO increased
		-2 250-499 total kilograms of VOC, NOx, CO increased
		-3 500-999 total kilograms of VOC, NOX, CO increased
		-4 1,000-1999 total kilograms of VOC, NOx, CO increased
		-5 2,000 or more total kilograms of VOC, NOx, CO increased
	Addresses environmental	+1 Addresses water quality
	impacts (up to 4 points)	+1 Addresses cultural resources/open space
		+1 Addresses wetlands/resource areas
		+1 Addresses wildlife preservation/protected habitats
		+0 Does not meet or address criteria
	Is in an EOEEA-certified "Green	+2 Project is located in a "Green Community"
	Community" (up to 2 points)	+0 Project is not located in a "Green Community"
CLEAN AIR/SUSTAINABLE COM	MUNITIES (16 possible points)	
Carbon Monoxide (CO)		
Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)		
Greenhouse Gas (GHG)		

Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Nitrogen Oxides (NOx) Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)

Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EOEEA)

OBJECTIVES	CRITERIA	SUBCRITERIA/SCORING
		parable benefits from, and are not disproportionately burdened by, MPO investments,
regardless of race, color, nation	al origin, age, income, ability, o	r sex.
Prioritize MPO investments	Serves Title VI/non-	+2 Serves minority (high concentration) population (>2,000 people)
that benefit equity populations	discrimination populations	+1 Serves minority (low concentration) population (< or = 2,000 people)
	(up to 12 points)	
Minimize potential harmful		+2 Serves low-income (high concentration) population (>2,000 people)
environmental, health, and		+1 Serves low-income (low concentration) population (< or = 2,000 people)
safety effects of MPO funded		
projects for all equity		+2 Serves limited-English proficiency (high concentration) population (>1,000 people)
populations		+1 Serves limited-English proficiency (low concentration) population (< or = 1,000 people)
Promote investments that		+2 Serves elderly (high concentration) population (>2,000 people)
support transportation for all		+1 Serves elderly (low concentration) population (< or = 2,000 people)
ages (age-friendly		······································
communities)		+2 Serves zero vehicle households (high concentration) population (>1,000 people)
,		+1 Serves zero vehicle households (low concentration) population (< or = 1,000 people)
Promote investments that are		······································
accessible to all people		+2 Serves persons with disabilities (high concentration) population (>1,000 people)
regardless of ability		+1 Serves persons with disabilities (low concentration) population (< or = 1,000 people)
		+0 Does not serve Title VI or non-discrimination populations
		-10 Creates a burden for Title VI/non -discrimination populations
TRANSPORTATION EQUITY (12	possible points)	۱

OBJECTIVES	CRITERIA	SUBCRITERIA/SCORING
ECONOMIC VITALITY: Ensure o	ur transportation network provi	des a strong foundation for economic vitality.
Respond to mobility needs of the workforce population Minimize the burden of housing/transportation costs for residents in the region Prioritize transportation	Serves targeted development site (up to 6 points)	 +2 Provides new transit access to or within site +1 Improves transit access to or within site +1 Provides for bicycle access to or within site +1 Provides for pedestrian access to or within site +1 Provides for improved road access to or within site +0 Does not provide any of the above measures
investments that serve residential, commercial, and logistics targeted development sites and "Priority Places" identified in MBTA's <i>Focus 40</i> plan Prioritize transportation investments consistent with compact-growth strategies of the regional transportation plan	Provides for development consistent with the compact growth strategies of MetroFuture (up to 5 points) Provides multimodal access to an activity center (up to 4 points)	 Hostly serves an existing area of concentrated development Partly serves an existing area of concentrated development Supports local zoning or other regulations that are supportive of smart growth development Complements other local financial or regulatory support that fosters economic revitalization in a manner consistent with smart growth development principles Does not provide any of the above measures Provides transit access (within a quarter mile) to an activity center Provides truck access to an activity center
	Leverages other investments (non-TIP funding) (up to 3 points)	 +1 Provides bicycle access to an activity center +1 Provides pedestrian access to an activity center +0 Does not provide multimodal access +3 Meets or addresses criteria to a high degree (>30% of the project cost) +2 Meets or addresses criteria to a medium degree (10-30% of the project cost) +1 Meets or addresses criteria to a low degree (<10% of the project cost) +0 Does not meet or address criteria
ECONOMIC VITALITY (18 possib TOTAL SCORE (134 possible poi		